PUBLIC HEARING AND WORK SESSION: SB 548

WORK SESSION: SB 248

TAPES 062 - 063 A

SENATE REVENUE COMMITTEE

MARCH 15, 1999 - 3:00 P.M. - HEARING ROOM A - STATE CAPITOL BUILDING

Members Present: Senator Randy Miller, Chair

Senator Lee Beyer

Senator Tom Hartung

Senator Charles Starr

Members Excused: Senator Thomas Wilde, Vice Chair

Staff: Paul Warner, Legislative Revenue Officer Lizbeth Martin-Mahar, Economist, Legislative Revenue Office Joan Green, Committee Assistant

Witnesses: Roger Martin, Roger Martin and Associates

Bert Farrish, Columbia Grain

Gil Riddell, Association of Oregon Counties

TAPE 062, SIDE A

005 Chair Miller

Meeting called to order at 3:04 p.m.

OPENED PUBLIC HEARING ON SB 548

| 011 | Lizbeth Martin- Mahar | Described SB 548, which allows a partial property tax exemption for real property owned or leased by a municipality or port which is used to clean or decontaminate agricultural commodity cargo. Discussed revenue impact statement. (Exhibit 1) |
|-----|--------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 045 | Roger Martin | Spoke in support of the measure. |
| 053 | Bert Farrish | Spoke in support of the measure. Referenced handout. (Exhibit 3) |
| 125 | Farrish | Continued with testimony in support of measure. |
| 161 | | Discussion and questions regarding amount of grain cleaned per year, who is responsible for making the determination as to whether the grain is cleaned and if custom cleaning is done. |
| 175 | Sen. Hartung | What is done with the dockage? |
| 173 | Farrish | It is sold to feed mill operations; it has a value. |
| 188 | Chair Miller | Do business contracts require the facility? |
| 187 | Farrish | Yes. |
| 194 | Chair Miller | The Port of Portland owns the property and facility? |
| 195 | Farrish | Yes. |
| 196 | Chair Miller | Is it a term of the lease that Columbia Grain is responsible for the property taxes? |
| 198 | Farrish | Yes. |

| 199 | Chair Miller | Does the Port pay property tax? |
|-----|--------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 200 | Martin | The Port does not pay property taxes for facilities that they have direct authority for; leasees pay an "in lieu of property tax". |
| 210 | Chair Miller | The Port of Portland is in support of the legislation? |
| 212 | Martin | Yes, the Port is in strong support of the legislation. The Multnomah County Assessoris office is disputing the measure without a visit to the facility. |
| | | Requested the enactment date be amended to the date of enactment for a tax bill or July 1, 1999. |
| 236 | Sen. Beyer | Referenced ß1, lines 9-12 bill; it would appear the facility already meets the condition of the law. |
| 241 | Farrish | That is Columbia Grainís opinion, but Multnomah County does not share it. Columbia Grain is involved in an administrative hearing process with the County. It was Columbia Grainís choice to seek clarification and a permanent solution from the legislature. |
| 260 | Sen. Hartung | To accommodate the ships used by Columbia Grain is it necessary to dredge the Columbia River? |
| 262 | Farrish | Yes, to maintain a viable shipping port the dredging of the river is necessary. |
| 279 | Sen. Hartung | Referenced lines 12-13 language, " to the extent the property does not further alter or process an agricultural commodity." A case could be made that this is value added processing of a grain. Does that interfere with what the measure is to do or change the taxation status? |
| 288 | Martin | The definition was intended to narrow the legislation. |
| 312 | Farrish | The purpose of the language was to limit it to the removal of non-millable material. |

| 324 Farrish Did not know. 338 Gil Riddell Spoke to the measure; supported the narrow language and the administrative pro that both Columbia Grain and Multnomah County are participating in. This measus not a classic exemption, however the property tay has been changed to a permanent based system because of Measure 50. Any exemptions granted are a direct loss to 1 services. Referenced table. (Exhibit 2) WORK SESSION ON SB 548 | | 3 Sen. Beyer | 323 |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|------|
| that both Columbia Grain and Multnomah County are participating in. This measu not a classic exemption, however the property tax has been changed to a permanent based system because of Measure 50. Any exemptions granted are a direct loss to 1 services. Referenced table. (Exhibit 2) WORK SESSION ON SB 548 387 Sen. Starr MOTION: MOVED SB 548 388 Chair Miller Requested if Sen. Starr intended to include the requested change in 62, line 12 to July 1, 1999 instead of July 1, 2000? 392 Sen. Starr 420 Chair Miller MOTION: BY CONCEPTUAL AMENDMENT DELETED 62 ON PAGE 3, LT 11-12. HEARING NO OBJECTION, THE CHAIR SO ORDERED. 423 Chair Miller 424 Explained the effect of the adopted conceptual amendment to cause the bill, if past to take effect 90 days after sine die. 411 Sen. Starr | | 4 Farrish | 324 |
| 387 Sen. Starr MOTION: MOVED SB 548 TO THE SENATE FLOOR WITH A DO P. RECOMMENDATION. 388 Chair Miller Requested if Sen. Starr intended to include the requested change in 62, line 12 to July 1, 1999 instead of July 1, 2000? 392 Sen. Starr Yes, withdrew motion. 420 Chair Miller MOTION: BY CONCEPTUAL AMENDMENT DELETED 62 ON PAGE 3, LI 11-12. HEARING NO OBJECTION, THE CHAIR SO ORDERED. 423 Chair Miller Explained the effect of the adopted conceptual amendment to cause the bill, if past to take effect 90 days after sine die. 411 Sen. Starr MOTION: MOVED SB 548, AS AMENDED, TO THE SENATE FLOOR WIT | nty are participating in. This measure is in has been changed to a permanent rate | 3 Gil Riddell | 338 |
| RECOMMENDATION. 388 Chair Miller Requested if Sen. Starr intended to include the requested change in 62, line 12 to July 1, 1999 instead of July 1, 2000? 392 Sen. Starr Yes, withdrew motion. 420 Chair Miller MOTION: BY CONCEPTUAL AMENDMENT DELETED 62 ON PAGE 3, LII 11-12. HEARING NO OBJECTION, THE CHAIR SO ORDERED. 423 Chair Miller Explained the effect of the adopted conceptual amendment to cause the bill, if past to take effect 90 days after sine die. 411 Sen. Starr MOTION: MOVED SB 548, AS AMENDED, TO THE SENATE FLOOR WIT | | ORK SESSION ON SB 548 | WORK |
| July 1, 1999 instead of July 1, 2000? 392 Sen. Starr 420 Chair Miller MOTION: BY CONCEPTUAL AMENDMENT DELETED & ON PAGE 3, LII 11-12. HEARING NO OBJECTION, THE CHAIR SO ORDERED. 423 Chair Miller Explained the effect of the adopted conceptual amendment to cause the bill, if past to take effect 90 days after sine die. 411 Sen. Starr | NATE FLOOR WITH A DO PASS | 7 Sen. Starr | 387 |
| 420 Chair Miller MOTION: BY CONCEPTUAL AMENDMENT DELETED ß2 ON PAGE 3, LII 11-12. HEARING NO OBJECTION, THE CHAIR SO ORDERED. 423 Chair Miller Explained the effect of the adopted conceptual amendment to cause the bill, if past to take effect 90 days after sine die. 411 Sen. Starr MOTION: MOVED SB 548, AS AMENDED, TO THE SENATE FLOOR WIT | requested change in ß2, line 12 to read | 3 Chair Miller | 388 |
| 423 Chair Miller Explained the effect of the adopted conceptual amendment to cause the bill, if past to take effect 90 days after sine die. 411 Sen. Starr MOTION: MOVED SB 548, AS AMENDED, TO THE SENATE FLOOR WIT | | 2 Sen. Starr | 392 |
| 411 Sen. Starr MOTION: MOVED SB 548, AS AMENDED, TO THE SENATE FLOOR WIT | |) Chair Miller | 420 |
| | amendment to cause the bill, if passed, | 3 Chair Miller | 423 |
| | | I Sen. Starr | 411 |
| 434 Sen. Beyer Spoke in support of the measure. | | 4 Sen. Beyer | 434 |
| 440 VOTE ROLL CALL VOTE: MOTION PASSES 4-0-1 | |) VOTE | 440 |
| SENATORS VOTING AYE: Beyer, Hartung, Starr, Chair Miller SENATORS EXCUSED: Wilde | tarr, Chair Miller | | |

Sen. Starr will carry the bill.

TAPE 063, SIDE A

WORK SESSION ON SB 248

| 017 | Lizbeth Martin- Mahar | Discussed amendment, which clarify statutes. (Exhibit 4) |
|-----|--------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | The two major changes are: |
| | | 1. Page 1, line 21 of the amendment, which changes the timeline that the County Assessors offices has to send out written disqualification notice. |
| | | 2. Page 2 of the amendment, line 3 deletes lines 33-39 of the measure and inserts the new language as shown in lines 4-8 of the amendment. |
| | | Discussed revenue impact statement for the (-1) amendment. (Exhibit 5) |
| 058 | Sen. Hartung | MOTION: MOVED (-1) AMENDMENT TO SB 248 BE ADOPTED. HEARING NO OBJECTION, THE CHAIR SO ORDERED. |
| 063 | Sen. Hartung | MOTION: MOVED SB 248, AS AMENDED, TO THE SENATE FLOOR WITH A DO PASS AS AMENDED RECOMMENDATION. |
| 066 | VOTE | ROLL CALL VOTE: MOTION PASSES 4-0-1 |
| | | SENATORS VOTING AYE: Beyer, Hartung, Starr, Chair Miller |
| | | SENATORS EXCUSED: Wilde |
| | | Sen. Starr will carry the bill. |
| | | |

REOPENED WORK SESSION ON SB 548

077 Chair Miller MOTION: MOVED TO RECONSIDER THE VOTE BY WHICH THE COMMITTEE PASSED SB 548, AS AMENDED. OUT OF COMMITTEE. HEARING NO OBJECTION, THE CHAIR SO ORDERED.

| 078 | Chair Miller | The Committee has been advised that a date specific needs to be inserted. |
|-----|--------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 082 | Sen. Starr | MOTION: BY CONCEPTUAL AMENDMENT, DELETE JULY 1, 2000 AND INSERT JULY 1, 1999 ON LINE 12, PAGE 3 OF THE BILL. HEARING NO OBJECTION, THE CHAIR SO ORDERED. |
| 089 | Chair Miller | MOTION: MOVED SB 548, AS AMENDED, TO THE SENATE FLOOR WITH A DO PASS AS AMENDED RECOMMENDATION. |
| 091 | VOTE | ROLL CALL VOTE: MOTION PASSES 4-0-1 SENATORS VOTING AYE: Beyer, Hartung, Starr, Chair Miller SENATORS EXCUSED: Wilde Sen. Starr will carry the bill. |
| 097 | Chair Miller | Meeting adjourned at 3:35 p.m. |

Submitted by, Reviewed by,

Joan Green Kim T. James

Committee Assistant Revenue Office Manager

Exhibit Summary:

- 1. SB 548, Martin-Mahar, Revenue impact statement, 1 page
- 2. SB 548, Riddell, Handout, 1 page
- 3. SB 548, Martin, Handout, 3 pages
- 4. SB 248, Martin-Mahar, (-1) amendment, (DJ/ps) 02/22/99, 2 pages
- 5. SB 248, Martin-Mahar, SB 248-1 Revenue impact statement, 1 page