HOUSE COMMITTEE ON JUDICIARY

SUBCOMMITTEE ON CIVIL LAW

January 24, 1997 Hearing Room 357

1:00 P.M. Tapes 3 - 5

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Rep. Lane Shetterly, Chair

Rep. Judith Uherbelau, Vice-Chair

Rep. Roger Beyer

Rep. Jo Ann Bowman

Rep. George Eighmey

Rep. Floyd Prozanski

Rep. Charles Starr

Rep. Larry Wells

VISITING MEMBERS: Rep. John Minnis

Rep. Larry Sowa

STAFF PRESENT:

Bill Taylor, Counsel

Julie Clemente, Administrative Support

MEASURE/ISSUES HEARD:

Public Hearing HB 2044

HB 2045

HB 2236

HB 2237

HB 2261

These minutes are in compliance with Senate and House Rules. Only text enclosed in quotation

marks reports a speaker's exact words. For complete contents, please refer to the tapes.

| Tape/# | Speaker | Comments |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| Tape 3, A | | |
| 004 | Chair Shetterly | Calls meeting to order at 1:05 p.m. |
| OPENS PUBLIC HEARING ON HB 2044 | | |
| 13 | Rep. Larry Sowa | Representative from Oregon City, introduced bill at the request of the Oregon Veterinary Medical Association. |
| 49 | Rep. Uherbelau | >Gives overview of bill's origination Expresses her concerns regarding a veterinarian's training |
| | Rep. Sowa | Responds |
| | | District 23, Canby. Testifies in support of HB 2044 |
| 69 | Rep. Kurt Schrader | >We are held to a higher standard when it comes to rendering emergency assistance. |
| | | >Good Samaritan law for veterinarians |
| | | Discusses: |
| 106 | Chair Shetterly | >his views of the bill |
| | | >encourages medically trained people to step into an emergency situation |
| 126 | Rep. John | Chair, House Judiciary Committee |
| 136 | Minnis | >More likely to be sued if a professional? |
| | Chair Shetterly | Responds |
| 147 | Amanda Williams | Oregon Veterinary Medical Association speaks in favor of HB 2044 [Exhibit A] |
| 190 | Rep. Prozanski | Research from other states regarding Good Samaritan laws? |
| | Williams | Responds - some very broad/ others very specific |
| | Rep. Uherbelau | Expresses her views |
| | | >favors a broad exemption |
| | Dave | Oregon Veterinary Medical Association |

| | Barrows | Responds: |
|---|-----------------------|---|
| 216 | | >there have not been suits; advised not to stop |
| 273 | Rep. Eighmey | Attracted to blanket "Good Samaritan" law - asks for copies of Minnesota and Illinois statutes |
| | Chair Shetterly | Agrees |
| 297 | Michael Folland | Doctor of Veterinary Medicine, Oregon Veterinary Medical Association, testifies in support of HB 2044 |
| | | >gives some personal insight |
| | | >at some schools, medical and veterinary students go through first two years of professional training together |
| | | >relates similarities between human and animal reactions under trauma |
| 374 | Chair Shetterly | Refers to HB 2044 |
| | Williams | Distributes Minnesota and Illinois statue information [Exhibit B] |
| 408 | Rep. Eighmey | Illinois has a blanket statute |
| | Dave Barrows | Association will make every effort to ascertain what the law is in other states |
| | Michael A. Foland | Veterinarian and partner at Keizer Veterinary Clinic submits written testimony in support of HB 2044 [Exhibit C] |
| TAPE 4, A CLOSES PUBLIC HEARING ON HB 2044 OPENS PUBLIC | | |
| HEARING ON HB 2045 | | |
| 27 | Chair Shetterly | Reads staff's summation of HB 2045 |
| | Michael A. Folland | Doctor of Veterinarian Medicine, Oregon Veterinary Medical Association speaks in favor of HB 2045. [Exhibit D] > veterinarians are called upon daily by various persons to administer treatment to severely ill or injured animals. Most owners are unknown. >no authority to make decisions in these instances |

| | | > treat or relieve suffering |
|-----|--------------------|---|
| 69 | Rep. Uherbelau | Expresses her concerns |
| | Folland | Responds |
| 97 | Dave Barros | Oregon Veterinary Medical Association |
| | | >no objection to making suggested changes |
| | | Executive Officer, State Veterinary Medical Board |
| 111 | Molly | >no comment on the intent of HB 2045 |
| | Emmons | >concerned that it is amending ORS Chapter 686, Oregon Veterinary Practice Act |
| | | >suggests bill should be placed elsewhere in the statutes |
| 130 | Rep. Bowman | Expand on your concerns regarding section to be changed. |
| | Emmons | Responds: |
| | | >licensing law - not civil law |
| | | >keep "Practice Act" clear |
| 203 | Chair Shetterly | Mr.Taylor suggests to take out language |
| | | Committee Counsel responds: |
| | Bill Taylor | >clarifies possible advantages/disadvantages of placement of language in bill |
| 222 | Rep. Eighmey | Logical placement very important |
| | | Operations Director, Oregon Human Society. Speaks in support of HB 2045 with concerns: |
| 226 | Sharon | >standard of care expected varies greatly |
| | Harmon | >suggests trying to find a balance |
| | | >intent should be to treat emergency circumstances, only seriously ill animals |
| 265 | Chair Shetterly | Offers suggestions for clarity - needs to be more specific |
| | Rep. Eighmey | Agrees |
| 299 | Michael Folland | Responds |
| | | Doctor of Veterinary Medicine, Salem Veterinary Emergency |

| | Kim Erbes | Clinic. Submits written testimony in support of HB 2045. [Exhibit E] |
|--|---------------------|--|
| CLOSES PUBLIC HEARING ON HB 2045 OPENS PUBLIC | | |
| HEARING ON HB 2237 | | |
| | Chair Shetterly | Reads staff's summation of HB 2237 |
| 337 | Frank E. Brawner | President, Oregon Bankers Association and the Independent Community Banks of Oregon speaks in support of HB 2237 [Exhibit F] |
| 394 | Rep. Uherbelau | Expresses her concerns |
| | Brawener | Responds: |
| | | >must be broad enough to cover all circumstances - explains |
| | | >attempting to correct a 1977 statute |
| TAPE 3, B | | |
| | | Continues: |
| 36 | Brawner | >considers this proposal a housecleaning issue |
| | | >does not change way business is done |
| 73 | Rep. Bowman | Questions privacy issues |
| | Brawner | Responds - calling attention to lines 7 through 10 |
| 150 | Rep. Uherbelau | Not sure what you are trying to do here. |
| | Brawner | Responds |
| 221 | Brawner | Continues answering Committee's questions |
| 234 | Rep. Wells | Offers suggestions to measure's wording |
| | Brawner | Responds: >Purpose of this bill in 1977 was to discontinue fishing expeditions of local governments |
| Closes Public Hearing on HB 2237 | | <u> </u> |
| Opens Public Hearing on HB 2236 | | |
| | Chair Shetterly | Reads staff's summation of HB 2236 |
| L [| | <u>ار ا</u> |

| 273 | Frank E. Brawner | President, Oregon Bankers Association and the Independent Community Banks of Oregon. Testifies in support of HB 2236 [Exhibit G] |
|-----------|---------------------|--|
| | | >Purpose is to clarify that a mandated "Security Officer" is not a "Private Security Officer." |
| | | >differences in description of security officers |
| 340 | Brawner | Continues: |
| 363 | Rep. Bowman | Please explain the difference between "security officer" and the guy with the gun. |
| | Brawner | Responds: >Is a guard and must be licensed. |
| | | >"security officer" required by the Bank Protection Act is responsible for a list of items outlined in the statute |
| 404 | Rep. Wells | Security officer is the same as a designated safety officer - more administrative. |
| | Brawner | Correct - continues |
| TAPE 4, B | | |
| 017 | Robert King | Assistant Director, Board on Public Safety Standards and Training - speaks in opposition of HB 2236 |
| | | >Reviews SB 60's purpose |
| | | >Board's interpretation of a security officer |
| | | >SB 60's intent is to protect the citizens of Oregon |
| | | Would like to see testimony from last session regarding SB 60 |
| 55 | Rep. Beyer | for background |
| 63 | Brawner | Remembers that SB 60 was not to include the banking profession |
| | | >"If we could call our people something other than a "security officer" we wouldn't have a problem." |
| 75 | Wells | What are the licensing certification requirements? |
| | King | Responds |
| 91 | Bill Taylor | ORS 181.875 specifies the qualifications for a private security officers' requirements |
| 106 | | Responds to committee's questions |
| | King | >addresses two broad categories |
| | | > proprietary |
| | | > contract |

| 155 | | Continues |
|---------------|--------------------|---|
| 161 | | Expresses his concerns |
| | Wells | >need to change some definitions |
| | | Recalls from last session that banks were not included. |
| | Rep. Eighmey | |
| | | >Feels this is just to codify present practices and past intentions |
| 189 | King | Doesn't remember that banks were excluded. Continues |
| 218 | Rep. Uherbelau | Won't work in present form. Explains. |
| | King | Continues answering questions from Committee |
| 265 | Chair Shetterly | Understands that there are others interested. Postpone work session for now. |
| CLOSES PUBLIC | | |
| HEARING ON HB | | |
| <u>2236</u> | | |
| OPENS PUBLIC | | |
| HEARING ON HB | | |
| <u>2261</u> | | |
| 214 | James W. Nass | Appellate Practice Section of the Oregon State Bar presents testimony in support of HB 2261 [Exhibit N] |
| | | >Modify Benson & Benson, 132 Or App 297, 888 P2d (1995) |
| | | >Modify Nickerson & Nickerson, 296 Or 516, 520-523, 639 P2d 628 (1984) |
| | | >Modify a statute that currently bars appellate review of temporary support and custody orders |
| 310 | Rep. Uherbelau | Asks for explanation between HB 2261 and HB 2262 |
| | | Responds |
| 316 | Nass | >solution is to amend HB 2262 rather than HB 2261 |
| 345 | | Continues to answer Committee's questions |
| 403 | Nass | Continues discussing other aspects of the bill |
| TAPE 5, A | | |
| 28 | Nass | Third portion of the bill gives some opportunity for appellate review of the temporary orders while the appeals are pending |
| 34 | Rep. Wells | What am I facing in regards to my constituency? |
| 44 | Nass | These changes make the law more flexible. |
| | | Continues answering Committee's questions |
| L | | |

| 102 | Chair Shetterly | Has the Family Law section had a chance to look at this. |
|--|--------------------|--|
| | Nass | They have not expressed any concerns |
| 109 | Bill Taylor | Responds - didn't have any concerns |
| | | Has talked with Mr. Lipetzky on several occasions and didn't express any concerns. |
| 137 | Rep. Prozanski | Suggests the parties involved come back at a later date with amended language. |
| CLOSES PUBLIC HEARING ON HB 2261 | | |
| 178 | Chair Shetterly | Adjourns meeting at 3:08 p.m. |

Submitted By, Reviewed By,

Julie Clemente, Bill Taylor,

Administrative Support Counsel

EXHIBIT SUMMARY

A -Testimony in Support of HB 2044 - Amanda Williams - 1 pg

B - Minnesota & Illinois Statutes, HB 2044 - Amanda Williams - 2 pgs

C - Testimony in Support of HB 2044 - Michael Foland - 1 pg

D - Testimony in Support of HB 2045 - Oregon Oregon Veterinary Medical Association , presented by Michael Foland - 2 pgs

E - Testimony in Support of HB 2045 - Kim Erbes - 1 pg

F - Testimony in Support of HB 2237 - Frank Brawner representing Oregon Banker's Association - 2 pgs

G - Testimony in Support of HB 2236 - Frank Brawner representing Oregon Banker's Association - 8 pgs

H - Testimony in Support of HB 2262 - James W. Nass representing Appellate Practice Section of the Oregon State Bar - 9 pgs