

SENATE COMMITTEE ON JUDICIARY

May 13, 1999 Hearing Room 343

3:00 p.m. Tapes 179 - 182

MEMBERS PRESENT: Sen. Bryant, Chair

Sen. Courtney, Vice-Chair

Sen. Brown

Sen. Burdick

Sen. Nelson

Sen. Qutub

Sen. Tarno

STAFF PRESENT: Anne Tweedt, Counsel

Judith Minnich, Administrative Support

MEASURE/ISSUES HEARD: SB 461 ñ Work Session

SB 504 ñ Work Session

SB 937 ñ Work Session

SB 961 ñ Work Session

SB 1125 ñ Work Session

SB 1205 ñ Work Session

SB 915 - Public Hearing and Work Session

HB 2180 ñ Public Hearing and Work Session

HB 2381 ñ Public Hearing and Work Session

HB 2482 ñ Public Hearing and Work Session

HB 2720 ñ Public Hearing and Work Session

These minutes are in compliance with Senate and House Rules. Only text enclosed in quotation marks reports a speaker's exact words. For complete contents, please refer to the tapes.

TAPE/#	Speaker	Comments
Tape 179, A		
008	Chair Bryant	Calls meeting to order at 3:12 p.m.
<u>SB 504 WORK SESSION</u>		
022	Robert Neuberger	Attorney Testifies in support of SB 504 and discusses suggested amendments (EXHIBIT A). Discusses conceptual amendments which would change certain parts of the ñ3 amendments. Outlines the provisions of the -3 amendments including the conceptual changes.
124	Tom Mortland	North Pacific Insurance Company Testifies in support of SB 504 with the ñ3 amendments as further amended in the manner outlined by Mr. Neuberger.
138	Chair Bryant	Indicates the ñ3 amendments with conceptual changes need to be redrafted.
<u>SB 915 PUBLIC HEARING</u>		
161	Pete Shepherd	Department of Justice Testifies in support of SB 915 that would allow the Attorney General's Office to create, maintain and distribute lists of citizens that do not wish to be contacted by telephone solicitation (EXHIBIT B).
231	Chair Bryant	How would the cost for this be collected?
233	Shepherd	The consumer would contact the administrator and pay the fee to be listed. The maximum fee for this service each year is \$10.
253	Sen. Nelson	What is the penalty for a solicitor who ignores this list?

256	Shepherd	Under this statute I would be required to get the list and remove those on it from my list. If I didn't, the sanction is the same as ignoring the black dots now, a violation of the Unlawful Trade Practices Act.
272	Chair Bryant	If the Department of Justice doesn't prosecute, is there an individual remedy also?
277	Shepherd	Yes, but the consumer must demonstrate an ascertainable loss.
<u>SB 915 WORK SESSION</u>		
287	Sen. Nelson	MOTION: Moves SB 915 to the floor with a DO PASS recommendation.
		VOTE: 6-0 EXCUSED: 1 ñ Qutub
		Chair Bryant Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED. SEN. NELSON will lead discussion on the floor.
<u>SB 937 WORK SESSION</u>		
314	Jim Gardner	PhRMA Submits testimony and testifies in support of SB 937 as amended with the ñ2 amendments (EXHIBITS C & D). Discusses the consensus items listed in Exhibit C.
405	Chair Bryant	Could you give an example why encoded material is useful?
410	Jim Mattis	Oregon Health Science University (OHSU) We would like to work on the rules that should govern research that uses coded material or encrypted research over the interim.
432	Gardner	Without longitudinal research possibilities, research is difficult. Encryption standards are needed. Discusses encryption and coding of genetic materials. Discusses the importance and need for biological research and the questions that need to be addressed to allow the research to move forward.

Tape 180, A

065	Sen. Nelson	Where is the genetic information stored?
067	Gardner	Genetic information is kept in-house. But the human genome project information will be on the internet.
080	Theodore Falk	Oregon Genetic Privacy Advisory Committee (OGPAC) Submits written testimony and testifies in support of SB 937 (EXHIBIT E). Discusses Exhibit E that outlines the provisions of SB 937 and analyzes the problems that led to SB 937.
116	Brad Popovich	Oregon Genetic Privacy Advisory Committee (OGPAC) Submits written testimony and testifies regarding SB 937 (EXHIBIT F). Discusses the weaknesses he believes are still contained in the bill. Emphasizes his commitment to privacy for patients while still understanding the needs of research. Believes the ñ2 amendments are too vague in protecting patient information.
261	Chair Bryant	Although you support the ñ2 amendments you would like relatives to be able to receive protection under the Unfair Trade Practices also?
268	Popovich	Yes, I think Section 6 of the ñ2 amendments could easily contain this.
273	Chair Bryant	Could you please explain the release forms used to establish informed consent for genetic testing?
278	Popovich	Informed consent is quite different for genetic testing compared to consent for regular medical tests. The information provided on the form must be quite specific.
290	Chair Bryant	Could the doctor ask for consent to this type of testing at the practice level? In his office as a standard clause in a medical test consent form?
291	Popovich	Yes, they could. This could be done now. The problem might be that the testing is done elsewhere.
314	Sen. Courtney	The person who asks for the consent wouldnít necessarily be the person who uses these tests. The doctor could be reluctant to do this.
319	Popovich	Yes, thatís true.
355	Jim Mattis	Counsel, Oregon Health Science University (OHSU)

		<p>Testifies in support of SB 937 with the ñ2 amendments. Indicates it has been very difficult to replace property statutes with privacy statutes to cover genetic privacy matters. Notes that Bruce Bishop of Kaiser Permanente was a member of the work group and has submitted written testimony (EXHIBIT G). Believes that the issue will probably have to be revisited on an ongoing basis. Notes that all the language referring to property rights, instead of privacy rights, has still not been completely removed from the bill.</p>
Tape 179, B		
030	Scott Gallant	<p>Oregon Medical Association</p> <p>Testifies in opposition to SB 937. Feels that there are too many loopholes in SB 937 that could threaten patient privacy. Discusses the issues raised in a letter from Mark Bonnanno dated May 13, 1999 (EXHIBIT H).</p>
119	Gardner	<p>Discusses the issues raised by Mr. Gallant. Points out that the law as it now exists creates problems when obtaining informed consent since it requires that property rights be given up to give consent. Under current law, individuals can sell their genetic makeup. Sen. Courtney pointed out the difficulties of obtaining true informed consent under the current law. We need some of this information to address certain public health hazards. The encryption standards are a challenging task. We also need to educate the biotech industry to use these encryption standards.</p>
211	Mattis	<p>Discusses letter (Exhibit H) from the Oregon Medical Association section by section. Indicates that the provisions of SB 937, as amended with the ñ2 amendments, adequately address the issues.</p>
257	Richard Jones, M.D.	<p>Medical Doctor, OHSU</p> <p>Gives an example of research done on specimens in the pathology lab. Discusses current practice of research keeping patient anonymous. Current genetic research often attempts to correlate certain genes and treatments with outcomes. Without a way to connect the genetic material and treatments to outcomes, this research can't be done.</p>
328	Bob Kohler	<p>Medical Doctor, OHSU</p> <p>Discusses the difference between medical record and research records. Indicates the two types of records should not be connected in order to maintain confidentiality.</p>
363	Chair Bryant	<p>Indicates that Exhibit E is a very good summary and history of genetic privacy.</p>
387	Sen. Tarno	<p>I will vote to pass it on to the floor, but I need to discuss the issues with the Oregon Medical Association.</p>

389	Sen. Courtney	Indicates he will be a no vote. Discusses the issue of informed consent.
420	Sen. Brown	MOTION: Moves to ADOPT SB 937-2 amendments dated 5/12/99.
		VOTE: 6-0 EXCUSED: 1 - Qutub
	Chair Bryant	Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED.
429	Sen. Bryant	MOTION: Moves SB 937 to the floor with a DO PASS AS AMENDED recommendation.
		VOTE: 6-1 AYE: 6 - Brown, Burdick, Nelson, Qutub, Tarno, Bryant NAY: 1 - Courtney
	Chair Bryant	The motion CARRIES. SEN. BRYANT will lead discussion on the floor.
Tape 180, B		
<u>SB 461 WORK SESSION</u>		
020	Counsel Tweedt	SB 461 would authorize a landowner to submit one application for any and all uses authorized under a county's comprehensive plan.
031	Chair Bryant	This bill was sent back to us from the Senate floor because there was some concern that it might not accomplish what was intended. There has been a group working on it for the past few weeks and they have drafted the ñ2 amendments (EXHIBIT I) .
033	Dave Hunnicutt	Oregonians in Action Discusses the process of achieving "ripeness" in land use. The concept of

		ripeness is used by the courts to determine if the landowner has been deprived of an economically viable use of the property based on the land use application process. Explains the reasons for the ñ2 amendments.
104	Chair Bryant	Asks about the authorship of the ñ3 amendments (EXHIBIT J).
111	Charlie Swindells	1000 Friends of Oregon Indicates he has just been made aware of the ñ3 amendments and does not believe they were drafted by the request of his organization.
115	Counsel Tweedt	Legislative Counsel provided the ñ2 amendments this morning and indicated they were drafting the ñ3 amendments to answer the concerns of 1000 Friends and wouldn't have them ready until later this afternoon.
118	Chair Bryant	So, the ñ3 amendments are not by your request?
120	Swindells	No. We have no objections to the ñ2 amendments.
125	Sen. Burdick	Were you part of the work group? Who else participated?
127	Hunnicut	The group met once. Indicates those who attended.
134	Sen. Burdick	Discusses the procedure for ripening a land use application under SB 461. Asks about fee structure for land use applications.
136	Hunnicut	Indicates that all uses of the land must be considered in order to raise a taking claim and prove you've been denied viable use of the property.
168	Swindells	1000 Friends of Oregon is not supporting SB 461, we are just not opposing it. The ñ3 amendments appear to be drafted to address my previous concerns, and I would support them if that is the case.
202	Sen. Tarno	MOTION: Moves to ADOPT SB 461-2 amendments dated 5/6/99.
		VOTE: 6-0 EXCUSED: 1 ñ Brown
Chair Bryant		Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED.

207	Sen. Tarno	MOTION: Moves SB 461 to the floor with a DO PASS AS AMENDED recommendation.
		VOTE: 5-1-1 AYE: 5 ñ Courtney, Duncan, Nelson, Tarno, Bryant NAY: 1 ñ Burdick EXCUSED: 1 ñ Brown
	Chair Bryant	The motion CARRIES. SEN. TARNO will lead discussion on the floor.
<u>SB 961 WORK SESSION</u>		
224	Chair Bryant	Explains that the ñ1 amendments replace the original bill (EXHIBIT K). SB 961 requires the award of attorney fees to the person who is the prevailing party in a civil judicial proceeding in which a state agency is the adverse party.
230	Counsel Tweedt	The ñ1 amendments replace the original bill and require the reward of attorneyís fees but limit the award to cases in which the public body is the plaintiff or petitioner who brings an action against someone who is not a public body. They must lose at the trial level and lose again upon appeal. The bill would require the award of attorneyís fees after the exhaustion of all appeals. There are specific types of actions that are exempted from this bill.
250	Chair Bryant	Discusses the types of proceedings that are exempted under the ñ1 amendments. Indicates the basic idea is that in order to recover attorneyís fees from the state, the state would have to lose the court case and also lose on appeal.
277	Sen. Bryant	MOTION: Moves to ADOPT SB 961-1 amendments dated 5/13/99.
		VOTE: 5-0 EXCUSED: 2 - Brown, Tarno

	Chair Bryant	Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED.
279	Sen. Bryant	MOTION: Moves SB 961 to the floor with a DO PASS AS AMENDED recommendation.
		VOTE: 5-1 AYE: 5 Burdick, Courtney, Duncan, Nelson, Bryant NAY: 1 Brown EXCUSED: 1 Tarno
	Chair Bryant	The motion CARRIES. SEN. BRYANT will lead discussion on the floor.
<u>SB 1125 WORK SESSION</u>		
286	Chair Bryant	Indicates there has been a work group working on SB 1125. Discusses the 2 amendments (EXHIBIT L).
302	Counsel Tweedt	SB 1125 modifies procedures relating to condemnation proceedings. The 2 amendments set time limits, requires all appraisals be disclosed by both parties, increases non-binding arbitration limits, and other minor changes.
337	Al Lightner	Department of Transportation Testifies in support of SB 1125 and the 2 amendments. Indicates that although there are small changes needed to assure consistency, it's a good bill.
350	Sen. Bryant	MOTION: Moves to ADOPT SB 1125-2 amendments dated 5/13/99.
		VOTE: 6-0 EXCUSED: 1 Brown

	Chair Bryant	Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED.
353	Sen. Bryant	MOTION: Moves SB 1125 to the floor with a DO PASS AS AMENDED recommendation.
		VOTE: 6-0 EXCUSED: 1 n Brown
	Chair Bryant	Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED. SEN. BRYANT will lead discussion on the floor.
<u>SB 1205 WORK SESSION</u>		
360	Chair Bryant	Discusses the previous hearing on SB 1205 that addresses environmental clean-up issues. Indicates the proponents are small and large businesses dealing with environmental clean-up claims and the opponents are insurance companies. Indicates both proponents and opponents have provided further information to be considered (EXHIBITS M, N, O, & P). Discusses the changes made by the - 2 amendments (EXHIBIT Q).
Tape 181, A		
024	Chair Bryant	Discusses horizontal versus vertical exhaustion of excess insurance coverage.
059	Sen. Burdick	If you settle a claim for \$900,000 and you have a \$1 million policy, how would excess insurance even be an issue?
060	Chair Bryant	It would be an issue if you settle for less than your policy value and the damages total more than your policy value. For instance, if you settle for \$900,000 on a \$1 million policy but the damage totals \$2 million. The excess coverage can be tapped for the amount over \$1 million.
071	Sen. Burdick	Would you have to pay that remaining \$100,000 out of your primary insurance before you tap the excess insurance?
075	Chair Bryant	Whatever you settled for, excess insurance would only apply to the amount over your primary policy maximum.

085	Sen. Burdick	Is that vertical or horizontal exhaustion of coverage?
086	Chair Bryant	I believe that is vertical. The other request in the initial bill was to acquire both joint and several liability. We have taken this out of the bill. The courts will have to determine the type of liability that applies.
105	John DiLorenzo	Attorney, ICM Pharmaceuticals I would just like to add that SB 1205 only applies to those instances when insurance policies are silent on the issues it addresses. The amendments preserve vertical exhaustion, which allows the excess coverage to be tapped before all coverage from year to year is used.
163	John Powell	North Pacific Insurance, State Farm Insurance The amendments are acceptable, however, the legislation is retroactive, which is not acceptable. The insurers are unable to re-write the policies to fill in the areas which were previously silent. Some of the cases that have already been decided in court will be overturned by this legislation.
198	Fred Vanata	Liberty Insurance, American International Group Indicates the groups he represents believe this will effect their future writing of liability policies in the state of Oregon. The retroactive nature of this legislation is potentially very significant and unfair.
223	Sen. Burdick	Why would the retroactive provisions cause the industry to stop writing new liability policies?
228	Powell	The major point to be made about future policies is the changes in this bill would, in fact, write those future policies.
240	Sen. Burdick	You can't be silent on issues any more. I don't see the problem with that.
246	Powell	If this legislation is passed we will need to write policies which are not silent on any issues. This will be expensive for both the insured and the insurer.
277	DiLorenzo	Discusses the claims that no insurance would be written should this legislation be approved. Discusses the actual liability of insurance companies under current law versus under this legislation.
320	Sen. Courtney	How can an insurance coverage be terminated?
325	Powell	Discusses termination of coverage after reaching the end of the policy period. There is a cancellation clause in all policies.

347	Tom Gordon	<p>Attorney, Environmental Law</p> <p>The carrier can't walk out in the middle of the policy's term. Statutory rules cover this.</p>
359	Sen. Courtney	An insurance carrier can refuse to renew at the end of the policy period if they think they will be impacted. Is that right?
364	Gordon	Explains why insurance coverage will be tapped even if they cancel current coverage. The insurer can't walk away from his current or past policies and what occurred during the time they were holding the coverage.
394	DiLorenzo	Insurers have liability for what occurred during the time of their coverage. Whether or not this bill is passed will not change the fact that there are old policies that are no longer in force that may still be liable for pollution damage.
409	Gordon	Yes, and we could change our new policies to eliminate all coverage for pollution damage. The problem with doing that is that in many cases it is not clear exactly what the underlying facts are. To protect the public, the courts have imposed rules that require the insurer to make a vigorous defense until the facts are clear. Most of our costs are in defending as required by the courts. No matter what you did to change your future policies you would have the costs of defending unless it was totally clear the damage was excluded by the policy.
453	Sen. Nelson	Please explain the difference between silent and unclear.
458	Gordon	Currently there are rules of construction for insurance coverage. What the court has specified is that if the contract is not clear on its face, the court is to figure out what the intent was of the parties to the contract. Insurance is pooled risk. If an insurance carrier doesn't understand the risk when a policy is written, then insurance doesn't work.
Tape 182, A		
054	Gordon	This legislation will operate every time, since there is no mutual intent in an insurance policy. The courts have established the rules of construction under the current statutes and it works very well. This would be a step back.
067	Sen. Qutub	Asks about the Rand Corporation study which indicated that 44 cents of the 84 cents being spent on cleanup is being spent on litigation.
074	Gordon	The Rand Corporation study is over ten years old. A number of the insurance companies I work for are spending ten to fifteen cents.
081	Sen. Qutub	Those who came to testify spoke about their high legal costs due to the insurance companies fighting the payment of the cleanup costs.

087	Gordon	Statistically, no insurance company is spending anywhere near the amounts cited in the Rand Corporation study.
095	Sen. Qutub	I would appreciate it if you could submit that for the record.
097	Sen. Courtney	Have those who differ on this bill tried to sit down and reach a compromise?
101	DiLorenzo	Unfortunately, given the deadlines, we have not been able to have these conversations. We hope to do this on the House side.
111	Powell	We haven't had a work group to try to work this out. I think we could work forever and not reach consensus on the retroactive effects. In insurance terms it makes no sense. There is no place to compromise.
<u>HB 2381A PUBLIC HEARING</u>		
156	Mike Dewey	Oregon Cable Telecommunications Association (OCTA) Submits written testimony and testifies in support of HB 2381A (EXHIBITS R, S, & T). Discusses the problem of cable theft. Indicates that HB 2381A addresses the issue as a civil rather than criminal crime.
263	Chair Bryant	Declares a possible conflict of interest in that he represents Bend Cable, in Bend, Oregon.
267	Geoff Knapp	Paragon Cable, Public Affairs Director Discusses the history and dimension of cable theft in the Portland area (EXHIBITS U & V). Notes that city government receives a percentage of cable revenue and cable theft reduces that revenue. Introduces Kelly Farr and Skip Baker, technicians who demonstrate the method to alter cable boxes to steal cable service.
362	Dewey	Passage of this bill won't completely eliminate this problem but it does give us further tools to address the problem.
391	Sen. Qutub	Do you know how well this type of law worked in Colorado?
395	Dewey	I have no firm figures from Colorado, but it appears to be helping. We are also working on technology to reduce the ability to steal service.
456	Sen. Nelson	Will this cause a huge influx to the courts?
460	Dewey	We'd just like to keep these folks as customers. Based on the provisions of the bill, we can seek the remedy but people will hopefully become our customers

		instead of being charged in court.
Tape 181, B		
033	Gary Bauer	Oregon Telecommunications Association Testifies in support of HB 2381A.
<u>HB 2381A WORK SESSION</u>		
043	Sen. Courtney	MOTION: Moves HB 2381A to the floor with a DO PASS recommendation.
		VOTE: 6-0 EXCUSED: 1 - Tarno
Chair Bryant		Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED. SEN. NELSON will lead discussion on the floor.
<u>HB 2720A PUBLIC HEARING</u>		
050	Warren Deras	Attorney, Portland, Estate Planning Submits written testimony and testifies in support of HB 2720 which authorizes the standardization of accounting practices for accounts filed in probate proceedings and conservatorships (EXHIBIT W).
<u>HB 2720A WORK SESSION</u>		
073	Sen. Courtney	MOTION: Moves SB 2720A to the floor with a DO PASS recommendation.
		VOTE: 6-0 EXCUSED: 1 - Tarno
Chair Bryant		Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED.

		SEN. BRYANT will lead discussion on the floor.
<u>HB 2180 PUBLIC HEARING</u>		
082	Langdon Marsh	Oregon Department of Environmental Quality Submits written testimony and testifies in support of HB 2180 which ensures the restoration of representational standing in order to maintain our clean air standards in state court rather than federal court (EXHIBITS X & Y).
108	Kathryn VanNatta	Northwest Pulp and Paper Association Submits written testimony and testifies in support of HB 2180 (EXHIBIT Z).
<u>HB 2180 WORK SESSION</u>		
117	Sen. Courtney	MOTION: Moves SB 2180 to the floor with a DO PASS recommendation.
		VOTE: 6-0 EXCUSED: 1 - Tarno
		Chair Bryant Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED . SEN. COURTNEY will lead discussion on the floor.
122	Sen. Brown	MOTION: Requests unanimous consent that the rules be SUSPENDED to allow SEN. BROWN to BE RECORDED as voting AYE on the motion to send SB 915 to the floor with a DO PASS recommendation.
		VOTE: 6-0 EXCUSED: 1 - Tarno
		Chair Bryant Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED .

124	Sen. Brown	MOTION: Requests unanimous consent that the rules be SUSPENDED to allow SEN. BROWN to BE RECORDED as voting NAY on the motion to send SB 961 to the floor with a DO PASS AS AMENDED recommendation.
		VOTE: 6-0 EXCUSED: 1 - Tarno
	Chair Bryant	Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED.
126	Sen. Burdick	MOTION: Requests unanimous consent that the rules be SUSPENDED to allow SEN. BURDICK to BE RECORDED as voting AYE on the motion to send SB 915 to the floor with a DO PASS recommendation.
		VOTE: 6-0 EXCUSED: 1 - Tarno
	Chair Bryant	Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED.
127	Sen. Burdick	MOTION: Requests unanimous consent that the rules be SUSPENDED to allow SEN. BURDICK to BE RECORDED as voting AYE on the motion to send HB 2381A to the floor with a DO PASS recommendation.
		VOTE: 6-0 EXCUSED: 1 - Tarno

	Chair Bryant	Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED.
132	Sen. Qutub	MOTION: Requests unanimous consent that the rules be SUSPENDED to allow SEN. QUTUB to BE RECORDED as voting AYE on the motion to send SB 937 to the floor with a DO PASS AS AMENDED recommendation.
		VOTE: 6-0 EXCUSED: 1 ñ Tarno
	Chair Bryant	Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED.
133	Chair Bryant	Adjourns hearing at 6:05 p.m.

Submitted By, Reviewed By,

Judith Minnich, Anne Tweedt,
Administrative Support Counsel

EXHIBIT SUMMARY

A ñ SB 504, -3 amendments dated 5/13/99, 2 pp

B ñ SB 915, written testimony from P. Shepherd, 5 pp

C ñ SB 937, written testimony from Jim Gardner, 1 pp

D ñ SB 937, -2 amendments dated 5/12/99, 12 pp

E ñ SB 937, written testimony dated 5/13/99 from T. Falk, 11 pp

F ñ SB 937, written testimony from Brad Popovich, 3 pp

G ñ SB 937, written testimony from Bruce Bishop, 2 pp

H ñ SB 937, written testimony submitted by Scott Gallant, 3 pp

I ñ SB 461, -2 amendments dated 5/6/99, 2 pp

J ñ SB 461, -3 amendments dated 5/13/99, 2 pp

K ñ SB 961, -1 amendments dated 5/13/99, 2 pp

L ñ SB 1125, -2 amendments dated 5/13/99, 6 pp

M ñ SB 1205, written testimony submitted by S. Sitrin, 1 pp

N ñ SB 1205, written testimony submitted by Steve Telfer, 2 pp

O ñ SB 1205, written testimony submitted by Carl Brigada, 5 pp

P ñ SB 1205, written testimony submitted by John DiLorenzo, 50 pp

Q ñ SB 1205, -2 amendments dated 5/13/99, 3 pp

R ñ HB 2381A, written testimony from Mike Dewey, 2 pp

S ñ HB 2381A, written testimony submitted by Mike Dewey, 16 pp

T ñ HB 2381A, written testimony submitted by Mike Dewey, 10 pp

U ñ HB 2381A, written testimony from Geoff Knapp, 3 pp

V ñ HB 2381A, written testimony from Craig Heiting, 3 pp

W ñ HB 2720, written testimony from Warren Deras, 2 pp

X ñ HB 2180, written testimony from Langdon Marsh, 7 pp

Y ñ HB 2180, written testimony from John Ledger, 1 pp

Z ñ HB 2180, written testimony from Kathryn VanNatta, 2 pp