HOUSE COMMITTEE ON WATER AND ENVIRONMENT

June 4, 1999 Hearing Room E

8:00 AM Tapes 189 - 190

MEMBERS PRESENT: Rep. Jim Welsh, Chair

Rep. Jackie Taylor, Vice-Chair

Rep. Jason Atkinson

Rep. Richard Devlin

Rep. Juley Gianella

Rep. Deborah Kafoury

Rep. Jeff Kruse

Rep. Jeff Merkley

Rep. Susan Morgan

STAFF PRESENT: Kristina McNitt, Administrator

Stephen Kosiewicz, Administrative Support

MEASURE/ISSUES HEARD: HB 3456 Work Session

SB 882 Work Session

These minutes are in compliance with Senate and House Rules. Only text enclosed in quotation marks reports a speakeris exact words. For complete contents, please refer to the tapes.

| TAPE/# | Speaker | Comments | |
|------------------------|-------------|--|--|
| TAPE 189, | TAPE 189, A | | |
| 003 | Chair Welsh | Calls the committee to order at 8:15 a.m. Opens the work session on HB 3456. | |
| HB 3456 ñ WORK SESSION | | | |
| | | | |

| 010 | John Ledger | Associated Oregon Industries. Submits written material (EXHIBIT A) and begins testimony in support of HB 3456. |
|-----|--------------|---|
| 058 | Ledger | Continues testimony in support of HB 3456. |
| 111 | Ledger | Continues testimony by reviewing the independent action review board that they are proposing. |
| 140 | Rep. Morgan | Asks how the requirement of seven years of experience came about. |
| 143 | Ledger | Responds that there is nothing special about this number of years other than they thought this was a minimum requirement. Continues reviewing the proposed independent action review board. |
| 194 | Ledger | Continues testimony in support of HB 3456. |
| 254 | Rep. Morgan | Referencing page 3, lines 20 to 22 of the HB 3456-4 amendments, asks Ledger to describe what instances this would be used in. |
| 265 | Mark Morford | Environmental Practice Group Chair, Stoel-Rives. Responds that this provision would be used if there were not enough board members in the standing board to serve. |
| 284 | Rep. Taylor | Asks who would pay for the activities of the board. |
| 285 | Ledger | Responds that the petitioner would pay this. |
| 291 | Rep. Taylor | States that she sees this as another layer between industry and DEQ. Asks Ledger to justify HB 3456. |
| 297 | Ledger | Responds that there is no appeal method right now on independent cleanup. |
| 338 | Rep. Taylor | Asks for clarification that HB 3456 applies to all cleanups. Comments on the issue of cleanup. |
| 359 | Ledger | Responds that the bill only applies to independent cleanups. |
| 378 | Rep. Merkley | Asks for clarification on what types of contamination must be handled by DEQ and what types can be handled through independent cleanup. |
| 383 | Morford | Notes that DEQ has a process for prioritizing sites. Responds that HB 3456 does not dictate which sites DEQ must or can put through independent cleanup. |
| | | |

| TAPE 190, A | | | |
|-------------|-----------------------|---|--|
| 002 | Rep. Merkley | Asks if this bill would alter DEQis ability to keep the higher priority sites out of the independent cleanup process. | |
| 004 | Morford | Responds that it would not. | |
| 007 | Rep. Merkley | Comments on the issue of the site itself versus the impact of the way a person cleans up a site. Expresses concern that the current law does not encourage people to consult with DEQ before they cleanup. | |
| 022 | Morford | States that current law allows an individual to do an independent cleanup without notifying or involving DEQ. Notes that this program would allow DEQ to be more involved in independent cleanups. | |
| [Committee | at ease] | | |
| 059 | Dan Mercer | Mercer Industries, Inc. Testifies on his companyís experience with independent cleanups. | |
| 097 | Rep. Taylor | Asks Mercer why his letter of no further action was rescinded on the wood treatment facility cleanup. | |
| 101 | Mercer | Responds that they were advised that the original investigation was incomplete and that the criteria by which the judgement had been made had now been upgraded and they were to resume cleanup. Continues testimony on his company's experience with independent cleanups. | |
| 130 | Mercer | Notes his support for HB 3456. | |
| 135 | Chair Welsh | Asks if other industrial owners would be more apt to be part of a program like this if the process outlined in HB 3456 were implemented. | |
| 139 | Mercer | Responds that this would provide an incentive to do so. | |
| 141 | Rep. Morgan | Asks Mr. Mercer if he would have taken advantage of this program if it had been in effect. | |
| 143 | Mercer | Responds that he would have. | |
| 146 | Chair Welsh | Closes the work session on HB 3456 and opens the work session on SB 882. | |
| SB 882 ñ W | SB 882 ñ WORK SESSION | | |
| ı ı | | | |

| 150 | Rep. Al King | House District 44. Comments in support of the SB 882-5 amendments that he proposed. Notes that Sen. Tarno supports the ñ5 amendments. |
|-----|-----------------|---|
| 170 | Ron Eber | Department of Land Conservation and Development. Submits written material (EXHIBIT B) and explains the provisions of the SB 882-6 amendments. |
| 198 | Rep. Kruse | Asks if DLCD supported the bill as it came out of the Senate. |
| 203 | Eber | Responds that they did not. |
| 215 | Rep. Kruse | Notes that there were some earlier amendments that addressed DLCDis specific concerns. |
| 221 | Kristina McNitt | Committee Administrator. States that these were the ñ3 amendments. |
| 224 | Eber | Comments on the different versions of amendments that have been proposed for SB 882. |
| 231 | Rep. Kruse | Clarifies that the point he was making is that they had a set of earlier amendments that addressed DLCDis specific concerns with SB 882. |
| 233 | Eber | Notes that the ñ6 amendments are basically the same as the earlier amendments. |
| 237 | Rep. Kruse | Asks for clarification that the ñ6 amendments address DLCDis concerns with the bill. |
| 253 | Eber | Responds that the ñ6 amendments cover all of DLCDis concerns and include Rep. Kingis proposed amendments. |
| 261 | Rep. Kruse | Asks for clarification that the ñ1 amendments did not adequately address DLCDis concerns. |
| 265 | Eber | Responds that there were some drafting errors in the earlier version. |
| 275 | Chris Crean | Legislative Counsel Office. Clarifies that the ñ6 amendments incorporate DLCDís and Rep. Kingís proposed amendments. |
| 284 | Rep. Kruse | Asks for clarification that if the committee wants to separate Rep. Kingís proposals from DLCDís they would need a new amendment. |
| 290 | Crean | Responds that they would need two new amendments. |
| | | |

| 301 | Rep. Taylor | Asks for clarification that there is no zoning problem in terms of structures such as kitchens or restrooms facilities that may be provided. |
|---------|---------------|--|
| 310 | Rep. King | Responds that the yurts for homeless people would not need to be permanently attached to any sort of foundation. |
| 325 | Rep. Kruse | Expresses concern that they are including Rep. Kingís proposed amendments. Notes that this is why he was inquiring about separating these amendments from DLCDís proposed amendments. |
| 343 | Rep. Taylor | Expresses support for keeping the proposed amendments combined. |
| 352 | Rep. Merkley | Comments in support of keeping the proposed amendments combined. |
| 387 | Rep. Morgan | Asks if cities would be able to use some kind of alternate structures within their boundaries without passing Rep. King's amendments to SB 882. |
| 398 | Bob Rindy | Department of Land Conservation and Development. Responds that it is his understanding that city governments would not be allowed to establish homeless camps using the kind of yurts described in the bill. |
| ГАРЕ 18 | 99, B | |
| 008 | Rep. Morgan | Asks for clarification that the building codes do not specify that yurts are an allowable type of structure. |
| 011 | Rindy | Responds that this is his understanding. |
| 013 | Patrick Lewis | State Building Codes Division. Responds that the yurts they have today do not meet the building codes for this particular use within a city. |
| 021 | Rep. Morgan | Asks if mobile homes and travel trailers would be permitted under current building codes. |
| 023 | Lewis | Responds that manufactured homes would be permitted for use under current building codes, but travel trailers would not. |
| 029 | Rep. Morgan | Asks if Rep. Kingís amendments would limit the use of yurts to only this particular use. |
| 033 | Lewis | Responds that they would. |
| 040 | Rep. Morgan | Asks if the description of yurts in SB 882 is sufficient to meet the needs of the |

| | | State Building Codes Division. | | |
|------------|---------------------|--|--|--|
| 044 | Lewis | Responds that they would have to write additional administrative rules to fill in the gaps that are not addressed in the bill. | | |
| 052 | Rindy | Notes that there are two different descriptions of yurts in the bill. | | |
| 061 | Rep. Merkley | MOTION: Moves to ADOPT SB 882-6 amendments dated 6/4/99. | | |
| 065 | Rep. Merkley | Notes an experience he had with a homeless family. Comments on the benefits of yurts as opposed to shelters. | | |
| 096 | Rep. Kruse | Explains that he will be voting against the ñ6 amendments. | | |
| 114 | Rep. Kafoury | Comments in support of the ñ6 amendments. | | |
| 132 | Rep. Taylor | Comments in support of the ñ6 amendments. | | |
| 150 | Rep. Devlin | Notes his support for the ñ6 amendments and that Sen. Tarno does not object to Rep. Kingís amendments to SB 882. | | |
| 156 | Rep. Kruse | Notes that Sen. Tarno does not object to passage of the original bill. | | |
| [Committee | [Committee at ease] | | | |
| | | VOTE: 6-2 | | |
| | | AYE: 6 - Devlin, Gianella, Kafoury, Merkley, Taylor, Welsh | | |
| | | NAY: 2 - Kruse, Morgan | | |
| | | EXCUSED: 1 ñ Atkinson | | |
| 175 | Chair Welsh | The motion CARRIES. | | |
| 179 | Rep. Merkley | MOTION: Moves SB 882 to the floor with a DO PASS AS AMENDED recommendation. | | |
| | | VOTE: 7-1 | | |
| | | AYE: 7 - Devlin, Gianella, Kafoury, Kruse, Merkley, Taylor, Welsh | | |
| | | NAY: 1 - Morgan | | |
| | | | | |

| | | EXCUSED: 1 - Atkinson |
|-----------|--------------------|--|
| 189 | Chair Welsh | The motion CARRIES. REP. KING will lead discussion on the floor. |
| 191 | Chair Welsh | Closes the work session on SB 882 and reopens the work session on HB 3456. |
| НВ 3456 й | WORK SESSION (CONT | INUED) |
| 199 | Langdon Marsh | Director, Department of Environmental Quality. Submits written material (EXHIBIT C) and begins testimony by discussing DEQis efforts in cleaning up contaminated sites. |
| 256 | Marsh | Continues discussing DEQís efforts in cleaning up contaminated sites. |
| 300 | Marsh | Continues testimony by testifying in opposition to HB 3456. |
| 344 | Marsh | Continues testimony in opposition to HB 3456. |
| 376 | Rep. Kafoury | Asks Mr. Marsh if he is referring to the ñ4 amendments. |
| 379 | Marsh | Responds that he is. |
| 381 | Rep. Kafoury | States that it is her understanding that the ñ4 amendments allow DEQ to establish the process and write the rules on how the process would work. Asks Marsh if he has a different understanding. |
| 389 | Marsh | Responds that he does. |
| TAPE 190, | В | |
| 011 | Rep. Kafoury | Asks what is it about the language in section 2 of the ñ4 amendments that would prohibit DEQ from considering the "hot spot" provisions and requirements of existing law. |
| 015 | Marsh | Explains the problem that is created if this language is put into law. |
| 030 | Rep. Kruse | Notes that he does not understand DEQís problem with the amendments. Asks what is wrong with getting actual results. |
| 040 | Marsh | Responds that DEQ agrees that they should be after results. Notes the issue is |

| | 1 | |
|-----|--------------|--|
| | | which results and how far they go. |
| 067 | Rep. Kruse | Asks if DEQis rules are going to cover contamination hot spots. |
| 071 | Marsh | Responds that they read the last sentence in section 2 as a directive to change the philosophy of the independent cleanup program from one that looks at the current issues, including hot spots, to one where the purpose is to have DEQ issue no further action letters on partial cleanups. |
| 086 | Rep. Merkley | Asks if clarification of this language might address DEQís concerns. |
| 094 | Marsh | Responds that DEQ feels that improvements can be made in the cleanup program, but does not feel HB 3456 is needed. |
| 131 | Rep. Merkley | Comments that there is the ability to address the language in section 2. |
| 140 | Chair Welsh | Referencing section 4(4) of the ñ4 amendments, notes that the opportunity for negotiation and mediation is being established. |
| 146 | Marsh | States that he has not had time to review more than the first page of the ñ4 amendments. Notes DEQ's concern whether they are going to be as environmentally protective under HB 3456 as they are under current law. |
| 162 | Chair Welsh | States that this looks like an attempt to make the process more user friendly and that it is encouraging involvement. |
| 170 | Marsh | Agrees that there is a need for DEQ to find out what makes some people reluctant to go through their process. |
| 198 | Chair Welsh | Questions whether there are private property rights involved in this issue. |
| 199 | Marsh | States that there are, but it is a balance between property rights and public health and ecological rights. |
| 215 | Rep. Taylor | Asks if the rescinding of the letter for no further action in Merceris situation is a common occurrence. |
| 220 | Marsh | Responds that he does not know the circumstances surrounding the letter being rescinded in Merceris situation. |
| 235 | Rep. Morgan | Referencing the map of cleanup sites that was submitted, asks if there is any information that shows how long people were involved in the regulatory process before they got to the end of it. |
| | | |

| 242 | Marsh | Responds that he does not have this data. |
|-----|-------------|---|
| 250 | Rep. Morgan | Expresses surprise that DEQ has a customer service problem but they have not tried to determine what the problem is. |
| 265 | Marsh | Clarifies that they only have some dissatisfied customers. Agrees that they ought to come up with some statistical samples for residence time in the program. Cautions the committee that results could be skewed. |
| 285 | Rep. Morgan | States that with approximately 400 sites DEQ could come up with a normative timeframe for individuals' involvement in the system. Expresses concern about DEQís resistance to adding the proposed mediation structure to their process. |
| 297 | Marsh | Comments that the addition of a mediation process is a good idea. |
| 318 | Rep. Morgan | Asks Marsh to describe DEQis involvement with the proponents of HB 3456 in developing the legislation. |
| 322 | Marsh | Responds that DEQ has indicated their fundamental problems with the bill to the proponents since the beginning. |
| 349 | Rep. Devlin | Asks for the committee to receive information on the amount of time individuals have spent in the process. |
| 370 | Paul Slyman | Environmental Cleanup Program Manager, Department of Environmental Quality. Comments that it will be difficult to gather this information because of the nature of the voluntary cleanup program. |
| 438 | Rep. Devlin | Expresses concern that he has heard that DEQ has been unwilling to meet with the proponents and talk about specific language in the bill. |
| 456 | Chair Welsh | Closes the work session on HB 3456. Adjourns the committee at 10:07 a.m. |

Submitted By, Reviewed By,

Stephen Kosiewicz, Kristina McNitt,

Administrative Support Administrator

EXHIBIT SUMMARY

A ñ HB 3456, written material, John Ledger, 4 pp.

B ñ SB 882, SB 882-6 amendments, Ron Eber, 11 pp.

C \Bar{n} HB 3456, written material, Langdon Marsh, 12 pp.