SENATE COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION

May 12, 1999 Hearing Room C

3:05 p.m. Tapes 86 - 88

MEMBERS PRESENT: Sen. Marylin Shannon, Chair

Sen. Mae Yih, Vice-Chair Sen. Susan Castillo Sen. Ted Ferrioli Sen. Gary George

MEMBER EXCUSED:

STAFF PRESENT: Megan Palau, Administrator

Gary Roulier, Administrative Support

MEASURE/ISSUES HEARD: HB 2203 Public Hearing

HB 2895A Public Hearing

HB 2986A Public Hearing and Work Session

HB 2446A Public Hearing and Work Session

These minutes are in compliance with Senate and House Rules. Only text enclosed in quotation marks reports a speaker's exact words. For complete contents, please refer to the tapes.

| TAPE/# | Speaker | Comments |
|-------------------|---------------|--|
| TAPE 86, A | | |
| 002 | Chair Shannon | Opens the meeting at 3:09 and opens a public hearing on HB 2203. |
| | | |

HB 2203 PUBLIC HEARING

| 007 | Chair Shannon | Remarks on policy issues that have a correlation to HB 2203. Discusses the purchase of right of way in the 1970s for the construction of I-205. Notes that this land was purchased with state gas tax money. Presents (EXHIBIT A) and emphasizes that this land should not have been converted to transit use. |
|-----|---------------|---|
| 056 | Al Lightner | Right of Way Supervisor, Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT). Explains the responsibilities of his department and his tenure with ODOT. |
| 073 | Chair Shannon | Presents (EXHIBIT B) and reviews a Memorandum of Understanding dated December 23, 1997. Asks when the witness first became aware of the agreement. |
| 084 | Lightner | Responds that he first became aware of the understanding in the past year. Adds that he felt the use of the right of way for transit should have been discussed by the directors of ODOT and Tri-Met. |

| 095 | Chair Shannon | Asks if he is usually informed when ODOT right-of-way is being purchased or sold. Discusses the fact that Bechtel is only paying 8 percent of the assessed value of the right of way. |
|-----|---------------|--|
| 097 | Lightner | Responds that right of way agreements would eventually come to his office. Adds that his understanding is that Tri-Met is working on drafting agreements regarding payment responsibilities. States that ODOT will always own the right of way property and the rights to use the property will be granted to Tri-Met. |
| 119 | Sen. Castillo | Asks if this particular discussion is on the agenda. |
| 120 | Chair Shannon | Responds that HB 2203 is an attempt by ODOT to avoid spending \$54 million on highways. Adds that the issue being discussed deals directly with ODOT uses of funds. Asks if Tri-Met will pay the 8 percent. |
| 128 | Lightner | Responds that is correct, and reiterates that ODOT will retain title and Tri-Met would have operating rights. |
| 132 | Chair Shannon | Asks if the agreement was to lease or to purchase the property. |
| 134 | Lightner | Answers that those agreements are still being negotiated. |
| 142 | Chair Shannon | Asks if he has been working on this project. |
| 145 | Lightner | Responds yes and that ODOT has completed an appraisal of the property. |

| 151 | Chair Shannon | Notes that her concern is that ODOT was giving land away. |
|-----|----------------|---|
| 153 | Lightner | Responds that the issue is that while ODOT retains title, how much of the value of the use of the property is recoverable. Notes that there are issues of compensibility, and his recommendation would be that Bechtel pay full value. |
| 172 | Chair Shannon | Asks if it was the ODOT director that made the decision to have Bechtel pay only a percentage of the property value. |
| 174 | Grace Crunican | Director, ODOT. Responds that the federal codes state that land that can be used for alternative transportation purposes must be used for those purposes. Adds that the current proposal is to transfer the 92 percent federal reimbursement to transit uses. |
| 193 | Chair Shannon | Remarks that the problem is that when Oregon was reimbursed in the 1970s, the funds were placed in the highway trust fund. |
| 207 | Crunican | Answers that 92 percent of the project was paid for with federal funds. Notes that the right of way was initially to be used for a busway but will now be used for lightrail. Emphasizes that these are legal uses for the federal funds. |
| 226 | Chair Shannon | Contends that the property purchased and set aside for transit was paid for with highway trust fund dollars. Adds that ODOT decided to charge only 8 percent of the value of the land. |
| 240 | Sen. Castillo | Remarks that spending decisions on transit are not made in a vacuum and involve |
| | | an extended public process. Emphasizes that any examination of decisions made in the 1970s need to include a study of the entire process. |
| 263 | Chair Shannon | Responds that her research has demonstrated that it was the sole decision of the director of ODOT. |
| 273 | Sen. Castillo | Conveys her frustration with the line of questioning. |
| 285 | Sen. George | States that right of way property cannot be used for other purposes than for road use unless it is properly compensated for. |
| 300 | Chair Shannon | Comments that the assessed value of the property is approximately \$2 million. Asks if the land was sold for full value. |
| 326 | Crunican | Answers that any sale of right-of-way requires certification that the right-of-way is not needed. Adds that this property is not related to North-South light rail and that the funds are not state highway funds. Reiterates that the federal guidelines are that this property must be used for transit purposes. |

| 355 | Chair Shannon | Asks if the situation would be different if the property was sold to Tri-Met. |
|------------|---------------|--|
| 358 | Crunican | Responds that the property must be conveyed rather than sold. |
| 363 | Don Aman | Manager, Funds and Grants Administration Unit, ODOT. Presents (EXHIBIT C) and testifies in support of HB 2203. Explains the purpose of HB 2203 which authorizes ODOT to count federal moneys and certain State Highway Fund moneys used for modernization toward amounts required for state modernization program for highways. |
| TAPE 87, A | | |
| 002 | Aman | Notes that the purpose of the bill is to ensure that Oregon gets the maximum federal funds available. Adds that HB 2203 will allow ODOT to count federal funds toward the modernization requirement. |
| 013 | Sen. George | Asks what the current \$54 million block of revenue is tied to. Remarks that HB 2203 substitutes federal funds for state funds for modernization programs. |
| 018 | Crunican | Responds that the \$54 is state gas tax money which by statute must be dedicated to modernization. Discusses the problem associated with paperwork difficulties with the federal government. Emphasizes that the \$54 million will continue to be spent on modernization. |
| 042 | Sen. George | Discusses the minimal amount that ODOT is spending on modernization. States that the shift in funds is just to make the state look better to the federal government. |
| 049 | Crunican | Responds that is not correct. |
| 053 | Chair Shannon | Asks what the barriers are to applying federal funds to the \$54 million in modernization. |
| 054 | Aman | Responds that the state statutes do not allow ODOT to use gas tax funds on anything other than modernization. Adds that the intent of HB 2203 is to receive more funds from the federal government. |
| 063 | Chair Shannon | Asks if ODOT would have \$108 million in the next year for new road construction if HB 2203 bill passes. |
| 068 | Aman | Responds that is not correct. Restates that the bill gives ODOT access to additional revenue by obligating more federal funds. |

| 080 | Chair Shannon | Asks if ODOT must spend at least \$54 million on modernization. Adds that ODOT is arguing that they should be released from that requirement so the department can use federal dollars for modernization. | |
|-------------------------|---------------|---|--|
| 088 | Aman | Answers that the federal government does not recognize a modernization program. | |
| 092 | Crunican | Adds that ODOT is spending \$54 million on modernization and will continue to do so. | |
| 103 | Chair Shannon | Remarks that her wish is to increase the amount of funds going to new construction. | |
| 108 | Aman | States that there could be additional funds available if ODOT qualifies for an additional credit limit at the end of the year. | |
| 115 | Chair Shannon | Asks what would be done with the \$54 million of state funds if the same amount of federal funds were obtained. | |
| 117 | Aman | Responds that his responsibility is to manage and maximize the federal funds available. | |
| 126 | Chair Shannon | Restates her concern that ODOT will use HB 2203 as a justification to spend the \$54 million in modernization funds on maintenance. | |
| 129 | Aman | Answers that this bill does not change the usage of \$54 million in modernization funds. | |
| 133 | Sen. George | Reviews the federal dollars available within the budget and how much of the budget will be going to roads. Adds that a percentage of the increased federal funds committed should be utilized on modernization. | |
| 170 | Crunican | Reiterates that the purpose of the bill is to be able to use federal funds for modernization. | |
| 193 | Sen. George | Suggests an amendment that would require that \$54 million remain in the state modernization program but would add \$54 million in federal dollars. | |
| 197 | Crunican | Answers that she could not support the proposed amendment. | |
| 200 | Chair Shannon | Closes the public hearing and opens a public hearing on HB 2895A. | |
| HB 2895A PUBLIC HEARING | | | |
| 218 | Megan Palau | Administrator. Explains the provisions of HB 2895A which specifies the amount of interest to be charged if payment is not made within a specified time to persons | |

supplying labor or material in connection with a public contract.

| 240 | Paul Phillips | Oregon Building and Construction Trades Council. Testifies in support of HB 2895A and explains the intent is to insure prompt payment and eliminate bid shopping. |
|------------|---------------|--|
| 258 | Carol Duncan | General Sheet Metal Works, Inc. Presents (EXHIBIT D) and testifies in support of HB 2895A. Notes that enforcement of the public contracting law requiring prompt payment often involves lengthy and expensive legal proceedings. |
| 280 | Robert Blake | Executive Director, Sheet Metal and Air Conditioning Contractors. Testifies in support of HB 2895A. Remarks that the issue is fairness. Adds that the practice of bid peddling hurts the small contractor. |
| 302 | Chair Shannon | Asks if the witness has seen the amendments presented by the Association of General Contractorís (AGC) that would clarify that prompt payment would apply to everyone. |
| 305 | Blake | Responds that he has not. |
| 310 | John Gervais | National Electrical Contractors Association. Presents (EXHIBIT E) and testifies in support of HB 2895A. |
| 328 | Mike Weaver | Owner, M&W Electric, Albany, Oregon. Testifies in support of HB 2895A. Relates a recent experience where the general contractor did not pay his company for approximately seven months after receiving payment from the state on a public contract. |
| 356 | Chair Shannon | Asks if the witness had to consult an attorney to receive payment. |
| 359 | Weaver | Responds that he recently consulted an attorney and reviews his efforts to receive payment. |
| 377 | Sen. George | Asks about the provisions of the bill requiring the listing of all subcontractors. |
| 391 | Gervais | Answers that bid peddling causes a number of problems and a requirement to list subcontractors would ensure fairness in the bid process. |
| TAPE 86, B | | |

| 011 | Sen. Yih | Asks if the witness sought reimbursement of attorney's fees. |
|-----|----------|--|
|-----|----------|--|

| 019 | Weaver | Answers that he was confident that payment would be received since it was a state contract so did not hire an attorney until recently. Notes that he is \$2,000 out-of-pocket for attorney fees. Emphasizes that the prime contractor was the one withholding payment. |
|-----|-----------------|---|
| 031 | Weaver | Adds that the dispute was over work that the prime contractor insisted was late. |
| 053 | Sen. Ferrioli | Asks if the witness had any other remedy available such as a contractoris lien. |
| 061 | Gervais | Responds that a contractoris lien is not available on a public contract. |
| 072 | Jeff Carlson | Business Agent, Ironworkers Local #29. Testifies in support of HB 2895A. Notes that contractors deserve to be paid in a timely fashion after completion of the contract. |
| | | |
| 091 | Howard Lyness | Owner, Metis, Inc. Presents (EXHIBIT F) and testifies in support of HB 2895A. Notes that the bill will serve to greatly reduce the practice of bid shopping on public contracts. Summarizes why he does not support the proposed the amendment offered by the AGC office. |
| 143 | Ken Koch | Owner, Koch Construction. Presents (EXHIBIT G) and testifies in support of HB 2895A. Notes that general contractors can use the subcontractor's money until they are ready to pay and can also withhold payment to exert pressure on the subcontractor to settle for less. |
| 183 | Koch | Remarks that the section of HB 2895A which requires the submittal of the subcontractors list with the bid is long overdue. |
| 202 | Greg Akeson | President, Total Mechanical. Testifies in support of HB 2895A and reiterates that delay of payments can cause serious problems. |
| 232 | Darrell Evenson | President, Mason Contractors Association. Testifies in support of HB 2895A. Adds that any additional information provided to the subcontractors is beneficial and emphasizes the importance of cash flow. |
| 259 | Ed Gormly | Plumbing and Heating Contractor, McMinnville, Oregon. Testifies in support of HB 2985A. Notes that general contractors on large projects will make more money by handling the funds that on the project. |
| 284 | Gormly | Relates his experiences with bid shopping public projects. |
| 324 | Cindy Catto | Associated General Contractors, Oregon-Columbia Chapter. Presents (EXHIBIT H) and testifies that AGC does not oppose HB 2985A. |

| 368 | Catto | Notes that AGC has procedural concerns with how the bill is written, and outlines efforts of a work group to resolve these concerns |
|------------|-----------------|--|
| TAPE 87, B | | |
| 003 | Catto | Emphasizes that AGC supports prompt payment. Adds that AGC has had a policy for over a decade against bid shopping but notes that current language in HB 2895A is simply too burdensome (page 2 of the exhibit). |
| 037 | Bill Eckhardt | Chief Financial Officer, Andersen Construction. Presents (EXHIBIT I) and testifies that he supports prompt payment of subcontractors. Expresses concerns with sections of the bill and outlines suggested amendments (page 1 of the exhibit). |
| 057 | Chair Shannon | Asks if Anderson contracts for work outside of Oregon and if he deals with the bid process in California and Washington. |
| 061 | Eckhardt | Responds that they do. |
| 065 | Kevin Spellman | President, Emerick Construction. Presents (EXHIBIT J) and testifies that he supports prompt payment of subcontractors. Relates concerns about Section 2(5) which requires the prime contractor to provide a copy of its invoice to the public agency to all subcontractors. |
| 096 | Spellman | Notes that based upon a recent project, under the provisions of HB 2895A, invoices including over 260 line items would have to be provided to 75 subcontractors/suppliers (page 2 of the exhibit). |
| 107 | Patrick OíBrien | President, OTKM Construction, Inc. Presents (EXHIBIT K) and testifies in opposition to the section of HB 2895A which requires subcontractor listing at the time of bid. |
| 142 | OíBrien | Defines bid peddling and states that it is not illegal. |
| 155 | Chair Shannon | Asks how Washington state outlawed bid peddling and asks about the establishment of bid services. |
| 161 | OíBrien | Responds by explaining bid depositories. |
| 170 | Sen. Ferrioli | Asks if the AGC has a mission statement against bid shopping. |
| 178 | Catto | Responds that it is part of the ethical guidelines for the AGC. |

| 181 | Chair Shannon | Comments that laws are not written for ethical people. |
|--------------------|---------------|--|
| 184 | OíBrien | Notes that according to AGC surveys, less than 20 percent of subcontractors feel that bid peddling is a problem. Stresses that listing subcontractors will complicate an already burdensome, complex and error-prone process (page 2 of the exhibit). |
| 226 | OíBrien | Outlines possible problems associated with errors involving public owners (page 2 of the exhibit). Adds that subcontractors are listed in California primarily to improve contractor registration. |
| 266 | Chair Shannon | Closes the public hearing and opens a public hearing on HB 2986A. |
| <u>SB 2986A PU</u> | BLIC HEARING | |
| 278 | Palau | Explains the provisions of HB 2986A which allows certain violations of traffic laws to be placed on the employment rather than the non-employment driving record. |
| 307 | Kelly Taylor | ODOT. Summarizes the intent of HB 2986A and notes that this change cannot be handled administratively and requires a change in the statute. |
| 323 | Ralph Groener | American Federation of State, County and Municipal Employees (AFSCME). Presents (EXHIBIT L) and testifies in support of HB 2986A. Notes that the bill received unanimous support in the House and has been approved by the Department of Motor Vehicles and representatives of the insurance industry. |
| 353 | Don Morgan | President, AFSCME Local 75. Testifies in support of HB 2986A. Reviews an accident involving a county employee and county vehicle where the employee was not at fault but was charged for the accident by the private insurance carrier. |
| 397 | Morgan | Relates differences in auto insurance rates when charged with an accident. |
| 420 | Sen. Yih | Asks who pays the insurance in the event of charged accidents for an employee. |
| 10.1 | | |
| 434 | Taylor | Responds that the employers insurance would cover the employee. |
| TAPE 88, A | | |
| 016 | Morgan | Adds that the employer carefully checks the employment driving record before hiring. |

HB 2986A WORK SESSION

| 020 | Sen. Yih | MOTION: Moves HB 2986A to the floor with a DO PASS recommendation. |
|-------------------|---------------|--|
| | | VOTE: 3-0 |
| | | EXCUSED: 2 - Ferrioli, George |
| | | |
| | Chair Shannon | Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED. |
| | | SEN. YIH will lead discussion on the floor. |
| 027 | Chair Shannon | Closes the work session and opens a public hearing on HB 2446A. |
| <u>HB 2446A P</u> | UBLIC HEARING | |
| 036 | Palau | Explains the provisions of HB 2446A which requires ODOT to study the effects of aging on driving ability. |
| 039 | Andi Miller | Community Relations Director, Alzheimerís Association. Presents (EXHIBIT M) and testifies in support of HB 2446A. Relates personal experiences with Alzheimerís and notes that the association is eager to serve on the advisory committee that studies this difficult issue. |
| 067 | Taylor | Adds that ODOT understands the concern and HB 2446A will have no fiscal impact on ODOT. |
| 073 | Sen. Yih | Asks when recommendations would be completed. |
| 074 | Taylor | Responds that the study could be completed within a year and a report could be made to the interim committee. |
| 084 | Chair Shannon | Notes that Rep. Montgomery, House District 56, would like to testify. |
| 089 | Sen. Castillo | Comments on a person in the Eugene that would be a good resource for the study. |
| 103 | Taylor | Reviews plans for the makeup of the committee. |
| 130 | Chair Shannon | Closes the public hearing and opens a work session on HB 2446A. |
| <u>HB 2446A W</u> | ORK SESSION | |
| 136 | Sen. Yih | MOTION: Moves HB 2446A to the floor with a DO PASS recommendation. |

VOTE: 3-0

EXCUSED: 2 - Ferrioli, George

| Chair Shannon | Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED. |
|---------------|--|
| | SEN. CASTILLO will lead discussion on the floor. |

| 141 | Chair Shannon | Closes the work session and adjourns the meeting at 5:07 p.m. |
|-----|---------------|---|
|-----|---------------|---|

Submitted By, Reviewed By,

Gary Roulier, Megan Palau,

Administrative Support Administrator

EXHIBIT SUMMARY

- A ñ HB 2203, letter submitted prior to meeting, staff, 1 p
- B ñ HB 2203, report submitted prior to meeting, staff, 16 pp
- C ñ HB 2203, written testimony, Don Aman, 2 pp
- D ñ HB 2895A, written testimony, Carol Duncan, 1 p
- E HB 2895A, written testimony, John Gervais, 1 p
- F HB 2895A, written testimony, Howard Lyness, 1 p
- G HB 2895A, written testimony, Ken Koch, 1 p
- H HB 2895A, written testimony, Cindy Catto, 2 pp
- I HB 2895A, written testimony, Bill Eckhardt, 1 p
- J HB 2895A, written testimony, Kevin Spellman, 2 pp
- K HB 2895A, written testimony, Patrick OíBrien, 2 pp

L ñ HB 2986A, written testimony, Ralph Groener, 5 pp M ñ HB 2446A, written testimony, Andi Miller, 4 pp N ñ HB 2895A, letter submitted at meeting, staff, 3 pp O ñ HB 2895A, letter submitted at meeting, staff, 1 p P ñ HB 2895A, letter submitted at meeting, staff, 1 p