HOUSE COMMITTEE ON COMMERCE

SUBCOMMITTEE ON BUSINESS

March 11, 1997 Hearing Room 357

8:30 A.M. Tapes 18 - 20

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Rep. Roger Beyer, Chair

Rep. Richard Devlin

Rep. Bob Montgomery

Rep. Jackie Taylor

MEMBER EXCUSED:

STAFF PRESENT:

Keith Putman, Administrator

Timaree Whitty, Administrative Support

MEASURE/ISSUES HEARD:

SB 57 Work Session

SB 93 Public Hearing and Work Session

SB 111 Public Hearing and Work Session

SB 112 Public Hearing and Work Session

HB 2550 Public Hearing

These minutes are in compliance with Senate and House Rules. <u>Only text enclosed in quotation</u> marks reports a speaker's exact words. For complete contents, please refer to the tapes.

| Tape/# | Speaker | Comments |
|------------|-------------|---|
| Tape 18, A |] | |
| 004 | Chair Beyer | Opens the meeting at 8:37 a.m. Opens work session on SB 57. |
| | | |

| <u>SB 57</u> WORK | | |
|--|----------------------|--|
| SESSION | | 7 |
| 007 | Keith Putman | Committee Administrator reviews provisions of the bill. |
| 017 | Rep. Taylor | MOTION: Moves SB 57 to the full committee with a DO PASS recommendation. |
| | | VOTE: 3-0 |
| | | EXCUSED: 1 - Rep. Devlin |
| | | The motion CARRIES. |
| | Chair Beyer | REP. TAYLOR will lead discussion on the floor. |
| 019 | Chair Beyer | Closes work session on SB 57. Opens public hearing on SB 93. |
| <u>SB 93</u> <u>PUBLIC</u> HEARING | | |
| 024 | Keith Putman | Committee Administrator reviews provisions of the bill. |
| 044 | Lewis Littlehales | Program Executive, Department of Consumer and Business Services, Insurance Division gives testimony in support of SB 93 (EXHIBIT A). |
| 087 | Rep. Montgomery | Asks if this was presented on the Senate side and was there any opposition. |
| 089 | Littlehales | Responds affirmatively and there was no opposition. |
| 092 | Rep. Beyer | Referring to the emergency clause in the bill, asks what the emergency is. |
| 094 | Littlehales | Responds the emergency is the state of Oregon is one of the last states to adopt this change in law because the legislature didn't convene until after the April 1996 deadline. Oregon was granted an extension until the first day of the calendar quarter beginning after the close of the legislative session. Comments we have a very short window to make the changes and adopt the rules. Therefore, we need the emergency clause to reach compliance under the terms of the extension. |
| 108 | Chair Beyer | Closes public hearing on SB 93. Opens work session on SB 93. |
| <u>SB 93</u> WORK SESSION | | |
| 111 | Rep. Montgomery | MOTION: Moves SB 93 to the full committee with a DO PASS recommendation. |
| | | VOTE: 3-0 |

| | | EXCUSED: 1 - Rep. Devlin |
|---|--------------------|---|
| | | The motion CARRIES. |
| | Chair Beyer | REP. DEVLIN will lead discussion on the floor. |
| 119 | Chair Beyer | Closes work session on SB 93. Opens public hearing on SB 111. |
| SB 111 PUBLIC | | |
| <u>HEARING</u> 122 | Keith Putman | Committee Administrator reviews provisions of the bill. |
| 139 | Lucinda Potter | Executive Director of the State Mortuary and Cemetery Board gives testimony in support of SB 111 (EXHIBIT B). |
| 144 | Rep. Montgomery | Asks if current law forces apprentices to seek other means of employment after their three year apprenticeship period, although it usually takes an additional year to meet all the requirements of embalmer apprenticeship. |
| 146 | Potter | Responds affirmatively. |
| 163 | Chair Beyer | Comments the requirements include a one and a half year academic program and a 12 month apprenticeship that must be completed in the three year period. |
| 168 | Rep. Montgomery | Asks if this was presented on the Senate side and was there any opposition. |
| 169 | Potter | Responds affirmatively and there was no opposition. |
| 171 | Chair Beyer | Closes public hearing on SB 112. Opens work session on SB 112. |
| <u>SB 112</u> <u>PUBLIC</u> <u>HEARING</u> 174 | Keith | Committee Administrator reviews provisions of the bill. |
| 1/1 | Putman | - |
| 195 | Lucinda Potter | Executive Director of the State Mortuary and Cemetery Board gives testimony in support of SB 112 (EXHIBIT C). |
| 246 | Rep. Montgomery | Asks if under current law the board is prohibited from investigating its members to determine pre-need issues. |
| 247 | Potter | Responds affirmatively. |
| 248 | Rep. Taylor | Asks if this would preclude the Secretary of State audit. |
| 250 | Potter | Responds negatively. |
| 251 | Rep. Beyer | Asks who pays for the Attorney General investigation. |
| 253 | Potter | Responds currently the Secretary of State. |
| 256 | Rep. Beyer | Asks if her board had the appropriate personnel to conduct these investigations or will they need to obtain a licensing fee increase to acquire positions to do this. |

| 257 | Potter | Responds we currently anticipate absorbing this with our current staffing levels. |
|----------------------------------|--------------------|---|
| 259 | Rep. Beyer | Asks what is your current staffing level. |
| 261 | Potter | Responds we have five positions: two investigators, two office support, and myself. |
| 266 | Rep. Beyer | Asks what the investigators are currently doing since they are prohibited from conducting investigations. |
| 269 | Potter | Responds they are currently doing other types of investigations; however, we don't anticipate these additional investigations to create enough of an increase in caseload to hire additional staff. |
| 273 | Rep. Beyer | Asks if their budget is entirely paid by user fees from the industry. |
| 275 | Potter | Responds affirmatively. |
| 276 | Rep. Beyer | Asks what kind of investigations does your staff currently perform since they are prohibited from membership investigations. |
| 278 | Potter | Responds they do consumer complaints, background investigations, annual facility inspections, and all other complaints. Comments it is only the pre-need issue investigations we are prohibited from conducting. |
| 290 | Putman | Asks if the Insurance Division ever gets involved in this issue. |
| 292 | Potter | Responds we are addressing the trust aspect not the insurance aspect. |
| 297 | Rep. Montgomery | Asks if there is any opposition to this bill. |
| 302 | Potter | Responds there has been no opposition. |
| 304 | Rep. Beyer | Asks if there was any opposition in the Senate. |
| 305 | Potter | Responds there was none. |
| 309 | Chair Beyer | Closes public hearing on SB 112. Opens work session on SB 111. |
| <u>SB 111</u> WORK SESSION | | |
| 313 | Rep. Montgomery | MOTION: Moves SB 111 to the full committee with a DO PASS recommendation. |
| | | VOTE: 3-0 AYE: In a roll call vote, all members present vote Aye. EXCUSED: 1 - Rep. Devlin |
| | Chair Beyer | The motion CARRIES. REP. MONTGOMERY will lead discussion in full committee. |

| 317 | Chair Beyer | Closes work session on SB 111. Opens work session on SB 112. |
|----------------------------------|--------------------|---|
| <u>SB 112</u> WORK SESSION | | |
| 318 | Rep. Montgomery | MOTION: Moves SB 112 to the full committee with a DO PASS recommendation. |
| | | VOTE: 3-0 |
| | | AYE: In a roll call vote, all members present vote Aye. |
| | | EXCUSED: 1 - Rep. Devlin |
| | | The motion CARRIES. |
| | Chair Beyer | REP. MONTGOMERY will lead discussion in full committee. |
| 335 | Chair Beyer | Closes work session on SB 112. Opens public hearing on HB 2550. |
| HB 2550 PUBLIC HEARING | | |
| 340 | Keith Putman | Committee Administrator reviews provisions of the bill. |
| TAPE 19, A |] | |
| 002 | Ron Terry | Oregon Dealers Advisory Commission (ODAC) gives testimony in support of HB 2550. |
| 010 | Wanda Wahus | Executive Director of the Oregon Independent Auto Dealers Association gives testimony in support of HB 2550. Comments on proposed changes in DMV Law (EXHIBIT D). |
| 061 | Jerry Hamblin | Chief Investigator, Department of Motor Vehicles (DMV) gives testimony on HB 2550. |
| 088 | Chair Beyer | Comments it seems car dealers are changing their name every other week. Asks how often they are going to change their name. |
| 089 | Hamblin | Responds he has no numbers to give the committee at this time; however, it is not every other week. It is done on a regular basis and often enough to create grief to the consumer. |
| 097 | Chair Beyer | Asks if dealers go to the DMV to renew licenses same as everyone else or is their a special office they go to. |
| 099 | Hamblin | Responds they need to go to the DMV corporate headquarters licensing division. |
| 104 | Chair Beyer | Asks about out of state dealers. |
| 106 | Hamblin | Responds they can renew via the mail. |
| 116 | Chair Beyer | Asks what the timeline is for dealer license renewal. |
| 120 | Hamblin | Responds 30 calendar days. |

| 122 | Chair Beyer | Asks what is the penalty for an expired license. |
|-----|-------------|---|
| 124 | Hamblin | Responds they would have to adopt an administrative rule to establish the penalty for that offense of noncompliance. |
| 126 | Putman | Asks if this is stated in the law as a class A misdemeanor |
| 129 | Hamblin | Responds affirmatively; however, this is enforced by police officers and not by the DMV. The DMV does administrative law through civil hearings only. |
| 138 | Putman | Asks under what circumstances would DMV contact the police. |
| 142 | Hamblin | Responds they have not had to take this action in the 13 years he has been with the division. |
| 145 | Chair Beyer | Asks if they currently have civil action authority. |
| 147 | Hamblin | Responds affirmatively. |
| 165 | Wahus | Continues with explanation of proposed changes to DMV law. |
| 223 | Putman | Asks if you give a license extension to a dealer waiting zoning approval. |
| 229 | Hamblin | Responds this scenario has not come up before. In this instance we would have to bring it up to administrative rule. |
| 263 | Wahus | Asks if this process could not be presented to DMV until after it is appealed at the local level. |
| 270 | Chair Beyer | Responds he is in agreement with changing language regarding the zoning appeal process to avoid license revocation. |
| 273 | Hamblin | Comments he is also in agreement of this change and believes the ODAC committee would make this recommendation when they provide the administrative rule. |
| 280 | Chair Beyer | Suggest we take the opportunity to change the language in the law at this time. |
| 284 | Wahus | Responds there is no problem with changing the language at this time. Continues with presentation of law changes. Comments on the overwhelming large number of unlicensed persons repairing and rebuilding automobiles for resale. HB 2550 would change law to require these people to hold a dealer license. |
| 312 | Rep. Taylor | Asks if this requires any person who works on vehicles and plans to resell them to obtain a license. |
| 315 | Wahus | Responds this requirement is for anyone who rebuilds vehicles. They are aware of the hobbiest that works on automobiles and there is an amendment that exempts them from this dealer requirement. |
| 333 | Rep. Taylor | Comments she prefers keeping with the two vehicles per year allowance currently in the law. This distinguishes the hobbiest from the rest. |
| 336 | Wahus | Asks how DMV is going to determine how many vehicles an individual rebuilds and resells per year. |
| 347 | | |

| | Rep. Montgomery | Asks how difficult will this be for DMV to police and how much a license costs. |
|------------|--------------------|---|
| 349 | Wahus | Responds the license costs \$109.00. Comments with a license, zoning fees, a bond, and insurance, a person can be in business for about \$1,500. |
| 365 | Rep. Devlin | Comments this issue isn't just about vehicles needing a few repairs, but more about the number of seriously damaged vehicles on the road. He is in support of this provision. |
| TAPE 18, B |] | |
| 037 | Rep. Montgomery | Asks if this dealer license requirement would apply to local cities and counties that sell cars. |
| 043 | Hamblin | Responds negatively. |
| 047 | Chair Beyer | Referring to the bill regarding exemptions for farm or utility trailers weighing 1,800 pounds or more, asks if this is a change in language or current law. |
| 052 | Wahus | Responds this seems to be a change. |
| 057 | Hamblin | Comments the only reference to vehicle poundage is the registration requirement for any vehicle weighing over 1,800 pounds. This requirement is in current statute; however, a dealer license requirement to sell this type of vehicle is not in statute yet. |
| 080 | Rep. Montgomery | Asks what an implement of husbandry is. |
| 082 | Hamblin | Responds a well drilling machine is one example. |
| 084 | Chair Beyer | Comments a horse trailer is another example of an implement of husbandry. |
| 088 | Wahus | Presents proposed amendments. |
| 093 | Chair Beyer | Asks what is meant by a special interest vehicle. |
| 101 | Wahus | Responds any American or foreign made vehicle that is maintained as a collector's item that is either 25 years or older, or sanctioned as a special interest vehicle by an established appropriate organization. |
| 111 | Wahus | Continues with presentation of amendments. |
| 132 | Don Miner | Representative for the Oregon Manufactured Housing Association gives testimony in support of HB 2550 |
| 163 | Rep. Montgomery | Asks if local governments selling vehicles at city and county auctions are zoned properly and required to hold a dealer's license. |
| 174 | Miner | Responds he doesn't believe so. |
| 179 | Rep. Montgomery | Comments on inequities; what is fair for one should be fair for all. |
| 185 | Brian Doherty | |

| | | Representative for Insurance Auto Auctions, Inc. gives testimony on HB 2550. Presents proposed amendments to the bill(EXHIBIT E). |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------|--|
| 260 | Rep. Montgomery | Asks who lifted the requirement for a dealers license to purchase salvage vehicles. |
| 263 | Doherty | Responds he can't answer this. |
| 279 | Hamblin | Responds the industry has allowed anyone to purchase salvaged vehicles without a dealer's certificate. |
| 284 | Rep. Montgomery | Asks if it was the government or the industry that lifted this requirement. |
| 287 | Hamblin | Responds it did not come from the government |
| 294 | Doherty | Continues with testimony. |
| 375 | Rep. Devlin | Asks if the insurance companies returning these vehicles for sale provide an inspection report regardless of condition. |
| 380 | Doherty | Responds negatively. It is his understanding that these vehicles are sold "as is." |
| TAPE 20, A (tape 19, B blank) | | |
| 006 | Rep. Devlin | Asks if it is true that neither the insurance company or Insurance Auto Auctions, Inc. make a certification to whether or not the vehicle is repairable. |
| 008 | Doherty | Responds he believes no such claims are made. |
| 015 | Rep. Devlin | Asks what kind of titles are they issuing for these vehicles and also if they auction out of state vehicles. |
| 019 | Doherty | Responds he doesn't know, but can provide the committee with this information at a later date. |
| 021 | Chair Beyer | Requests further work and preparation on this bill before holding a work session. |
| 028 | Chair Beyer | Closes public hearing on HB 2550. |
| 047 | Chair Beyer | Adjourns meeting at 10:01 a.m. |

Submitted By, Reviewed By,

Timaree Whitty, Keith Putman,

Administrative Support Administrator

EXHIBIT SUMMARY

- A SB 93, written testimony, Lewis Littlehales, 2 pp.
- B SB 111, written testimony, Lucinda Potter, 1 p.
- C SB 112, written testimony, Lucinda Potter, 2 pp.

- D HB 2550, written proposal, Wanda Wahus, 3 pp.
- E HB 2550, written request for amendments, Brian Doherty, 2 pp.