### HOUSE COMMITTEE ON WATER AND ENVIRONMENT

### April 30, 1999 Hearing Room D

1:00 P.M. Tapes 140 - 143

### **MEMBERS PRESENT: Rep. Jim Welsh, Chair**

Rep. Jackie Taylor, Vice-Chair
Rep. Jason Atkinson
Rep. Richard Devlin
Rep. Juley Gianella

**Rep. Deborah Kafoury** 

Rep. Jeff Kruse

**Rep. Jeff Merkley** 

Rep. Susan Morgan

STAFF PRESENT: Kristina McNitt, Administrator

**Danielle Hamilton, Administrative Support** 

### MEASURE/ISSUES HEARD: HB 2162 Public Hearing and Work Session

HB 2838 Work Session

HB 3456 Work Session

- HB 2885 Work Session
- HB 3259 Work Session
- HB 3489 Work Session
- HB 3282 Work Session
- HB 3201 Work Session
- HJR 75 Work Session
- HB 3410 Work Session

These minutes are in compliance with Senate and House Rules. Only text enclosed in quotation marks reports a speaker's exact words. For complete contents, please refer to the tapes.

TAPE/#	Speaker	Comments
<b>TAPE 140,</b>	A	
004	Chair Welsh	Calls meeting to order at HB 2162 and opens public hearing on HB 2162.
<u>HB 2162 P</u>	UBLIC HEARING	Λ
006		Staff distributes (EXHIBIT A, B, C, D, F, G, H, I, J, K, L, M, N, O, P, Q, R)
009	Martha Pagel	Water Resource Department. Testifies in support of HB 2162 (EXHIBIT S).
043	Dick Bailey	Administrator, Water Resource Department (WRD). Testifies in support to of HB 2162.
087	Rep. Kruse	Asks how many small hydroelectric projects are no longer producing power.
089	Bailey	Answers about fifteen that are state licensed, pending decommissioning.
091	Rep. Kruse	Asks if those sites still have the equipment that is necessary for power production and do those sites want to be decommissioned.
095	Bailey	Responds the sites are in different stages of decommissioning and the decommissioning is voluntary.
097	Rep. Kruse	Comments on the sites voluntarily being decommissioning.
105	Rep. Atkinson	Asks Bailey about his opinion on the ñ2 and ñ3 amendments.
110	Bailey	Discusses the ñ2 and ñ3 amendments.
118	Pagel	Comments on the ñ2 and ñ3 amendments.
139	Chair Welsh	Asks Pagel if the work group approves of the ñ3 amendments.
142	Pagel	Answers yes.

143	Juley Kyle	Portland General Electric (PGE) and member of the task force. Agrees with Pagelis answer regarding the work groups approval of the ñ3 amendments.
145	Chair Welsh	Asks if the stake holders had Legislative Counsel present when they discusses the ñ2 amendments.
147	Kyle	Answers yes.
149	Pagel	Points out that if there are any glitches in the ñ2 andñ3 amendments WRD is confident that they can be addressed on the Senate side.
151	Mark Rush	President, North West Environmental Defense Center (NWEDC). Comments on the estopple clause in the ñ2 amendments.
157	Rep. Merkley	Asks where in the ñ2 amendments is the estopple clause.
160	Pagel	Answers that the estopple clause is not in the ñ2 amendments.
162	Rush	States that the estopple clause has been pulled out of the ñ2 and placed in the ñ3 amendment.
175	Rep. Merkley	States that his understanding is that the estopple clause is in the ñ2 and the ñ3 amendments are an non-estopple clause.
179	Pagel	Answers that WRD is seeking a non-estopple clause it was not included in the ñ2 so the task force is suggesting to adopt the ñ2 and the ñ3 amendments.
187	Rep. Merkley	Asks for further clarification on purpose of the estopple clause.
192	Nathan Gardner	Attorney. Provides background on the purpose of the estopple clause.
208	Martha Pagel	Provides further clarification on the issues surrounding the estopple clause.
215	Kyle	Comments on the regulation of hydro electric projects.
225	Martha Pagel	States that Jan Lee from the Oregon Water Resources Congress is in support of HB 2162.
228	Jan Lee	Oregon Water Resources Congress. Submits written testimony (EXHIBIT T).
230	Jannett Holman	Legislative Council. Expresses concerns with the broad language of the ñ2 amendments.

88	Rep. Kruse	MOTION: Moves to ADOPT HB 2162-2 amendments
HB 2162	WORK SESSION	
384	Chair Welsh	Closes public hearing on HB 2162 and open a work session on HB 2162.
375	Pagel	States that WRD is hoping the committee will move HB 2162 forward and work out any details on the Senate side.
366	Holman	Clarifies that she is willing to work with the work group.
351	Rep. Merkley	Expresses his support for the ñ3 amendments.
325	Pagel	States that there are cases where a person has "paid fees" that can bar them from then challenging the payment of those fees. Provides example of paid fees.
321	Rep. Merkley	States that being estoppled is a legitimate concern.
313	Holman	Addresses the concerns of the work group.
310	Chair Welsh	Asks why the work group would ask for the broad language of the ñ3 amendments.
290	Holman	Responds further clarification could be helpful. Provides an example of her concern.
286	Rep. Merkley	Asks Holman if her concerns could be addressed with an additional clause in the ñ3 amendments. Suggests further language clarification in the ñ3 amendments.
285	Holman	Answers the courts.
283	Rep. Merkley	Asks who could utilize an estopple clause.
275	Holman	Clarifies the concerns of those parties.
270	Rep. Merkley	Asks for clarification on the driving reason that people felt that the ñ3 language was needed.
268	Holman	Answers yes, she was referring to the ñ3 when she said the ñ2 amendments.
265	Chair Welsh	Asks if Holman means to refer to the ñ3 amendments.

		dated 4/30/99.			
390		VOTE: 9-0			
	Chair Welsh	Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED.			
395	Rep. Kruse	MOTION: Moves to ADOPT HB 2162-3 amendments dated 4/30/99.			
398		VOTE: 9-0			
L	Chair Welsh	Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED.			
400	Rep. Kruse	MOTION: Moves HB 2162 to the floor with a DO PASS AS AMENDED recommendation.			
		VOTE: 8-0 AYE: In a roll call vote, all members present vote Aye. EXCUSED: 1 - Kafoury			
	Chair Welsh	The motion CARRIES.			
		REP. MERKLEY will lead discussion on the floor.			
420	Chair Welsh	Closes work session on HB 2162 and work session hearing on HB 2838.			
<u>HB 2838 V</u>	HB 2838 WORK SESSION				
<b>TAPE 141</b>	TAPE 141, A				
009	Rep. Jason Atkinson	House District #51. Discusses the ñ3 amendments, EXHIBIT M.			
012	Don Shellenburg	Farm Bureau. Testifies in support of HB 2838 (EXHIBIT U).			

025	Rep. Devlin	Asks where are the members of LCDC from currently.
029	Rep. Atkinson	Responds that the intent of HB 2883 is regional representation.
049	Shellenburg	Addresses Rep. Devlinís question regarding LCDC membership.
059	Rep. Kruse	MOTION: Moves to ADOPT HB 2838-3 amendments dated 4/30/99.
065	Rep. Taylor	Asks if the appointment of members would remain the same.
068	Rep. Atkinson	Answers yes.
075		VOTE: 7-1 AYE: 7- Atkinson, Kruse, Morgan, Taylor, Devlin, Gianella, Welsh NAY: 1 - Merkley EXCUSED: 1 - Kafoury
	Chair Welsh	The motion CARRIES.
080	Rep. Kruse	MOTION: Moves HB 2838 to the floor with a DO PASS AS AMENDED recommendation.
084	Rep. Kruse	States that he will support HB 2838, but it does not go far enough.
086	Rep. Merkley	Comments on the reasons that he will not be supporting HB 2838.
090	Rep. Gianella	Notes that population has an impact on the membership of LCDC.
095		VOTE: 6-2 AYE: 6 - Atkinson, Kruse, Morgan, Taylor, Gianella, Welsh NAY: 2 ñ Merkley, Devlin EXCUSED: 1 ñ Kafoury,

		REP. ATKINSON will lead discussion on the floor.
104	Chair Welsh	Closes work session on HB 2838 and opens work session on HB 3456
<u>HB 3456</u>	WORK SESSION	
107	Rep. Leslie Lewis	House District 29. Testifies in support of HB 3456 (EXHIBIT V). Suggests a work group to discuss HB 3456.
160	Rep. Taylor	States that she understood that Associated Oregon Industries (AOI) had worked on HB 3456 to make it acceptable to the DEQ.
165	Rep. Lewis	Answers that is correct.
180	Chair Welsh	Agrees with Rep. Lewisí suggestion for a work group and discusses the make-up of the group. States their needs to be a clear process and assurance that people will not be treated partially.
192	Rep. Taylor	Summits for the record testimony from Randy Tucker (EXHBIT W, X).
205	Chair Welsh	Closes the work session on HB 3456 and opens work session on HB 2885
<u>HB 2885</u>	WORK SESSION	<u>, I</u>
224	Chair Welsh	States that the ñ1 amendments will gut and stuff HB 2885. Explains the purpose of the ñ1 amendments, <b>EXHIBIT L</b> .
242	Bob Rindy	Department of Land Conservation and Development (DLCD). Asks for clarification on the ñ1 amendments.
268	Kevin Birch	Discusses the ñ1 amendments.
288	Chair Welsh	Notes the ñ1 amendments are not accomplishing their intended purpose and more work will need to be done.
291	Rep. Taylor	Asks if the intent of HB 2885 is to allow a relative or person to live on the parceled property.
295	Chair Welsh	Answers no.
296	Rindy	States that the statue already allows a land owner to sell of a small portion of the land if it has an existing dwelling located on it. The timber manager would then

		have a large piece that is strictly forestland with no dwelling. Expresses concerns of the possible rolling effect of HB 2885.
306	Chair Welsh	Notes he will get further word from the constituent on HB 2885.
312	Rep. Gianella	Addresses the word "complains" in the ñ1 amendments. Asks if there needs to be as part of HB 2885 a clarification of the word "complain."
319	Chair Welsh	Answers that "complain" is currently part of statue, 215.780.
323	Chair Welsh	Closes work session on HB 2885 and opens work session on HB 3259.
<u>HB 3259</u>	WORK SESSION	
350	John Chandler	Oregon Building Industries Association (OBIA). Comments on the misunderstanding with LC regarding the ñ1 amendments. States the intention was not for the ñ1 to be a gut and stuff. Suggest conceptual amendments. States he is not aware of who requested the ñ2 amendments.
366	Chair Welsh	Notes that Rep. Starr does not feel the ñ2 amendments are necessary.
370	Pat Eagen	Beaverton-Hillsboro School District. Notes they requested the ñ2 amendments through Rep. Deckertís office Explains the intention of the ñ2 amendments.
387	Chandler	States that the -1 amendments do accomplish the intended purpose. Explains how the ñ1 amendments were handled by the Legislative Counsel.
404	Rep. Taylor	Asks for a review of HB 3259 and clarification on the proposed amendments.
TAPE 14	40, B	
008	Chandler	Reviews the history leading to HB 3259. Explains the provisions of HB 3259 and the ñ1 amendments.
030	Rep. Taylor	Asks if HB 3259 is related to Rep. Williams bill.
032	Chandler	States that HB 3259 would only apply to specially designated areas.
040	Rep. Taylor	Asks if the argument that schools are an urban use and should not be sited outside the urban growth boundary would apply to HB 3259.
045	Chandler	Replies that is why HB 3259 was drafted to apply only to areas which adjoin

		urban growth areas. Notes that the intent is to let schools purchase lands at wholesale costs.
067	Rep. Ryan Deckert	House District 8. Provides examples of issues where schools that are over capacity are looking for urban reserve land. States that HB 3259 is a step toward being able to build those schools.
073	Chair Welsh	Asks how many possible locations are around the Metro area.
076	Chandler	Remarks that, at this time, there are no reserve lands for schools.
080	Rep. Deckert	Notes that the biggest issue facing a suburban fast-growing district is that schools are over-capacity and may have to bus students many miles.
088	Rep. Merkley	Inquires about the ñ1 amendments. Asks for clarification on the clause located on page 1, line 8. Notes that it does not specifically refer to the urban reserve and sounds like it will open the door to any area.
097	Eagen	Explains the Beaverton School District would not have a problem with making a clarification.
102	Rep. Kruse	Wonders if this is a reference to services provided once the school has been sited.
107	Rep. Merkley	Inquires about the ñ1 amendments where it refers to an educational facility.
110	Eagen	Suggests conceptual amendments.
122	Rep. Merkley	Asks if a school district wants to put a school on the urban reserve, does the county need to provide services.
127	Eagen	Believes it is conceivable a school could be sited and then not have services extended. Notes they are attempting to ensure that these services be provided.
134	Chandler	Explains there is no obligation under HB 3259 on the county to provide services without charge.
142	Chair Welsh	Clarifies that the school districts would still have to negotiate with the county for services.
144	Chandler	Responds that is correct.
146	Rep. Merkley	Points out an alteration on page 1, section 1, starting on line 18 and asks why this

		section does not apply to schools.
151	Chandler	Answers that LC makes changes to bills to make them more grammatically correct.
157	Rep. Taylor	Asks about the "prohibiting" language in HB 3259. Asks if the language would compel local government to allow single family dwelling in the urban reserve areas.
168	Chandler	Answers no.
178	Rep. Taylor	Clarifies that the only intent of HB 3259 is school siting.
184	Chandler	States that is correct.
189	Rep. Deckert	Reiterates that the authors intent is to achieve school siting.
191	Rep. Merkley	Comments the concern that once a school is sited, there will be no flexibility.
197	Chandler	Replies that this concern is somewhat misplaced.
226	Eagen	Suggests another conceptual amendments to the ñ1 amendments.
245	Rindy	DLCD. Testifies in opposition to HB 3259 (EXHIBIT EE). Discusses the difference between urban growth boundary and urban reserve.
296	Rindy	States that other uses such as commercial and residential uses tend to grow around schools.
352	Chair Welsh	Notes that as a tax payer he is concerned that when paying for schools they get the best deal possible.
389	Rindy	Agrees, but point out that the state also pays for transportation. Notes the further out the school is, the higher the costs to taxpayers.
399	Rep. Taylor	Asks if the urban reserve is zoned as exclusive farm use (EFU).
405	Rindy	Answers yes.
410	Rep. Morgan	Asks Rindy what about the process to establish services to a school sited in an urban reserve does not meet the needs of DLCD.

## **TAPE 141, B**

005	Rindy	Discusses the process of planning for sewer and water lines.
009	Rep. Morgan	Comments on public facility service planning.
012	Rindy	Expresses concerns with moving schools out into a urban reserve before the land within the urban growth boundary is fully utilized.
016	Rep. Kruse	Discusses the process of school siting.
021	Rindy	Explains that the process of laying sewer and water lines in area that has not is not previously planned for.
038	Chair Welsh	Asks what the criteria are for urban reserve.
040	Rindy	Explains criteria.
044	Chair Welsh	Notes the hierarchy leads to the worst farm land first.
053	Rindy	States that the hierarchy does not mean that high value farmland will not be used.
057	Rep. Kruse	Comments that the conflict seems to be between desired growth of a community and the plan approved by DLCD.
063	Rep. Morgan	States HB 3259 appears to be a valid tool for the school district.
072	Rep. Taylor	States she is familiar with the Washington County area, and she would look for cheaper land if she were the supervisor. Notes the effect of HB 3259 will be to roll over the county planning process.
098	Rep. Merkley	Expresses concern about pesticides.
100	Rindy	Remarks there are conflicts between farming and the schools.
104	Rep. Merkley	Asks if there are limited areas in Beaverton for siting schools. Asks Rindy if DLCD has any alternatives for solving the problem of school siting.
125	Rindy	Recognizes that school siting is a hard issue. Discusses DLCDís suggestion for school planning.

127	Rep. Merkley	Asks if counties set aside exclusive use zoning for schools. Asks what guarantees are there for a school to be able to obtain a parcel large enough.
131	Rindy	States that in general counties or cities do not set aside property for schools. Acknowledges that schools are competing for land in the private market which drives up the price. Comments without the limitations on farmland due to the lower cost of land, school districts will look to rural areas for siting schools.
145	Chair Welsh	Notes they need more amendments to HB 3259. Closes the work session on HB 3259 and opens work session on HB 3489.

### HB 3489 WORK SESSION

170		Staff distributes EXHIBIT GG.
178	Rep. Lynn Lundquist	House District 59. Testifies in support of HB 3489. Provides overview of materials in (EXHIBIT FF).
230	Rep. Lundquist	Explains the ñ3 amendments, <b>EXHIBIT H</b> . Notes the only difference between the ñ3 and the ñ4 amendments is that the ñ4 amendments, <b>EXHIBIT I</b> is more restrictive in regard to dividing land parcels.
254	Rep. Lundquist	Continues to discuss issue in the amendments.
271	Rep. Lundquist	Notes HB 3259 only addresses non-farm parcels.
289	Rep. Morgan	Asks Rep. Lundquist if he would consider a conceptual amendment to delete subsection three out of section one of the ñ4 amendments.
294	Rep. Lundquist	Answers that he is open to anything that will make HB 3259 work in a constructive manner. Asks Rep. Morgan to explain her suggestion.
299	Rep. Morgan	Expresses concern with the non-farm use language and its applicability to the Dorvinen case.
303	Rep. Lundquist	Answers that his staff has declared that request would not alter the intention as far as the placing a home on the non-farm parcel.
318	Rep. Taylor	Asks for clarification on scenario (C) (-3) of <b>EXHIBIT FF</b> .
334	Rep. Lundquist	Clarifies the scenario.
356	Rep. Taylor	Asks if the properties in the scenarios are zoned EFU.

368	Rep. Lundquist	Answers that they could be zoned farm use. Provides an example. States that the land has to meet all the other test, these are not farm parcels.
378	Rep. Merkley	Asks about HB 3489 on page 1, line 16. Notes it seems to start out with a large piece of land and end up with a lot of smaller pieces of land. Asks if this is not dismantling farmland.
394	Rep. Lundquist	Points out that HB 3489 is intended to be gut and stuffed with the ñ3 amendments and that the parcels have to be non-farm parcels. Emphasizes he wants to work with all parties on the issues that need to be clarified.

# **TAPE 142, A**

008	Rep. Morgan	Asks Rep. Lundquist why he feels it would be better to work out the issues in the Senate rather in this committee.
013	Rep. Lundquist	Expresses concerns with possible time constraints.
016	Rep. Merkley	Asks about the language in the ñ3 amendments page 1, line 18 regarding exclusive farm use zone. Notes it appears to start with a farm use zone and divides it up into large non-farm use purposes by 20-acre stakes.
025	Rep. Lundquist	States that if the parcel does not qualify as a non-farm parcel, it does not qualify at all.
031	Rep. Merkley	Responds that he understands the clarification, but wants to make sure that the language pertains to non-farm land.
041	Rep. Lundquist	Emphasizes that the ñ3 amendments pertain only to non-farm parcels.
050	Rep. Taylor	Asks about EXHIBIT GG.
064	Chair Welsh	States he will bring the DLCD up for clarification. Notes he wants to get as close as possible to finalizing HB 3259 before it goes to the Senate.
078	Rep. Gianella	Inquires about the chart on page two of <b>EXHIBIT FF.</b>
081	Rep. Lundquist	Explains the provision regarding consolidation.
090	Rep. Gianella	Asks if the neighbor must have ownership of the 10 acres.
093	Rep. Lundquist	Answers yes.

095	Rep. Morgan	Clarifies the only situation when a parcel could be created that is less than 20 acres for a non farm dwelling is if the size of the original parcel is 80 acres on the west side or 160 acres on the east side.
099	Rep. Lundquist	Answers yes.
110	Howard Payne	Central Oregon. Testifies in opposition to HB 3489 (EXHIBIT HH). States that one out of every twenty new houses built is on land zoned for farm use.
135	Rep. Morgan	Asks Payne for the source of his statistic.
138	Payne	Responds that he received his information from the Oregonian. Continues testimony in opposition of HB 3489. Express concerns with the possible increase of hobby farming due to HB 3489.
146	William Boyer	Chairman, Alliance for Responsible Land Use in Deschutes County (ARLU). Testifies in opposition to HB 3489 (EXHBIT II).
171	Rep. Gianella	Asks Payne in which counties were the homes built that he referred to in the statistic.
173	Payne	Respond that it was a statewide statistic.
175	Rep. Gianella	Asks if there were specific counties indicated within the statistic.
177	Payne	Answers he does not have that information.
180	Rep. Merkley	Asks Payne if his contention with HB 3489 is that farmland is being carved up into non-farm uses.
188	Payne	Answers yes. States HB 3489 is parceling EFU land.
192	Rep. Morgan	Clarifies the process of zoning agricultural land. Notes HB 3489 is an attempt to deal with the land zoned for agricultural uses.
214	Rep. Merkley	Explains he does not see the statute distinguishing between the different types of farmland.
219	Rep. Morgan	Notes there is no way to differentiate in Oregonís land use planning system.
222	Bruce White	Deputy County Council, Deschutes County. Testifies in support of HB 3489 <b>EXHBIT GG.</b> Discusses the Dorvinen case. Notes their county has been challenged in approving non-farm dwellings. States that an 80 acre lot size

		across the board does not work.
246	White	Comments that Deschutes County generally supports the ñ1 amendments. Notes that he just saw the other amendments today.
262	George Reed	Deschutes County. Provides examples in which unproductive farm land has been permitted to be parceled. Notes Deschutes County encourages unproductive farmlands to be split into small parcels. Discusses the ñ3 and ñ4 amendments.
289	Reed	States concern that the -3 amendments go beyond the Dorvinen case.
300	Chair Welsh	Agrees that more work needs to be done on HB 3489.
315	Art Shlack	Association of Oregon Counties. Testifies in support of a legislative fix to the Dorvinen case. Suggests a work group for HB 3259.
325	Chair Welsh	Notes that a work group would be helpful.
336	Ron Eber	DLCD. Testifies in opposition to HB 3489 (EXHIBIT JJ). Points out on page 3 of EXHIBIT JJ a brief summary of the Dorvinen decision and non-farm dwellings. Notes the ñ3 and ñ4 amendments go beyond the Dorvinen. Comments on EXHBIT FF submitted by Rep. Lunquist.
369	Eber	Discusses the ñ3 and ñ4 amendments.
413	Chair Welsh	Appoints Rep. Morgan to work on a work group on HB 3489, as well as the DLCD, Farm Bureau, 1,000 Friends, and the counties.
433	Chair Welsh	Closes work session on HB 3489 and opens a work session on HB 3282.
TAPE 143, A		
HB 3282 WORK SESSION		
025	J.L. Wilson	Representing Speaker Snodgrass. Presents ñ2 amendments to HB 3282. Notes that with the ñ2 amendments the farm bureau is neutral on HB 3282.

Rep. Kruse	MOTION: Moves to ADOPT HB 3282-2 amend
Wilson	Answers yes.
Rep. Taylor	Asks Wilson if the ñ2 amendments accommodate the Boring church.
J.L. Wilson	Representing Speaker Snodgrass. Presents ñ2 amendments to HB 328 that with the ñ2 amendments the farm bureau is neutral on HB 3282.

HB 3282-2 amendments

088

090

116

166	Rep. Kafoury	MOTION: Moves HB 3201 to the floor with a DO PASS AS AMENDED recommendation.
	Chair Welsh	Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED.
164		VOTE: 9-0
162	Rep. Kafoury	MOTION: Moves to ADOPT HB 3201-3 amendments dated 04/30/99.
145	Paul Cosgrove	Dry Creek Land Fill. Testifies in support of HB 3201(EXHIBIT KK). Discusses the ñ3 amendments, (EXHIBIT C).
<u>HB 3201</u>	WORK SESSION	
140	Chair Welsh	Closes work session on HB 3282 and opens work session on HB 3201
		SPEAKER SNODGRASS will lead discussion on the floor.
	Chair Welsh	The motion CARRIES.
		LACUSED. 1 - MAIRRY
		NAY: 2 - Kafoury, Taylor EXCUSED: 1 - Merkley
		AYE: 6 - Atkinson, Kruse, Morgan, Devlin, Gianella, Welsh
134		VOTE: 6-2
125	Rep. Kruse	MOTION: Moves HB 3282 to the floor with a DO PASS AS AMENDED recommendation.
	Chair Welsh	Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED.
118		VOTE: 9-0
110		

169		VOTE: 9-0
	Chair Welsh	Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED.
		REP. ATKINSON will lead discussion on the floor.
176	Chair Welsh	Closes work session on HB 3201 and opens work session on HJR 75.
<u>HJR 75 V</u>	WORK SESSION	
180	Rep. Kruse	MOTION: Moves HJR 75 to the floor with a BE ADOPTED recommendation and BE REFERRED to the committee on Ways and Means by prior reference.
182	Rep. Kafoury	Notes that she will be voting no on the motion.
187	Rep. Devlin	States that local jurisdictions already have the right to local land use planning.
190		VOTE: 5-4 AYE: 5- Atkinson, Kruse, Morgan, Gianella, Welsh NAY: 4 - Kafoury, Merkley, Taylor, Devlin
	Chair Welsh	The motion CARRIES.
201	Chair Welsh	Closes work session on HJR 75 and opens work session on HB 3410
<u>HB 3410</u>	WORK SESSION	N
212	Chandler	Oregon Builders Association (OBA). Testifies in support of HB 3410. Explains the ñ3 amendments, <b>(EXHIBIT O)</b> .
218	Chair Welsh	Asks about the fiscal impact.
222	Kristina McNitt	Administrator. Explains that the numbers that the fiscal office is getting from different agencies has put the fiscal over the \$50,000 dollar range.

226	Rep. Kruse	Asks Chandler if \$50, 000 dollars sounds reasonable.
228	Chandler	Answers no.
239	Bob Rindy	DLCD. Expresses concern with HB 3410.
259	Chair Welsh	Comments that a clear and objective definition should help local government.
255	[speaker unidentified]	Notes that no opposition has been voiced to HB 3410.
264	Rindy	Remarks that DLCD is in support of HB 3410 amended by the ñ3 amendments.
268	Rep. Kruse	MOTION: Moves to ADOPT HB 3410-3 amendments dated 04/20/99.
270		VOTE: 9-0
	Chair Welsh	Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED.
275	Rep. Kruse	MOTION: Moves HB 3410 to the floor with a DO PASS AS AMENDED recommendation.
	1	VOTE: 9-0
	Chair Welsh	Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED.
		REP. MORGAN will lead discussion on the floor.
287	Chair Welsh	Notes for the record that the Chair has received notice of possible minority report from Rep. Merkley, Rep. Devlin and Rep Kafoury on HB 2838. Closes work session on HB 3410 and adjourn at 4:07 p.m.
295		Staff distributes (EXHBIT Z, AA, BB, CC, DD, EE, FF, GG, HH, II, JJ, KK)

Danielle Hamilton, Kristina McNitt, Administrative Support Administrator

### EXHIBIT SUMMARY

- A ñ HB 2162, -2 amendments, staff, 28 pp.
- B ñ HB 2162, -3 amendments, staff, 1 p.
- C ñ HB 3201, -3 amendments, staff, 2 pp.
- D ñ HB 3282, -1 amendments, staff, 18 pp.
- F ñ HB 3201, -2 amendments, staff, 2 pp.
- G ñ HB 3201, -1 amendments, staff, 4 pp.
- H ñ HB 3489, -3 amendments, staff, 4 pp.
- I ñ HB 3489, -4 amendments, staff, 4 pp.
- J ñ HB 3259, -2 amendments, staff, 2 pp.
- K ñ HB 3259, -1 amendments, staff, 4 pp.
- L ñ HB 2885, -1 amendments, staff, 4 pp.
- M ñ HB 2838, -3 amendments, staff, 2 pp.
- N ñ HB 2838, -1 amendments, staff, 2 pp.
- O ñ HB 3410, -3 amendments, staff, 1 p.
- P ñ HB 3456, -1 amendments, staff, 3 pp.
- Q ñ HB 3282, -2 amendments, staff, 18 pp.
- R ñ HB 2162, written testimony, staff, 2 pp.
- S ñ HB 2162, written materials, Martha Pagel, 90 pp.
- T ñ HB 2162, written testimony, Jan Lee, 1 p.
- U ñ HB 2838, map, Don Shellenburg, 1 p.
- V ñ HB 3456, written testimony, Rep. Leslie Lewis, 1 p.
- W ñ HB 3456, written material, Rep. Taylor, 1 p.
- X ñ HB 3456, written material, Rep. Taylor, 2 pp.

Z ñ HB 3456, written material, staff, 6 pp. AA ñ HB 3456, written testimony, staff, 2 pp. BB ñ HB 3456, written testimony, staff, 1 p. CC ñ HB 3456, written testimony, staff, 1 p. DD ñ HB 3456, written testimony, staff, 2 pp. EE ñ HB 3259, written testimony, Bob Rindy, 1 p. FF ñ HB 3489, written materials, Rep. Lundquist, 5 pp. GG ñ HB 3489, written testimony, staff, 9 pp. HH ñ HB 3489, written testimony, Howard Payne, 1 p. II ñ HB 3489, written testimony, William Boyer, 2 pp. JJ ñ HB 3489, written testimony, Rob Eber, 3 pp.

Y ñ HB 3456, written testimony, staff, 1 p.