

HOUSE COMMITTEE ON WATER AND ENVIRONMENT

May 21, 1999 Hearing Room D

1:00 P.M. Tapes 177 - 180

MEMBERS PRESENT: Rep. Jim Welsh, Chair

Rep. Jackie Taylor, Vice-Chair

Rep. Jason Atkinson

Rep. Richard Devlin

Rep. Juley Gianella

Rep. Jeff Kruse

Rep. Jeff Merkley

Rep. Susan Morgan

MEMBER EXCUSED: Rep. Deborah Kafoury

STAFF PRESENT: Kristina McNitt, Administrator

Samantha Demchak, Administrative Support

MEASURE/ISSUES HEARD: HB 3489 Work Session SB 576 Work Session

HB 3216 Work Session SB 712 Work Session

SB 964A Work Session SB 11 Work Session

SB 838A Work Session SB 542A Work Session

SB 454 Work Session

These minutes are in compliance with Senate and House Rules. Only text enclosed in quotation marks reports a speaker's exact words. For complete contents, please refer to the tapes.

TAPE/#	Speaker	Comments

TAPE 177, A

003

Chair Welsh

Opens the meeting at 1:17 p.m. Opens the work session on HB 3489

HB 3489 WORK SESSION

021

Rep. Morgan

District 46. Supports HB 3489. Defines a non-farm parcel. Reviews the history of efforts to comply with land-use planning requirements and the limited zoning choices in rural Oregon. Underscores the amount of acreage outside the Willamette Valley that is zoned as agricultural land, but is not suitable for resource use **(EXHIBITS A & B)**.

078

Rep. Morgan

Defines a non-farm dwelling as a house that is created on a non-farm parcel. Describes the rigorous permit process for a non-farm dwelling.

117

Rep. Morgan

Discusses the impact of the Dorvinen decision, which concluded that new non-farm parcels and their subsequent dwellings can not be created from parcels that are less than the minimum lot size. Notes the amendments to HB 3489 will restore a landowners ability to create non-farm dwellings on parcels that are at or smaller than the minimum lot size. Outlines the effects of the ñ8 amendments.

170

Rep. Morgan

Cites page 4 of the amendments. Notes that the general recognition given to non-resource lands. Acknowledges the different schools of thought related to land outside the urban growth boundary.

200

Rep. Lynn Lundquist

District 59. States that HB 3489 is an attempt to return to the pre-Dorvinen situation. Stresses that HB 3489 will preserve the quality of Oregon while allowing reasonable property rights **(EXHIBITS C & D)**.

250

Sen. Neil Bryant

District 27. Relates that the Dorvinen decision was devastating to central Oregon. States that corrections need to be made.

270

Chair Welsh

Asks if other amendments, in addition to the ñ8 amendments, are needed.

273

Rep. Lundquist

Replies no.

275

Rep. Merkley

Asks how stability is evaluated.

285

Rep. Morgan

Recommends asking the department that question.

307

Mike Kohlmeyer

1000 Friends of Oregon. Opposes HB 3489. Reports that the amendments to HB 3489 will lead to the increased parcelization and non-farm use of lands currently zoned for exclusive farm use **(EXHIBIT E)**.

350	Rep. Merkely	Asks for an explanation of the steps to establish a non-farm dwelling
360	Kohlmeyer	Replies that the Dorvinen decision restricts the ability to divide property for non-farm dwellings, but does not restrict the ability to obtain the authority for a non-farm dwelling.
390	Blair Batson	1000 Friends of Oregon. Outlines the criteria for obtaining this authority.
399	Rep. Morgan	Asks about land owners who have less than the minimum lot size and the process for obtaining a non-farm dwelling.
417	Batson	Responds that the size of the parcel does not restrict approval of the initial non-farm house.
420	Rep. Morgan	Asks about the effects of the Dorvinen decision.
423	Batson	Replies that Dorvinen deals with the division of the original property and the establishment of another non-farm house; it does not affect the first house.
428	Rep. Morgan	Expresses confusion about the effects of the Dorvinen decision.
TAPE 178, A		
001	Batson	Replies that the decision addresses whether or not an owner can divide the original property and build another house.
008	Rep. Gianella	Asks about a hypothetical situation in which two houses are on land and one is sold.
021	Batson	Replies that when two houses are on one parcel, one can be sold, but only family members would be interested in buying such a house. Stresses that a smaller-than-minimum-size parcel can not be divided and sold separately.
031	Rep. Lundquist	Clarifies that, under Dorvinen, any parcel under 80 acres can not be further divided because the remaining parcel must be at least 80 acres.
041	Batson	Agrees with Rep. Lundquist's summary if the minimum parcel size is 80 acres.
055	Rep. Kruse	Asks about the difference between building another home and dividing the land, then building the home.
069	Rep. Merkley	Notes that the ñ8 amendment provides for not only dividing the house, but dividing the remaining parcels as well.

087	Rep. Morgan	Indicates that the parcel must meet a certain date requirement to fall under the provisions of the bill.
090	Batson	States, in response to Rep. Kruse, that the policy was not to allow or reward speculative development on farmland.
106	Rep. Morgan	Notes that the legislature is trying to protect resource land while allowing people the limited ability to build houses on non-resource land.
115	Ron Eber	Department of Land Conservation and Development (DLCD). Opposes the ñ7 and ñ8 amendments to HB 3489. Reiterates that the bill is not about obtaining a single non-farm dwelling; it is about additional divisions and non-farm dwellings. States that the amendments go beyond the pre-Dorvinen law. Adds that the current law requires the non-farm dwelling to be approved before the non-farm parcel is created, a requirement that is removed by the amendments.
190	Rep. Kruse	Cites ORS 215.283 and 215.284. Asks if these statutes precede approval of county land use plans.
194	Eber	Answers that the statutes precede the land use plans.
198	Rep. Kruse	Asks if the original language of these statutes was part of the counties' land use planning process.
202	Eber	Replies that the standards for non-farm dwellings have remained the same for years.
220	Rep. Kruse	Asks if the exclusion of the section from the bill vacates this part of the statutes.
226	Eber	Replies that the provisions still exist. Adds that they did not want to create parcels for a non-farm dwelling on a speculative basis in advance of approving the dwelling.
229	Rep. Kruse	Asks if the problem is giving more discretion to the counties regarding land use decisions.
241	Eber	Replies that the bill changes the current standards, leaving the door open for further litigation.
250	Rep. Kruse	MOTION: Moves to ADOPT HB 3489-8 amendments dated 05/20/99.
255	Rep. Taylor	Opposes the ñ8 amendments.

		VOTE: 7-1 AYE: 7 ñ Atkinson, Devlin, Gianella, Kruse, Merkley, Morgan, Welsh NAY: 1 ñ Taylor EXCUSED: 1 ñ Kafoury
	Chair Welsh	The motion CARRIES.
262	Rep. Kruse	MOTION: Moves HB 3489 to the floor with a DO PASS AS AMENDED recommendation.
270	Rep. Kruse	Commends Rep. Morgan.
275	Rep. Merkley	Indicates that he will not support the bill.
277		VOTE: 5-3 AYE: 5 ñ Atkinson, Gianella, Kruse, Morgan, Welsh NAY: 3 - Devlin, Merkley, Taylor EXCUSED: 1 ñ Kafoury
	Chair Welsh	The motion CARRIES. REP. MORGAN will lead discussion on the floor.
280	Chair Welsh	Closes the work session on HB 3489. Opens the work session on HB 3216.
<u>HB 3216 WORK SESSION</u>		
300	Rep. Devlin	Explains that they are seeking to adopt the ñ5 amendments and refer the bill to Ways and Means without recommendation as to passage. Discusses the provisions of the ñ5 amendments (EXHIBIT F).
325	Rep. Gianella	MOTION: Moves to ADOPT HB 3216-5 amendments dated 05/19/99.

		VOTE: 8-0 EXCUSED: 1 ñ Kafoury
	Chair Welsh	Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED .
340	Rep. Gianella	MOTION: Moves HB 3216 WITHOUT RECOMMENDATION as to passage and BE REFERRED to the committee on Ways and Means.
345	Rep. Kruse	Expresses concern about the necessity of this study, though he will support moving the bill.
355	Rep. Morgan	Cites page 2 (A). Asks about the relation to contaminated fish.
373	Rep. Devlin	Replies that the amendments address all aspects of contamination and, more importantly, the health impacts of the consumption of contaminated fish.
386	Chair Welsh	Relates that the other studies, while related, were looking at other items and will work in concert with the study implemented by HB 3216.
410	Rep. Devlin	Reports that the goal is to examine the current studies and determine if there are any gaps.
420	Rep. Gianella	Supports the bill.
TAPE 177, B		
016		VOTE: 8-0 AYE: In a roll call vote, all members present vote Aye. EXCUSED: 1 ñ Kafoury
	Chair Welsh	The motion CARRIES .
	Chair Welsh	Closes the work session on HB 3216. Opens the work session on SB 964A.

SB 964A WORK SESSION

033	Terry Petersen	Solid Waste Director, Metro. Discusses the negative impacts of calcium hypochlorite to solid waste workers. Explains the restrictions enacted by SB 964A (EXHIBITS G & H).
055	Councilor Susan McLain	Metro. States that Metro will ensure the availability of an alternate disposal site. Adds that there is a liability issue, noting the possible harm to employees and the public.
074	Rep. Merkley	Asks if people will be able to drop off the chlorine at the transfer stations.
077	Petersen	Replies yes.
083	Chair Welsh	Asks if the legislation would allow the restrictions to be enacted through an ordinance.
085	Ray Phelps	Metro. Answers yes.
087	Chair Welsh	Notes that more testimony needed on this issue. Closes the work session on SB 964A. Opens the work session on SB 838A.

SB 838A WORK SESSION

105	McLain	Supports SB 838A. Describes the open space and park stream program and its funding. Explains that SB 838A will allow lot line adjustments so owners can retain existing residences while selling surrounding land for the open space program. Notes the savings that will result. Reports that there was no opposition in the Senate (EXHIBITS I, J & K).
152	Rep. Taylor	Asks about the origin of the ñ2 amendment.
155	Chair Welsh	Responds that it was from the Parks Department.
163	Jim Lockwood	Oregon Parks and Recreation Department. Explains the reason for the ñ2 amendments, noting that on rare occasions rangers do reside on site.
187	Chair Welsh	Closes the work session on SB 838A. Opens the work session on SB 454.

SB 454 WORK SESSION

199	Don Schellenberg	Oregon Farm Bureau (OFB). Discusses SB 454 and the deletion of income criteria for setting farm dwelling criteria. Outlines the policy recommendations
-----	------------------	--

		<p>of the ñ1 amendments:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Tests relating to farm dwellings on high value farmland would include the 160-acre test and the comparability test. • Income criteria would allow the aggregation of income for non-contiguous parcels in the same ownership • An accessory farm dwelling would be allowed (EXHIBIT L).
272	Rep. Taylor	Asks if each of the changes allows the aggregate income of non-contiguous property to be considered. Asks if the income criteria are changed.
292	Schellenberg	Answers yes to the first question and no to the second. Adds that the problem comes when the producer is grossing more than \$80,000 on non-contiguous parcels.
310	Rep. Morgan	Asks if all that is being added is a provision to aggregate income standards.
313	Schellenberg	Replies yes. Explains the additional options contained in the amendments.
330	Rep. Morgan	Asks if the parcels were sold, would the deed restrictions continue to be on that parcel.
340	Schellenberg	Replies yes. Notes the goal of not creating additional non-farm dwellings.
354	Rep Taylor	Comments that she is troubled by the comparability test.
363	Schellenberg	Clarifies the comparability test.
373	Rep. Merkley	Asks about the comparability test. Provides a hypothetical example.
394	Schellenberg	Notes that it could happen.
410	Rep. Merkley	Asks what happens if none of the surrounding farms grosses more than \$10,000.
420	Schellenberg	Expresses uncertainty. Reports that the comparability test is in place for non-high value farmland. States that the person would not be able to build a dwelling.
430	Chair Welsh	Closes the work session on SB 454. Opens the work session on SB 576
TAPE 178, B		
<u>SB 576 WORK SESSION</u>		

003	Sen. Bryant	Supports SB 576, which deals with transferable development credits. Discusses the situation with unbuildable land in La Pine. States that transfer development credits allow one to develop in areas where development is currently restricted. Notes that Lane County may be interested in this bill because of its wetland issues (EXHIBITS M ñ P).
039	George Reed	Deschutes County. Indicates that Deschutes County is a statutory county.
058	Rep. Kruse	MOTION: Moves SB 576 to the floor with a DO PASS recommendation.
		VOTE: 6-0 EXCUSED: 3 - Devlin, Kafoury, Morgan
		Chair Welsh Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED. Chair Welsh will lead discussion on the floor.
068	Chair Welsh	Closes the work session on SB 576. Opens the work session on SB 712
<u>SB 712 WORK SESSION</u>		
069	Sen. Bryant	Supports SB 712. Discusses the role of the PUC in agreements between local governments and special service districts. States that the bill extends this philosophy to private water companies. Introduces the ñ2 amendments (EXHIBIT Q).
092	Willie Tiffany	League of Oregon Cities. Notes that they do not oppose the bill with the ñ2 amendments.
103	Rep. Kruse	MOTION: Moves to ADOPT SB 712 ñ2 amendments dated 05/20/99.
		VOTE: 7-0 EXCUSED: 2 - Devlin, Kafoury
		Chair Welsh Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED.

110	Rep. Kruse	MOTION: Moves SB 712 to the floor with a DO PASS AS AMENDED recommendation.
		VOTE: 7-0 EXCUSED: 2 - Devlin, Kafoury
	Chair Welsh	Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED. REP. MERKLEY will lead discussion on the floor.
115	Chair Welsh	Closes the work session on SB 712. Opens the work session on SB 454.
<u>SB 454 WORK SESSION</u>		
120	Dick Benner	DLCD. States that the current criteria used for farm dwellings is the most important element of the farmland protection program. Discusses parcelization patterns and combination of small parcels by one farmer. Underlines that houses on each of these small parcels would threaten commercial agriculture in the state (EXHIBITS R & S).
175	Benner	Cites the growing number of new dwellings that are approved in farm zones each year.
183	Rep. Gianella	Asks about the definition of dwelling.
187	Benner	Replies that dwelling refers only to residences, not outbuildings or other farm structures. Discusses the inadequacy of past standards for farm dwellings.
204	Rep. Morgan	Asks about the location of the approved dwellings.
206	Benner	Answers that county-by-county data is available. Adds that most activity is occurring on the state's crop areas.
221	Rep. Morgan	Asks if most dwellings are being sited next to metropolitan or developed areas.
223	Benner	Expresses uncertainty. States that crop areas include the entire Willamette

		Valley, not just Portland.
226	Rep. Atkinson	Asks if the Willamette Valley is the overwhelming source of the new dwellings.
235	Benner	Acknowledges that there is less growth pressure in Malheur County than in Clackamas County. Notes that parcels tend to be smaller in crop areas, and thus more attractive to residents, than the larger parcels associated with ranching. Cites a 1990 study of farm dwellings (page 5 EXHIBIT S). Underscores that a number of "farm dwellings" were not engaged in profitable farming at all.
270	Rep. Morgan	Asks if the \$80,000 income test applies in prime farmland, which includes the upper Willamette Valley.
274	Benner	Replies that the \$80,000 test applies to high value farmland, which is different than prime farmland.
278	Rep. Morgan	Asks why houses are being built despite the \$80,000 test.
290	Benner	Replies that the increase in farm dwellings was occurring before the \$80,000 test. Adds that, since the test, the number of new farm dwellings has dropped.
299	Rep. Gianella	Asks if the 1990 study refers to high value farmland.
303	Benner	Replies no. Adds that the notion of high-value farmland was adopted in 1993 while the study focuses on dwellings from 1985-1987.
311	Rep. Gianella	Asks about the income limit before 1985.
314	Benner	Replies that they did not look at any farm dwellings before 1985.
321	Rep. Gianella	Asks if there is no prior data for comparison.
325	Benner	Replies yes.
336	Rep. Atkinson	Cites page 8 of EXHIBIT S . Asks if the acreage numbers refer to high value farmland.
342	Benner	Replies that the data indicates how much land is needed to generate the minimum income limit.
361	Rep. Gianella	Asks about the years during which the data were taken.

365	Benner	Replies that he believes the statistics were taken from 1995. Notes that prices and productivity will change.
383	Rep. Gianella	Reports that she is not aware of any crops that a person can make \$80,000 from such a small amount of acreage.
395	Rep. Taylor	States that a Canby strawberry farmer said it is possible to come close to the \$80,000 limit with 10 acres of strawberries.
401	Rep. Kruse	Mentions that assumptions are being made concerning income based on optimal conditions.
TAPE 179, A		
010	Benner	Replies that the data is received from Oregon State University and is not manipulated.
013	Rep. Kruse	States that he is not disputing the data, but highlighting the variables that may not be addressed.
021	Benner	Reports 1996 data concerning wheat and rye grass. Notes that much of the agricultural production comes from farms that generate more than \$10,000 in income. States that a gross income test of \$80,000 was chosen because it would distinguish farmers from people who want to live in the country. Reports that the number of new farm dwellings is down since the test was instituted.
066	Benner	Discusses arguments against the test, one of which concerns start-up costs. Observes that serious people who want to get started in agriculture do not begin by building a large home. Stresses that the test is working, noting the support of the Oregon Farm Bureau.
090	Rep. Kruse	Agrees that the test works in the Willamette Valley. Notes that the Oregon Farm Bureau is split on this issue. Underlines that the test does not take account of lower classes of soils in other counties and impedes people who want to start a part-time cattle or farming operation.
110	Benner	Replies that lower classes of soil may not be high-value farmland.
118	Rep. Devlin	Asks for the source of the data on page 5 of EXHIBIT S .
126	Benner	Replies that the information comes from the most recent census of agriculture.
135	Chair Welsh	Closes the work session on SB 454. Opens the work session on SB 11.

SB 11 WORK SESSION

147	Jenifer Robinson	Division of State Lands (DSL). Supports SB 11. Explains SB 11 which would further streamline the permit process for fill and removal of portions of ocean shore (EXHIBIT T).
167	Rep. Kruse	Asks if the fees in the bill are similar to those that DSL would charge.
170	Robinson	Replies that the fee structure is set in statute that is based on cubic yards.
183	Nan Evans	Oregon State Parks. States that SB 11 would make it easier for landowners to deal with the permit system, allowing people to go to one agency for one permit. Notes that appeals and enforcement processes are complicated. Discusses the subjects and reasons for regulation (EXHIBIT U).
235	Evans	Notes that fees are not currently charged for permits; the costs are borne by various funds, including the general fund. Discusses the proposed fee structure and its basis on value. Compares the proposed fee structure with the fees charged for similar activities.
268	Rep. Atkinson	Asks how the proposed permit costs compare to the present permit costs.
270	Evans	Replies that there are no permit fees.
273	Rep. Atkinson	Asks if the \$900 cost is an internal fee.
275	Evans	Replies that the \$900 is the staff cost for a basic permit.
280	Rep. Atkinson	Asks if there is currently no cost to Oregon State Parks.
283	Evans	Replies that there is a cost to OSP, but no cost to the landowner outside of labor.
300	Rep. Atkinson	Asks about the average length of time needed to issue a permit.
304	Evans	Replies that there are emergency provisions for quick approval. Explains the timeline for approval of regular permits.
325	Rep. Atkinson	Asks if they will look for a fee retroactively on emergency approvals.
333	Evans	Replies that people in emergency situations eventually must obtain a permit and the fee would apply at this time.

340	Rep. Kruse	MOTION: Moves SB 11 to the floor with a DO PASS recommendation.
		VOTE: 8-0 AYE: In a roll call vote, all members present vote Aye. EXCUSED: 1 - Kafoury
	Chair Welsh	The motion CARRIES. REP. TAYLOR will lead discussion on the floor.
355	Chair Welsh	Closes the work session on SB 11. Opens the work session on SB 542A.
<u>SB 542A WORK SESSION</u>		
361	Brian Boe	Oregon Petroleum Marketers Association. Supports SB 542A. States that the bill repeals SB 1143 from the 1997 legislature. Reviews the history of SB 1143 and the flawed grant programs that were instituted by the bill. Notes that the programs provide a subsidy for oil heat's competitors. Explains the provisions of SB 542A (EXHIBIT V).
433	Boe	Describes the importance of the Oil Heat Tank Advisory Committee (OHTAC). Notes that the Committee will seek to solve a continuum of problems. Introduces a ñA5 amendment, which denies retroactive collection of the assessments of SB 1143 (EXHIBIT W).
TAPE 180, A		
030	Boe	Cites a letter from DEQ. Opposes a subsequent referral to Ways and Means. Contests the validity of the DEQ fiscal statement and its connection to SB 542A.
059	Rep. Merkley	Cites past legislation involving oil heat tanks. Asks why the oil industry should not be involved in the process of oil tank clean-up.
079	Boe	Replies that the oil industry is not abandoning its obligation. Indicates that other programs have been established, including private insurance for heating tank owners. Underlines that the oil heat industry must address oil heat tank leaks in order to take care of their customers.
103	Rep. Merkley	Asks if the interest in moving the bill to Ways and Means was to combine it with

		other bills on related issues.
116	Boe	Replies that they would prefer not to move the bill to Ways and Means. Concedes that there are other bills involved, but it is not practical to move SB 542A to Ways and Means.
129	Lauri Aunan	DEQ. Stresses that they want a comprehensive solution to the heating tank solution; opposes SB 542A as a stand-alone bill. Expresses support for the bill if it was included with the other bills.
147	Hal Boyd	Cascade Tank. Underscores the prevalence of leaking tanks and the oil industry's troublesome response to leaking tanks. Cites an example of a problem with leaking tanks.
184	Rep. Morgan	Asks if the OHTAC is a good idea.
187	Boyd	Replies yes. Underscores that the oil industry does install gas furnaces.
200	Rep. Kruse	MOTION: Moves to ADOPT SB 542A-5 amendments dated 05/20/99.
211	Rep. Merkley	Explains the 5 amendments.
219		VOTE: 8-0 EXCUSED: 1 - Kafoury
	Chair Welsh	Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED.
220	Rep. Kruse	MOTION: Moves SB 542A to the floor with a DO PASS AS AMENDED recommendation.
222	Rep. Merkley	Asks for clarification about the status of the bills in Ways and Means.
228	Chair Welsh	Replies that he and the chair of the Ways and Means subcommittee examined the issues involved in the three bills.
268	Rep. Merkley	Asks if the oil companies will participate in solving future problems. Asks if any program will be instituted that is similar to the old oil decommissioning program.

279	Boe	Responds that the oil industry does not anticipate recreating this program, but does anticipate utilizing a private insurance program.
304	Rep. Merkley	Supports the bill. Acknowledges the stalemate that has occurred over the handling of underground tanks and the need to move forward.
326	Rep. Taylor	Asks about the money in the Heating Oil Remedial Action Account.
333	Boe	Replies that these funds will be distributed to oil heat consumers. Describes the method of distribution.
365		VOTE: 8-0 AYE: In a roll call vote, all members present vote Aye. EXCUSED: 1 - Kafoury
	Chair Welsh	The motion CARRIES. REP. KAFOURY will lead discussion on the floor.
373	Chair Welsh	Closes the work session on SB 542A. Opens the work session on SB 838.
<u>SB 838A WORK SESSION</u>		
380	Rep. Atkinson	MOTION: Moves to ADOPT SB 838A-2 amendments dated 05/20/99.
		VOTE: 8-0 EXCUSED: 1 - Kafoury
	Chair Welsh	Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED.
383	Rep. Atkinson	MOTION: Moves SB 838A to the floor with a DO PASS AS AMENDED recommendation.

		VOTE: 8-0 EXCUSED: 1 - Kafoury
	Chair Welsh	Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED. REP. DEVLIN will lead discussion on the floor.
387	Chair Welsh	Adjourns the meeting at 3:55 p.m.

Submitted By, Reviewed By,

Samantha Demchak, Kristina McNitt,
Administrative Support Administrator

Transcribed By,

Brad Daniels
Administrative Support

EXHIBIT SUMMARY

A ñHB 3489, -2 amendments, staff, 7 pp.

B ñHB 3489, written testimony, Rep. Morgan, 5 pp.

C ñHB 3489, written testimony, Rep. Lundquist, 3 pp.

D ñHB 3489, court decision, Rep. Morgan, 4 pp.

E ñHB 3489, written testimony, Mike Kohlmeyer, 2 pp.

F ñHB 3216, -A5 amendments, staff, 2 pp.

G ñSB 964A, written testimony, Terry Peterson, 1 p.

H ñSB 964A, written testimony, Terry Peterson, 1 p.

I ñSB 838A, -2 amendments, staff, 1 p.

J ñSB 838A, written testimony, Susan McLain, 2 pp.

K ñSB 838A, written testimony, Susan McLain, 22 pp.

L ñSB 454, -1 amendments, staff, 2 pp.

M ñSB 576, written testimony, Sen. Bryant, 2 pp.

N ñSB 576, written testimony, Sen. Bryant, 1 pp.

O ñSB 576, written testimony, Sen. Bryant, 3 pp.

P ñSB 576, written testimony, Sen. Bryant, 7 pp.

Q ñSB 712, -2 amendments, staff, 1 p.

R ñSB 454, written testimony, Dick Benner, 2 pp.

S ñSB 454, written testimony, Dick Benner, 21 pp.

T ñSB 11, written testimony, Jenifer Robinson, 1 p.

U ñSB 11, written testimony, Nan Evans, 6 pp.

V ñSB 542A, written testimony, Brian Boe, 7 pp.

W ñSB 542A, -A5 amendments, staff, 1 p.

X ñSB 542A, written testimony, Lauri Aunan, 2 pp.