CONFERENCE COMMITTEE ON HB 2196

June 9, 1999 Hearing Room E

9:30 a.m. Tape 1

MEMBERS PRESENT: Rep. Bob Montgomery, Chair

Rep. Mike Lehman Rep. Jeff Kropf Sen. Marilyn Shannon Sen. Gary George Sen. Mae Yih

STAFF PRESENT: Janet Adkins, Administrator

Brad Daniels, Administrative Support

MEASURE/ISSUES HEARD: HB 2196 Work Session

These minutes are in compliance with Senate and House Rules. Only text enclosed in quotation marks reports a speaker's exact words. For complete contents, please refer to the tapes.

TAPE/#	Speaker	Comments			
TAPE 3, A					
004	Chair Montgomery	Opens the meeting at 9:40 a.m. Opens the work session on HB 2196.			
HB 2196 WORK SESSION					
006	Janet Adkins	Administrator. Explains HB 2196, the effect of the Senate amendments and the current status of the statutory provision for the mandatory suspension of			

		driver licenses for drug convictions. States that the ñB5 amendments are needed if the committee decides to repeal the suspension. Indicates that DUII and other motor vehicle laws, as well as laws dealing with minors, will remain the same (EXHIBIT A).
038	Dale Penn	District Attorney, Marion County. Explains that the suspension order is used in Marion County for felony crimes involving drugs. States that the effectiveness of the suspension program is unknown. Notes that the majority of convictions result in delays for applications, rather than suspensions of licenses. Supports maintaining the statutory authority to suspend licenses for narcotic crimes.
080	Chair Montgomery	States a potential conflict of interest as his son is a deputy district attorney.
082	Sen. Shannon	Asks if the suspension authority will affect minors under 16.
090	Sandy Wood	Division of Driver and Motor Vehicle Services (DMV). Replies that, while the statute for minors remains the same, delays come into effect for adults who do not have Oregon driving privileges at the time they are convicted.
100	Sen. Shannon	Expresses concern about suspending the driverís license of a person who was not driving at the time, but who may need to drive for employment reasons.
111	Penn	Responds that a person under the influence of narcotics is not prosecutable, but if the passenger does possess drugs, then they could be prosecuted and their license suspended. Recognizes that retaining the suspension authority is a policy choice.
127	Sen. Shannon	Asks if carpentry or other professional licenses are also suspended if a person is convicted of a drug offense while not on the job.
129	Penn	Replies that the law does not authorize the suspension of any kind of professional or vocational license, but associations could suspend members for drug possession administratively.
140	Chair Montgomery	States that the list of drugs in the statutes is long, noting that all or none need to be included.
144	Sen. George	Asks about people who will not obey the suspension.
152	Penn	Replies that the effectiveness has not been studied, and perhaps drug offenders should not be given a break without this information.
165	Rep. Lehman	Asks if a driverís license is suspended for severe vehicular offenses.

168	Penn	Replies yes.
173	Rep. Lehman	Asks if a driverís license is suspended for failure to pay child support.
178	Penn	Replies yes. Adds that the suspension acts as a motivator.
184	Wood	Clarifies that the holder of a commercial driver's license can show a direct connection between the need to drive for work and the obligation to pay child support.
188	Rep. Lehman	Indicates that the drug statute is somewhere in between a direct and indirect connection with driving, adding that drugs can impair a personís ability to drive.
197	Penn	Agrees with Lehmanís analysis.
208	Rep. Lehman	Supports the retention of the license suspension for drug convictions due to its deterrent and punitive effect.
224	Sen. Shannon	Agrees with Lehman, but expresses concern about the connection between possessing drugs and suspending a license
240	Chair Montgomery	Supports retaining the suspension requirement.
242	Rep. Kropf	Agrees with Rep. Lehmanís analysis and Sen. Shannonís concerns. Indicates that the people Sen. Shannon is concerned about are the exception. Opposes repealing the statute.
259	Sen. Yih	Acknowledges that the district attorneys need as many tools as possible.
265	Sen. George	Expresses concern about the lack of a relationship between the offense and the consequences, but supports retaining the statute.
272	Sen. Shannon	Asks if the suspension is mandatory.
276	Penn	Replies that some discretion is involved.
287	Sen. Shannon	MOTION: Moves HB 2196B to the floor with the recommendation that the Senate recede from Senate amendments dated 05/11/99 and repass the Measure.
300	Adkins	Notes that inhalants will be added to the statute upon passage of SB 443.

304	Sen. George	Explains that the addition of inhalants may change his opinion of the bill.
317	Sen. Shannon	Describes a story about the danger of inhalants.
325		VOTE: 6-0
	Chair Montgomery	Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED.
330	Chair Montgomery	Adjourns the meeting at 10:05 a.m.

Submitted By, Reviewed By,

Brad Daniels, Janet Adkins,

Administrative Support Administrator

EXHIBIT SUMMARY

A ñHB 2196B, -B5 amendments, staff, 2 pp.