## JOINT COMMITTEE ON STREAM RESTORATION

## AND SPECIES RECOVERY

January 22, 1999 Hearing Room 50

9:00 a.m. Tapes 3 - 5

**MEMBERS PRESENT: Sen. Ted Ferrioli, Co-Chair** 

Rep. Ken Messerle, Co-Chair Sen. Ginny Burdick, Vice-Chair Sen. Joan Dukes Sen. David Nelson Sen. Veral Tarno Rep. Bob Jenson, Vice-Chair Rep. Al King Rep. Jeff Kruse Rep. Randy Leonard Rep. Lynn Lundquist Rep. Susan Morgan Rep. Bruce Starr Rep. Terry Thompson

STAFF PRESENT: Judith Callens, Administrator

Stephen Kosiewicz, Administrative Support

MEASURE/ISSUES HEARD: Governorís Watershed Enhancement Board Background

**Oregon Plan History** 

**Measure 66 Discussion** 

**Department of Fish and Wildlife Funding Shortfall** 

| TAPE/#    | Speaker           | Comments   |
|-----------|-------------------|--|
| TAPE 3, A |                   |  |
| 004       | Chair Ferrioli    | Calls the committee to order at 9:11 a.m. Announces that Rep. Kruse is excused for the first part of the meeting due to legislative business.  |
| GOVERNO   | DRÍS WATERSHED EN | NHANCEMENT BOARD BACKGROUND  |
| 012       | Ken Bierly        | Program Manager, Governorís Watershed Enhancement Board (GWEB).<br>Submits written material (EXHIBIT A) and begins testimony on GWEBís<br>background:  |
|           |                   | <ul><li>Created in 1987</li><li>Board and advisory committees membership</li></ul>   |
| 055       | Bierly            | Continues GWEB overview [testimony inaudible at times]:  |
|           |                   | <ul> <li>Staffing history of GWEB</li> <li>Funding history of GWEB</li> <li>Establishment of the Oregon Watershed Health Program by the 1993<br/>Legislature</li> </ul>  |
| 096       | Bierly            | Discusses the changes that took place in 1995 that affected GWEB [testimony inaudible at times].   |
| 123       | Bierly            | Discusses the changes that took place in 1997 that affected GWEB [testimony inaudible at times].   |
| 181       | Bierly            | Continues discussing the changes that took place in 1997 that affected GWEB [testimony inaudible at times].  |
| 235       | Bierly            | Continues testimony by discussing the allocation of funds for the 1997-99 biennium [testimony inaudible at times].   |
| 284       | Bierly            | Continues testimony by discussing the upcoming work that the Board is going to do [testimony inaudible at times].  |
| 332       | Chair Ferrioli    | Explains that GWEB started out as a notion that there ought to be a mechanism for improving, enhancing, or encouraging people to do watershed management.  |
| 378       | Rep. Messerle     | Expresses his concern with the funding associated with Measure 66 and the workload that GWEB faces. Asks Mr. Bierly if he has any ideas as to what this committee should be looking at over the next few months. |

| 391     | Bierly         | [Response inaudible]  |
|---------|----------------|---|
| TAPE 4, | A              |   |
| 017     | Chair Ferrioli | Comments that GWEB has been able to empower citizens at the ground level because of its small size, and that as it has been forced to grow because of the needs of the Oregon Plan, theyive expanded the processes to almost the breaking point.                            |
| 034     | Bierly         | Comments that one of the elements that has been valuable in the discussions held<br>by the Board has been the interplay of federal land management agencies and<br>private land managers [comments inaudible at times].   |
| 051     | Sen. Nelson    | Asks how they measure their success rate.   |
| 058     | Bierly         | Responds that they have struggled very hard with how you rate success in looking at watershed restoration.  |
| 082     | Sen. Nelson    | Comments that there are a lot of healthy things going on within the Willamette watershed.   |
| 089     | Bierly         | Comments that he has been very impressed with the amount of knowledge and<br>understanding private landowners bring to discussions [comments inaudible at<br>times].  |
| 101     | Chair Ferrioli | Comments that the issue is how are they trying to accomplish.   |
| 126     | Rep. Leonard   | Asks for clarification that the Pudding Creek is the major source of contaminants in the Willamette.  |
| 130     | Bierly         | Responds that he doesn't think this is an accurate statement.   |
| 138     | Rep. Leonard   | Asks for clarification that the source of the contaminants in Pudding Creek is known.   |
| 144     | Bierly         | Responds that most of the contaminants come from agriculture.   |
| 146     | Rep. Leonard   | Comments on a program started in the early ë70s that bought up property along<br>the Willamette and its tributaries for the purpose of making a barrier to eliminate<br>sources of pollution, and asks for clarification that this law was repealed around<br>1975 or 1977. |
| 158     | Bierly         | Comments on his understanding of this program [comments inaudible at times].  |

| 169       | Rep. Leonard   | States that he has heard a lot of talk about cooperation and planning, but wonders when they are going to deal with the known sources of contaminants.   |
|-----------|----------------|--|
| 188       | Chair Ferrioli | Comments that what he is hearing is a concern about the Willamette water quality and point-source pollution, and that the committee needs to make some decisions about how the Willamette is handled.  |
| 214       | Rep. Messerle  | Comments on the agencies that will be coming before the committee in the next<br>few meetings and commends Mr. Bierly for his efforts to work with the<br>committee during the interim.  |
| OREGON    | PLAN HISTORY   | λ  |
| 238       | Jay Nicholas   | Oregon Plan Project Leader, Governorís Natural Resources Office. Submits written material (EXHIBIT B) and begins testimony on the chronological history of the Oregon Plan.  |
| 292       | Nicholas       | Continues reviewing the history of the Oregon Plan   |
| 342       | Nicholas       | Continues reviewing the history of the Oregon Plan.  |
| TAPE 3, B |                |  |
| 015       | Chair Ferrioli | Comments on how the Legislature reacted to the Oregon Plan legislation during the 1997 Session.  |
| 036       | Rep. Messerle  | Asks Mr. Nicholas what he sees as committee priorities this session.   |
| 043       | Nicholas       | Responds that what they are trying to achieve with the Oregon Plan is that they want it allóhealthy watersheds, vibrant self-sustaining natural populationsóand they want the same thing for the human communities, which is not going to be easy. |
| 069       | Rep. Messerle  | Comments that the committee needs some guidelines as to where they should be putting special emphasis. Comments on the impact the listing has had in his district.   |
| 088       | Rep. Leonard   | Asks what specifically the 1999 Legislature can do to improve water quality and conditions for salmon to return. Comments on the factors that affect fish populations.   |
| 103       | Chair Ferrioli | Explains that the committee will be hearing presentations about predation, water quality, temperature standards, and point and non-point source pollution at a later date.   |

| 109 | Nicholas       | Responds that providing economic incentives for landowners, streamlining the permit process, and increasing the funding for the Independent Multidisciplinary Science Team (IMST) are ways the Legislature can help.  |
|-----|----------------|---|
| 138 | Chair Ferrioli | Comments that the challenge is developing appropriate solutions to the problems, and suggests that the committee receive a copy of the Executive Summary of the Oregon Plan and a copy of National Marine Fisheries Serviceís (NMFS) proposal for revisions to the Oregon Forest Practices Act. |
| 156 | Rep. King      | Comments that he would like to know which groups need to cooperate more in the Oregon Plan process and which groups, who they want at the table, have walked away.  |
| 166 | Chair Ferrioli | Suggests that the committee have a presentation from the Healthy Streams<br>Partnership (HSP) to find out who those people are.   |
| 174 | Sen. Nelson    | Asks for clarification on the number of miles of stream in Oregon.  |
| 179 | Nicholas       | Responds that it depends on the size of the stream you're talking about.  |
| 186 | Sen. Nelson    | Comments on the magnitude of the problem if you look at the entire state as a watershed.  |
| 191 | Sen. Burdick   | Comments that when the Legislature approved the Oregon Plan in 1997 there was funding that was contingent on not having a listing, and asks Mr. Nicholas to explain the funding history of the Oregon Plan.   |
| 198 | Nicholas       | Responds that he is not the best person to explain the funding element of the Oregon Plan.  |
| 207 | Sen. Burdick   | Asks if there was a listing hanging over their head when they started work on the Oregon Plan back in 1995.   |
| 214 | Nicholas       | Responds there was a listing.   |
| 227 | Chair Ferrioli | States that there is a lot more history to be discussed. Explains the origin of the funding for some of the Oregon Plan.  |
| 249 | Rep. Messerle  | Asks where the habitat water quality factor rate along with all of the factors that are involved in what happened to the fisheries resource.  |
| 266 | Nicholas       | Responds that he doesnit have a percentage figure. Provides background information on how he views this problem.  |
|     |                |   |

| 308     | Rep. Messerle      | States that he would like more specific information from Mr. Nicholas on this issue. Comments that the perception is that they canit do anything about the ocean, and he would like to pursue this. |
|---------|--------------------|---|
| 325     | Chair Ferrioli     | Asks Mr. Nicholas to see that the committee members receive copies of NMFSí proposal for revisions to the Oregon Forest Practices Act.  |
| DEPART  | MENT OF FISH AND W | ILDLIFE FUNDING SHORTFALL   |
| 339     | Jim Greer          | Director, Department of Fish and Wildlife. Submits written material <b>(EXHIBIT C)</b> and begins testimony on ODFWís funding shortfall [testimony inaudible at times].                             |
| 384     | Greer              | Continues testimony on ODFWis funding shortfall [testimony inaudible at times].   |
| TAPE 4, | B                  |   |
| 005     | Greer              | Continues discussing the funding shortfall [testimony inaudible at times].  |
| 040     | Greer              | Continues testimony by discussing how ODFW is addressing the funding shortfall [testimony inaudible at times]:  |
|         |                    | <ul><li>Increase in General Fund appropriation</li><li>License fee increases</li></ul>  |
| 093     | Rep. Lundquist     | Asks if there are societal influences affecting the decline in licenses, which the Legislature is not going to have control over.   |
| 102     | Greer              | Responds that there are, and that a lot of other states are facing the same problem Oregon is.  |
| 134     | Rep. Lundquist     | Explains the reason for his question.   |
| 138     | Chair Ferrioli     | Explains that they will hear the Measure 66 presentation at a later meeting date.   |
| 144     | Rep. Kruse         | Asks Mr. Greer to show the committee where the reductions in FTE have taken place in ODFW.  |
| 163     | Greer              | Responds that he can show specifically where theyive dropped 200 FTE over the last six years. Gives a few examples.   |
| 177     | Rep. Kruse         | Comments that he didnít want the committee getting the impression that it is an agency reduction, but that it is more of a redirection of mission.  |

| 183 | Chair Ferrioli | Comments that ODFW has gathered feedback about a fee increase as opposed to cutting essential services, and the committee needs to interact with these people to get their direction as well. |
|-----|----------------|---|
| 191 | Rep. Jenson    | Asks if they have any assessment or evaluation of the point of diminishing return in terms of fee increases.  |
| 195 | Greer          | Responds that they have done some analysis of what the affect of the fee increase will be on the total number of sales.   |
| 216 | Rep. Jenson    | Asks what the relation is between the number of cougar tags issued and the number of animals taken since they lowered the price of the tag.   |
| 223 | Greer          | Responds that prior to Measure 18 they were harvesting an average of 160 cougars statewide, and that after Measure 18 passed this average dropped in the first year to about 37.              |
| 246 | Rep. Jenson    | Asks for clarification that there is potentially a significant cost increase for ODFW.  |
| 249 | Greer          | Responds that theyive asked for a package of five FTE and \$.5 million to focus on bear and cougar activities around the state in this bienniumis budget.                                     |
| 255 | Rep. King      | Comments that he is interested in seeing some modifications in some hunting licenses.   |
| 273 | Greer          | Comments that they are already getting a number of complaints about how much of the calendar the hunting seasons consume.   |
| 278 | Rep. King      | Clarifies that he was not suggesting new seasons.   |
| 280 | Greer          | Comments that they do have in place a higher quality one-time hunt.   |
| 302 | Chair Ferrioli | Suggests that they bring in some elk hunters on this issue.   |
| 312 | Rep. Messerle  | Asks Mr. Greer what he thinks the committee should focus on.  |
| 322 | Greer          | Responds that they should be focusing on accountability, the core areas that have good populations and those that have bad populations, and getting information out on the successes.         |
| 372 | Rep. Messerle  | Comments that Mr. Greer responded mostly to the issue of habitat, and that he wants to know how important of a factor habitat is.   |

|           |                | 1  |
|-----------|----------------|--|
| 383       | Greer          | Comments that predation is also a volatile issue, and that they need to focus on what are the factors affecting the salmonid species.  |
| 399       | Rep. Leonard   | Asks Mr. Greer if they have considered doing something different with their license system to make it easier for people to get and renew a license. Suggests they do renewal by mail similar to what DMV does. |
| TAPE 5, A |                |  |
| 014       | Greer          | Responds that they have considered making their license system easier [testimony inaudible at times].  |
| 024       | Rep. Leonard   | Repeats his suggestion that they do license renewal by mail.   |
| 027       | Greer          | States that the primary concern they have is the auditing of those license funds.  |
| 030       | Rep. Leonard   | Suggests they notify those who are licensed this year of when their license expires, and offer them the opportunity to renew by mail.  |
| 036       | Chair Ferrioli | Confirms that this is a good suggestion. Suggests that ODFWis new marketing specialist look into this suggestion.  |
| 040       | Sen. Nelson    | Comments that he has heard that some of the problems that ODFW is experiencing are due to policy decisions coming from the commission level.   |
| 059       | Chair Ferrioli | States that the next meeting will be Tuesday, January 26 at 4:30 p.m. Adjourns the committee at 11:04 a.m.   |

Submitted By, Reviewed By,

Stephen Kosiewicz, Judith Callens,

Administrative Support Administrator

## EXHIBIT SUMMARY

A ñ Governorís Watershed Enhancement Board background, written material, Ken Bierly, 42 pp.

- B ñ Oregon Plan history, written material, Jay Nicholas, 34 pp.
- C ñ Department of Fish and Wildlife funding shortfall, written material, Jim Greer, 9 pp.