SENATE COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION

March 17, 1999 Hearing Room C

3:05 p.m. Tapes 42 - 43

MEMBERS PRESENT: Sen. Marylin Shannon, Chair

Sen. Mae Yih, Vice-Chair Sen. Susan Castillo Sen. Gary George Sen. Lenn Hannon

MEMBERS EXCUSED: Sen. Joan Dukes

Sen. Ted Ferrioli

STAFF PRESENT: Don Scott, Administrator

Valerie Luhr, Administrative Support

MEASURE/ISSUES HEARD: SB 574 Public Hearing

SB 614 Work Session

SB 909 Public Hearing and Work Session

These minutes are in compliance with Senate and House Rules. Only text enclosed in quotation marks reports a speaker's exact words. For complete contents, please refer to the tapes.

| TAPE/# | Speaker | Comments | | |
|-------------------|-----------------------|---|--|--|
| TAPE 42, A | TAPE 42, A | | | |
| 004 | Chair Shannon | Calls the meeting to order at 3:12 p.m. Opens public hearing on SB 574. | | |
| <u>SB 574 PUB</u> | SB 574 PUBLIC HEARING | | | |
| 007 | Don Scott | Committee Administrator. Reviews provisions of SB 574. Introduces and reviews provisions of the SB 574-1 amendments [EXHIBIT A]. | | |
| | | | | |

| 021 | Sen. George | Clarifies Scott is referring to a bumper height of 29 inches from the ground. |
|----------|-----------------|---|
| 022 | Scott | States it is the bumper standard. States the State Police are concerned about a vehicle being raised and the bumper then lowered and not securely fastened to the vehicle. |
| 027 | Sen. Hannon | Asks if someone will be available to respond to questions. Believes there will be off-road vehicle groups who would find objection to the proposal. States he understands the bumper requirements, but is also concerned about individual rights. |
| 037 | Chair Shannon | States someone is available to answer questions. Requests Scott review the provisions of SB 574 and the amendments again. |
| 040 | Scott | Reviews the provisions of the bill and previous testimony from March 1. |
| 057 | Chair Shannon | Closes the public hearing on SB 574. Opens work session on SB 614. |
| Sb 614 w | ork session | |
| 062 | Chair Shannon | Explains Rep. Tom Butler helped draft SB 614. States she requested Rep. Butler to comment on the SB 614-6 amendments [EXHIBIT B]. |
| 070 | Rep. Tom Butler | House District 60. Expresses his thanks for the opportunity to work on SB 614. Reviews provisions of SB 614-16 amendments. |
| 102 | Chair Shannon | References provisions in Section 3, page 2, of the amendments. States the quarterly report requirement was added to allow for updates until the accounting system is fully implemented. |
| 106 | Rep. Butler | States he believed it was important to have the quarterly reports before the Emergency Board so they would know progress was being made. |
| 124 | Sen. Hannon | States the legislature has a total inability to delve into line item budgeting. Expresses concerns about the language in Section 3 of the SB 614-16 amendments. States ODOT will spend too much time giving reports to the Emergency Board, instead of implementing the accounting system. |
| 144 | Rep. Butler | States this not a new accounting system that has never been implemented in any transportation department. States it should not take longer than a full year to develop and implement the system, and ODOT can return as frequently as necessary to provide updated reports to the Emergency Board and the legislature. |
| 176 | Sen. Hannon | References provisions in Section 2 of the amendments. States it appears the language is not delineating between "new construction projects" and "improvements and maintenance." Comments on his experience as an employee for the city of Ashland. Asks Rep. Butler if he is trying to find a personís actual timeóhow much a person is at work and what the person is doing. |
| 194 | Rep. Butler | States he does not envision the cost accounting system would develop that kind of micro-management. Explains he envisions the cost accounting system would tell the cost per linear foot for a project. |
| 227 | Sen. Hannon | States he believes the analogy Rep. Butler used is bean counting. States that in |

| | | reality the engineering of a bike path might be more expensive in some areas. States "services" are also employee costs. |
|----------|---------------|---|
| 244 | Chair Shannon | States the language is her idea and she went to Rep. Butler for a resource. States legislature has been asking ODOT to go to a cost accounting system for over 15 years. Last summer (1998), ODOT said they could get there by February (1999); and in February, ODOT said by July (1999). States she wanted the statutes to provide for ODOT's conversion to a standard, acceptable cost accounting system. |
| 257 | Sen. Hannon | States he apologizes if he offended Chair Shannon. Expresses his difficulty in understanding what Chair Shannon wants in a cost accounting system. |
| 264 | Chair Shannon | States John Lattimer testified the Audits Division supports the cost accounting system. Discusses how long it takes to get an answer from ODOT because of the Transportation Environment Accounting and Management System (TEAMS) or from contractors who use a cost accounting system. |
| 282 | Rep. Butler | States the bottom line is to ensure projects in the Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) are prioritized and the resources are available. |
| 297 | Sen. Hannon | Comments on a truck safety ramp project in his district. States ODOT recognized the project could not be done. States he believes ODOT gets tagged for things that happen when the design force in the ODOT building is the real culprit. |
| 319 | Rep. Butler | States his agreement with Sen. Hannon. Comments on an area along the Snake River called "The Slides" and putting the project on the STIP. |
| 344 | Sen. George | States it is a problem to determine the definition of a project when reviewing the STIP and the budget. Believes HB 2478 and SB 614 should contain definitions. Hidden within the budgets are a lot of items that should have been put into specific categories that referred back to projects. Suggests deciding right now if these two bills will contain uniform definitions. |
| 362 | Sen. George | Expresses his concern about the lack of project definitions in the STIP. Suggests ensuring that HB 2478 and SB 614 contain definitions that are consistent within the STIP and the reporting methods. Expresses concern that there are items in ODOT is budget that should have been put into indirect support service categories. Expresses concern about the inability to determine specific project costs. |
| TAPE 43, | , A | |
| 002 | Chair Shannon | Requests Sen. George provide an example of "new highway construction." Asks if adding a lane that is not there is new highway construction. |
| 003 | Sen. George | States that adding a lane could be resurfacing. |
| 006 | Chair Shannon | States "resurfacing" is resurfacing an existing lane. Requests Mike Marsh to come to the witness table. |
| 009 | Sen. George | States Marsh had to wade through indirect support services that were in the construction budget. |
| 011 | Chair Shannon | Invites Tom Lulay to come to the witness table. |

| 013 | Mike Marsh | Clarifies there are definitions of modernization, preservation, etc. within ODOTis budget, which could be put in the bill. States the definitions in the amendment clarify what ODOT is trying to get at when the legislature asks about new highway construction or resurfacing. States ODOT could put their interpretation of the words in the amendment on legislative record. States ODOTis interpretation of resurfacing when it is a new lane or a current lane. |
|-----|---------------|---|
| 030 | Chair Shannon | Asks if there is a time when ODOT would call resurfacing a road without adding anything to it "new construction." States \$54 million goes to new construction. |
| 033 | Tom Lulay | ODOT. States new construction is termed "modernization" when adding capacity. States "preservation" is applying a new asphalt surface, not adding another lane. States ODOT has endeavored to get the program budget definitions consistent with those in the current draft STIP. States he would be glad to meet with Sen. George to discuss definitions. |
| 043 | Sen. George | States progress is being made. Clarifies a bicycle path could be in modernization or maintenance. |
| 051 | Chair Shannon | States a bicycle path must be in the bicycle path category if it is maintained or built. |
| 053 | Sen. George | States the amendments are good. Expresses further concern about definitions. Suggests each project should have details or allocations specific to each item in the project. |
| 070 | Chair Shannon | Asks where the \$759,000 from construction that was spent on the west-side light rail project would be listed in the accounting system. |
| 073 | Marsh | Explains the west-side light rail expenditures were part of a project that contained highway and transit impacts. States, within ODOTís program budget and the bill, the elements of the project would be shown in communication, signage, and various other pieces of the project. States a bikeway would be accounted for within the total cost of the project. Explains bikeways are not usually built separately, but are shoulders turned into bikeways. |
| 089 | Sen. George | Asks how the Beaverton-Hillsdale Highway (ORE 217) project near Burger King and Fred Meyer was described. |
| 090 | Marsh | States he is not sure. |
| 091 | Sen. George | Describes various elements of the project, and states the project also affected businesses in the area. States Lulay took him to see the project. Asks, again, how the project was defined. |
| 105 | Lulay | States he does not know if it was an ODOT or city project. States he recalls a mixture of local and ODOT projects. Discusses a modernization project on I-5 that includes bridges and adding pavement. |
| 120 | Chair Shannon | Asks if the work on I-5 is federal dollars. Asks if the amount counts against the \$54 million for modernization. |
| 124 | Lulay | States it is federal dollars and does not count against the modernization dollars. |

| 126 | Chair Shannon | Asks Marsh if ODOT can implement the cost accounting system earlier than 2001. |
|-----|---------------|---|
| 129 | Marsh | Explains the implementation plan, which was discussed during the interim. States the system could be implemented prior to 2001. States a quicker implementation would cost more. The department would go with a quicker implementation if directed so by the legislature. Summarizes impacts of SB 614 on ODOT. |
| 158 | Chair Shannon | Requests Marsh think about the timeline. |
| 159 | Sen. George | References ODOTís support services costs. States the amounts appear in every account. Asks if those amounts ever appear as total indirect services. |
| 169 | Marsh | Explains the amount appears in the 1999-2001 program budget, but not in the older budgets. |
| 178 | Sen. George | References \$207 million for light rail in the STIP and \$26 million for light rail in the budget. Requests clarification of the difference. |
| 181 | Marsh | Requests Sen. George repeat the amounts. |
| 182 | Sen. George | States \$26 million is under transit in the budget and \$207 million in the STIP for the biennium. |
| 188 | Marsh | States the budget for the biennium has \$26 million and the STIP is a four-year plan. |
| 191 | Sen. George | States the STIP for four years is \$414 million. |
| 192 | Marsh | States the ODOT budget is not paying for those transit costs. |
| 195 | Sen. George | States there are many transit-related projects in the STIP. |
| 197 | Marsh | States ODOT, alone, does not pay for those projects. A special district, a city, or others may pay for the project. |
| 200 | Sen. George | States all the federal dollars are in the budget. |
| 204 | Chair Shannon | Suggests reviewing this matter at another time. States the committee needs to work the bill. |
| 207 | Sen. George | Expresses concern about uniform definitions. |
| 214 | Marsh | States the definitions in HB 2478 are the same as the definitions in ODOTís program budget. States SB 617 will provide another level of detail. |
| 225 | Sen. George | Asks if Mel Zucker can come to the witness table. |
| 228 | Lulay | Explains the STIP contains dollars for the Tri-Met area from FTA for transit projects. |
| 236 | Sen. George | Requests a definition of the ORE 217 project. |

| 236 | Chair Shannon | References the last page of SB 614. Asks Rep. Butler for his thoughts on the timeline. |
|-----|----------------|--|
| 239 | Rep. Butler | Provides his views of the 18-month implementation timeline. States his approval of the timeline. |
| 260 | Chair Shannon | Clarifies Rep. Butler approves. |
| 261 | Rep. Butler | Restates his approval. States SB 614 in conjunction with HB 2478 will provide the framework for developing the cost accounting system. |
| 276 | Chair Shannon | Clarifies the legislature will want brief updates, not detailed reports. |
| 280 | Rep. Butler | States his agreement. |
| 287 | Vice Chair Yih | States she is glad Sen. George asked for definitions. States she wants the definitions to include "administrative costs," and ODOT and the Secretary of State should agree upon the definition. |
| 309 | Sen. George | States administrative costs need to be defined. Indicates Oregon is the second highest in the nation for administrative costs. |
| 317 | Vice Chair Yih | Asks if Rep. Butler believes administrative costs can be defined and agreed upon. |
| 322 | Rep. Butler | States he thinks the Department of Administrative Services (DAS) would be able to assist with the definition of administrative costs. |
| 356 | Chair Shannon | Clarifies Vice Chair Yih wants administrative costs defined. |
| 357 | Vice Chair Yih | States she wants the definition. |
| 359 | Marsh | Explains ODOT is working with the Secretary of State Audits Division on the definition of administration. States an agreement will be ready within a couple of weeks. |
| 363 | Chair Shannon | Asks if the report will be ready for ODOTís budget report to the Ways and Means Committee. |
| 366 | Marsh | States the definitions and application of the definitions within the program budget will be ready. |
| 369 | Sen. George | States the need to clearly define "project development" and "consulting." |
| 384 | Chair Shannon | States ODOT is not using team development consultants any more. |
| 387 | Mel Zucker | Comments on his testimony during the 1997 Session about STIP projects that were labeled "preservation." States his agreement with Sen. Hannonís comments about allocating costs. States he likes the accounts in SB 614. Discusses how the definitions in SB 614 are different than the definitions in the STIP. |
| 429 | Chair Shannon | States the STIP cannot be rewritten. |

| 430 | Zucker | States the legislature can define what should be in the STIP and how the projects are totaled. |
|----------|----------------|---|
| TAPE 42 | , B | |
| 018 | Chair Shannon | Appoints a work group to work with Legislative Counsel to write the definitions. Appoints Rep. Butler, Sen. George, Marsh, and Zucker to the work group. States she wants to move the bill next week. |
| 029 | Vice Chair Yih | Asks if Rep. Butler thinks someone from DAS should participate in the work group. |
| 030 | Rep. Butler | States DAS provides information on overhead figures. States the audits provide good history information. States he thinks a representative of DAS would be good. |
| 037 | Chair Shannon | Clarifies Sen. George will serve on the work group. Closes the work session on SB 614. Reopens the public hearing on SB 574. |
| SB 574 P | UBLIC HEARING | |
| 050 | Jeff Gent | Eugene, Oregon. Requests clarification of the 29 inches provision in the SB 574-1 amendments. |
| 056 | Scott | Reviews provisions of the SB 574-1 amendments. |
| 060 | Gent | States his opposition to SB 574. States those people who build and drive small cars need to take care of the other half of the equation. States he drives a small car and also a motorcycle. Does not believe he would receive any sympathy if he was involved in a motorcycle accident. Believes 29 inches is too low. Believes the problems is an engineering problem, not political. States rules and regulations impact every public road, not just the highway. |
| 099 | Chair Shannon | Expresses her enjoyment of the witnessís testimony and attitude. |
| 101 | Vice Chair Yih | Asks how high the bumper is on Gentís vehicle. |
| 102 | Gent | States his vehicle is currently ripped down and not operational. |
| 104 | Chair Shannon | Asks Gent how high he intends to put the bumper. |
| 105 | Gent | States he is researching the matter. States he is not a fan of the monster truck scene. Explains his vehicle is older and where the bumper was placed. |
| 116 | Vice Chair Yih | Asks how high he plans to have the bumper. |
| 118 | Gent | Restates he has not decided. |
| 119 | Vice Chair Yih | Asks if the bumper will be higher than 29 inches. |
| 120 | Gent | States he does not know. |
| 128 | Mark Parent | Founding member of the Cascade Off Roaders, Lebanon, Oregon, and Region 6 |

| | | Director, Pacific Northwest Four Wheel Drive Association. Expresses his associationsí opposition to SB 574. States the bill puts bumper heights solely in the hands of manufacturers. Believes the bill will be costly for those people who have modified vehicles. |
|-----|----------------|---|
| 144 | Vice Chair Yih | Asks Parent how he resolves the safety concern of a small vehicle upon collision with vehicle that has a high bumper. |
| 147 | Parent | States it is a free nation and people can choose to drive whatever vehicle they want. |
| 155 | Harold Kalleck | Region 3 Director, Pacific Northwest Four Wheel Drive Association, Aloha, Oregon. States he spoke with Sen. John Lim on his original bill and was led to believe Sen. Lim was going along with HB 2360, which provides for a 29-inch bumper. Explains ground clearance is a problem when four-wheeling. Comments on bumper heights and tire size of new Ford vehicles. Believes the 29-inch bumper height will be difficult to enforce. States his agreement with Parentís testimony. Suggests a work group be put together to look at bumper height issues and that he would be willing to participate. |
| 201 | Vice Chair Yih | Asks Parent how high the bumper is on his vehicle. |
| 202 | Parent | States his 1979 Chevy Blazer has a four-inch lift kit, 36-inch tall tires, and the bumper is approximately 33 inches off the ground. |
| 28 | Vice Chair Yih | As Parent how much it would cost him to bring the bumper down to 29 inches if the law passed. |
| 212 | Parent | States it would cost him the fun and enjoyment of his sport and about \$1000 to change the vehicle. States his tires cost about \$1200 and would need to be replaced. |
| 226 | Sen. George | States there are problems with the bill. States there are bumpers as low as 16 inches and as high as 28 inches in the legislatorsí parking lot. States that over 5000 people died on highways because their cars were small. States there should be a law prohibiting small cars. Comments on larger vehicles being manufactured. |
| 258 | Chair Shannon | Comments on Iowaís law prohibiting vehicles being altered more than five inches that was repealed. States she does not have enough votes to pass the bill out of committee. Asks if there is anyone else who wants to testify. |
| 271 | Eric Neilsen | Lebanon, Oregon. Questions the fatalities of people driving small cars. |
| 280 | Sen. George | Clarifies the fatalities were not because the small vehicle ran under high bumpers. |
| 286 | Neilsen | States he believes the tailgating law needs to be enforced. |
| 295 | Vice Chair Yih | Asks how the tailgating law relates to bumper height. |
| 297 | Neilson | States the law relates to a safe braking distance. |
| 305 | Vice Chair Yih | Clarifies Neilsen is saying he does not want someone to rear-end his vehicle. |

| 309 | Sen. George | Comments on adapted agricultural vehicles, some of which are similar to the types of vehicles the witnesses drive. Believes the bill could have major implications for agricultural community. |
|-----------------|---------------|--|
| 313 | Monte King | Executive Director, Oregon Independent Auto Dealers Association. Asks the committee to consider if the bill would create a liability for auto dealersówhat would happen if a dealer sells a vehicle with a 29 °-inch high bumper. Requests to be informed if the bill has another hearing. |
| 349 | Chair Shannon | Closes public hearing on SB 574. Opens a public hearing on SB 909 |
| <u>SB 909 P</u> | UBLIC HEARING | |
| 362 | Scott | Reviews provisions of SB 909, and the SB 909-1 amendments [EXHIBIT C], which were requested by Sen. Dukes. |
| 385 | Chair Shannon | States it is her intent to put Sen. Dukesí amendments in the bill. |
| 391 | Tom Lulay | Executive Deputy Director/Chief Engineer, ODOT. Introduces Troy Costales. Submits and presents testimony on SB 909 [EXHIBIT D]. |
| 411 | Chair Shannon | Reviews provisions of the SB 909-1 amendments. |
| 416 | Lulay | States he would like to reserve comments on the amendments until he has had a chance to review them. Discusses the two programs targeted by the Governorís 1999-01 Recommended Budget for ODOT: the Highway Safety program and the Transportation Safety Division. |
| TAPE 43 | , B | |
| 026 | Chair Shannon | States enforcement money is received from the federal government, but she thought it was against the Constitution for ODOT to have the State Police to write tickets out of the road fund money. |
| 030 | Lulay | States he believes Chair Shannon is correct and will verify that with Costales. |
| 031 | Chair Shannon | Asks how enforcement is done if tickets cannot be written. |
| 032 | Troy Costales | Manager, Transportation Safety Division, ODOT. States the Transportation Safety Division has funds that come from a different administration of the United States Department of Transportation (DOT) National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA). States the funds specifically address enforcement and education issues. |
| 036 | Chair Shannon | States the \$17 million is not from the road fund. |
| 037 | Lulay | States the \$17 million are highly federalized funds. |
| 039 | Costales | States the \$17 million is approximately just short of \$14 million federal funds and just over \$3 million in other funds. |
| 042 | Lulay | States the Safety Program is contained in ODOTís recommended budget as a program for modernization and preservation. States safety is woven into almost |

| | | every project ODOT does. References the examples on the second page of his testimony |
|-----|---------------|--|
| 057 | Chair Shannon | States she wants more money in modernization, and to call it safety, then in preservation. References the map of high crash sites. Wants to see the high crash sites systematically eliminated. |
| 064 | Lulay | Explains he brought the high crash site map and submits it for the record [EXHIBIT E] . Referring to the map, discusses the "pave mainly" program previously discussed by Director Crunican. |
| 101 | Chair Shannon | Comments on the crash sites in the area around Stayton. Asks if the project is on the STIP. |
| 107 | Lulay | States the project would be on a list that ODOT tries to prioritize. States construction is just one answer. States ODOT does a cost-benefit to determine the most benefit for investment dollars. States it is not easy to know the solution to a problem. Design teams are trying to determine the best use of safety dollars. |
| 120 | Costales | Discusses the use of the \$750,000 held out of the Transportation Safety Division budget to match with construction funds. |
| 130 | Chair Shannon | Asks Costales how he became a safety engineer. |
| 134 | Costales | States he would be happy to respond outside of the meeting. |
| 136 | Chair Shannon | Discusses action on SB 909 with committee members. Asks Lulay if ODOT objects to bill. |
| 145 | Lulay | States he has not had time to review the amendments. |
| 146 | Chair Shannon | Clarifies the amendment. States she believes the committee is ready to move the bill and does not believe the amendment is a problem |
| 152 | Lulay | Asks if ODOT will have another opportunity to comment on the bill. |
| 153 | Chair Shannon | States ODOT can testify on the House side. |
| 156 | Lulay | States it is difficult to say whether ODOT will have difficulty with the addition of the word "safety." States they can deal with the issue on the House side. |
| 160 | Chair Shannon | States both caucuses agree on the issues. Requests committee staff get Vice Chair Yih, who left for another committee, so she can vote. |
| 166 | Lulay | Asks the committee if they have questions. |
| 168 | Chair Shannon | Expresses her thanks to the department for their participation in writing the bill. |
| 170 | Lulay | Expresses concern that by creating the safety program people will believe that is the only place ODOT does safety work. Hopes the members understand that maintenance includes safety elements. States safety elements are in every project ODOT does. |

| | | VOTE: 4-0 |
|-----------------|---------------|---|
| 252 | Chair Shannon | MOTION: Moves to ADOPT SB 909-1 amendments dated 3/17/99. |
| <u>SB 909 W</u> | ORK SESSION | |
| 247 | Chair Shannon | Closes public hearing on SB 909. Opens work session on SB 909. |
| 243 | Lulay | States the redder color is a higher accident area. |
| 237 | Sen. Hannon | States the map indicates red from Oakland to Canyonville. Asks why Myrtle Creek is orange. |
| 231 | Lulay | States a certain amount of money is spent on the interstate every year. |
| 230 | Sen. Hannon | Asks if TEA 21 funds are a one-time occurrence. |
| 227 | Lulay | States the funds used on the interstate are TEA 21 (Transportation Equity Act for the 21 st Century) funds. |
| 226 | Sen. Hannon | Asks if the project comes out of Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act (ISTEA) funds. |
| 213 | Lulay | Believes the new budget categories will lead to interesting discussions. States the green and red map concept allowed by the federal government is not applicable to interstate projects. Comments on federal requirements for interstate projects. States the project by Myrtle Creek is an interstate preservation project. |
| 206 | Sen. Hannon | States the bridge abutments are also being changed. States the project might fit into three categories: safety, maintenance, and new construction. |
| 202 | Lulay | States rock fall work comes out of the departmentis hazard elimination program. States he cannot find out if maintenance crews are doing the work or if it is a STIP project. |
| 200 | Sen. Hannon | Wants to know if the project is preventive or for safety. |
| 199 | Lulay | States he will get the answer. |
| 195 | Sen. Hannon | References an I-5 project near Myrtle Creek and asks if the project is maintenance or new construction. |
| 189 | Chair Shannon | Expresses how her constituents view safety. |
| 194 | Lulay | States the \$91 million is the Governorís recommended budget. Clarifies nothing has been changed. |
| | | |

| | Chair Shannon | Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED. |
|-----|----------------|--|
| 254 | Chair Shannon | Suggests a motion to move SB 909 to the floor with a do pass as amended recommendation |
| 257 | Vice Chair Yih | Clarifies the bill has a \$74 million impact and asks if it goes to Ways and Means. |
| 261 | Chair Shannon | Suggests a motion to move SB 909 to the floor with a do pass as amended recommendation and referral to Ways and Means. |
| 262 | Vice Chair Yih | Asks if disclosure of gas taxes has been removed from the bill. |
| 267 | Chair Shannon | Clarifies that SB 909 is the safety bill. |
| 273 | Sen. Castillo | Asks the administrator to walk through amendments to enable ODOT a chance to comment. |
| 278 | Lulay | States ODOT will have opportunity to comment since the bill is going to Ways and Means. |
| 282 | Chair Shannon | Explains to Sen. Castillo that she worked closely with ODOT when rewriting the bill. |
| 285 | Scott | States the SB 909-1 amendments were the result of the bill previously introduced by Sen. Dukes as an LC draft. States he worked with Sen. Dukes to revise the amendment because the original draft was not what she intended. Further reviews provisions of the amendments. Reviews Sen. Dukes' previous comments about ODOTis policy statement. |
| 317 | Vice Chair Yih | Asks to what section "safety" is added. Chair Shannon clarifies the section with Vice Chair Yih. |
| 327 | Lulay | States the record will show ODOT will be able to comment if they need to at further hearing of the bill before another committee. |
| 330 | Chair Shannon | States that is correct. |
| 333 | Vice Chair Yih | MOTION: Moves SB 909 to the floor with a DO PASS AS AMENDED recommendation and BE REFERRED to the committee on Ways and Means. |
| | Π | VOTE: 4-0 |
| | | AYE: In a roll call vote, all members present vote Aye. |
| | | EXCUSED: 3 - Dukes, Ferrioli, George |
| 340 | Chair Shannon | The motion CARRIES. |
| 342 | Chair Shannon | Declares the meeting adjourned at 4:56 p.m. |

Submitted By, Reviewed By,

Valerie Luhr, Don Scott,

Administrative Support Administrator

EXHIBIT SUMMARY

A ñ SB 471-1 amendments, staff, 1 p.

B ñ SB 614-6 amendments, staff, 2 pp.

C ñ SB 909-1 amendments, staff, 3 pp.

D ñ SB 909, written testimony, Tom Lulay, 3 pp.

E ñ SB 909, written information, Tom Lulay, 1 p.