### JOINT COMMITTEE ON STREAM RESTORATION

#### AND SPECIES RECOVERY

April 26, 1999 Hearing Room 50

7:00 AM Tapes 102 - 103

**MEMBERS PRESENT: Sen. Ted Ferrioli, Co-Chair** 

Rep. Ken Messerle, Co-Chair Sen. Joan Dukes, Vice-Chair Rep. Bob Jenson, Vice-Chair Sen. David Nelson Sen. Frank Shields Sen. Veral Tarno Rep. Al King Rep. Jeff Kruse Rep. Randy Leonard Rep. Lynn Lundquist Rep. Susan Morgan Rep. Bruce Starr Rep. Terry Thompson

STAFF PRESENT: Judith Callens, Administrator

Stephen Kosiewicz, Administrative Support

MEASURE/ISSUES HEARD: HB 3071 Public Hearing and Work Session

HB 2881 Public Hearing and Work Session

HB 3225 Public Hearing

These minutes are in compliance with Senate and House Rules. Only text enclosed in quotation marks reports a speaker's exact words. For complete contents, please refer to the tapes.

TAPE/#	Speaker	Comments	
TAPE 102,	TAPE 102, A		
004	Chair Messerle	Calls the committee to order at 7:15 a.m. Announces change in todayís agenda. Opens the public hearing on HB 3071.	
<u>HB 3071 ñ</u>	PUBLIC HEARING		
008	Judith Callens	Committee Administrator. Explains the provisions of the HB 3071-2 amendments.	
046	Jim Myron	Oregon Trout. Testifies in opposition to the ñ2 amendments.	
060	Chair Messerle	Asks for clarification that there had been a discussion of aquatic weeds in regard to this issue as well.	
063	Myron	Responds that they did this discussion. Notes that ODA already has statutes that seem to adequately cover weeds.	
066	Lindsay Ball	Fish and Wildlife Division Captain, Oregon State Police. Submits and reads written testimony regarding HB 3071 (EXHIBIT A).	
097	Rep. Jenson	Asks for clarification that the exemption provided in section 5 of the ñ2 amendments is one that is not currently provided to this industry.	
112	Ball	Responds that this is correct.	
114	Callens	Clarifies that in comparison to the HB 3071-1 amendments, the ñ2 amendments only add the exemption in section 5.	
121	Sen. Tarno	Asks for clarification that this only pertains to a criminal action, not a civil action.	
122	Ball	Responds that this is correct.	
125	Rep. Thompson	Asks if there has ever been a case of someone illegally transporting invasive species.	
127	Ball	Responds that he is not aware of any.	
134	Chair Messerle	Closes the public hearing and opens the work session on HB 3071.	

## HB 3071 ñ WORK SESSION

136	Sen. Tarno	MOTION: Moves to ADOPT HB 3071-2 amendments dated 4/23/99.
139	Rep. Kruse	Expresses concern about providing an exemption that does not currently exist.
150	Rep. Jenson	Questions why the ñ2 amendments are needed.
157	Rep. Morgan	States that giving the industry the exemption that is included in the ñ2 amendments would remove a tool out of the toolbox of the OSP, and this is not a good way to be writing this piece of legislation.
162	Sen. Dukes	Notes that ballast water is one of the biggest ways invasive species get into Oregon waters and that giving an exemption for ballast water does not make sense to her.
166	Sen. Ferrioli	Comments that the shipping industry is in the process of conducting a survey and that the exemption was connected to the completion of this survey. Notes that the ñ2 amendments reflect the idea that there should be no attempt at prosecution during the time the industry is conducting their survey.
195	Chair Messerle	Notes that it was his understanding that it was intentional for the purpose of transporting invasive species, not just knowingly. Asks Captain Ball to come back up and clarify things for the committee.
197	Ball	Explains that mental culpability must be established in order to convict someone of a crime.
214	Rep. Lundquist	Comments that he sees the exemption as a reasonable approach.
226	Sen. Tarno	Notes that the crime is knowingly transporting and that no shipping firm would probably knowingly transport an invasive species.
238	Rep. Kruse	Questions whether it is premature to be making law around this issue.
253	Sen. Shields	Asks what the effect of the legislation would be without section 5.
265	Callens	Responds that the ñ1 amendments contain everything in the ñ2 amendments except the exemption in section 5.
273	Sen. Shields	Asks whether passing HB 3071 without the exemption would put some pressure on the industry to complete their survey.

283	Ball	Notes that all laws are written so they create a deterrent effect as well. Responds that he is unable to address whether or not this would put pressure on the shipping industry.
306	Rep. Jenson	Comments that the shipping industry might be more concerned about the possibility of civil action.
327	Rep. Starr	Asks for clarification that if an individual in the shipping industry wanted to introduce an invasive species into Oregon waters, and the exemption is in place, this individual would not be subject to prosecution.
334	Ball	Responds that it would be much more difficult for a district attorney to prosecute an individual under these circumstances.
338	Sen. Dukes	Comments that the ñ2 amendments is the wrong approach to take.
369	Rep. Thompson	Notes that the main intention in introducing HB 3071 was to stop the transport of mitten crabs.
376	Sen. Nelson	Questions whether HB 3071 is needed at all. Asks for clarification that a person could be charged under present law for transporting an invasive species into Oregon waters.
386	Ball	Responds that this is not entirely correct. Notes that the present laws pertain to invasive fish species.
393	Sen. Nelson	Asks for clarification that there would be a substantial change in present law if they pass HB 3071.
394	Ball	Responds that this is correct.
397	Sen. Nelson	Asks how they would go about proving that someone knowingly transported an invasive species in ballast water.
401	Ball	Responds that they have not been able to do it yet.
405	Rep. Kruse	Asks how long it would take ODFW to develop a list of invasive species.
413	Joe Rohleder	Assistant to the Director, Department of Fish and Wildlife. Responds that it could take at least six months.
TAPE 1(	)3, A	

		VOTE: 5-9 AYE: 5 - King, Lundquist, Nelson, Tarno, Ferrioli NAY: 9 - Dukes, Jenson, Kruse, Leonard, Morgan, Shields, Starr, Thompson, Messerle
025	Chair Messerle	The motion FAILS.
Committee	e members ask for clarificat	ion on the vote count.
035	Callens	Notes that the committee rules require that a majority of each chamber's members vote in favor to pass a measure.
040	Rep. King	MOTION: Moves to ADOPT HB 3071-1 amendments dated 4/9/99.
042	Rep. Jenson	Notes that he cannot support HB 3071 without clarification on the financial burden this places on cities and counties.
053	Ball	Explains that most of the burden would be at the county level under class A misdemeanor penalties.
076	Rep. Thompson	Notes that the burden of the loss of the fishery is what is costing state and local government millions of dollars now.
082	Sen. Tarno	Asks if ñ1 amendments require ODFW to check the ballast water of vessels coming into Oregon ports.
094	Rohleder	Responds that he is unable to interpret section 4 of the ñ1 amendments well enough to answer the question. States that he would not assume that ODFW would be in the process of issuing ballast water permits.
102	Chair Messerle	States that he did not think a permit would be required unless a person intended to bring in exotic species.
105	Rohleder	Notes that this section was written with the idea that it would allow flexibility in moving species that could be beneficial in some cases.
110	Sen. Dukes	States that she is interested in seeing the list of invasive plant species that ODFW develops. Comments on the threat of mitten crabs.
122	Rep. Kruse	States that he would be more comfortable creating a new law based on a known list.

132	Rohleder	Notes that new invasive species comes to ODFW's attention very rapidly. Comments on why ODFW needs this higher class of invasive species that is different than the species covered by their wildlife integrity rules.
		VOTE: 10-4
		AYE: 10 - Dukes, King, Leonard, Lundquist, Morgan, Shields, Starr, Thompson, Ferrioli, Messerle
		NAY: 4 - Jenson, Kruse, Nelson, Tarno
160	Chair Messerle	The motion CARRIES.
162	Rep. Thompson	MOTION: Moves HB 3071 to the floor with a DO PASS AS AMENDED recommendation.
		VOTE: 10-4
		AYE: 10 - Dukes, King, Leonard, Lundquist, Morgan, Shields, Starr, Thompson, Ferrioli, Messerle
		NAY: 4 - Jenson, Kruse, Nelson, Tarno
173	Chair Messerle	The motion CARRIES.
		REP. THOMPSON will lead discussion on the floor.
177	Chair Messerle	Closes the work session on HB 3071 and opens the public hearing on HB 2881.
HB 2881	ñ PUBLIC HEARING	
182	Callens	Explains the provisions of the HB 2881-1 amendments.
214	Jon Chandler	Director of Government Affairs, Oregon Building Industry Association. Testifies in support of HB 2881 and the ñ1 amendments.
250	Chandler	Continues testimony by explaining the proposed amendment that they want to put into HB 2881 when it comes back to the committee on the Senate side.
268	Sen. Dukes	Asks Mr. Chandler why they do not make this group a subcommittee of this committee rather than a task force.
287	Chandler	Responds that all they want is a legislatively compromised committee to look at this issue.

022	Sen. Dukes	MOTION: Moves to AMEND HB 2881-1 amendments on page 1, by deleting section 1, subsection 1 and changing the references to "task force" to "an appropriate interim legislative committee".
HB 2881	ñ WORK SESSION	
021	Chair Messerle	Closes the public hearing and opens the work session on HB 2881.
017	Rep. Kruse	Notes that interim committees and task forces fall under the same proportionality rules as session committees do, so this issue would be addressed.
005	Chandler	Comments that this was the intent.
004	Chair Messerle	States that he is not opposed to a bipartisan committee.
TAPE 10	2, B	
409	Rep. King	Comments on the number of members on the task force.
399	Chair Messerle	Expresses concern about overloading this committee.
385	Rep. King	Questions whether this committee is the appropriate body to deal with this issue.
375	Stonebrink	Notes what the Clean Water Act can do with non-point source pollution.
351	Pete Test	Oregon Farm Bureau. Notes that the decision in the Camp Creek case did not deal directly with non-point source pollution. Comments that the Farm Bureau did not support the original version of HB 2881, but they do support it with the ñ1 amendments.
346	Stonebrink	Responds that non-point source pollution is not addressed in the ESA.
344	Rep. Leonard	Asks if non-point source pollution can be regulated under the ESA.
320	Glen Stonebrink	Oregon Cattlemenís Association. States that his organization does not have any problems with HB 2881 if the ñ1 amendments are adopted. Notes his organizationís concerns if the amendments are not adopted.
308	Rep. Morgan	States that the need to address water quality standards of storm water runoff is going to become more important.

h		
036	Rep. Leonard	Comments that the study outlined in section 1, subsection 2(A) through 2(E) does not require looking at what storm water may cause in terms of pollution.
054	Rep. Morgan	Asks Sen. Dukes to amend her motion to include a subsection 2(F) that deals with urban in-fill issues.
058	Sen. Dukes	States that she does not have a problem with this.
063	Chandler	Clarifies that the amendment Rep. Morgan has suggested is in Legislative Counsel and they will try to incorporate this into the bill when it comes back to the committee on the Senate side. Responds to Rep. Leonard's comments by noting that what they are attempting to do with the ñ1 amendments is to try and get away from the issue of standards.
082	Sen. Dukes	Withdraws her previous motion. Notes that she is doing this so they can get the language regarding the in-fill amendment from Legislative Counsel.
086	Chair Messerle	States that this would be the Chairís preference.
088	Rep. Lundquist	Asks if the majority of the quorum specified in the bill in regard to voting by the committee is standard.
092	Callens	Responds that she would have to check with Legislative Counsel on this.
098	Chair Messerle	Closes the work session on HB 2881 and opens the public hearing on HB 3225.

# HB 3225 ñ PUBLIC HEARING

107	Deborah Boone	Coordinator, Necanicum Watershed Council. Testifies on her councilís suggestions for changes to the HB 3225-2 amendments.
162	Rep. Kruse	Asks for clarification that the council just looked at the ñ2 amendments and not the ñ5 amendments.
163	Boone	Responds that they like the ñ2 amendments.
165	Rep. Kruse	Asks Ms. Boone if her council looked at the ñ5 amendments.
166	Boone	Responds that they did.
170	Rick Sohn	Chair, Umpqua Basin Watershed Council. Submits written testimony <b>(EXHIBIT B)</b> and testifies on the work he has done regarding the Measure 66 legislation. Notes that he has not had time to develop a thorough presentation to the

		committee on HB 3225.
211	Eric Carlson	Coordinator, Clackamas River Basin Council. Submits written material <b>(EXHIBIT C)</b> and testifies on his council's concerns with the ñ5 amendments.
269	Sohn	Continues testimony by reviewing his council's feedback on the ñ5 amendments.
311	Sohn	Continues reviewing his council's feedback on the ñ5 amendments.
322	Chair Messerle	Explains that this week the committee will be focusing on the other bills that need to be moved out, and that they will focus on HB 3225 next week. States that tomorrow's meeting will run late into the evening. Adjourns the committee at 8:33 a.m.

Submitted By, Reviewed By,

Stephen Kosiewicz, Judith Callens,

Administrative Support Administrator

### EXHIBIT SUMMARY

- A ñ HB 3071, written testimony, Lindsay Ball, 2 pp.
- B ñ HB 3225, written testimony, Rick Sohn, pp. [exhibit missing]
- C ñ HB 3225, written material, Eric Carlson, 5 pp.