JOINT COMMITTEE ON STREAM RESTORATION

AND SPECIES RECOVERY

January 26, 1999 Hearing Room 50

4:30 PM Tapes 6 - 7

MEMBERS PRESENT: Sen. Ted Ferrioli, Co-Chair

Rep. Ken Messerle, Co-Chair Sen. Joan Dukes Sen. David Nelson Sen. Veral Tarno Rep. Bob Jenson, Vice-Chair Rep. Al King Rep. Jeff Kruse Rep. Randy Leonard Rep. Lynn Lundquist Rep. Susan Morgan Rep. Bruce Starr Rep. Terry Thompson

MEMBER EXCUSED: Sen. Ginny Burdick, Vice-Chair

STAFF PRESENT: Judith Callens, Administrator

Stephen Kosiewicz, Administrative Support

MEASURE/ISSUES HEARD: Organizational Meeting

Revision of Committee Rules

Informational Meeting

Measure 66 Discussion

Oregon Plan Activities Overview

These minutes are in compliance with Senate and House Rules. Only text enclosed in quotation marks reports a speaker's exact words. For complete contents, please refer to the tapes.

| TAPE/# | Speaker | Comments | | |
|-----------|-----------------|---|--|--|
| TAPE 6, A | TAPE 6, A | | | |
| 004 | Chair Messerle | Calls the committee to order at 4:38 p.m. States that the committee rule revision will be discussed once more members arrive. | | |
| MEASURE | E 66 DISCUSSION | | | |
| 016 | Jim Greer | Director, Department of Fish and Wildlife. Submits and reviews written materials regarding ODFWis Measure 66 priority option packages (EXHIBIT A) [testimony inaudible at times]. | | |
| 079 | Greer | Continues reviewing submitted materials. | | |
| 114 | Rep. Thompson | Asks what the high-level management positions mentioned in package 204 were. | | |
| 118 | Greer | Responds that these were project leader positions in the marine program that were cut. | | |
| 122 | Sen. Dukes | Asks for clarification that package 401 is not Measure 66 funds. | | |
| 126 | Greer | Responds that this is General Fund. | | |
| 128 | Sen. Ferrioli | Asks what section of Measure 66 did ODFW rely on to fund research. | | |
| 135 | Greer | Responds that Measure 66 was looking at any impacts to salmonid species and they took this as a means to look at the predator issue. | | |
| 145 | Sen. Ferrioli | Comments that there is a need for the research, but they need to make sure that the definition and how they extrapolate research from what is in Measure 66 is clear. | | |
| 154 | Sen. Nelson | Comments on a news article that he saw which stated 40% of the species on the Endangered Species Act list are there because of natural/unnatural predators. Asks Mr. Greer if he has seen this article. | | |
| 158 | Greer | Responds that he has not. | | |

| 159 | Sen. Nelson | States that he will get Mr. Greer a copy of this article. |
|--------|---------------------|--|
| 164 | Greer | Comments on an area in California that has over 100 listed threatened and endangered species, and that predators are becoming one of the key factors in terms of long-term survival of some of the species. |
| 173 | Rep. Thompson | Asks what ODFW considers to be research. |
| 185 | Greer | Responds that ODFW attempts to apply research results to management. |
| 214 | Chair Messerle | Comments on the amount of funding for the ESA staff, and asks if ODFW can handle the workload with that limited staff. |
| 221 | Greer | Responds that they are presently handling the workload. |
| 230 | Chair Messerle | Asks what it is this position does right now. |
| 232 | Greer | Responds that this position handles the annual permitting requirements by NMFS and makes available information about ODFW activities or studies. |
| 247 | Chair Messerle | Asks for clarification that this position does not deal with other government agency or private sector requests for permits. |
| 251 | Greer | Responds that this position handles ODFWis requirements. |
| 253 | Chair Messerle | Expresses concern about the level of staffing ODFW has available to deal with permitting and consultation. |
| 257 | Greer | Comments that they are trying to address this through Measure 66 and the funding package they have in their budget |
| OREGON | PLAN ACTIVITIES OVE | RVIEW |
| 261 | Greer | Submits written material (EXHIBIT B) and gives an overview of ODFWis Oregon Plan activities: Activities cover the whole breadth of ODFWis Fish Division Over 100 personnel working on the Oregon Plan Fishery activities associated with the Oregon Plan Changes in the administration of field activities |
| 313 | Greer | Continues overview of ODFWis Oregon Plan activities: • Difficulty maintaining fisheries at the same time theyire trying to recover |

| | | wild fish Modified hatchery programs Amount of input into the Oregon Plan Working closely with other state agencies |
|-----------|----------------|---|
| 376 | Greer | Continues overview of ODFWis Oregon Plan activities: Amount of input into NMFSi Biological Review Team Changes to their angling regulations Need for mass marking of their hatchery fish |
| TAPE 7, A | 1 | |
| 011 | Greer | Continues overview of ODFWis Oregon Plan activities by discussing ODFWis funding needs for the Spring Chinook fishery. |
| 029 | Sen. Dukes | Asks if ODFW is looking at using a machine for tagging fish, or are they going to use people. |
| 033 | Greer | Responds that the machine is new technology that has just come out and it looks like it is going to be very effective. |
| 048 | Sen. Dukes | Asks how much this machine costs. |
| 050 | Greer | Responds that the cost is significant. |
| 059 | Sen. Nelson | Comments on the success the tribes in his area have had in restoring fish runs and asks why they are not being involved in the hatchery process. |
| 071 | Greer | Responds that this is the issue that legislators are going to hear a lot more about. |
| 101 | Chair Messerle | Asks if ODFW has plans to take a look at the wild fish policy. |
| 106 | Greer | Responds that the Governorís Executive Order states that ODFW will review this policy. |
| 114 | Chair Messerle | Asks if they have a timeframe for this review. |
| 115 | Greer | Responds that it will be initiated within the next six months. |
| 117 | Chair Messerle | Asks what the goals and benchmarks of ODFW are. |
| 127 | Greer | Responds that their commission has approved a basin plan that identifies what |

| | | they believe are the goals and objectives. |
|-----|----------------|--|
| 142 | Chair Messerle | Asks how they have done in the last two years. |
| 143 | Greer | Responds that theyive done very well. |
| 156 | Chair Messerle | Comments on his interest in quantifying ODFWis progress. |
| 167 | Sen. Ferrioli | Referring to the trout from the hatchery program that would be going into closed water bodies, asks if this includes areas above dams. |
| 169 | Greer | Responds that it is just about anywhere there is a closed body. |
| 175 | Sen. Ferrioli | Asks if ODFW has a Native American liaison to the Umatilla tribe. |
| 179 | Greer | Responds that Steve Williams is ODFWis primary contact for all tribes and that the regional supervisors have designated someone to work with their contacts at the tribal level. |
| 191 | Sen. Ferrioli | Asks if there is an attempt to coordinate the Umatilla and Warm Springs hatchery programs with ODFWis hatchery operations. |
| 198 | Greer | Responds that there is. |
| 203 | Chair Messerle | Asks if ODFW has the authority to require upgrading of grandfathered storage facilities or grandfathered culvert systems. |
| 217 | Greer | Responds that he doesnít believe ODFW has the authority to say how it will be done or if it will be done. States they do have input on how upgrades will be made to meet the biological needs of the animal in question. |
| 224 | Chair Messerle | Using the example of the cranberry growers in his district, asks if ODFW has the authority to go in and require these established reservoirs to provide fish passage. |
| 232 | Greer | Responds that he is unable to answer this at this time. Comments that in terms of past barriers, there is not a statute that would allow or disallow the diversion of that water. |
| 239 | Chair Messerle | Asks Mr. Greer to get back to the committee on this issue. |
| 243 | Rep. Kruse | Comments on a group that he was involved with that developed a basin model for how natural resources are dealt with in Oregon. Asks how ODFW came to their model. |

| 266 | Greer | Explains how model was developed. |
|----------------|----------------------|---|
| 292 | Greer | Continues explaining how model was developed. |
| 354 | Rep. Kruse | Expresses concern that they are supposed to be taking a regional approach, yet no agency has the same region and every agency is dividing up the regions in different ways. |
| 372 | Greer | Comments that ODFWis proposal explored how other agencies are divided into administrative units. |
| 396 | Rep. Starr | Asks for clarification as to whether catching wild fish and transferring them to a hatchery for spawning is successful. |
| TAPE 6, | В | |
| 001 | Greer | Responds that Looking Glass Hatchery in Northeast Oregon was setup to do just this, and that theyive had some success. |
| <u>REVISIO</u> | ON OF COMMITTEE RULI | ES |
| 017 | Judith Callens | Committee Administrator. Reviews the proposed changes to the committee rules (EXHIBIT C) . |
| 043 | Rep. Leonard | Asks for clarification that rule #14 does not apply to committee members or any member of the Legislative Assembly. |
| 045 | Callens | Responds that this is correct. |
| 046 | Rep. Leonard | Asks who else but committee members can propose amendments. |
| 048 | Callens | Explains that if a citizen or lobby group had a member draft amendments, and they brought these amendments to the committee, this rule would apply. |
| 053 | Rep. Leonard | Comments that the rule doesnit make sense to him. |
| 056 | Rep. Messerle | States that last session they had amendments come in from outside the committee and this is what the rule addresses. |
| 061 | Sen. Dukes | Reiterates that two is a small number as a minimum quorum for taking public testimony. |
| | | |

| 064 | Rep. King | Asks for clarification that any committee member can waive rule #14. |
|-------|----------------------|--|
| 073 | Callens | Responds that if a member of the committee were to introduce an amendment for a citizen or group this would, in effect, waive the requirement of rule #14. |
| 076 | Sen. Nelson | MOTION: Moves to ADOPT the proposed revisions to the committee rules. |
| | I | VOTE: 9-0 |
| 078 | Chair Messerle | Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED. |
| OREGO | N PLAN ACTIVITIES OV | <u>/ERVIEW (CONTINUED)</u> |
| 088 | Langdon Marsh | Director, Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ). Submits and reads written testimony regarding DEQis Oregon Plan activities (EXHIBIT D). |
| 139 | Marsh | Continues reading written testimony. |
| 171 | Pam Blake | Basin Coordinator, Department of Environmental Quality. Testifies on south coast watershed restoration activities [testimony inaudible at times]. |
| 193 | Blake | Begins slide show presentation on south coast restoration activities [testimony inaudible at times]: Level of coordination Better coordination between the agencies Efforts in the upper watershed Challenge of integrating the legal requirements of TMDL |
| 240 | Blake | Continues slide show presentation [testimony inaudible at times]: Improvements to the quality in their area Resolution of the off-channel watering blockades issue Riparian enhancement work Fencing and planting projects |
| 290 | Blake | Continues slide show presentation [testimony inaudible at times]: Funding sources are not looking favorably to funding maintenance work Activity in Curry County Upland sediment abatement and identification project |
| 347 | Blake | Continues slide show presentation [testimony inaudible at times]:Work with watershed associations |

| | | Controversial contract workOther area where they are trying some controversial things |
|--|--|--|
|--|--|--|

[Due to technical difficulties, the remainder of tape 6, side B is mostly inaudible]

| ТАРЕ 7, В | | |
|-----------|---------------|---|
| 022 | Blake | Continues overhead presentation [testimony inaudible at times]: • Perform a lot of monitoring in the field • Need for good, solid data to do TMDL development • Offer technical assistance to landowners • Need to listen closely to what landownersí needs are |
| 062 | Mike Llewelyn | Water Quality Division Administrator, Department of Environmental Quality. Begins testimony on DEQís activities regarding TMDLs. |
| 069 | Rep. Starr | Asks for definition of TMDL. |
| 070 | Llewelyn | Responds that it stands for Total Maximum Daily Load. Continues testimony on DEQis TMDL activities. |
| 117 | Llewelyn | Continues testimony on DEQís TMDL activities. |
| 159 | Rep. Leonard | Asks if DEQ regulates the activities of the Port of Portland with regard to the storm sewer outfalls that go into the Columbia River slough. |
| 162 | Llewelyn | Responds that this is correct. |
| 163 | Rep. Leonard | Asks for clarification that the Port of Portland is seeking a permit to dump de- icer into the Columbia River. |
| 167 | Llewelyn | Responds that this is correct. |
| 168 | Rep. Leonard | Asks if DEQ is going to approve this permit application. |
| 169 | Llewelyn | Responds that the details of their application and DEQis review and possible acceptance are still to be determined. |
| 176 | Rep. Leonard | Expresses concern that DEQ would even be considering approving such a permit, in light todayís testimony. |
| 191 | Marsh | Comments that the TMDL calls for the Port of Portland to get the discharge out |

| | | of the slough, but it doesnit specify what they can do with the material. |
|-----|----------------|---|
| 210 | Rep. Leonard | Comments that he has gotten the impression that they were just going to dump into the Columbia River. |
| 215 | Chair Messerle | Reminds the witness and Rep. Leonard that they need to direct questions and responses through the Chair. |
| 218 | Rep. Leonard | Clarifies that he has raised this issue so that the other members of the committee are aware of it. |
| 222 | Chair Messerle | States that the continuing members on the committee are aware, but this is good information for the new members. |
| 226 | Rep. Leonard | Comments that there is some inconsistency to this situation. Asks for clarification that DEQ gave the Port of Portland a permit to dump toxic materials into the lagoon at Ross Island. |
| 234 | Marsh | Responds that the permit was actually given by the Corps of Engineers, but it did have a 401 water quality certification given by DEQ. |
| 238 | Rep. Leonard | Questions how we can have a clean water initiative and yet be permitting the dumping of toxic materials into a lagoon in the middle of the Willamette. Asks for clarification that Ross Island has applied for another permit to mine more of the island. |
| 256 | Marsh | Responds that they have applied to the Division of State Lands for a permit to continue mining portions of the island and the lagoon. |
| 283 | Rep. Leonard | Expresses frustration at hearing a lot of talk about doing something about the Willamette, but groups are being allowed to continue to dump contaminants. |
| 303 | Chair Messerle | Asks what toxins are they discussing. |
| 306 | Marsh | Responds that there were four or five separate disposal situations from the Port of Portland into the Ross Island lagoon and there were a number of different contaminants. |
| 321 | Rep. Lundquist | Asks if DEQ has had any surprises, good or bad, in working on their TMDL activities. |
| 333 | Marsh | Responds that they are finding largely what they expected. |
| 363 | Rep. King | Asks for clarification that the toxic material in the slough is capped and that the |

| | | reason it is there is because the removal of it would create more problems. |
|-----|----------------|--|
| 375 | Marsh | Clarifies that the material Rep. King referred to is in the Ross Island lagoon, and that this material was capped. States that DEQ has been concerned about the integrity and viability of this disposal method. |
| 397 | Sen. Tarno | Comments that the issue of combined sewage overflows (CSOs) has not been adequately addressed and that DEQ needs to look at alternatives for storm water disposal. |
| 41 | Marsh | Responds that it would be useful if they presented some information on how the CSO problem is being handled throughout the state. |
| 435 | Chair Messerle | Adjourns the committee at 6:29 p.m. |

Submitted By, Reviewed By,

Stephen Kosiewicz, Judith Callens,

Administrative Support Administrator

EXHIBIT SUMMARY

- A ñ Measure 66 discussion, written material, Jim Greer, 3 pp.
- B ñ Oregon Plan activities overview, written material, Jim Greer, 8 pp.
- C ñ Proposed committee rule revisions, Staff, 3 pp.
- D ñ Oregon Plan activities overview, written testimony, Langdon Marsh, 7 pp.