

**HOUSE COMMITTEE ON JUDICIARY**

**SUBCOMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL LAW**

**May 8, 1997 Hearing Room 357**

**1:00 P.M. Tapes 111 - 114**

**MEMBERS PRESENT:**

**Rep. John Minnis, Chair**

**Rep. Jo Ann Bowman, Vice-Chair**

**Rep. Peter Courtney**

**Rep. Floyd Prozanski**

**Rep. Lane Shetterly**

**Rep. Larry Wells**

**MEMBER EXCUSED: Rep. Ron Sunseri**

**STAFF PRESENT:**

**Scott Lumsden, Counsel**

**Lisa Fritz, Administrative Support**

**MEASURE/ISSUES HEARD:**

**SB 541A - Work Session**

**HB 2272 - Work Session**

**HB 2091 - Work Session**

**HB 2951 - Work Session**

**HB 2726 - Public Hearing**

**HB 3717 - Public Hearing and Work Session**

**HB 2839 - Public Hearing and Work Session**

**These minutes are in compliance with Senate and House Rules. Only text enclosed in quotation marks reports a speaker's exact words. For complete contents, please refer to the tapes.**

---

<b>Tape/#</b>	<b>Speaker</b>	<b>Comments</b>
<b>Tape 111, A</b>		
007	Chair Minnis	Calls the meeting to order at 1:20 p.m.
<b><u>OPENS WORK SESSION ON SB 541A</u></b>		
018	<b>Klaus Gibson</b>	<b>Resident of Wilsonville, Oregon</b> Submits written testimony in favor of SB 541A [ <b>Exhibit A</b> ]
068	Gibson	Continues testimony.
094	<b>Dan Willis</b>	<b>Resident of Wilsonville, Oregon</b> Submits and reads aloud written testimony in favor of SB 541A [ <b>Exhibit B</b> ]
144	Willis	Continues testimony.
201	<b>Steve Marks</b>	<b>Governor's Senior Policy Advisor.</b> Submits written testimony in opposition to SB 541A. [ <b>Exhibit C</b> ]
251	Marks	Continues testimony.
301	Marks	Continues testimony.
341	Chair Minnis	Questions for clarification regarding the Governor's philosophy in prison siting. Please speak to that philosophy.
364	Marks	Replies. Growing inmate population across Oregon. Important intake center be located in the Willamette Valley. Continues.
374	Chair Minnis	Is this the Department of Correction's plan, the Governor's plan, or is it a co-mingling of ideas?
382	<b>David Cook</b>	<b>Director, Department of Corrections (DOC)</b> Discusses reasoning behind choices for the placement of facilities.
414	Chair Minnis	Continues his comments/questions siting. >pre-existing to the prison
<b>TAPE 112, A</b>		
010	Marks	Replies. Some expansion has occurred directly in those areas. Continues.
016	Rep. Shetterly	Cites written testimony from last work session. >residential areas >less than 3 miles from a primary or secondary school
		Replies taking each subject in order:  >siting of prisons by Sally Anderson...I don't think that the facts bear out the comment. Continues.

026	Cook	>E-Board - long-range look at prison needs - 18,000 bed need  >'Nixon Report' contained recommendations; some were rejected, some were accepted. The DOC never included any of that in siting criteria. Continues.
060	Chair Minnis	A mysterious document that somehow appeared?
061	Cook	No. Consultant's report contracted for by the DOC. Explains.
070	Rep. Shetterly	Document was submitted to the E-Board. Continues questioning for clarification.
075	Cook	Not submitted as any kind of support for the E-Board request. That information did not come from the DOC. We did not provide that report. Continues.
084	Rep. Shetterly	Continues questioning for clarification. You're saying that wasn't submitted by DOC?
	Chair Minnis	Who were they submitted by?
	Cook	The Legislative Fiscal Office (LFO).
089	Chair Minnis	Bit more confused. Continues.
092	<b>Sue Acuff</b>	<b>Assistant Director, Business Finance, Oregon Department of Corrections.</b>  Replies. Report from Nixon & Associates was requested by the Legislative Fiscal Office as background information for them to do their analysis on our E-Board request. Continues.  Submits written testimony ( <b>EXHIBIT D</b> ), regarding the -A3 amendments ( <b>EXHIBIT E</b> ) to SB 541
096	Chair Minnis	Continues questioning for clarification regarding discussion on proximity issue.
098	Acuff	Not directly. Explains.
100	Chair Minnis	Did the Department tell the E-Board they had rejected the proximity portion?
102	Acuff	We did not specifically bring up the siting criteria. Continues.
104	Chair Minnis	Comments for clarification. Information was presented to the E-Board. Continues.
106	Cook	We at no time said 'reject the criteria identified in the Nixon Report.' Continues.
108	Chair Minnis	Continues questioning.
	Acuff	I don't believe they had that information in front of them at that time. Continues.

115	Rep. Wells	Questions regarding the property and those plans for this property.
127	Cook	Not aware of any pre-existing conversations. This public process of siting was readily available. Continues.
142	Rep. Wells	Continues his questioning regarding property.
155	Cook	I would agree with your assessment, in terms of Salem. The property in Wilsonville was state owned when we looked at it; it is state-owned today. Continues.
172	Rep. Bowman	How long have you been the Director?
	Cook	Since July 10, 1995.
177	Rep. Bowman	Continues questioning regarding prior relationships between prisons and schools.
183	Cook	That kind of incident has not occurred. Explains.
197	Rep. Bowman	Continues questioning regarding the identification of additional sites and the reopening of the process.
212	Cook	Replies. Discusses his recollection of the process.
267	Chair Minnis	Reads from statute ORS 421.616 and continues to question for clarification.
296	Cook	Replies regarding siting.
311	Chair Minnis	Continues questioning for clarification.
314	Cook	Submits Department of Corrections Long Range Building Plan <b>[Exhibit F]</b>
340	Rep. Ron Adams	Discusses/reviews hand-engrossed amendments to SB 541A offered by himself and Sen. Miller. <b>[Exhibit H]</b>
390	Rep. Adams	Continues testimony.
<b>TAPE 111, B</b>		
001	Rep. Adams	Continues testimony. >1989 rules >federal guidelines for siting prisons >mandate
029	Chair Minnis	Does Senator Miller agree with the amendments?
030	Rep. Adams	Yes. Continues.
	Addition written testimony submitted for the record by Kritsin Thomas, Senior Planner, Randall Realty Corporation. <b>[Exhibit G]</b>	

<b><u>CLOSES WORK SESSION SB 541A</u></b>		
039	<b>Recesses meeting at 2:19 p.m.</b>	
	<b>Reconvenes meeting at 2:36 p.m.</b>	
<b><u>OPENS PUBLIC HEARING ON HB 2839</u></b>		
043	<b>John Velke</b>	<b>Vice President, Loss Prevention for Fred Meyer.</b> Submits written testimony in opposition to HB 2839 <b>[Exhibit I]</b>
093	Velke	Continues testimony.
120	<b>Harold Burke-Sivers</b>	<b>Security Coordinator, Salem-Keizer School District.</b> Testifies in opposition to HB 2839. >Private Security Advisory Committee - created partnership between government and private sector >in-house officers
170	Burke-Sivers	Continues testimony. >real issue is public safety >proprietary/contract >minimum training standards
220	Burke-Sivers	Continues testimony. >determine clean criminal history >drafted processes which represented private needs >goal of committee is to insure intent of statute remains while seeking resolution to industry issues
240	<b>Steven Bennett</b>	<b>Board of Public Safety Standards Training (BPSST).</b> Testifies in opposition to HB 2839. States concerns: >Bill is too broad and not representative of the full industry's position.
268	Rep. Shetterly	I have no reason not to include BPSST. Continues.
277	Chair Minnis	Constructive input.
		I don't know what they are proposing that would meet the

280	Rep. Shetterly	concerns of the proponents of the bill.
284	Bennett	Concern on the part of the people who originally endorsed this bill is that it is too broad. Continues.
299	Chair Minnis	Have you come up with any amendments to the bill?
302	Bennett	No. We understood we were going to be a part of the conference committee. We have not been notified.
315	Chair Minnis	Comments regarding process.
331	Rep. Wells	Comments opposition.
339	Rep. Bowman	Are we talking about the -1 or -2 amendments?
343	Chair Minnis	We haven't really gotten there. -1 amendments.
347	Rep. Bowman	Why would we take out supervisors of security people?
351	Chair Minnis	Before we get to that - other individuals waiting to testify.
359	Rep. Prozanski	Comments on Mr. Bennett's testimony regarding support.
394	<b>Jim McClain</b>	<b>Personnel Manager, Columbia Helicopters, Inc.</b> Submits written testimony in favor of HB 2839 <b>[Exhibit J]</b>
<b>TAPE 112, B</b>		
020	McClain	Continues testimony.
050	McClain	Continues testimony.
070	Rep. Bowman	Questions witness for clarification.
109	McClain	Replies. Expanding it to other proprietary employers.
114	<b>Amy Peterson</b>	<b>Member of Security Industry.</b> Testifies in opposition to HB 2839. Discusses the need for training and background checks in the private security industry.  >Oregonians need to be protected.
162	Peterson	Continues testimony. I take exception to those who are now saying that they didn't know anything about the legislation.
210	Peterson	Continues testimony. Uses Shawn Eckhardt as an example of people presenting themselves as security.
249	<b>Gary Andeen</b>	<b>Executive Director, Oregon Independent College Association.</b> Submits written testimony of John Garner in opposition of HB 2839. <b>[Exhibit K]</b>
	Rep. Bowman	Questions for clarification. Over burdensome and would cost too much money?
	Andeen	Yes.
281	Rep. Bowman	Do you know that we have amendments in front of us which deal crowd control, management, etc.
287	Andeen	Mr. Gardner has not seen the amendments.
<b><u>CLOSES</u></b> <b><u>PUBLIC</u></b>		

<b><u>HEARING</u></b>		
<b><u>ON HB 2839</u></b>		
<b><u>OPENS</u></b>		
<b><u>WORK</u></b>		
<b><u>SESSION ON</u></b>		
<b><u>HB 2839</u></b>		
296	Rep. Prozanski	Comments regarding his vote giving reasons and background. >potential liability
<b>300</b>	<b>Rep. Prozanski</b>	<b>MOTION: Moves to ADOPT HB 2839-1 amendments dated 03/27/97.</b>
310	Chair Minnis	Are there any objections?
312	Rep. Bowman	Yes, I object. Explains. How do you manage someone if you haven't gone through the appropriate training yourself?
320	Rep. Shetterly	Goes back to the liability of the employer. Continues.
332	Rep. Bowman	Continues discussion regarding training.
345	Rep. Shetterly	Replies. I support that.
354	Rep. Bowman	Continues with her concerns. >most direct contact with the public
368	Chair Minnis	I support the amendment. Continues. >nothing stopping a private corporation from providing training for their employees
<b>395</b>		<b>VOTE: 4-1</b> <b>AYE: 4 -Rep. Prozanski, Rep. Shetterly, Rep. Wells, Rep. Minnis</b> <b>NAY: 1 - Rep. Bowman</b> <b>EXCUSED: 2 - Rep. Sunseri, Rep. Courtney</b>
	<b>Chair Minnis</b>	<b>The motion Carries.</b>
<b>TAPE 113, A</b>		
001	Rep. Shetterly	Not fully committed to the -2 amendments [ <b>Exhibit M</b> ] and gives example. >exempts crowd management services and guest services >sensitive to Mr. Andeen's comments
		Comments for clarification regarding Rep. Shetterly's concerns.

	Rep. Prozanski	>second portion talks about guest services - should be exempted out >cannot support in entirety - could, if narrowed - explains >exempt guest services
044	Rep. Shetterly	<b>MOTION: Moves to ADOPT HB 2839-2 amendments dated 05/07/97 and that the amendments be FURTHER AMENDED on page 1, line 2, by deleting "or crowd management services" and on page 1, line 4, by deleting ":". In addition, be FURTHER AMENDED by deleting lines 5 through 7 in their entirety and on line 8 delete "(b)".</b>
055	Rep. Wells	Was there a response from the group who suggested the amendment?
	Chair Minnis	Comments for clarification.
		<b>VOTE: 5-0</b> <b>EXCUSED: 2 - Rep. Sunseri, Rep. Courtney</b>
	Chair Minnis	<b>Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED.</b>
080	Rep. Shetterly	<b>MOTION: Moves HB 2839 to the full committee with a DO PASS AS AMENDED recommendation.</b>
		<b>VOTE: 4-1</b> <b>AYE: 4 - Rep. Prozanski, Rep. Shetterly, Rep. Wells, Rep. Minnis</b> <b>NAY: 1 - Rep. Bowman</b> <b>EXCUSED: 2 - Rep. Sunseri, Rep. Courtney</b>
	Chair Minnis	<b>The motion Carries.</b> <b>REP. SHETTERLY will lead discussion on the floor.</b>
		<b><u>CLOSES WORK SESSION ON HB 2839</u></b>
		<b><u>OPENS WORK SESSION ON HB 2951</u></b>
105	Rep. Prozanski	Discusses HB 2951 and the -2 and -3 amendments to the bill. <b>[Exhibits N &amp; O]</b> Relates the work of a task group, from which the -3 amendments evolved.
		<b>MOTION: Moves to ADOPT HB 2951-3 amendments</b>



112	Rep. Prozanski	dated 05/08/97.
120	Rep. Shetterly	What do they do?
	Rep. Prozanski	Explains. Makes certain that the over-site committee stays in place. Continues. >removes current sunset >provides funding
	Chair Minnis	Cost of \$50,000. Continues.
159	Rep. Wells	Questions for clarification regarding changes.
		<b>VOTE: 5-0</b> <b>EXCUSED: 2 - Rep. Courtney, Rep. Sunseri</b>
	Chair Minnis	<b>Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED.</b>
171	Rep. Prozanski	<b>MOTION: Moves HB 2951 to the full committee with a DO PASS AS AMENDED recommendation.</b>
		<b>VOTE: 5-0</b> <b>AYE: In a roll call vote, all members present vote Aye.</b> <b>EXCUSED: 2 - Rep. Courtney, Rep. Sunseri</b>
	Chair Minnis	<b>The motion CARRIES.</b> <b>REP. PROZANSKI will lead discussion on the floor.</b>
<b><u>CLOSES WORK SESSION ON HB 2951</u></b>		
<b><u>OPENS PUBLIC HEARING ON HB 3717</u></b>		
185	Judy Uherbelau	<b>Representing House District 52.</b> Discusses HB 3717 and proposed conceptual amendments. >limited access record
235	Rep. Uherbelau	Continues testimony. >proposed statute very clear as to process
285	Rep. Uherbelau	Continues testimony. >labeled as a sexual predator rest of their lives >rules can change

315	<b>Timothy Travis</b>	<p><b>Juvenile Rights Project.</b> Testifies in favor of HB 3717. Discusses the differences between "limited access" and "expunction", as they relate to the bill.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>&gt;juveniles have a better chance at rehabilitation than adults</li> <li>&gt;expunction - record is erased - it never happened</li> <li>&gt;limited access record - continues to exist and be accessible in the future</li> </ul>
365	Travis	<p>Continues testimony.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>&gt;gives example</li> <li>&gt;qualifications to apply - Sections 7 &amp; 8</li> <li>&gt;victim and/or parent of the victim involved</li> <li>&gt;only apply to people who committed their only offense under the age of 12</li> </ul>
402	Chair Minnis	<p>Questions for clarification:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>&gt;`only offense'</li> <li>&gt;treatment</li> </ul>
	Travis	Replies.
<b>TAPE 114, A</b>		
017	Rep. Uherbelau	<p>There are two other minor changes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>&gt;Line 25, page 2. ...or the county.</li> </ul>
022	Rep. Shetterly	Line 31, page 2...following `or the victim's parents' inserting "or guardian".
028	Rep. Uherbelau	State Police recommended on line 42, page 2 - `agency holding the record' - further conversations.
033	Travis	Comments - look at page 3, line 12. Provisions of the expunction law. >possible wording - "department of state police and the agencies listed in (3)".
051	Rep. Shetterly	Questions for clarification.
056	Travis	Replies.
075	<b>Oran Bolstad, Ph.D.</b>	<p><b>Clinical Child Psychologist.</b> Testifies in favor of HB 3717.</p> <p>Persons under the age of 12 usually do not go on to commit further crimes, if treated. The bill has provisions safeguarding situations regarding non-treatment or non-response to treatment.</p>

119	<b>Ingrid Swenson</b>	<b>Oregon Criminal Defense Lawyers Association (OCDLA)</b> Testifies in support of HB 3717. I think this bill would solve some problems the court currently has involving these types of cases. Because the consequences to the children are so great, we focus on charges, rather than on treatment. I believe this bill would allow us to focus more on the treatment aspect.
146	<b>Dale Penn</b>	<b>Marion County District Attorney, Representing Oregon District Attorney's Association.</b> Testifies in favor of HB 3717. We believe there are sufficient safeguards and protection.
155	Chair Minnis	Questions regarding language `person's only offense'.
159	Penn	Replies. Something that would need to be discussed. Continues.
<b><u>CLOSES PUBLIC HEARING ON HB 3717</u></b>		
<b><u>OPENS PUBLIC HEARING ON HB 2726</u></b>		
196	Rep. Prozanski	Discusses HB 2726 and the -3 amendments to the bill <b>[Exhibit P]</b>  Deals with three areas of the law:  >percent by weight of alcohol as shown by chemical analysis  >crime of DUII  >impound vehicle
246	Rep. Prozanski	Continues testimony.  >forfeiture of vehicle  >address requirement of notice to security interest holder
289	<b>Peter Courtney</b>	<b>Representing House District 33.</b> Submits written testimony in favor of HB 2726-3 <b>[Exhibit Q]</b>
305	Rep. Courtney	Introduces video tape from Western Oregon State College.  >get people drunk and measure driving skills  >experience to see oneself on video after drinking and

		driving
<b>TAPE 113, B</b>		
008	Rep. Courtney	Comments on the film and his personal experience regarding testing required to drive 15-passenger vans.  >lower standard to .06
030	<b>Mark Cotter</b>	<b>Sergeant, Patrol Services Division, Oregon State Police.</b> Submits written testimony in support of the HB 2726-2 amendments [ <b>Exhibit R</b> ]
056	Chair Minnis	.08 is a presumptive level.
057	Rep. Wells	What does ".08" mean?
058	Rep. Prozanski	Replies. - two prongs  >based on officer's observations  >presumption .08 or greater blood z content - under the influence
067	Chair Minnis	Comments for clarification regarding elements for conviction.
072	Rep. Courtney	Why was the person pulled over?
077	Sgt. Cotter	That is true; may not be true in all cases.
083	Rep. Wells	Continues questioning.
105	Sgt. Cotter	I don't have the conviction rate. Continues.
115	<b>Kelly Taylor</b>	<b>Oregon Department of Transportation.</b> Submits written testimony supporting HB 2726 with the -3 amendments. [ <b>Exhibit S</b> ]
165	Taylor	Continues testimony.
182	Rep. Courtney	Comments for clarification. If you drink, don't drive. Continues.
213	Rep. Bowman	Questions legal limits in other states.
227	Rep. Courtney	If we went to .06 we would be the only state in the US to do that.
231	Rep. Bowman	Comments for clarification. It depends a lot on the size of the person that is drinking/driving. Continues.
247	Rep. Courtney	The bottom line for me is the public safety issue. Continues.
261	Chair Minnis	Comments. More men are inclined to climb into a car and believe they are invincible.
268	Rep. Courtney	Women are more responsible than men.
273	Rep. Bowman	Comments on 'deterrent'.
284	Rep. Courtney	There is no question that to the hard drinker that is correct.
310	Rep. Bowman	Refers to Ms. Taylor's testimony. What is this based on?

315	Taylor	Based on statistics coming from the Federal Highway Commission today. Continues.
342	Rep. Prozanski	Discusses -3 amendments to HB 2726 and suggests an insertion. >if an individual is convicted of a 4th or subsequent for DUII within a 10-year period, it would be a felony with a presumptive prison term
359	Rep. Uherbelau	Discusses those portions of the bill that are related to the original contents of HB 2726. >considerably softened the penalties >cannot impound unless convicted
395	Chair Minnis	Comments for clarification. Doesn't mean we are done discussing.
400	Rep. Prozanski	This is a starting point.
<b><u>CLOSES PUBLIC HEARING ON HB 2726</u></b>		
<b><u>OPENS WORK SESSION ON HB 3717</u></b>		
<b><u>TAPE 114, B</u></b>		
005	Rep. Uherbelau	Discusses hand-engrossed amendments to HB 3717. <b>[Exhibit T]</b>
052	Rep. Prozanski	<b>MOTION: Moves to AMEND HB 3717 CONCEPTUALLY as indicated by Exhibit T.</b>
		<b>VOTE: 6-0</b> <b>EXCUSED: 1 - Rep. Sunseri</b>
	Chair Minnis	<b>Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED.</b>
<b><u>CLOSES WORK SESSION ON HB 3717</u></b>		
<b><u>OPENS WORK SESSION ON HB 2272</u></b>		
		<b>State Court Administrator.</b> Introduces Ann Christian, Director, Indigent Defense Services Section, Office of the

064	<b>Kingsley Click</b>	State Court Administrator. Gives background of previous testimony on April 23rd. Bill and amendments same.  >expands the types of cases that the court can order repayment of court appointed counsel defense costs  >clarifies statutory provisions regarding 'the partial contribution' towards court appointed attorney's fees
<b>094</b>	<b>Rep. Prozanski</b>	<b>MOTION: Moves to ADOPT HB 2272-2 amendments dated 04/23/97.</b>
		<b>VOTE: 6-0</b>
		<b>EXCUSED: 1 - Rep. Sunseri</b>
	<b>Chair Minnis</b>	<b>Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED.</b>
099	Rep. Bowman	States her concerns regarding Committee direction.
122	Chair Minnis	Comments for clarification. Based on the ability to pay.
	Rep. Bowman	Continues her comments: How does this work?
	Chair Minnis	Comments: Based on ability to pay.
135	Rep. Prozanski	Comments for clarity. Gives steps in process.
141	<b>Ann Christian</b>	<b>Director of Indigent Defense Services.</b> Existing law allows a court to order the person to repay court appointed attorney fees. Continues.  >amendments expand to other types of cases  >restitution hearing
160	Rep. Bowman	Continues her comments/questions. Restates her concern regarding direction.
172	Chair Minnis	The judicial system does not pursue these people with a vengeance. Discusses his own personal experiences, as they relate to the bill.
187	Christian	Comments regarding verification of indigence programs.  >made great strides in not awarding court-appointed attorneys to those with resources
210	Rep. Shetterly	I think it's a good point. The more we can replace these funds, the more that will be available.
212	Rep. Wells	Page 3, line 16 - Explain what that word means.
218	Click	I think it means "willful".
<b>226</b>	<b>Rep. Shetterly</b>	<b>MOTION: Moves HB 2272 to the floor WITHOUT RECOMMENDATION as to passage and BE REFERRED to the committee on Ways &amp; Means.</b>
		<b>VOTE: 6-0</b>

		<b>AYE: In a roll call vote, all members present vote Aye.</b> <b>EXCUSED: 1 - Rep. Sunseri</b>
	<b>Chair Minnis</b>	<b>The motion CARRIES.</b>
<b><u>CLOSES</u></b> <b><u>WORK</u></b> <b><u>SESSION ON</u></b> <b><u>HB 2272</u></b>		
<b><u>OPENS</u></b> <b><u>WORK</u></b> <b><u>SESSION ON</u></b> <b><u>HB 2091</u></b>		
245	Scott Lumsden	Discusses HB 2091 and -1 amendments [ <b>Exhibit V</b> ]
	<b>Janis Elliott</b>	<b>Administrator, Child Care Division.</b> Discusses -1 amendments. Clarification of language feedback recommended from Rep. Shetterly and Services to Children and Families. Continues.
262	<b>Rep. Shetterly</b>	<b>MOTION: Moves to ADOPT HB 2091-1 amendments dated 04/08/97.</b>
	Rep. Wells	Is there an additional cost?
	Elliott	There is no fiscal impact. Continues.
		<b>VOTE: 6-0</b> <b>EXCUSED: 1 - Rep. Sunseri</b>
	<b>Chair Minnis</b>	<b>Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED.</b>
274	<b>Rep. Shetterly</b>	<b>MOTION: Moves HB 2091 to the full committee with a DO PASS AS AMENDED recommendation.</b>
		<b>VOTE: 5-0</b> <b>AYE: In a roll call vote, all members present vote Aye.</b> <b>EXCUSED: 2 - Rep. Prozanski, Rep. Sunseri</b>
	<b>Chair Minnis</b>	<b>The motion CARRIES.</b> <b>REP. BOWMAN will lead discussion on the floor.</b>
289	Chair Minnis	Adjourns at meeting at 5:14 p.m.

Transcribed by, Reviewed by,

Julie Clemente for

Lisa Fritz, Scott Lumsden,

Administrative Support Counsel

**EXHIBIT SUMMARY**

- A - Testimony in Support of SB 541A - Klaus Gibson - 2 pgs**
- B - Testimony in Support of SB 541A - Dan Willis - 1 pgs**
- C - Testimony in Opposition of SB 541A - Steve Marks - 3 pgs**
- D - Testimony on SB 541-A3 Amendments - Sue Acuff - 4 pgs**
- E - Proposed SB 541-A3 Amendments - Staff - 1 pg**
- F - Department of Corrections Long Range Building Plan - Dave Cook - 2 pgs**
- G - Testimony/Documents in Support of HB 541A - Kristin Thomas - 24 pgs**
- H - Hand Engrossed SB 541- Ron Adams - 4 pgs**
- I - Testimony in Support of HB 2839 - John Velke - 2 pgs**
- J - Testimony in Support of HB 2839 - Jim McClain - 2 pgs**
- K - Testimony in Support of HB 2839 - John Garner - 1 pg**
- L - Proposed HB 2839-1 Amendments - Staff - 4 pgs**
- M - Proposed HB 2839-2 Amendments - Staff - 1 pg**
- N - Proposed HB 2951-2 Amendments - Staff - 13 pgs**
- O - Proposed HB 2951-3 Amendments - Staff - 13 pgs**
- P - Proposed HB 2726-3 Amendments - staff - 12 pgs**
- Q - Testimony in Support of HB 2726 - Peter Courtney - 9 pgs**
- R - Testimony in Support of HB 2726 - Mark Cotter - 3 pgs**
- S - Testimony in Support of HB 2726 - Kelly Taylor - 3 pgs**
- T - Hand Engrossed SB 3717 - Judy Uherbelau - 4 pgs**
- U - Proposed HB 2272-2 Amendments - Staff - 20 pgs**
- V - Proposed HB 2091-1 Amendments - Staff - 1 pg**