## SENATE COMMITTEE ON HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

April 5, 1999 Hearing Room B

8:00 AM Tapes 74 - 77

#### **MEMBERS PRESENT:**

Sen. Bill Fisher, Chair

Sen. Frank Shields, Vice-Chair Sen. Susan Castillo Sen. Verne Duncan Sen. Marylin Shannon

#### **STAFF PRESENT:**

Sandy Thiele-Cirka, Administrator

Andrew Morris, Administrative Support

**MEASURES HEARD:** 

**SB 540 Public Hearing** 

**SB 606 Public Hearing** 

**SB 769 Public Hearing** 

These minutes are in compliance with Senate and House Rules. Only text enclosed in quotation marks reports a speaker's exact words. For complete contents, please refer to the tapes.

TAPE/#	Speaker	Comments
TAPE 74, A		
003	Chair Fisher	Calls meeting to order at 8:15 AM and opens public hearing on SB 540.
<u>SB 540 PUB</u>	BLIC HEARING	

010	Rep. Anita Rasmussen	House District 11. Testifies in support of SB 540. Explains the bill to the committee. Discusses spinal cord injuries and that medical research is close to a cure.
030	Patricia Richards	Requestor of SB 540. Parent. Provides additional background on SB 540. States that current research is focusing on spinal cord regeneration. Refers to the New York (EXHIBIT A) legislation.
071	Richards	Reads letter from her daughter who is a victim of a spinal cord injury.
105	Sen. Castillo	Questions the funding source.
110	Richards	Responds that a surcharge included in traffic fines would assist with funding.
127	Sen. Shannon	Comments that it is possible for nonprofit organizations to develop specialty license plates.
151	Sen. Duncan	Questions the New Yorkís surcharge.
153	Richards	Responds that she does not have that information. Notes not all violations include a surcharge.
166	Sen. Duncan	Requests the volume of tickets issued in Oregon
172	Richards	States she does not have the specific number of spinal cord injuries in Oregon.
204	Chair Fisher	Closes public hearing on SB 540 and opens public hearing on SB 606.
SB 606 P	UBLIC HEARING	
220	Tom Barrows	Representing Oregon Podiatric Association (OPA). Testifies in support of SB 606. Submits letter on behalf of Christopher Lamy ( <b>EXHIBIT B</b> ). States that SB 606 has incorporated the Board of Medical Examiners (BME) suggestions.
250	Gerald Peterson	Past President, Oregon Podiatric Association. Testifies in support of SB 606 (EXHIBIT C). States the bill clarifies the podiatrists scope of practice. Explains that there is confusion between where the foot ends. Notes that podiatrists are not looking to treat anything more than the ankle. Adds that specific language was written to clarify the requirements of performing ankle surgery.
315	Chair Fisher	Discusses concerns relative to current treatment methods
332	Barrows	States that the OPA rewrote and submitted SB 606 with the BME language.

345	Chair Fisher	Asks Dr. Peterson to explain current ankle treatments.
360	Peterson	Responds that the ankle is included in the foot. States the problem is determining where the foot stops and the leg starts. States that Washington courts decided that the ankle is part of the foot.
429	Peterson	Continues commenting on the non-surgical treatment of ankles.
437	Sen. Duncan	Asks if the bill clarifies the scope of practice.
440	Peterson	Responds affirmatively.
TAPE 75	5, A	<u> </u>
000	Peterson	Describes ankle composition. States the need for a definition that clarifies what can and cannot be treated.
010	Sen. Duncan	Asks if podiatrists can place ankle pins.
013	Peterson	Responds that if a podiatrist has hospital privileges they can perform surgical procedures. Notes the debate relates to surgical procedures of the ankle.
040	Chair Fisher	Closes public hearing on SB 606 and opens public hearing on SB 540.
<u>SB 540 P</u>	UBLIC HEARING	JL
048	Arwin Bird	Testifies in support of SB 540. Discusses a personal accident that led to paralysis. Describes the impact of becoming paralyzed.
096	Charles Bird	Father. Relates personal experiences. Discusses research developments and technology. Explains stem cell research.
149	Chair Fisher	Asks if OHSU is a spinal cord research center.
155	A. Bird	Responds that it is not a recognized center, but research is being conducted.
167	Sen. Duncan	States it appears the research is close to making a breakthrough.
174	A. Bird	States that thousands of people could benefit from SB 540.
217	Chair Fisher	Closes public hearing on SB 540 and opens a public hearing on SB 769.

# SB 769 PUBLIC HEARING

230	Pat Amedeo	Representing Oregon Community Pharmacy Council. States support for SB 769.
249	Lis Merten	Representing Oregon members of the National Association of Chain Drugstores. Testifies in support of SB 769. Provides background on the bill. States that the association has suggested bar coding, but is not supported by the Oregon Board of Pharmacy. States that accuracy problems are increasing and the association requested the implementation date be extended until 2005.
292	Merten	Comments that improvements are being made, but more time is necessary.
320	Kevan Fenderson	Albertsonís grocery stores. Testifies in support of SB 769. Submits (EXHIBIT D). Explains the pharmacies in Albertsonís stores. Admits that it is taking longer to implement the technology into the stores.
411	Fenderson	States that Albertsonís supports the Board of Pharmacy. Comments that the accuracy labeling is too early in its infancy to be governed by the legislature. Urges that flexibility be allowed.
449	Sen. Shannon	Asks when the board made the decision for accuracy labeling.
454	Merten	Responds it was December of 1997.
TAPE 74,	B	
000	Fenderson	Discusses the current concerns of the Y2K problem.
020	Mike Griffiths	Albertsonís. Testifies in support of SB 769. Explains Albertsonís Y2K problems States the need for additional time to install software.
085	Fenderson	Comments on Albertsonís Y2K issues.
094	Amedeo	Notes that the software companies are having difficulty getting the software installed.
120	Fenderson	States they are not opposed to the installation of the software, but the timing of implementation is problematic.
139	Rep. Jo Ann Bowmann	House District 19. Testifies in opposition to SB 769 (EXHIBIT E). States there is a public safety issue involved. Discusses the accuracy labeling creates a check and balance system for pharmacists.

184	Chair Fisher	Questions Rep. Bowman about the fiscal impact.
186	Rep. Bowmann	Responds that it is a question of whether or not it is worth the benefit and states it is worth it.
204	Sen. Shannon	Discusses the expense.
213	Rep. Bowmann	Asks the committee to think about a parent giving their young child a prescription and having the ability to identify the medication.
228	Chair Fisher	Questions if a new drug would be available in the whole system.
246	Griffiths	Responds no. States that some pharmacies have 10,000 drugs. States concern of the extra work for pharmacists. Provides an example of a drug manufacturer changing the appearance of a drug and the confusion faced by patients.
283	Chair Fisher	Asks if there are color blind requirements for pharmacists.
305	Griffiths	Responds no. States that each medication has an unique shape and size. States that the software needs to be 100 percent accurate before it is implemented.
331	Sen. Duncan	Asks why small pharmacies are not experiencing the same problems.
340	Griffiths	Responds that there is a lot more involved when companies have pharmacies located coast to coast.
350	Amedeo	States that the pharmacies currently using the labeling are nursing home facilities. Notes that the situation is not an independent issue versus a chain issue.
TAPE 75,	B	N
000	Blake Rice	Board of Pharmacy member. Testifies in opposition to SB 769. States that his pharmacy is compliant with the new rules. Presents examples of labeling to the committee. States that he has 8,000 drugs in his database.
090	Chair Fisher	Asks if the drugs are entered manually.
095	Rice	States that the drugs that are not in the database must be entered manually. States there are times when new drugs hit the store before they are in the database.
117	Chair Fisher	Asks Rice how he would enter the data if he had multiple pharmacies.

127	William Comer	Pharmacist. Responds that once a database is updated, it does not need to be updated again.
140	Chair Fisher	Questions if the multiple pharmacies would need to input their own data.
144	Comer	Responds the individual pharmacy would have the information sent to them from the central database.
152	Sen. Duncan	Asks if Rice prescribes to a service.
155	Rice	Responds affirmatively. States the information is updated twice a week.
163	Sen. Duncan	Asks if the Board of Pharmacy has had discussions with the chain pharmacies.
182	Steve Schulte	Board of Pharmacy. Testifies in opposition to SB 769 (EXHIBIT F). Responds affirmatively. Comments that some chains, such as BiMart and Albertsonís, are working in good faith. States that BiMart will be providing accuracy labels within the next month. Notes that one chain has refused to participate in accuracy labeling.
239	Sen. Duncan	Questions the identification of the chain that would not cooperate.
256	Rice	States that he spoke with Amedeo to put together a task force to work out problems between the board and the pharmacies involved.
272	Schulte	States that his job is investigating dispensing errors. States that the proper usage of the labeling system would save time and money.
351	Sen. Duncan	States the issue is the timing of the implementation of the accuracy labeling.
366	Schulte	Responds to Duncanís earlier question that Rite-Aid is the chain unwilling to cooperate.
380	Chair Fisher	Questions the cooperation between Albertsonis and the Board of Pharmacy.
392	Schulte	Responds that Albertsonís is working on the labeling and the board would like to continue working with them.
436	Chris Conrad	Citizen. Testifies in opposition to SB 769. Relates a personal experience of being given the wrong medication.
TAPE 76	j. , A	

000	Conrad	States that identification is important.
020	Chair Fisher	Asks what happens if the label is wrong as well as the pill.
023	Schulte	Responds that 92 percent of errors occur when the wrong medication is dispensed. States that there were 48 complaints last month and around 30 or more were dispensing errors that could have been prevented with accuracy labels.
071	Comer	States that 10 to 15 percent of hospitalizations are due to drug errors.
110	Comer	Discusses the advantages of accuracy labeling.
162	Chair Fisher	Remarks on the complexity of upgrading computer systems.
235	Comer	Discusses concerns regarding the Y2K issue, and notes it should not be an excuse.
272	Delores Raymond	American Association of Retired Persons (AARP). Testifies in opposition to SB 769 (EXHIBIT G).
308	Michelle Lane	Director of Nursing, Evergreen Windsor. Testifies in opposition to SB 769. Relates an experience of a pharmaceutical mistake.
341	Schulte	Reviews the cost of computer upgrades and labeling.
393	Mike Patrick	President, Board of Pharmacy. Testifies in opposition to SB 769.
405	Chair Fisher	Asks if the board is willing to work towards a compromise.
410	Patrick	Responds affirmatively. States support for negotiations. Notes that accuracy labeling is in the publicis best interest.
TAPE 77	, A	
000	Patrick	States that people are more cautious when there are additional checkpoints for accuracy.
007	Chair Fisher	Questions the procedure for medication ordering.
037	Patrick	Responds that his pharmacy places orders weekly. Notes that the board has offered alternatives to pharmacies.

Submitted By, Reviewed By,

Andrew Morris, Sandy Thiele-Cirka,

Administrative Support Administrator

### EXHIBIT SUMMARY

- A ñ SB 540, State of New York draft legislation, Patricia Richards, 3 pp
- B ñ SB 606, Letter from Christopher Lamy, DPM, Tom Barrows, 7 pp
- C ñ SB 606, Written testimony, Oregon Podiatric Medical Association,

Gerald Peterson, 1 p

- D ñ SB 769, Written testimony, Albertsonís, Kevin Fenderson, 8 pp
- E ñ SB 769, Written testimony, Rep. Jo Ann Bowman, 1 p
- F ñ SB 769, Written testimony and supporting materials, Oregon Board of Pharmacy,

Steve Schulte, 15 pp

G ñ SB 769, Written testimony, Delores Raymond, 2 pp