## **HOUSE COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION**

March 19, 1999 Hearing Room D

8:00 a.m. Tapes 51 - 52

**MEMBERS PRESENT: Rep. Bob Montgomery, Chair** 

Rep. Mike Lehman, Vice-Chair Rep. Richard Devlin Rep. Jim Hill Rep. Jeff Kropf Rep. Jerry Krummel Rep. Jane Lokan Rep. Jackie Taylor Rep. Vicki Walker Rep. Larry Wells

STAFF PRESENT: Janet Adkins, Administrator

**Brad Daniels, Administrative Support** 

MEASURE/ISSUES HEARD: HB 2082 Public Hearing

These minutes are in compliance with Senate and House Rules. Only text enclosed in quotation marks reports a speaker's exact words. For complete contents, please refer to the tapes.

| TAPE/#     | Speaker    | Comments |  |  |  |
|------------|------------|----------|--|--|--|
| TAPE 51, A | TAPE 51, A |          |  |  |  |
|            |            |          |  |  |  |

| 004              | Chair Montgomery       | Opens the meeting at 8:05 a.m. Opens the public hearing on HB 2082.   |  |  |
|------------------|------------------------|---|--|--|
| <u>HB 2082 I</u> | HB 2082 PUBLIC HEARING |   |  |  |
| 015              | Max Brittingham        | Oregon Refuse and Recycling Association (ORRA)/Oregon Highway Users<br>Alliance (OHUA). Discusses the membership of OHUA ( <b>EXHIBIT A</b> ).  |  |  |
| 032              | John Watt              | Southern Oregon Businesses/OHUA. Describes his clients and their use of the highways. Discusses their interest in ODOT and its accountability. Supports the concept of a gas tax and reiterates their concern about accountability. |  |  |
| 060              | Brittingham            | Discusses OHUA interest in the transportation issue. Supports an increase in the gas tax.   |  |  |
| 076              | Rep. Hill              | Asks if they would prefer a six or four-cent gas tax increase.  |  |  |
| 078              | Brittingham            | Explains that they have not discussed a particular number.  |  |  |
| 083              | Watt                   | Acknowledges that both proposals represent gap financing.   |  |  |
| 090              | Rep. Hill              | Asks for a direct answer to his question about a particular increase.   |  |  |
| 094              | Brittingham            | Replies that he is not authorized to advocate for a particular number.  |  |  |
| 106              | Rep. Lehman            | Asks if they will support a gas tax increase in reality as well as in concept.  |  |  |
| 109              | Brittingham            | Answers that they will support an increase both in concept and reality.   |  |  |
| 120              | Craig Campbell         | Triple A Oregon. Supports HB 2082 as written (3+3 structure with a \$10 registration fee increase). Introduces recent poll results of Triple A members and discusses the mechanics of the survey ( <b>EXHIBIT B</b> ).              |  |  |
| 170              | Campbell               | Explains the responses to specific questions, including impressions of road conditions and increases in the gas tax and registration fees. Lists and describes the percentages in favor and opposition to each question.            |  |  |
| 207              | Rep. Kropf             | Asks if they asked any questions concerning gas tax increases without the words "equivalent truck taxes."   |  |  |
| 211              | Campbell               | Replies no. Reads the question that was posed.  |  |  |
| 223              | Rep. Kropf             | Asks if any questions were asked concerning bike paths or pedestrian projects.  |  |  |

| 225     | Campbell         | Replies no. States that Triple A usually does two polls, one of members and one paid poll of the public. Notes that the two polls often reflect similar results.  |
|---------|------------------|---|
| 240     | Rep. Taylor      | Asks if any comparisons were done between ODOTís condition ratings and<br>Triple A membersí opinions of road conditions.  |
| 245     | Campbell         | Replies no. Adds that, since the poll was statewide, it will reflect a broad range of perceptions.  |
| 262     | Rep. Lokan       | Reviews the poll results. Asks how support for a gas tax should be interpreted.   |
| 268     | Campbell         | Answers that congestion may offer some explanation of why people may view<br>road condition as good and still support a gas tax increase. Discusses the<br>responses to questions that addressed studded tires. Notes the general support<br>for a studded tire fee. Lists the percentages in support and opposition of<br>studded tire fees.                   |
| 330     | Chair Montgomery | Asks if Campbell has a regional break-down of responses.  |
| 331     | Campbell         | Replies no. Concedes that some areas may oppose fees on studded tires more than others.   |
| 342     | Rep. Wells       | Inquires if the poll asked about new tire technologies as replacements to studded tires.  |
| 348     | Campbell         | Replies no.   |
| 386     | James Osterman   | President, Blountís Outdoor Products. Describes his business, its locations and its financial structure. Explains the effects of transportation on business costs in Oregon. States that taxes are too high in Oregon ( <b>EXHIBIT C</b> ).   |
| TAPE 52 | , A              |   |
| 017     | Osterman         | Supports a gas tax increase as a consumption tax. Notes that a number of people do not currently pay taxes. Describes the effects of congestion. Supports HB 2082 and the ñ2 amendments, without a sunset clause. Supports an additional \$10 increase in registration fees for high-growth counties. Relates statistics concerning growth in Clackamas county. |
| 060     | Osterman         | Underlines the importance of maintenance and preservation. Reiterates his support for a six-cent increase and an increase in registration fees.   |
| 077     | Rep. Kropf       | Asks why Ostermanis plant in Canada has less production costs.  |
|         |                  |   |

| 082 | Osterman          | Replies that wages are the same, but regulatory costs are high.  |
|-----|-------------------|--|
| 088 | Rep. Hill         | Asks if a higher registration fee should be imposed by the state or counties.  |
| 093 | Osterman          | Replies that an increase would be more effective coming from the state.  |
| 105 | Robert Behnke     | Transportation Consultant. Reviews the results of a University of North<br>Carolina-Charlotte study concerning the cost effectiveness of state highway<br>agencies. Stresses the relatively good condition of roads and bridges in Oregon.<br>Discusses Oregonís relatively high administrative costs and maintenance costs<br>per mile. Suggests that the revenue from a gas tax should be earmarked for<br>adding lane capacity. Suggests that Oregon, like Arkansas, should investigate<br>commissioning a more detailed study ( <b>EXHIBIT D</b> ) |
| 168 | Rep. Hill         | Asks Behnke what type of consulting he does.   |
| 170 | Behnke            | Replies that he works with computers and transportation.   |
| 172 | Rep. Hill         | Asks for Behnkeís policy recommendation.   |
| 179 | Behnke            | Answers that he would favor an increase if it was used for new construction only.  |
| 183 | Rep. Hill         | Asks if he considers maintenance as part of increasing capacity.   |
| 185 | Behnke            | Replies no.  |
| 188 | Chair Montgomery  | Cites pp. 1, Item 10 of <b>EXHIBIT D</b> . Suggests that this estimation of rural road condition is unrealistic.   |
| 194 | Chris Hagerbaumer | <ul> <li>Oregon Environmental Council (OEC). Supports increasing the gas tax, registration fees and the weight-mile tax. Divides her testimony into three areas:</li> <li>Appropriate use of gas tax money ñDiscusses the OEC(s preference for maintenance over modernization (EXHIBIT E).</li> </ul>  |
| 260 | Hagerbaumer       | <ul> <li>Long-term modernization options ñExplains that toll roads are the fairest way to charge for road use.</li> <li>Funding the Oregon Transportation Network ñStresses the need for transportation options.</li> </ul>  |
| 289 | Rep. Krummel      | Asks for clarification of her opinion that rural Oregonians subsidize the transportation needs of urbanites.   |

| 293        | Hagerbaumer      | Replies that the demand for new roads is tied to congestion, and this congestion<br>normally occurs in urban areas. States that rural drivers are paying, often<br>disproportionately, for these modernization needs.  |  |  |  |
|------------|------------------|--|--|--|--|
| 304        | Rep. Hill        | Expresses interest in empirical data concerning the amount of revenue generated in urban and rural areas.  |  |  |  |
| 313        | Chair Montgomery | Asks for the origin of the statistics concerning licenses and older drivers.   |  |  |  |
| 317        | Hagerbaumer      | States that Roger Martin may have provided this figure.  |  |  |  |
| 320        | William Elliot   | Chair, Oregon Seismic Safety Policy Commission. Supports using a portion of the gas tax money for the strengthening of bridges. Stresses the declining condition of the bridge system and the seismic risks to these bridges. Underlines the need to earmark funds to address the bridge problem. Supports HB 2082 ( <b>EXHIBIT F</b> ). |  |  |  |
| 369        | Rep. Lehman      | Cites Behnkeís testimony. Asks if the bridge deficiency refers to the current state of bridges or their ability to withstand any seismic activity.   |  |  |  |
| 375        | Elliot           | Cites an ODOT study of bridges following the Spring Break Quake and its findings. Explains the results of seismic activity on bridges.   |  |  |  |
| 400        | Chair Montgomery | Asks if this study was funded through the safety portion of the budget.  |  |  |  |
| 407        | Elliot           | Expresses uncertainty.   |  |  |  |
| TAPE 51, B | TAPE 51, B       |  |  |  |  |
| 002        | Rep. Devlin      | Asks if the committee should prioritize Multnomah County bridges crossing the Willamette River.  |  |  |  |
| 011        | Elliot           | Replies that ODOT should involve local communities. Notes that federal money is available for bridge repair.   |  |  |  |
| 040        | Keith Leavitt    | Port of Portland. Supports HB 2082. Stresses the importance of transportation to moving freight and trade. Discusses the portis attempt to study commodity flows and the importance of timely deliveries. Reviews the future strain on the transportation network ( <b>EXHIBIT G</b> ).  |  |  |  |
| 083        | Rep. Hill        | Asks if grass seed growers are transporting their goods by truck.  |  |  |  |
| 085        | Leavitt          | Expresses uncertainty.   |  |  |  |

| 088 | Rep. Hill        | Notes the importance of a transportation system that connects rural and urban areas. Asks if the number one export from Oregon, grass seed, is going out of Portland.   |
|-----|------------------|---|
| 095 | Leavitt          | Replies yes.  |
| 096 | Rep. Kropf       | Notes that nursery stock is the primary export from Oregon.   |
| 109 | Chair Montgomery | Asks for comments on the revenue distribution between cities, counties and the state.   |
| 111 | Leavitt          | Supports the current formula in HB 2082.  |
| 131 | Steve Wildish    | President, Oregon Concrete Aggregate Producers Association (OCAPA).<br>Acknowledges the declining infrastructure. Explains OCAPAis involvement in<br>transportation issues. Stresses the need for additional transportation funding<br>and the rising costs of road construction. Supports HB 2082. Supports the<br>accountability and reporting efficiencies in HB 2082. Supports ODOT, noting<br>the importance of positive information about the agency. |
| 193 | Rep. Krummel     | Asks about value engineering.   |
| 194 | Wildish          | Explains value engineering and its benefits.  |
| 204 | Rep. Lehman      | Asks if any aspects of current construction can be eliminated.  |
| 213 | Wildish          | Replies that one option, super-siting authority, is not popular.  |
| 223 | Rep. Lehman      | Notes that there are trade-offs involved in eliminating any practices that increase construction costs.   |
| 226 | Rep. Wells       | Refers to a report underlining ODOTís negative relationship with contractors.<br>Asks for his opinion of his working relationship with ODOT.  |
| 238 | Wildish          | Answers that, overall, ODOT is a decent agency to work with. Notes the importance of personalities in business relationships.   |
| 265 | Angstrom         | Discusses the importance of an infrastructure to trucking maintenance costs.<br>Describes the growth in urban areas, congestion and their effects on truck costs.   |
| 315 | Angstrom         | Emphasizes the broad support for HB 2082.   |
| 331 | Rep. Kropf       | Comments that the current funding package is structured to emphasize  |

|          |                  | accountability, but does not outline methods to measure that accountability.   |
|----------|------------------|--|
| 359      | Angstrom         | Responds that Rep. Lokanís cost accounting initiative is one step in that direction. Underscores the importance of accountability for all agencies.  |
| 395      | Chair Montgomery | Cites HB 2082, Section 19 as addressing the accountability issue.  |
| 410      | Brian Boe        | Oregon Petroleum Marketers Association. Supports HB 2082. Introduces ñ3 amendments, which would prohibit the adoption of city and county gas taxes in Oregon ( <b>EXHIBIT H</b> ).   |
| TAPE 52, | , B              | JL   |
| 002      | Boe              | Reviews the results of county gas tax elections and relates his concerns about the role of local gas taxes on gasoline markets ( <b>EXHIBIT I</b> ).   |
| 019      | Mike Sherlock    | Oregon Gasoline Dealerís Association. Supports HB 2082 and an increase up to six cents, as long as it is on a statewide basis. Relates the history of gas tax elections at local levels and the effects on the gasoline industry. Stresses that people are looking for a statewide solution. |
| 046      | Rep. Wells       | Notes Oregonís high gas tax rating. Asks what affect this rating will have on competition and if it is time for Oregon to look at an excise tax.   |
| 055      | Sherlock         | Replies that a higher gas tax would be a detriment for people along the borders.   |
| 062      | Boe              | Supports a four-cent increase.   |
| 070      | Rep. Lehman      | Asks when the last time a local gas tax was imposed.   |
| 072      | Boe              | Replies that it might have been in Washington County some time ago.  |
| 078      | Rep. Lehman      | Questions the need for state action.   |
| 085      | Boe              | Responds that organizations are required to expend effort and resources to defeat these local increases.   |
| 088      | Sherlock         | Adds that they support a statewide increase to take pressure off local governments.  |
| 103      | Rep. Krummel     | Asks about local jurisdictions that have passed road-use fees.   |

| 113 | Boe          | Answers that they have examined these options, but do not have a specific position on these types of proposals.  |
|-----|--------------|--|
| 123 | Sherlock     | Replies that his organization also investigates these options.   |
| 126 | Rep. Taylor  | Notes the variance of gasoline prices in her district and inquires if other factors, besides the gas tax, are involved in price variations.  |
| 147 | Sherlock     | Explains the factors involved in gasoline prices, including real estate prices.  |
| 157 | Boe          | States that the gasoline industry can be competitive in a microcosmic way.   |
| 172 | Roger Martin | Executive Director, Oregon Transit Association. Supports HB 2082. Reviews his experience with transportation funding. Stresses that a better method of road financing, one that keeps up with technological innovation, is needed. Notes that most Oregonians will probably not notice a three-cent increase given the fluctuations in prices. |
| 230 | Martin       | Emphasizes that ODOT is a competent, efficient agency. Emphasizes the importance of educating the public on this point.  |
| 262 | Rep. Devlin  | Agrees with Martin on his long-term proposal. Asks if Oregon should diversify its resources.   |
| 276 | Martin       | Replies yes. Agrees that Oregon is far too dependent on a single source of income.   |
| 310 | Suzie Jordan | Legislative Fiscal Office. Explains the financial effects of the six-cent gas tax increase, focusing on the timing of the increases and the revenue distribution (EXHIBIT J).  |
| 368 | Rep. Hill    | Asks if Jordan has calculated the effect of a one-cent increase.   |
| 371 | Jordan       | Replies no.  |
| 373 | Rep. Kropf   | Cites pp. 3 of <b>EXHIBIT J</b> . Asks for an explanation of transfer costs.   |
| 392 | Jordan       | Refers to the statutory requirements allowing those agencies to deduct the amount of fuel they use.  |
| 412 | Rep. Kropf   | Asks Jordan if she calculated an increase in gallons per year.   |
| 421 | Jordan       | Replies that she did not allow for an adjustment in order to simplify her  |

|     |                  | approach.   |
|-----|------------------|---|
| 429 | Adkins           | Asks if <b>EXHIBIT J</b> shows the projected revenue increases. |
| 439 | Jordan           | Replies yes. Explains the aspects of the four-cent proposal.    |
| 465 | Rep. Wells       | Notes that a one-cent increase equals \$25 million per year.    |
| 477 | Chair Montgomery | Adjourns the meeting at 10:00 a.m.                              |

Submitted By, Reviewed By,

Brad Daniels, Janet Adkins,

Administrative Support Administrator

## EXHIBIT SUMMARY

- A  ${\rm \tilde{n}HB}$  2082, written testimony, Max Brittingham, 12 pp.
- B ñHB 2082, written testimony, Craig Campbell, 3 pp.
- C ñHB 2082, written testimony, James Osterman, 4 pp.
- D ñHB 2082, written testimony, Robert Behnke, 3 pp.
- E ñHB 2082, written testimony, Chris Hagerbaumer, 2 pp.
- F ñHB 2082, written testimony, William Elliot, 3 pp.
- G ñHB 2082, written testimony, Keith Leavitt, 2 pp.
- H ñHB 2082, -3 amendments, Brian Boe, 1 p.
- I ñHB 2082, written testimony, Brian Boe, 1 p.
- J ñHB 2082, tax spreadsheet, Suzie Jordan, 3 pp.