#### PUBLIC HEARING: SB 464, SB 597

### PUBLIC HEARING AND WORK SESSION: SB 595, SB 596

**TAPES 049 - 050 A** 

# SENATE REVENUE COMMITTEE

#### MARCH 2, 1999 - 3:00 P.M. - HEARING ROOM A - STATE CAPITOL BUILDING

Members Present: Senator Randy Miller, Chair

Senator Thomas Wilde, Vice Chair

Senator Lee Beyer

Senator Tom Hartung

Senator Charles Starr

Staff: Paul Warner, Legislative Revenue Officer Ed Waters, Economist, Legislative Revenue Office Richard Yates, Economist, Legislative Revenue Office Joan Green, Committee Assistant

Witnesses: Vickie Totten, Oregon Community College Association Donald Lamb, Oregon Catholic Conference

Gary Conkling, Oregon Winegrowers Association

Jim Bernau, Willamette Valley Vineyards

Ivan Wells, Oregon Winegrowers Association

Invited Testimony: Jesse Lyon, Davis, Wright, Tremaine Lynn McNamara, League of Oregon Cities Larry Bohnsack, Citizen

# TAPE 049, SIDE A

005 Chair Miller Meeting called to order at 3:07 p.m.

# OPENED PUBLIC HEARING ON SB 464

018	Ed Waters	Described SB 464; which would create an income tax credit for corporate and personal income taxpayers for contributions in support of public schools.
036	Chair Miller	Spoke in support of the measure. Discussed the revenue impact statement, which is significant. (Exhibit 1)
083	Sen. Starr	Questioned where the numbers came from for the revenue impact statement, (Exhibit 1).
098	Waters	Advised how the figures were calculated.
109		Discussion and questions regarding who the recipients would be. The Chair indicated that he is willing to expand the scope from the current language.
137	Sen. Beyer	Was it the Chairís intent to focus primarily at the secondary school level or be broader than that?
139	Chair Miller	"It is my intent to be as broad as the State can reasonably afford."
157	Sen. Hartung	Requested staff research how many education foundations are registered in Oregon.
180	Vickie Totten	The Oregon Community College Association would request that the bill be amended to include community colleges. The Association is not as interested in having donations to the Community College Support Fund, which is the parallel to the School Fund.
206	Donald Lamb	Requested the bill be amended to broaden the scope of those who could be eligible for the tax credit.

236	Chair Miller	Requested input from the Committee in what additions/reductions might be made to the bill, if there is an interest in the concept.		
246	Sen. Beyer	Supported the concept and broadening the language to include community colleges. Discussed several issues the Committee might want to consider:		
		• Would contributions made directly to a school district want to be included for credits?		
		• Construction of the language in lines 11-13 of the bill might be broader than intended.		
270	Sen. Hartung	Supported the concept and asked how this differs from current statute?		
278	Chair Miller	The difference is a tax credit instead of a deduction.		
294	Sen. Starr	Supported the concept. Could a lesser tax credit be given; for example a \$500 tax credit for a \$1,000 contribution?		
302		Discussion and questions regarding alternatives and requested the staff crunch some numbers to bring back for the Committeeis consideration.		
341	Chair Miller	Recessed until 3:30 p.m.		
		Reconvened at 3:30 p.m.		
OPENED PUBLIC HEARING ON SB 595				
353	Richard Yates	Described SB 595, which deals with personal property taxation. (Exhibit 2)		
<u>TAPE 050, SIDE A</u>				
008	Gary Conkling	Presented testimony in support of measure. (Exhibit 3)		

Also spoke to SB 596 and SB 597. (Exhibit 3)

121	Jim Bernau	Spoke in support of the bill. Also spoke to SB 596 and SB 597.		
180	Ivan Wells	Spoke in support of the measure. Also spoke to SB 596 and SB 597.		
200	Yates	Referenced the staff measure summary and penalty and interest column of the chart prepared for SB 596, (Exhibit 4). Was the bill drafted to address the rapid increase in penalties and interest in the past few years?		
209	Wells	No.		
PUBLIC	HEARING ON SB 596			
226	Richard Yates	Distributed staff measure summary, (Exhibit 4) and fiscal impact statement. (Exhibit 5)		
PUBLIC HEARING ON SB 597				
228	Richard Yates	Distributed staff measure summary. (Exhibit 6)		
WORK SESSION ON SB 596				
244	Vice Chair Wilde	Referenced ß2 lines 28-29 of the bill, why is interest and penalties limited in this way?		
265	Jesse Lyon	The language would allow the Oregon Liquor Control Commission (OLCC) to collect on any outstanding cases; referenced all of B2.		
292	Chair Miller	Requested if the OLCC wanted to testify on bill. OLCC responded that they are neutral		
308	Sen. Beyer	MOTION: MOVED SB 596 TO THE SENATE FLOOR WITH A DO PASS RECOMMENDATION.		

# 314 VOTE ROLL CALL VOTE: MOTION PASSES 5-0-0 SENATORS VOTING AYE: Beyer, Hartung, Starr, Wilde, Chair Miller Sen. Hartung will carry the bill.

## WORK SESSION ON SB 595

335	Chair Miller	Do local jurisdictions have concerns regarding this measure?
352	Lynn McNamara	Cities have a concern about any exemption that reduces the amount of revenue for local government.
370	Chair Miller	Does the League of Oregon Cities have an official position on the bill?
375	McNamara	The League has not voted on it, but the general position is that if the legislature enacts exemptions they be refunded for local government.
368	Larry Bohnsack	Spoke to concern of concentration of wineries within certain counties and the impact it could have on those counties.
408	Yates	The distribution is not uniform across counties; the impact to certain counties could be great. The counties primarily affected are Clackamas, Yamhill and Lane counties, and to a certain extent Polk County.
428	Chair Miller	Would request bill be rescheduled and the counties that are disproportionately affected could be contacted to respond to the bill.
446	Chair Miller	Meeting adjourned at 4:04 p.m.

Submitted by, Reviewed by,

Joan Green Kim T. James

Committee Assistant Revenue Office Manager

Exhibit Summary:

- SB 464, Waters, Revenue impact statement, 1 page
  SB 595, Yates, Staff measure summary and fiscal impact statement, 2 pages
- 3. \*SB 595, Conkling, Written testimony, 2 pages
- 4. SB 596, Yates, Staff measure summary, 2 pages
- 5. SB 596, LRO Staff, fiscal impact statement, 1 page
- 6. SB 597, Yates, Staff measure summary, 1 page