

JOINT CONFERENCE COMMITTEE ON SB 940

July 7, 1999 Hearing Room 343

9:45 a.m. Tape 1

MEMBERS PRESENT: Sen. Gary George, Chair

Rep. Ryan Deckert

Rep. Jim Hill

Rep. Bob Montgomery

Sen. Thomas Wilde

MEMBER EXCUSED: Sen. Ted Ferrioli

STAFF PRESENT: Brad Harper, Administrator

Patrick Brennan, Administrative Support

MEASURE HEARD: SB 940-B Work Session

These minutes are in compliance with Senate and House Rules. Only text enclosed in quotation marks reports a speaker's exact words. For complete contents, please refer to the tapes.

TAPE/#	Speaker	Comments
TAPE 1, A		
003	Chair George	Calls the meeting to order at 9:46 a.m. Opens a work session on SB 940-B.
<u>SB 940-B WORK SESSION</u>		
005	Brad Harper	Committee Administrator. Indicates that the parties to the bill have agreed to accept the B-engrossed House version, with the addition of the ñB7 amendments (EXHIBIT A) .
013	Mark Nelson	Representative, Glass Packaging Institute. Testifies in support of the ñB7

		<p>amendments to SB 940. Says the A-engrossed version of the bill included a technological exemption from recycled content requirements for the Ball-Foster plant in Seattle, WA. Explains that the exemption was removed by the B-engrossed version in order to reach an accord with the Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ). Indicates that the exemption issue will be addressed privately. Mentions that the amendments require manufacturers to file reports detailing recycled content only if they sell more than 1,000 tons of new glass to packagers in Oregon.</p>
038	Bob Danko	<p>Representative, DEQ. Testifies in support of the ñB4 amendments to SB 940 (EXHIBIT B). Says there is agreement on a process by which the Ball-Foster plant will become compliant with the bill at a later date.</p>
049	Sen. Wilde	<p>Offers a hypothetical example of a packager with plants in both Oregon and Oklahoma that transfers surplus, non-compliant glass to its Oregon plant. Inquires whether the ñB7 amendments would require that transfer to be reported.</p>
061	Nelson	<p>Replies that the manufacturer would be required to report such a transfer, so long as the total amount exceeded 1,000 tons as specified in the amendments.</p>
067	Sen. Wilde	<p>Clarifies that food processors may sell products to an Oklahoma subsidiary and transferred to an Oregon subsidiary at a later time. Expressed doubt that such a transfer would trigger the reporting requirements outlined in the ñB7 amendments.</p>
073	Nelson	<p>Asserts the bill would still cover the transfer, as the product would be sold to a glass container manufacturer in Oregon.</p>
087	Sen. Wilde	<p>Comments that such a scenario is highly unlikely to occur.</p>
090	Rep. Hill	<p>MOTION: Moves to ADOPT SB 940-B7 amendments dated 6/22/99.</p>
093		<p>VOTE: 5-0-1</p> <p>EXCUSED: 1 - Ferrioli</p>
	Chair George	<p>Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED.</p>
092	Rep. Hill	<p>MOTION: Moves SB 940-B to the floor with the recommendation that the Senate concur in House amendments dated 5/28/99 and that the bill be further amended by the ñB7 amendments dated 6/22/99 and be repassed.</p>
095		<p>VOTE: 5-0-1</p>

		<p>AYE: In a roll call vote, all members present vote Aye.</p> <p>EXCUSED: 1 - Ferrioli</p>
	Chair George	<p>The motion CARRIES.</p> <p>REP. HILL AND SEN. WILDE will lead discussion on the floor.</p>
098	Chair George	Closes the work session on SB 940-B and adjourns the meeting at 9:55 a.m.

Submitted By, Reviewed By,

Patrick Brennan, Brad Harper,

Administrative Support Administrator

EXHIBIT SUMMARY

A ñ SB 940, -B7 amendments, Mark Nelson, 1 p.

B ñ SB 940, testimony of Langdon Marsh, Bob Danko, 2 pp.