# SENATE COMMITTEE ON HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

### January 27, 1998 Hearing Room B

8:00 AM Tapes 11 - 13

#### **MEMBERS PRESENT:**

Sen. Bill Fisher, Chair

Sen. Frank Sen. Shields, Vice-Chair Sen. Susan Castillo Sen. Verne Duncan Sen. Marylin Shannon

**STAFF PRESENT:** 

Sandy Thiele-Cirka, Administrator

Andrew Morris, Administrative Support

**MEASURES HEARD:** 

SB 33 Public Hearing and Work Session

**SB 141 Public Hearing** 

These minutes are in compliance with Senate and House Rules. Only text enclosed in quotation marks reports a speaker's exact words. For complete contents, please refer to the tapes.

| TAPE/#               | Speaker      | Comments  |  |
|----------------------|--------------|---|--|
| TAPE 11, A           | TAPE 11, A   |   |  |
| 000                  | Chair Fisher | Calls meeting to order at 8:10 AM.  |  |
| SB 33 PUBLIC HEARING |              |   |  |
| 002                  | Bob Joondeph | Executive Director, Oregon Advocacy Center. Reads testimony (EXHIBIT A) in support of SB 33. Comments that SB 33 adds the definition of provider, and |  |

|                |                              | provides clarity to how and when a physician can withdraw from providing services.  |
|----------------|------------------------------|---|
| 036            | Sen. Shields                 | Questions why the original bill needs clarification.  |
| 040            | Joondeph                     | Replies practitioners and others find current language confusing.   |
| 051            | Chair Fisher                 | Asks Joondeph to clarify "unable" on line 30 of page 1.   |
| 055            | Joondeph                     | Refers to ORS 127.717. States that if a physician cannot proceed with mental health treatment, the physician may refer the client to another physician. |
| 093            | Chair Fisher                 | States there needs to be a basic framework to follow guidelines. Closes public hearing on SB 33 and opens work session on SB 33.                        |
| <u>SB 33 W</u> | ORK SESSION                  | <u> </u>  |
| 120            | Sen. Duncan                  | MOTION: Moves SB 33 to the floor with a DO PASS recommendation.   |
|                |                              |   |
|                |                              | VOTE: 5-0<br>AYE: 5 - Castillo, Duncan, Shannon, Shields, Fisher  |
|                | Chair Fisher                 |   |
|                | Chair Fisher                 | AYE: 5 - Castillo, Duncan, Shannon, Shields, Fisher   |
| 150            | Chair Fisher<br>Chair Fisher | AYE: 5 - Castillo, Duncan, Shannon, Shields, Fisher<br>The motion CARRIES.  |
|                |                              | AYE: 5 - Castillo, Duncan, Shannon, Shields, Fisher   The motion CARRIES.   SEN. CASTILLO will lead discussion on the floor.                            |
|                | Chair Fisher                 | AYE: 5 - Castillo, Duncan, Shannon, Shields, Fisher   The motion CARRIES.   SEN. CASTILLO will lead discussion on the floor.                            |

| 220     | Bieberle          | Responds that the counties are asking providers to utilize their own funds.<br>Points out that SB 141 states that counties cannot add extraneous requests.  |
|---------|-------------------|---|
| 235     | Sen. Duncan       | Questions how widespread the problem is.  |
| 239     | Bieberle          | Responds the problem is not statewide. States that several counties have added additional requests.   |
| 248     | Duncan            | Asks if Clackamas County has been identified.   |
| 258     | Bieberle          | Responds that no one has complained about Clackamas County. States he<br>would like the Mental Health Division to produce a model budget and contracts<br>for services that would provide consistency. Requests the development of an<br>appeals process. |
| 305     | Sen. Castillo     | Asks if the problem is statewide.   |
| 309     | Bieberle          | Responds that Marion, Multnomah, and Washington counties have had the most complaints.  |
| 315     | Chair Fisher      | Asks if amendments are needed.  |
| 321     | Bieberle          | Responds no.  |
| 324     | Chair Fisher      | Adds that he has dealt with this situation in the past. Discusses the unfairness to the providers.  |
| 370     | Chair Fisher      | Notes support for SB 141.   |
| 385     | Bieberle          | Clarifies that SB 141 refers to mental health and developmentally disabled.   |
| 400     | Chair Fisher      | Invites Michael McCracken and Michael Sedlock to testify.   |
| TAPE 12 | , A               |   |
| 000     | Michael McCracken | Oregon Community Mental Health Programs. Opposes SB 141. Gives brief<br>overview of mental health history in Oregon. Notes the bill removes county<br>flexibility.  |
| 033     | Chair Fisher      | Asks if siting conditions are included in the same rules as adult foster homes.   |
| 035     | McCracken         | Responds that some are and some are not. Discusses the mentally ill facilities.   |
|         | I                 |   |

| 056 | Sen. Shannon    | Asks if McCracken is referring to adjudicated sex offenders.   |
|-----|-----------------|--|
| 060 | McCracken       | Responds not specifically. Discusses the need for flexibility in contract language. Points out that the Governorís task force supports the current system.   |
| 084 | Michael Sedlock | Marion County Health Department. Opposes SB 141 (EXHIBIT C). Explains<br>the department contracts for services to the developmentally disabled and<br>chronic mentally ill. Indicates the county does not want to change the system.<br>States the counties are working towards more accountability. |
| 145 | Sen. Duncan     | Asks if Marion County can resolve the problem. Implies that SB 141 is correcting a problem that impacts a few people.  |
| 160 | Sedlock         | Responds that the county is working with providers to develop outcomes that will improve the entire system.  |
| 178 | Sen. Duncan     | Asks Sedlock if he has explained to the providers what the counties are developing.  |
| 208 | Sedlock         | Responds that the county is having ongoing meetings with providers to address concerns.  |
| 219 | Chair Fisher    | Asks how the county would feel if they were treated differently from county to county.   |
| 230 | Sedlock         | Responds that the county would question if they could continue to contract with the state. Acknowledges that each county interprets state statutes and regulations.  |
| 267 | Chair Fisher    | Remarks that the legislature adopts rules to simplify the process.   |
| 292 | Sedlock         | Explains that the counties work with neighborhoods to develop adequate housing sites. States that it is not unreasonable for counties to add provisions.   |
| 316 | Sen. Castillo   | Asks what counties are doing to make it easier for providers who contract with multiple counties.  |
| 326 | McCracken       | Responds that each county has their own procedures. Explains that some counties are more sophisticated, larger, and have a variety of services. Notes that the counties would like to work with the state in creating uniformity.  |
| 361 | Chair Fisher    | Questions the status of the forum.   |
| 368 | McCracken       | Responds that the state did not follow through with the coordination of the task   |

|            |                     | force.   |
|------------|---------------------|--|
| 383        | Chair Fisher        | States that the forum was agreed upon by Bieberle and McCracken.   |
| 387        | McCracken           | Clarifies that the 1995 Legislature did not act on the legislation based on this agreement.  |
| 390        | Chair Fisher        | Questions the 1995 legislation.  |
| 404        | Sandy Thiele- Cirka | Administrator. States that HB 3099 (1995) was withdrawn based on the agreement between the parties.  |
| TAPE 11, I | 3                   | J  |
| 000        | Gary Schnoor        | Residential Providers Association. Presents testimony in support of SB 141 (EXHIBIT D). States that the bill will help stabilize programs between counties.                                    |
| 039        | Anson Bell          | Executive Director of Spruce Villa. Reviews testimony in support of SB 141 <b>(EXHIBIT E)</b> . Discusses problems experienced with Marion County.   |
| 069        | Chair Fisher        | Asks about requirements being placed on providers.   |
| 070        | Bell                | Explains the rating system for applicants. Describes Spruce Villa, which has been forced to hire unnecessary bilingual staff.  |
| 087        | Chair Fisher        | Questions the additional requirements.   |
| 092        | Bell                | Responds that the counties are requiring additional stipulations. States he would like to address the appeal process, but needs the state to oversee the counties when an appeal is requested. |
| 104        | Sen. Castillo       | Asks if county officials are accessible.   |
| 112        | Bell                | Opines that the county is more accessible since SB 141 was introduced. Notes the ongoing frustration.  |
| 120        | Sen. Shields        | Questions effectiveness of SB 141.   |
| 127        | Bell                | Responds that the current system would remain in place and nothing would improve. Notes that SB 141 is not oppressive to counties.   |

| 133 | Chair Fisher   | Calls Norm Koller and Joanne Fuhrman to testify.  |
|-----|----------------|---|
| 140 | Norm Koller    | Community Access Services (CAS). Testifies in support of SB 141. States that<br>each county is different. States that in the past, the county reorganized and<br>stopped its contract to CAS, with no explanation, and there was not an appeal<br>process available. Comments on spending \$6000 in attorney fees for resolving<br>a contract issue.  |
| 203 | Sen. Duncan    | Asks if Clackamas County is difficult.  |
| 205 | Koller         | Responds no. States that SB 141 gives accountability to the counties and establishes an appeals process.  |
| 219 | Joanne Furhman | Partnership in Community Living (Marion and Polk Counties) and member of<br>Rehabilitation Association. Supports SB 141. States the bill does not remove<br>the county from the process. States the county requires excessive administrative<br>time without an increase in funding. Indicates that she wants an accountable<br>system. States that organizations document their own service and records. |
| 264 | Furhman        | Continues testifying.   |
| 275 | Sen. Castillo  | Comments that the county believes that SB 141 will make it more difficult to site group homes.  |
| 290 | Koller         | States that he does not see the bill affecting that issue. Adds that if more funds are needed, then the county and state should discuss contract rates.   |
| 317 | Furhman        | Explains that her organization is working with Fairview clients who are being placed and they need the county as partners. States that the organizations work with the communities to alleviate fears.  |
| 340 | Sen. Castillo  | Comments on the statewide impact of SB 141.   |
| 356 | Koller         | Concurs with Sen. Castillo. Notes that in 1995, the providers wanted to work with the state and the counties.   |
| 370 | Chair Fisher   | Asks what contract issues are problems.   |
| 373 | Fuhrman        | Responds that small issues are being placed into contracts.   |
| 420 | Sen. Castillo  | Requests to have Sedlock respond.   |
| 425 | Chair Fisher   | Invites Neil Carroll and Judy Hammerstad to testify.  |

| TAPE 12, B |                  |   |  |
|------------|------------------|---|--|
| 000        | Neil Carroll     | Owner of a Residential Treatment Facility (RTF). Presents the questionnaire<br>Marion County uses to grade facilities. Reviews testimony in support of SB 141<br>(EXHIBIT F).   |  |
| 033        | Chair Fisher     | Notes concerns and frustration regarding the questionnaire.   |  |
| 037        | Carroll          | Describes the questionnaire scoring process.  |  |
| 038        | Sen. Shields     | Asks how long Carroll has been providing services.  |  |
| 042        | Carroll          | Responds since 1974.  |  |
| 054        | Sen. Castillo    | Requests Carroll to clarify an unreasonable request.  |  |
| 056        | Carroll          | Provides examples of an in home receptionist and physician.   |  |
| 082        | Sen. Duncan      | Asks about alternative programs.  |  |
| 089        | Carroll          | Responds that Jeff Davis, Marion County administrator, would not discuss<br>options. Notes that SB 141 is needed to make counties more accountable.<br>Emphasizes that Marion County's requirements could close his facility.   |  |
| 107        | Chair Fisher     | Commends Carroll on presentation and work. Asks how long it took to fill out the questionnaire.   |  |
| 116        | Carroll          | Responds that providers met and completed the questionnaire according to the Oregon Administrative Rules (OAR) and other regulations. Explains that Marion County goes beyond OAR rules.  |  |
| 139        | Judie Hammerstad | Former Clackamas County Commissioner and also former State Legislator. Has<br>a neutral opinion on SB 141. However, questions language in Section 1 (h), and<br>who would be affected.  |  |
| 166        | Sen. Shields     | Asks if would be possible to amend the line restricting the contract to the actual service called for by the state.   |  |
| 174        | Hammerstad       | Adds that, with section 4, the counties could not comply with the word "only."<br>Continues that SB 141 would prevent counties from responding to local<br>conditions and asks who would decide what is non-essential. Expresses that the<br>problem should be solved with the specific county. |  |
|            |                  |   |  |

| h          |              |   |
|------------|--------------|---|
| 240        | Chair Fisher | Acknowledges testimony of Hammerstad. States that the County<br>Commissioners should take a lead in this situation.   |
| 258        | Carroll      | Comments and notes concern that the County Commissioners could help.  |
| 259        | Chair Fisher | Indicates he will contact the County Commissioners. Discusses and notes that there is need for legislative guidance in this area.   |
| 292        | Sen. Duncan  | Requests to have Sedlock respond to concerns regarding the questionnaire.   |
| 312        | Sen. Shields | Appreciates testimony and would like to see a workgroup convene to work together.   |
| 322        | Chair Fisher | Responds in agreement. Asks if Hammerstad would participate.  |
| 336        | Chair Fisher | Recalls Sedlock to testify.   |
| 339        | Sedlock      | Responds to siting issues, and states that special conditions are implemented by the counties to satisfy the neighborhoods. Notes the current appeals process. Explains that the questionnaire is a trial and no provider has lost their contract, and notes that the county will be modifying the document. Elaborates that the document is intended to highlight important issues. Continues that Marion County is evaluating the credential process. |
| 428        | Chair Fisher | Notes frustration with the questionnaire and states that Marion County does not have adequate communication with the local providers.   |
| TAPE 13, A |              |   |

# TAPE 13, A

|     |              | 4   |
|-----|--------------|---|
| 000 | Sedlock      | Responds that he does not know how long it would take to fill out.  |
| 020 | Sen. Duncan  | Requests clarification relating to the questionnaire scoring.   |
| 025 | Sedlock      | Explains that the county developed a graph showing providers scores.  |
| 032 | Sen. Duncan  | Asks for the percentages.   |
| 033 | Sedlock      | Responds that he does not have that information.  |
| 035 | Chair Fisher | Refers to the document and states that requiring something in the name of diversity is unacceptable. Reiterates that he is upset with the document. |
|     |              |   |

Submitted By, Reviewed By,

067

Andrew Morris, Sandy Thiele-Cirka,

Administrative Support Administrator

## EXHIBIT SUMMARY

- A ñ SB 33, Memo, Bob Joondeph, 1 p
- B ñ SB 141, Written Testimony, Gerald Bieberle, 2 pp
- C ñ SB 141, Written Testimony, Michael Sedlock, 1 p
- D ñ SB 141, Written Testimony, Gary Schnoor, 2 pp
- E ñ SB 141, Written Testimony, Anson Bell, 1 p
- F ñ SB 141, Written Testimony and copy of questionnaire, Neil Carroll, 7 pp