## **HOUSE COMMITTEE ON JUDICIARY**

## SUBCOMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL LAW

March 31, 1997 Hearing Room 357

3:15 PM Tapes 68 - 69

## **MEMBERS PRESENT:**

Rep. John Minnis, Chair

Rep. Jo Ann Bowman, Vice-Chair

**Rep. Peter Courtney** 

**Rep. Floyd Prozanski** 

**Rep. Lane Shetterly** 

**Rep. Larry Wells** 

**MEMBER EXCUSED: Rep. Ron Sunseri** 

**STAFF PRESENT:** 

Scott Lumsden, Counsel

**Brian Higgins, Administrative Support** 

**MEASURE/ISSUES HEARD:** 

Work Session - HB 2918, HB 2431, HB 2240

Public Hearing - HB 3079, HB 3341, HB 2834, HB 2242

These minutes are in compliance with Senate and House Rules. <u>Only text enclosed in quotation</u> <u>marks reports a speaker's exact words.</u> For complete contents, please refer to the tapes.

| Tape/#            | Speaker         | Comments  |
|-------------------|-----------------|---|
| <b>TAPE 68, A</b> |                 |   |
|                   |                 |   |
| 004               | Chair<br>Minnis | Calls subcommittee to order at 3:23 PM<br>>Rep. Sunseri is excused. |
|                   |                 |   |
| HB 2918 -         |                 |   |

| WORK<br>SESSION |                   | 1  |
|-----------------|-------------------|--|
| 006             | Chair<br>Minnis   | Opens work session on HB 2918  |
| 008             | Scott<br>Lumsden  | Committee Counsel<br>>reads provisions of bill, -1 amendments, (EXHIBIT A), and -2<br>amendments, (EXHIBIT B)  |
| 025             | Rep.<br>Bowman    | MOTION: Moves to ADOPT HB 2918-1 amendments dated 03/25/97.  |
| 028             | Rep.<br>Shetterly | This is a horrible statute.<br>>Explains difficulties he has with Sections 3 and 5 and conditions of<br>expungement  |
| 047             | Lumsden           | Section 5 lists crimes to which expungement applies; Section 6 exempts certain crimes from that list of which one is under capital B.  |
| 058             | Rep.<br>Shetterly | Maybe someday we can rewrite this entire statute because I know we have<br>another bill coming down on this as well.<br>>Line 45 of page 2 has the exception of the right to expunge.<br>>The narrow exception we're creating on lines 8-10 is if the criminal |
| 070             | Lumsden           | episodes were all misdemeanors, then expungement can occur.<br>Section 5 allows for Class C felonies, etc.   |
| 071             | Rep.<br>Shetterly | I do not want to carry this bill.  |
| 072             |                   | HB 2240, of which we are also working on, has a big amendment involved with a big "however" in it; perhaps these two bills could be merged.  |
| 080             | Rep.<br>Shetterly | I am not objecting to the bill nor the exception it makes, but I do realize<br>how awfully confusing this statute is at it exists.   |
| 086             | Chair<br>Minnis   | How long would it take to have this completely redrafted?  |
| 089             | Rep.<br>Shetterly | The whole statute?   |
| 093             | Chair<br>Minnis   | Rep. Wells suggests merging the two which could confuse or clarify.  |
|                 |                   | >Let's ask LC to merge the two and rewrite the entire statute.   |
| 102             | Rep.<br>Shetterly | I think it would help practitioners.   |
| 104             | Chair<br>Minnis   | Closes work session on HB 2918<br>>We will carry HB 2240 over with the intent to merge the two and rewrite   |

|                                     |                  | the statute.   |
|-------------------------------------|------------------|--|
| <u>HB 2431 -</u><br>WORK<br>SESSION |                  |  |
|                                     |                  |  |
| 116                                 | Chair<br>Minnis  | Opens work session on HB 2431  |
| 118                                 | Scott<br>Lumsden | Committee Counsel  |
|                                     |                  | >reads provisions of bill and -1 amendments, (EXHIBIT C)   |
| 133                                 |                  | President, District Attorney's Association<br>>spoke with Department of Transportation (ODOT) to come up with -1<br>amendments<br>>Kelly Taylor from ODOT has some suggestions to the -1 amendments.   |
| 145                                 | Chair<br>Minnis  | Let's go over the suggested clarifications in the -1 amendments.   |
| 146                                 | Fred Avera       | <ul> <li>Suggested amendments:</li> <li>Page 2, line 3 - addresses those who want a stay which the court decides on after a request is made.</li> <li>Page 2, line 13 - "reimpose" is used instead of "reinstate"</li> <li>Page 2, lines 15,17 - The suggestion is to insert the phrase "right to apply for a commercial driver's license" as this is used elsewhere.</li> </ul> |
| 181                                 | Rep.<br>Bowman   | Can you suspend a right to apply?  |
| 182                                 |                  | Yes, you can.<br>>ORS 809.410, referenced on line 16, has been amended previously<br>making Sections 32 and 33 apply to this statute.<br>>SB 148, which is on the Governor's desk, makes some amendments to<br>809.410 adding new sections of 34 and 35.   |
| 202                                 | Chair<br>Minnis  | We can draft some additional language to address SB 148 assuming it is signed.   |
| 205                                 | Avera            | The sum of the amendments is this: If your license is suspended as a result<br>of a conviction and you wish to pursue a criminal appeal and want a stay<br>during the appeal, one would need to file a motion to stay with the court.  |
| 212                                 | Chair<br>Minnis  | Suggests Avera work with counsel and Legislative Counsel   |

|  |                   | >sets work session over until we can get hand-engrossed language that will<br>work for us   |
|--|-------------------|---|
| <u>HB 3079 -</u><br><u>PUBLIC</u><br>HEARING |                   | <br>  |
| 231  | Chair<br>Minnis   | Opens public hearing on HB 3079   |
| 238  |                   | Oregon Criminal Defense Lawyers Association<br>>opposed to the measure because it revokes driving privileges for a<br>lifetime, suggesting that a person could never reform   |
| 257  | Rep.<br>Courtney  | [Inaudible question]  |
| 264  | Arneson           | Responds that he was not under the impression that it was a second look<br>and, if it is, it should be drafted a bit differently  |
| 276  | Rep.<br>Courtney  | Asks for clarification of second look provision   |
| 280  |                   | If such a drastic suspension is imposed for this period of time, we would<br>ask for a second look provision to allow a reformed person to obtain a<br>driver's license.  |
| 297  | Kelly<br>Taylor   | Oregon Department of Transportation<br>>submits and reads written testimony, (EXHIBIT D)  |
| 342  | Rep.              | In 1993, legislation was passed to allow licenses to be returned after a certain period of time of incarceration.   |
| 375  | Kep.<br>Minnis    | Asks for feedback from the committee<br>>would like comprehensive proposals on DUII measures  |
| 387  | Rep.<br>Prozanski | I'd be glad to get some of the historical perspective.  |
| 394  |                   | What happened to the bill that takes it from Class A misdemeanor to a Class C felony? I kind of liked that one.   |
| 402  | Chair<br>Minnis   | I'm torn between the time it takes in the committee to hear all of these bills<br>or to assign a task force to address some concepts.<br>>appoints Rep. Prozanski, Rep. Courtney, and Rep. Sunseri to the Task<br>Force to look at the DUII bills |
|  |                   | >closes public hearing on HB 3079   |
| <u>HB 3341 -</u>                             | ]                 |   |

| HEARING                  |                      |   |
|--------------------------|----------------------|---|
|                          |                      |   |
| 428                      | Chair                | Opens public hearing on HB 3341   |
|                          | Minnis               | >appoints Rep. Bowman to run the hearing until he returns   |
| <b>TAPE 69, A</b>        | <u>_ </u>            |   |
|                          |                      |   |
|                          |                      | Oregon Criminal Defense Lawyers Association   |
|                          |                      | >in opposition to HB 3341 as it removes methamphetamines from the federal schedule and moves it to a schedule 1 controlled substance  |
| 004                      | Jim<br>Arneson       | >On page 2 of the bill, it adopts the crime of being under the influence of methamphetamine.  |
|                          |                      | >It's a bad idea to single out methamphetamine from the schedule.   |
|                          |                      | >"Under the influence" here is different than with DUII.  |
|                          |                      | >There is a difficulty of being able to prove "under the influence" here.   |
| 063                      | Bowman               | Closes public hearing on HB 3341  |
|                          |                      |   |
| <u>HB 2834 -</u>         |                      |   |
| <u>PUBLIC</u><br>HEARING |                      |   |
|                          |                      |   |
| 064                      | Vice Chair<br>Bowman | Opens public hearing on HB 2834   |
|                          | Dowman               | Citizen Review Board Administrator, Legislative Liaison, State Court  |
|                          |                      | Administrator's Office on Juvenile Issues   |
| 068                      | Nancy<br>Miller      | >mentions fiscal statement for the bill   |
|                          | IVIIICI              | >bill changes the waiver law for all Class C felonies, or 120 crimes  |
|                          |                      |   |
|                          |                      | >potential for impact on Indigent Services Account  |
| 106                      | Rep. Wells           | >potential for impact on Indigent Services Account Does this go back to Measure 11 where we were remanding juveniles to adult courts? |
| 106                      | Rep. Wells<br>Miller | Does this go back to Measure 11 where we were remanding juveniles to  |

| 120 | Miller               | Responds that it wouldn't be an automatic waiver  |
|-----|----------------------|---|
| 125 | Rep. Wells           | What does waiver mean in relation to remand?<br>>I understood this to mean that they were forced to be moved into adult court.  |
| 130 | Miller               | Responds that juvenile code was amended to read waiver instead of remand  |
| 143 | Rep.<br>Shetterly    | So, the old remand is the functional equivalent of the new waiver.  |
| 145 | Miller               | That's correct.   |
| 153 | Larry<br>Oglesby     | Legislative Chair, Oregon Juvenile Department Director's Association<br>>submits and reads written testimony, (EXHIBIT E)   |
| 194 | Vice Chair<br>Bowman | Comments that there appears to be a discrepancy between 15 years old and 16 years old<br>>Is it your intention that 15 year olds be included in this bill?  |
| 198 | Oglesby              | Responds that it would be 15 or over  |
| 204 | Vice Chair<br>Bowman | I don't see anything in the bill that addresses the issues you mentioned in your testimony. This bill gives a lot of leeway to the person charging the youth.   |
| 214 | Oglesby              | Subsections 3 and 4 lay out the criteria, which is existing law.  |
| 232 | Rep.<br>Prozanski    | Because of resource limitations, what is your opinion on this becoming an out for juvenile courts to move problems to another setting?  |
| 238 | Oglesby              | I don't envision that being the case. It is not our intent that this become a way to dump cases into the adult court system.  |
| 255 | Vice Chair<br>Bowman | Would we be treating youth offenders more harshly than adult offenders if someone stole an automobile as a youth and we sent them through the adult system?   |
| 259 | Oglesby              | Responds that he is not familiar enough with the adult system on these types of cases   |
| 268 | Jim<br>Arneson       | Oregon Criminal Defense Lawyers Association<br>>in opposition to bill<br>>Current law is aimed at children who are at risk to others when we talk<br>about Class C felony.<br>>It is important to maintain that children under 18 are treated differently<br>and to keep this distinction unless it is a Measure 11 case. |
| 309 | Rep. Wells           | Asks for clarification of 1993 law about waivers being allowed for any misdemeanor offense involving theft  |
|     |                      | Responds that he doesn't know but does remember a bill last session from  |

| 323               | Arneson           | Rep. Prozanski that would allow municipal courts to deal with some juvenile cases  |
|-------------------|-------------------|--|
| 330               | Rep. Wells        | It's ORS 419c.370.   |
| 335               | Arneson           | Ingrid is more familiar with juvenile stuff than I am.   |
| 339               | Rep.<br>Prozanski | I think it is identical, looking at the letter, to my bill from the 1995 legislature.  |
| 346               | Rep.<br>Shetterly | That statute does just apply to a waiver of these cases to municipal courts and not to circuit courts for prosecution as felonies.   |
| 361               | Rep. Wells        | Evidently this statute doesn't have any relation then to the issue we are discussing here as far as the small segment of Class C felonies.   |
| 374               | Arneson           | My understanding of 419c.370 is that it affects a small number of the juvenile population.   |
| 380               | Rep.<br>Shetterly | There is a provision for prosecutions waived under that statue that the municipal court may impose any sanction authorized for the offense except for incarceration so it is real limited as to how the municipal courts can impose sentences. |
| 392               | Chair<br>Minnis   | Closes public hearing on HB 2834   |
| HEARING<br>393    | Chair             | Opens public hearing on HB 2242  |
| 575               | Minnis            |  |
| 400               | John<br>Bridges   | Chair, Oregon State Bar New Lawyers Division Submits and summarizes written testimony, (EXHIBIT F)   |
| 450               |                   | Continues testimony  |
| TADE (0. D        |                   |  |
| <b>TAPE 68, B</b> | <u> </u><br>      |  |
| 014               | Bridges           | Continues testimony  |
| 047               | Rep.<br>Bowman    | Asks for clarification of language at bottom of page 1 from line 22 down   |
| 052               | Bridges           | Paragraph 3 addresses a specific incident from Lincoln County we would not like to see again   |
|                   |                   | >The first sentence allows a county to decide if they want to do the expunction by blanket agreement.  |

|     |                      | >In one of the counties, one of the judges discontinued the blanket agreements after the program was under way.  |
|-----|----------------------|--|
|     |                      | State Representative, District 44  |
| 080 | Tony<br>Corcoran     | >interested in bill due to success of peer court in Cottage Grove  |
|     |                      | >in support of -3 amendments   |
| 090 | Chair<br>Minnis      | Do you have any experience with these peer courts?   |
| 091 | Rep.<br>Corcoran     | Responds that he has had contact with Cottage Grove officials whom actively support the program  |
| 114 | Walter<br>McAllister | Oregon Department of Transportation, Youth Program Manager   |
|     |                      | Submits and reads written testimony, (EXHIBIT G) Oregon Criminal Defense Lawyers Association   |
| 138 | Jim                  | Stegon eminial Detense Lawyers Association   |
|     | Arneson              | >in support of bill  |
| 146 | Chair<br>Minnis      | Asks for clarification of remand statutes, Measure 11, etc. to see if this is a different group of kids  |
| 154 | Arneson              | Responds that these are kids who would neither be waived nor subject to Measure 11   |
| 158 | Chair<br>Minnis      | So, these are the individuals left in the juvenile court.  |
| 162 | Rep.<br>Shetterly    | I understand this to mean those juveniles eligible to enter into a formal accountability agreement.  |
| 168 | Chair<br>Minnis      | Asks for clarification on delaying of adjudication proceedings so as to allow for entering into accountability agreements                                  |
| 180 | John<br>Bridges      | When the wholesale revision of the juvenile code was done, what disappeared was the informal accountability agreements which were replaced by formal ones. |
| 198 | Chair<br>Minnis      | So, if I understand this correctly, it was the 1995 session that did away with the informal agreements and established formal ones.                        |
|     |                      | >This would, then, allow for informal agreements.  |
|     |                      | It will to the extent that there is still a record of the agreement.   |
| 203 | Bridges              | >Section 2 deals with the program director being able to execute the agreement.  |
|     |                      | >I don't think they will be called "formal accountability agreements."   |
|     |                      | >We still want to have police officers issuing citations.  |
| 233 | Rep. Wells           | I was hoping to get more background on the bill and the pilot program.   |

|     |                 | There are 13 programs in operation around the state.   |
|-----|-----------------|--|
|     |                 | >They operate on city-wide and county-wide levels.   |
|     | D 1             | >A program has been in existence in Bend for 11 years.   |
| 237 | Bridges         | >The program has been successful on the national level.  |
|     |                 | >Programs need local monies to exist.  |
|     |                 | >In Baker County, the DA's office coordinates the program.   |
| 282 | Rep. Wells      | Does this have a sunset?   |
| 283 | Bridges         | Yes. I believe it is 2001, but it is my goal that we will be back at that time to find ways to continue to fund this.  |
| 301 | Roxie           | City of Cottage Grove Peer Court Coordinator, Department of Police   |
| 501 | Cuellar         | >submits and summarizes written testimony, (EXHIBIT H)   |
| 329 | Chair<br>Minnis | Tell me how this process works.  |
| 331 | Cuellar         | Responds that most of the programs start with citations issued by police officers  |
| 333 | Chair<br>Minnis | What type of citation?   |
| 335 | Cuellar         | A regular citation with instructions to contact the peer court within 72 hours.  |
| 339 | Chair<br>Minnis | What happens if they don't?  |
| 340 | Cuellar         | If there is no response then the case is referred to the juvenile department.  |
| 345 | Chair<br>Minnis | Then what happens?   |
| 347 | Cuellar         | If it goes to the juvenile department it is handled normally. Some types of offenses would go to municipal court.  |
| 255 | Chair           | Is that one of those DA discretion things?   |
| 355 | Minnis          | >What type of authority do you operate under?  |
| 360 | Cuellar         | To a certain extent, it is just the fact that nobody argues about it, but we do have a formal agreement with the juvenile department describing what types of cases we can take. |
| 372 | Chair<br>Minnis | Asks for examples of C felonies that they might deal with  |
| 373 | Cuellar         | For first time offenders kids were having more serious consequences for tobacco offenses than for some Class C felonies.   |

| 389                                   | Rep.<br>Prozanski | It appears to be officers' discretion as to whether or not to divert them to the peer court or not.   |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------|---|
| 393                                   | Cuellar           | There is a great deal of discretion among the officers.   |
| 402                                   | Chair<br>Minnis   | What other types of Class C felonies do you deal with?  |
| 402                                   | Cuellar           | Theft one, arson two.   |
| 409                                   | Chair<br>Minnis   | Any sex offenses?   |
| 409                                   | Cuellar           | No.   |
| 410                                   | Chair<br>Minnis   | With the UUMV, are those the drivers or passengers?   |
| 411                                   | Cuellar           | Responds that in this case it was the driver.   |
| 412                                   | Chair<br>Minnis   | So these are the ones running around stealing cars all over the place.  |
| 413                                   | Cuellar           | Yes, and he would have gotten a letter from juvenile recommending he attend a six-hour theft course and that would have been it, so the parent contacted me and I contacted Youth Services. |
| 422                                   | Chair<br>Minnis   | So, the current juvenile system is not dealing with this.   |
| 423                                   | Cuellar           | They don't have the resources.<br>>If no violence is involved in a Class C felony, the consequences may be greater in peer court than in juvenile system.                                   |
| 432                                   | Rep.<br>Prozanski | That was another bill we passed in 1995.  |
| 437                                   | Chair<br>Minnis   | I don't have a problem with misdemeanors but I do have a problem with UUMV.   |
|                                       |                   |   |
| TAPE 69, B                            | ]                 |   |
|                                       |                   |   |
| <u>HB 3341 -</u><br>PUBLIC<br>HEARING |                   |   |
|                                       |                   |   |
| 013                                   | Chair<br>Minnis   | Opens public hearing on HB 3341   |
|                                       |                   | State Representative, District 55   |
| 016                                   | Ben<br>Westlund   | >It upgrades by one classification to the next higher class and creates for being under the influence a Class B felony.   |

| 033                                 | Chair<br>Minnis   | I guess the other testimony we had was from Jim Arneson.  |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------|---|
| 035                                 | Rep.<br>Westlund  | One of the primary motivating factors is that Central Oregon, especially<br>Highway 97, is becoming a pathway for distribution of this substance. It is<br>also critical to send a message to youth about this drug.  |
| 044                                 | Chair<br>Minnis   | Closes public hearing on HB 3341  |
|                                     |                   |   |
| <u>HB 2431 -</u><br>WORK<br>SESSION |                   | 1   |
|                                     |                   |   |
| 047                                 | Chair<br>Minnis   | Opens work session on HB 2431   |
|                                     | 11                | Oregon Department of Transportation   |
| 058                                 | Kelly<br>Taylor   | Comments on changes made to the amendments in coordination with Fred Avera, Scott Lumsden and Legislative Counsel, (EXHIBIT I)  |
| 078                                 | Chair<br>Minnis   | So we incorporated SB 128.  |
| 080                                 | Rep.<br>Shetterly | MOTION: Moves to ADOPT HB 2341-1 amendments dated 03/26/97<br>and that the measure be FURTHER AMENDED on page 2, lines 3-4,<br>by changing "notifies the court, in writing, that the person is<br>requesting rescission of" to "requests in writing that the court direct<br>the department to rescind" and on page 2, line 13, by changing<br>"reinstate" to "reimpose" and on page 2, line 15 after "license" by<br>inserting "or right to apply for a commercial driver license" and on<br>page 2, line 16 by deleting "(18) or (19)" and on page 2, line 17, after<br>"license" by inserting "a right to apply for a commercial driver<br>license." |
| 084                                 |                   | <b>VOTE: 5-0-2</b>  |
|                                     |                   | EXCUSED: 2 - Courtney, Sunseri  |
|                                     | Chair<br>Minnis   | Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED.  |
| 087                                 | Rep.<br>Shetterly | MOTION: Moves HB 2431 to the full committee with a DO PASS AS AMENDED recommendation.   |
|                                     |                   | VOTE: 5-0-2   |
| 089                                 |                   | AYE: In a roll call vote, all members present vote Aye.   |
|                                     |                   | EXCUSED: 2 - Courtney, Sunseri  |
|                                     | Chair             | The motion CARRIES.   |

|     | Minnis          | REP. BOWMAN will lead discussion on the floor.                                |
|-----|-----------------|---|
| 092 | Chair<br>Minnis | Closes work session on HB 3341<br>>Declares subcommittee adjourned at 4:54 PM |

Submitted by, Reviewed by,

Brian Higgins, Scott Lumsden,

Administrative Support Counsel

## EXHIBIT SUMMARY

- A HB 2918, Proposed amendments (-1 dated 3/25/97), Staff, 1p.
- B HB 2918, Proposed amendments (-2 dated 3/25/97), Staff, 1 p.
- C HB 2431, Proposed amendments (-1 dated 3/26/97), Staff 2 pp.

D - HB 3079, Written testimony, Kelly Taylor, Department of Transportation, 1 p.

E - HB 2834, Written testimony, Larry Oglesby, Oregon Juvenile Department Directors Association, 3 pp.

- F HB 2242, Written testimony, John Bridges, Oregon New Lawyers, 1 p.
- G HB 2242, Written testimony, Walter McAllister, Department of Transportation, 1 p.
- H HB 2242, Written testimony, Roxie Cuellar, City of Cottage Grove, 1 p.
- I HB 2431, Consensus amendments to -1 amendments, Staff, 2 pp.