SENATE COMMITTEE ON HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

January 20, 1999 Hearing Room B

8:00 AM Tapes 4 - 5

MEMBERS PRESENT: Sen. Bill Fisher, Chair

Sen. Frank Shields, Vice-Chair Sen. Susan Castillo Sen. Verne Duncan Sen. Marylin Shannon

MEMBER EXCUSED:

STAFF PRESENT: Sandy Thiele-Cirka, Administrator

Becky Albert, Administrative Support

MEASURES HEARD: SB 236 Work Session

SB 14 Public Hearing

SB 140 Public Hearing

SB 205 Public Hearing and Work Session

These minutes are in compliance with Senate and House Rules. Only text enclosed in quotation marks reports a speaker's exact words. For complete contents, please refer to the tapes.

| TAPE/# | Speaker | Comments |
|-----------|--------------|--|
| TAPE 4, A | | |
| 000 | Chair Fisher | Opens meeting at 8:05 a.m. and addresses committee meeting time. Opens work session on SB 236. Introduces committee staff. |
| | | |

SB 236 WORK SESSION

| 031 | Sen. Duncan | MOTION: Moves SB 236 to the floor WITHOUT RECOMMENDATION as to passage and BE REFERRED to the committee on Judiciary. | |
|-----------------|---------------|--|--|
| | | VOTE: 4-0 | |
| | | EXCUSED: 1 ñ Shannon | |
| | Chair Fisher | Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED. | |
| 036 | Chair Fisher | Closes work session on SB 236 and opens public hearing on SB 14. | |
| <u>SB 14 PU</u> | BLIC HEARING | | |
| 050 | Claudia Black | Oregon Health Division. Introduces Edward Johnson (State Registrar for Vital Records). Requests a later hearing date for SB 14. | |
| 059 | Charles Stern | Yamhill County Clerk and chair of Recording and Public Records Subcommittee of the Oregon Association of County Clerks. Comments on a draft bill pending. Comments on defrocking those who have performed marriage ceremonies. | |
| 069 | Sen. Shields | Questions why the marriage record is recorded once. | |
| 072 | Stern | Responds he is not sure, it is included in current law. | |
| 082 | Chair Fisher | States there is some record of those who perform ceremonies. | |
| 090 | Stern | Comments there are ways to track the authority of those who are performing ceremonies. | |
| 097 | Sen. Duncan | Comments on experiences as chair of National Commission on Education Credits and Credentials, and notes concerns regarding the minimal requirements to acquire certificates. | |
| 114 | Chair Fisher | States SB 14 will be addressed at a future date. States the relating clause may not address all of the issues. Closes public hearing on SB 14 and opens public hearing on SB 140. | |
| SB 140 P | UBLIC HEARING | | |
| | | | |

| 141 | Michael A. Maier | Deschutes County Administrator. Testifies in support of SB 140 and submits (EXHIBIT A). Comments on the importance of self-insurance plans. States the private sector does not have minimum requirements for insurance of employees. States SB 140 lowers the number of employees needed to utilize self-insurance. It expands the amount of employers who may have the option to self-insure. |
|-----|------------------|---|
| 188 | Sen. Shields | Asks if Deschutes County could utilize self-insurance if the requirement were lowered to 500 employees. |
| 192 | Maier | Explains there is an option in current law to allow employers with less than 1,000 employees to utilize self-insurance, but it is a complex option. |
| 207 | Chair Fisher | Comments on the 100 employee figure and self-insurance. |
| 214 | Maier | Responds some private sector employers with 100 to 150 employees have used self-insurance. Comments on a company they have worked with in the past. |
| 232 | Sen. Castillo | Asks if this would be treated differently than private companies. Asks if private companies would be affected by various mandates. |
| 242 | Maier | Responds they would probably follow the current mandates and still require oversight. Explains they have a program in Deschutes County with a benefits advisory committee to bring different entities together to implement one plan, rather than multiple plans. |
| 273 | Sen. Castillo | Asks if other counties are implementing these types of programs. |
| 274 | Michael | States many are waiting to see how it works in Deschutes County. Notes Deschutes County would like to implement the plan, which others would use as a model. |
| 294 | Chair Fisher | Asks if Bend has an acceptable amount of employees for the program. |
| 295 | Maier | Responds they do not have an adequate amount of employees. |
| 301 | Chair Fisher | Asks if this would fall under the rules of combining two or more that could collaborate together. |
| 303 | Maier | Responds it is possible. |
| 312 | Sen. Shields | Refers to EXHIBIT A , page 1. Asks if it was the intention to leave the number at 1,000 employees. |
| 320 | Michael | Responds to reduce the minimum to 500, so the county could comply. |

| 325 | Sen. Shields | Discusses the difference between 500 and 1,000. |
|---------|--------------------------|--|
| 340 | Maier | Comments on the wording of "or" and that it does not take many employees to create a \$500,000 premium. |
| 343 | Chair Fisher | Asks about who has the \$500,000 premium. |
| 345 | Maier | Clarifies who has it. |
| 349 | Sen. Shields | Comments on possible combinations and numbers of employees that could create the various premiums. |
| 359 | Maier | Comments one could have ten organizations with ten employees and have a \$500,000 premium combined, with less than 100 employees, and still comply. |
| 375 | Sen. Duncan | Asks how many employees Deschutes County has. |
| 377 | Maier | States, on an average, 750 employees. |
| 380 | Sen. Castillo | Asks about policies relating to the current minimum requirement level. |
| 384 | Michael | Responds he assumes it is a fairly old number. |
| 390 | Sen. Castillo | Asks if anyone is present who could determine why the initial numbers were set where they are. |
| 401 | Chair Fisher | Calls Mark Nelson and Bruce Bishop to testify. |
| 409 | Mark Nelson | Oregon Clinical Social Workers. States their concerns are the mandates. Refers to the mandates mentioned on page 1, line 30 of SB 140, relating to mental health, chemical dependency, etc. |
| 450 | Bruce Bishop | Attorney, Harrang Long Gary Rudnick, P.C., Kaiser Permanente, and chair of Associated Oregon Industries Health Care Committee. States the organizations he represents do not have a position concerning the threshold of self-insured public employees, but is concerned about the benefit mandate issue. Explains that current law requires mandated benefits be applied to self-insured public employers. |
| 500 | Bishop | Comments on confusion over mandated benefits. |
| TAPE 4, | B (Tape out of sequence) | |

| 001 | Bishop | Comments on the provision in ORS 743, relating to self-insurance mandates. Provides an example of continuation of insurance coverage that may not have mandated benefits. Notes the confusion as to which parts of the insurance codes employers need to comply with. |
|-----|--------------|--|
| 018 | Chair Fisher | Clarifies this would not affect the change of group numbers to 1,000. |
| 021 | Bishop | Agrees. Comments currently self-insured public employers might vary in their understanding of which coverage needs to be offered. States as more entities are allowed to self-insure there may be greater variations as to what coverage is given to employees. |
| 030 | Chair Fisher | Asks if Bishop has clarification language. |
| 031 | Bishop | States he has no amendments at this time, but has some suggestions. |
| 038 | Chair Fisher | Invites Peggy Anet to provide background. |
| 042 | Sen. Duncan | Questions Nelsonís concern. |
| 047 | Peggy Anet | Comments in answer to Sen. Castilloís question regarding the origin of the 1,000 employee minimum. States the numbers were established to ensure the public sector entities that were self-insured were large enough to assume liability, in the event there were problems with the program. |
| 056 | Chair Fisher | Comments on liability. |
| 059 | Anet | Comments on the risk of claims. Comments in the 1997 Session, when the bill was amended to add school districts into it, there was still a lack of clarity on the part of Department of Consumer and Business Services (DCBS) as to how to treat public sector self-insured employers. |
| 083 | Nelson | Comments further on current law relating to eligibility. |
| 092 | Sen. Shields | Asks if it would be logical to raise the \$500,000 figure, to account for inflation. |
| 097 | Anet | Suggests the figure could have been initiated in 1982. Will provide the committee with inflation rates. |
| 103 | Sen. Shields | Questions if municipalities moved to self-insurance, how that would effect private sector entities and other insurance companies. |
| 112 | Bishop | Responds that many employers have moved from self-insurance back to group insurance, due to liability risk. |

| 126 | Anet | Comments that groups of that size would be experience rated and that would mean rates would be established based on the group. Discusses the reinsurance costs and the retiree liability. |
|----------|----------------|---|
| 162 | Sen. Duncan | Asks Nelson about Bishopís amendment suggestions. |
| 165 | Nelson | Responds his concerns relate to chemical dependencies as addressed in the bill, and notes the other mandates are outside the scope of those identified in SB 140. |
| 175 | Chair Fisher | Asks about the collective opinion of inviting DCBS to speak on this topic. |
| 180 | Nelson | States that might be advisable. |
| 181 | Chair Fisher | Asks if anyone else would like to testify. Asks about retirees in Deschutes County. |
| 188 | Maier | Comments if they self-insure they are not sure how the retirees would be classified. States employees with over 30 years of county service will be treated differently than those with less than 30 years in the county system. Explains the current retiree system. States they are considering other options and that Klamath Medical Association has provided options. |
| 229 | Sen. Shields | Asks if he agrees with the competition issue. |
| 235 | Maier | Explains they are in the middle of a review and their main concern is administrative expenses. States what the changes will probably save some administration costs. |
| 271 | Chair Fisher | Closes public hearing on SB 140 as further work will be done on amendments. Opens public hearing on SB 205. |
| SB 205 P | UBLIC HEARING | <u> </u> |
| 280 | Ron Adams | Director, Oregon Youth Conservation Corps (OYCC). Presents (EXHIBIT B). Explains OYCC works with at-risk youth to place them on natural resource jobs, get them back on track, and back into school. Explains SB 205 transfers the OYCC from Oregon Commission on Children and Families (OCCF) to the Oregon Office of Community College Services (OCCS). It creates synergy between age groups and an opportunity to work with the community colleges. |
| 342 | Sen. Duncan | Declares a conflict of interest, saying "I do serve on the board of this group" and was involved in the first program of OYCC in the 1970(s. States the education connotation of SB 205 is a good match for community colleges. |
| 363 | Mickey Lansing | Deputy Director, OCCF. Explains they are present to support the transfer in SB |

| | | 205. Describes OYCC as a postitve program which would benefit from the resources offered by the transfer to OCCS. |
|---------|------------------------|--|
| 404 | Bret West | Deputy Commissioner, OCCS. States OCCS supports SB 205. |
| 427 | Chair Fisher | Asks about the fiscal adjustment. |
| TAPE 5, | A (tapes out of order) | <u>K</u> |
| 010 | West | Explains the fiscal adjustment is for \$1.5 million. States the package included the assumption of growth, although the increase was not included in the Governorís budget. |
| 033 | Chair Fisher | Continues to ask about the increase. |
| 035 | West | States these figures concern the proposed budget. |
| 188 | Sen. Shannon | States her full support of SB 205. |
| 058 | Adams | Refers to page 3, lines 7 to 32, and explains they currently seek approval of the local Children and Family Commission for the summer projects in each county. By transferring to the community colleges they would no longer be required to seek that approval. Explains he will assure the local counties that they are still in the loop, if they want to be. |
| 073 | Chair Fisher | Reiterates the way the bill is written it relieves that approval issue. |
| 077 | Adams | Explains local counties have worked well with the youth programs. Has assured county partners that want to remain "in the loop" that they are invited to continue doing so. |
| 091 | Chair Fisher | States he understands. Closes public hearing on SB 205 and opens work session on SB 205. |
| | <u>SB 205 WORK S</u> | SESSION |
| 108 | Sen. Shields | MOTION: Moves SB 205 to the floor with a DO PASS recommendation and BE REFERRED to the committee on Education by prior reference. |
| | 1 | VOTE: 5-0 |
| | | |

| | Chair Fisher | Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED. |
|-----|--------------|---|
| | | SEN. DUNCAN will lead discussion on the floor. |
| 146 | Chair Fisher | Closes work session on SB 205 and adjourns meeting at 9:15 a.m. |

Submitted By, Reviewed By,

Becky Albert, Sandy Thiele-Cirka,

Administrative Support Administrator

Transcribed By,

Jennifer Peck,

Committee Coordinator

EXHIBIT SUMMARY

A ñ SB 140, written testimony, Michael Maier, 4 pp

B ñ SB 205, written testimony and annual report, Ron Adams, 5 pp