## JOINT COMMITTEE ON STREAM RESTORATION

## AND SPECIES RECOVERY

February 9, 1999 Hearing Room 50

4:30 PM Tapes 18 - 19

**MEMBERS PRESENT: Sen. Ted Ferrioli, Co-Chair** 

Rep. Ken Messerle, Co-Chair Sen. Ginny Burdick, Vice-Chair Rep. Bob Jenson, Vice-Chair Sen. Joan Dukes Sen. David Nelson Sen. Veral Tarno Rep. Al King Rep. Jeff Kruse Rep. Randy Leonard Rep. Lynn Lundquist Rep. Susan Morgan Rep. Bruce Starr

**MEMBER EXCUSED: Rep. Terry Thompson** 

STAFF PRESENT: Judith Callens, Administrator

Stephen Kosiewicz, Administrative Support

MEASURE/ISSUES HEARD: Independent Multidisciplinary Science Team Report

Willamette Restoration Board Update

**SB 130 Public Hearing** 

| TAPE/#  | Speaker          | Comments   |  |
|---|------------------|--|--|
| TAPE 18, A  |                  |  |  |
| 004   | Chair Messerle   | Calls the committee to order at 4:37 p.m.  |  |
| INDEPENDENT MULTIDISCIPLINARY SCIENCE TEAM REPORT |                  |  |  |
| 015   | Dr. Logan Norris | Chair, Independent Multidisciplinary Science Team (IMST). Submits and reviews the IMSTís 1998 annual report <b>(EXHIBIT A)</b> .   |  |
| 062   | Norris           | Continues reviewing the annual report.   |  |
| 072   | Sen. Ferrioli    | Clarifies that the IMSTis workload has doubled, but their pay has not.   |  |
| 074   | Norris           | Continues reviewing the annual report.   |  |
| 099   | Chair Messerle   | Asks Dr. Norris to comment on the size of the IMSTís workload. Suggests the committee look into boosting the pay for the IMST through the Ways and Means Committee.  |  |
| 108   | Norris           | Responds that the workload of the team for the next biennium will be twice what it has been for the last two years. Continues testimony by reviewing the two technical reports the IMST produced last year.        |  |
| 156   | Chair Messerle   | Asks if it is due to the current data that they are unable to determine the impact<br>of predation, or is it due to not having the capabilities scientifically to answer<br>some of these questions in the future. |  |
| 161   | Norris           | Responds that the IMST recommended several efforts in their report that could be undertaken by ODFW.   |  |
| 171   | Sen. Dukes       | Asks if the IMST had available to them NMFSí pit tag study from Rice Island when they did their predation technical report.  |  |
| 173   | Norris           | Responds that they did.  |  |
| 190   | Rep. Kruse       | Notes that both of the technical reports recommend coordination and study, and asks how long it will be before action plans come out.  |  |
| 200   | Norris           | Responds that this is a difficult question to answer.  |  |

| 212     | Rep. Kruse     | Comments that he would like to see stronger, more defined recommendations in the future.  |
|---------|----------------|---|
| 226     | Norris         | Notes that the hatchery report was a phase one report, and that subsequent phases should accomplish what Rep. Kruse is asking for.  |
| 236     | Chair Messerle | States that as policy makers, legislators are looking for a comfort level.  |
| 242     | Norris         | Continues testimony by discussing the IMSTis letter reports.  |
| 272     | Norris         | Continues testimony by discussing IMST projects that are in progress.   |
| 332     | Sen. Tarno     | Asks if the IMST has received any feedback from ODFW regarding the hatchery program technical report.   |
| 336     | Norris         | Responds that they have not had any feedback in regard to the recommendations in the report.  |
| 353     | Rep. Leonard   | Noting Dr. Norrisí earlier reference to the issue of removal of gravel in streams, asks if this includes removal of gravel in rivers.   |
| 357     | Norris         | Responds that it does.  |
| 358     | Rep. Leonard   | Notes that in the Governorís Executive Order DSL is directed to work to close<br>priority areas. Asks what knowledge the IMST has of gravel removal in the<br>Lower Willamette River and its effect on the migration of salmonids.  |
| 369     | Norris         | Responds that the IMST has not started this project yet, but they have been doing some preliminary scoping of the issue.  |
| 382     | Rep. Leonard   | Notes ODFWis concern that mining activities on Ross Island are affecting<br>salmonids believed to be in the lagoon area of the island. Asks what information<br>beyond this does the IMST need to make a conclusion that this kind of an<br>activity should not continue. |
| 390     | Norris         | Clarifies that the IMST is not going to make a decision or recommendation as to whether something should or shouldnít continue. Responds that they will explain as best as they can what the consequences are of several different courses of action.                     |
| TAPE 19 | , A            |   |
| 009     | Rep. Leonard   | Asks if the IMST has reviewed any of the information concerning the effect of gravel removal on salmonids.  |

| 010 | Norris       | Responds that they have not.  |
|-----|--------------|---|
| 011 | Rep. Leonard | Asks if any individual member of the IMST has reviewed this information.  |
| 012 | Norris       | Responds that the IMST has not constituted this work.   |
| 014 | Rep. Leonard | Asks how long the IMST expects this study to take, and will the study specifically look at the operation at Ross Island.  |
| 017 | Norris       | States that he is unable to answer the second question because the IMST has not scoped this work out. Responds that the length of the study will depend on the approach the IMST takes. |
| 037 | Rep. Leonard | Asks Dr. Norris if it is his understanding that the Executive Order requires cooperation between state agencies beyond levels that existed prior to the Executive Order.                |
| 045 | Norris       | Responds that he is unable to answer this question because he sees this as a matter of policy, not a scientific issue.  |
| 049 | Rep. Leonard | Asks what the intent of the Executive Order is.   |
| 051 | Norris       | Responds that the intent is for state agencies to not prevent the recovery of the depressed stocks of wild salmonids in the state.  |
| 055 | Rep. Jenson  | Asks if the IMST looked at the assumptions of other scientific panels.  |
| 064 | Norris       | Responds that they did.   |
| 067 | Rep. Jenson  | Notes that if these assumptions were all the same, one would anticipate that their findings would be basically the same.  |
| 074 | Norris       | States that this seems like a logical assumption.   |
| 075 | Rep. Jenson  | Asks if ODFW needs to carry out the eight recommendations before the IMST can provide the committee with more accurate information about the effect of hatcheries.                      |
| 080 | Norris       | Responds that the recommendations the IMST made need to be taken into consideration as the state plans future hatchery operations, in the context of the Oregon Plan.                   |
| 095 | Rep. Jenson  | Referring to the IMSTis findings on predation, asks for clarification that their  |

| Norris Rep. Jenson Chair Messerle Norris Rep. Lundquist Norris Rep. Lundquist | Responds that the IMST tried to convey that the size of the predation problem cannot be determined.         Notes that there could be a tendency for people to read the IMST report and conclude that predation does not have an impact on fish populations.         Asks if the IMST included data from Canada in their study of hatcheries.         Responds that their report looked at whether the Oregon Plan has incorporated the common conclusions that came from three independent science reports. Notes that subsequent phase reports should reflect the information Chair Messerle inquired about.         Commends Dr. Norris for staying out of the policy aspects of the issues. Asks Dr. Norris to explain the conclusion that recent declines in salmonid abundance are due to unfavorable ocean and freshwater conditions.         Responds that this was an attempt to make a generalized statement, rather than to cite proof. Explains why the IMST made this statement.         Asks for clarification that they do not have quantified answers on the ocean. |
|---|---|
| Chair Messerle Norris Rep. Lundquist Rep. Lundquist Rep. Lundquist            | <ul> <li>conclude that predation does not have an impact on fish populations.</li> <li>Asks if the IMST included data from Canada in their study of hatcheries.</li> <li>Responds that their report looked at whether the Oregon Plan has incorporated the common conclusions that came from three independent science reports. Notes that subsequent phase reports should reflect the information Chair Messerle inquired about.</li> <li>Commends Dr. Norris for staying out of the policy aspects of the issues. Asks Dr. Norris to explain the conclusion that recent declines in salmonid abundance are due to unfavorable ocean and freshwater conditions.</li> <li>Responds that this was an attempt to make a generalized statement, rather than to cite proof. Explains why the IMST made this statement.</li> </ul>   |
| Norris Rep. Lundquist Rep. Lundquist Rep. Lundquist                           | Responds that their report looked at whether the Oregon Plan has incorporated the common conclusions that came from three independent science reports. Notes that subsequent phase reports should reflect the information Chair Messerle inquired about.         Commends Dr. Norris for staying out of the policy aspects of the issues. Asks Dr. Norris to explain the conclusion that recent declines in salmonid abundance are due to unfavorable ocean and freshwater conditions.         Responds that this was an attempt to make a generalized statement, rather than to cite proof. Explains why the IMST made this statement.   |
| Rep. Lundquist<br>Norris<br>Rep. Lundquist                                    | <ul> <li>the common conclusions that came from three independent science reports.<br/>Notes that subsequent phase reports should reflect the information Chair<br/>Messerle inquired about.</li> <li>Commends Dr. Norris for staying out of the policy aspects of the issues. Asks<br/>Dr. Norris to explain the conclusion that recent declines in salmonid abundance<br/>are due to unfavorable ocean and freshwater conditions.</li> <li>Responds that this was an attempt to make a generalized statement, rather than to<br/>cite proof. Explains why the IMST made this statement.</li> </ul>   |
| Norris<br>Rep. Lundquist  | Dr. Norris to explain the conclusion that recent declines in salmonid abundance<br>are due to unfavorable ocean and freshwater conditions.<br>Responds that this was an attempt to make a generalized statement, rather than to<br>cite proof. Explains why the IMST made this statement.   |
| Rep. Lundquist  | cite proof. Explains why the IMST made this statement.  |
|   | Asks for clarification that they do not have quantified answers on the ocean.   |
|   |   |
| Norris  | Responds that this is his conclusion.   |
| Rep. King   | Notes that predation may have a significant impact on remaining populations.<br>Asks if the IMST has registered high densities of pinnipeds at the time of salmon returns.  |
| Norris  | Responds that the IMST did not, but they relied on information from ODFW and NMFS about where pinniped abundance is fairly high.  |
| Rep. King   | Asks for clarification that even though it is known where these concentrations are, the IMST is still not able to have any understanding of what kind of predatory impact they have on the returning population.  |
| Norris  | Responds that this is the IMSTis view.  |
| Rep. King   | Asks if there is any electronic technology available that could show them what kind of fish are entering an estuary and how many get a certain distance down stream.  |
|   | Norris<br>Rep. King<br>Norris   |

| 204     | Norris            | Responds that there are several watersheds on the coast where ODFW is concentrating their studies.  |
|---------|-------------------|---|
| 210     | Rep. King         | Asks for clarification that it could be a couple of years before seeing those kind of counts.   |
| 211     | Norris            | Responds that this is correct, if talking about effects on adults. Notes that it gets more difficult when talking about predation effects on smolts.  |
| 216     | Rep. Jenson       | Asks if the IMST's analysis has gone far enough to determine that there are multiple causes to this problem.  |
| 222     | Norris            | Responds that the IMST has not conducted an explicit study. Notes that this is exactly what the phraseology used early in the report says.  |
| 232     | Rep. Jenson       | Asks for clarification that the advice from scientists to policy makers would be to not look for simplistic answers to this problem.  |
| 236     | Norris            | Responds that this is correct.  |
| 238     | Sen. Ferrioli     | Notes that the way these issues are communicated is just as important as the substance of the communication. Comments on the approach the IMST is taking in studying these issues.  |
| 278     | Chair Messerle    | Referring to Dr. Norrisí response to a letter from Rep. Thompson, questions whether adequate information and data is being used as limitations are put on the commercial fisheries.   |
| 293     | Norris            | Notes that this point was clear in Rep. Thompson's letter. States that the point the IMST was making in their reply is that they are not trying to set standards and that different bodies will probably reach different conclusions. |
| 316     | Chair Messerle    | Notes that they are all in a learning mode.   |
| WILLAN  | AETTE RESTORATION | BOARD UPDATE  |
| 334     | Dr. Paul Risser   | Chair, Willamette Restoration Board. Submits written material (EXHIBIT B) and updates the committee on the activities of the Board.   |
| 397     | Risser            | Continues testimony by discussing the strategies the Board will use for addressing the Willamette Restoration Initiative.   |
| TAPE 18 | , B               |   |

| 020 | Risser         | Continues testimony by discussing the Boardís goals.   |
|-----|----------------|--|
| 064 | Risser         | Continues testimony by discussing other steps the Board is taking  |
| 099 | Chair Messerle | Asks Dr. Risser to expand on his comment that one of the Boardís responsibilities would be to write the Willamette chapter of the Oregon Plan.   |
| 103 | Risser         | Responds that this is directed in the Governorís Executive Order.  |
| 109 | Rick Bastasch  | Director, Willamette Restoration Initiative. Reads the section of the Executive<br>Order that directs the Board to do this.  |
| 119 | Chair Messerle | Notes that the Legislature did not adopt the Oregon Plan, they just funded it, and this language is new to them.   |
| 127 | Rep. Kruse     | Expresses concern that there appears to be one set of rules for the Willamette<br>Basin and one set of rules for the rest of the state. Notes that the membership of<br>the Board appears to be top heavy. Expresses concern about the American<br>Heritage River program. |
| 148 | Risser         | Notes that what happens in the Willamette Valley, if done right, may be a model for other states. States that the Board does not want to be top heavy. Comments on the American Heritage River program.  |
| 169 | Rep. Kruse     | Questions whether what is done in the Willamette Valley can be a model for<br>other parts of the state since some areas have had watershed programs in place<br>for over 10 years.   |
| 186 | Risser         | Clarifies that this is an effort that wants to draw the best ideas.  |
| 190 | Rep. Leonard   | Notes that there are a number of people from urban areas that are interested in cleaning up the Willamette. States that people from rural areas have to be a little trusting of people in urban areas so this is viewed as a cooperative effort.                           |
| 226 | Chair Messerle | Notes that what they have learned on the coast is the need for open<br>communication and the need to involve people who are going to be doing the<br>work. Expresses concern about the Board writing the Willamette chapter of the<br>Oregon Plan.                         |
| 253 | Risser         | Clarifies that the Board is not sure how they are going to do this. Notes that they will approach this in a way that involves the Legislature and state agencies.  |
| 269 | Sen. Ferrioli  | Asks Mr. Bastasch who is paying his salary.  |

| 272             | Bastasch       | Responds that his salary is paid through contributions from five federal agencies.  |
|-----------------|----------------|---|
| 297             | Risser         | Clarifies that Board staff will write the report, but they want to be sure they put it into a broader perspective of the whole Willamette Restoration Initiative and not just the Oregon Plan.                          |
| 304             | Bastasch       | Comments that the Board is working to better understand the Oregon Plan and<br>they look forward to continuing to work with state and federal agencies with an<br>eye toward involving different groups in the process. |
| 324             | Chair Messerle | Announces that they will be setting up two subcommittees for the committee.   |
| 332             | Sen. Ferrioli  | Comments that he is encouraged by the fact that there are people who want to get involved in this process. Explains why the Co-Chairs decided to form subcommittees.  |
| 383             | Chair Messerle | Opens the public hearing on SB 130.   |
| <u>SB 130 ñ</u> | PUBLIC HEARING |   |
| 385             | Judith Callens | Committee Administrator. Explains the provisions of SB 130.   |
| TAPE 19         | , B            |   |
| 020             | Kay Brown      | Department of Fish and Wildlife. Submits and reads written testimony in support of SB 130 (EXHIBIT C).  |
| 049             | Rep. Morgan    | Asks Ms. Brown to explain how the hatchbox program works.   |
| 051             | Brown          | Explains a hatchbox operation.  |
| 060             | Chair Messerle | Asks what the survival rate of eggs is for a naturally spawning female salmon [question inaudible at times].  |
|                 | Brown          | Responds that she is unable to give an exact number, but they do know that fish that are raised in a hatchery situation in the young stages survive significantly   |
| 064             |                | better than fish in the wild. Notes that the reverse is true in the later stages of life.   |
| 064             | Chair Messerle | better than fish in the wild. Notes that the reverse is true in the later stages of life.         Notes that the survival rate number from last session was about 10%.  |

| 077 | Chair Messerle | Notes that Sen. Tarno can speak to this when he testifies.   |
|-----|----------------|--|
| 079 | Sen. Nelson    | Asks if there has been any studies of when a fish becomes a wild fish.   |
| 082 | Brown          | Responds that there are administrative rules that establish when a fish becomes a wild fish.   |
| 088 | Rep. Starr     | Asks if it is possible to catch the eggs of a wild salmon and use them in a hatchbox program.  |
| 093 | Brown          | Responds that this does occur.   |
| 102 | Chair Messerle | Asks if ODFW is preparing to review the wild fish policy.  |
| 104 | Brown          | Responds that the Governorís Executive Order requires ODFW to review this policy.  |
| 111 | Sen. Ferrioli  | Asks if there is evidence that wild fish are more viable than hatchbox fish.   |
| 118 | Brown          | Responds that there has been a lot of discussion about this issue.   |
| 127 | Sen. Ferrioli  | States that he would like a bibliography and information on what scientific basis there is for making statements about wild fish versus hatchery fish.   |
| 147 | Brown          | Notes that ODFW would be happy to provide this information.  |
| 156 | Chair Messerle | Notes that this information would be helpful when the committee is dealing with bills such as SB 130.  |
| 162 | Jim Myron      | Oregon Trout. Submits written testimony ( <b>EXHIBIT D</b> ) and testifies on his organizationís concerns with SB 130.                                   |
| 209 | Rep. Kruse     | Asks for an estimate on how long it would take to develop these protocols.   |
| 212 | Myron          | Responds that it would not take more than six months to put together a reasonable protocol.  |
| 220 | Sen. Ferrioli  | Notes that it would be helpful for the committee to have some notion of the relative risks of the decisions and then look at the quality of the science. |
| 240 | Myron          | Agrees with Sen. Ferrioliís comments. Notes that when the STEP program was adopted 15 years ago, it started out primarily as a hatchbox program.         |

| 259 | Janice Green   | Representing Oregonians for Fish and Fishing. Explains her organizationis purpose. States that her organization would like to offer recommendations to the committee regarding SB 130 at a later time. |
|-----|----------------|--|
| 295 | Green          | Testifies on the wild fish policy and the hatchbox program.  |
| 327 | Green          | Continues testimony by discussing the issue of science in regard to the hatchbox program.  |
| 354 | Chair Messerle | Notes that the committee will not hold a work session on SB 130 until they hear from more organizations like Ms. Greenís.  |
| 361 | Phil Donovan   | Representing Oregon Guides and Packers. Testifies in support of SB 130.  |
| 385 | Ken Evans      | Representing the Fisheries Restoration and Enhancement Coalition. Testifies in support of SB 130.  |
| 419 | Rep. Leonard   | Asks whether Ms. Greenís organization supports or opposes the bill.  |
| 426 | Green          | Clarifies that her organization supports SB 130 and they would like an opportunity to comment on the bill in more detail at a later time.  |
| 461 | Sen. Tarno     | States that he will reserve his comments for when the committee holds a work session on the bill.  |
| 463 | Chair Messerle | Closes the public hearing on SB 130. Adjourns the committee at 6:29 p.m.   |

Submitted By, Reviewed By,

Stephen Kosiewicz, Judith Callens,

Administrative Support Administrator

## EXHIBIT SUMMARY

A ñ Independent Multidisciplinary Science Team report, written material, Dr. Logan Norris, 29 pp. B ñ Willamette Restoration Board update, written material, Dr. Paul Risser, 4 pp. C ñ SB 130, written testimony, Kay Brown, 1 p D ñ SB 130, written testimony, Jim Myron, 1 p