HOUSE COMMITTEE ON JUDICIARY

SUBCOMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL LAW

May 16, 1997 Hearing Room 357

3:15 P.M. Tapes 119 - 120

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Rep. John Minnis, Chair

Rep. Jo Ann Bowman, Vice-Chair

Rep. Peter Courtney

Rep. Floyd Prozanski

Rep. Lane Shetterly

Rep. Ron Sunseri

Rep. Larry Wells

MEMBER EXCUSED:

STAFF PRESENT:

Scott Lumsden, Counsel

Lisa Fritz, Administrative Support

MEASURE/ISSUES HEARD:

SB 614 - Public Hearing

SB 541 - Work Session

These minutes are in compliance with Senate and House Rules. <u>Only text enclosed in quotation</u> <u>marks reports a speaker's exact words.</u> For complete contents, please refer to the tapes.

Tape/#	Speaker	Comments
TAPE 122, A		
1006	Chair Minnis	Calls the meeting to order at 4:05 p.m. Opens public hearing on SB 614.
<u>SB 614</u> PUBLIC		

HEARING		
012	Steve	President, Crime Victims United, provides testimony in support of SB 614, issues discussed:
	Doell	* felony murder charges
		* statute of limitations
045	John Bradley	First Assistant to Multnomah County District Attorney, submits and provides testimony in support of SB 614 [EXHIBIT A].
100	Bradley	Continues with discussion on charges against individuals who intentionally cause serious or physical injury to a child.
150	Bradley	Continues with discussion on reckless conduct.
201	Charlene Woods	Multnomah County District Attorney, submits written testimony and summarizes the purpose of the bill [EXHIBIT B].
235	Rep. Bowman	Asks whether a minor who kills another minor would be subject to the proposed 30-year jail sentence.
240	Bradley	Responds that the 30-year aggravated murder charge requires that a person "intentionally kills" another person.
252	Rep. Bowman	Refers to a case in Salem where three 14-year old children had intended to shoot another juvenile; asks if the 14-year olds would be subject to proposed law.
260	Bradley	Responds that they would fall under the law as it relates to an "intentional killing" but questions whether they would be tried as an adult.
280	Steve Doell	Multnomah County Crime Victims United, responds that the death penalty would not apply to 14-year olds because it only applies to people over the age of 18 years old.
284	Rep. Bowman	Asks to define the word "reckless."
286	Bradley	Responds that reckless is specified in the statute.
288	Rep. Prozanski	Asks about the unlimited statute of limitations language proposed in the bill.
294	Bradley	Responds that it is very seldom that people are convicted of attempted murder because a jury would want to know that a person wanted to kill another person.
366	Chair Minnis	Comments on physical injury issues involving persons intentionally hurting someone they know.
380	Bradley	Comments on the difficulty in solving attempted murder cases that involve strangers.
404	Ingrid Swenson	Representing Oregon Criminal Lawyers' Defense Association, submits and provides testimony on SB 541 [EXHIBIT C].
TAPE 120, A	1	
010	Swenson	Continues with testimony on Federal Constitution requirements.

018	Rep. Prozanski	Asks how expansion of the law would compare to other states.
022	Swenson	Responds that she will provide the information at a later date.
072	Swenson	Continues with testimony on aggravated murder statutes.
120	Swenson	Continues with testimony on child neglect statutes.
137	Woods	Comments on situations involving medical neglect.
163	Rep. Prozanski	Refers to Section 2 of the bill and suggests that the word "neglect" should be changed to read "criminal neglect."
173	Woods	Agrees with proposed language.
209	Bradley	Comments on "intended" consequences.
211	Rep. Bowman	Refers to earlier question concerning protection for minors who kill other minors and whether they can be tried as an adult; suggests that language should be included to address the issue.
225	Bradley	Responds that there are some situations wherein a minor should be tried as an adult.
235	Rep. Bowman	Asks if the district attorney decides whether to try a minor as an adult.
236	Bradley	Responds that in order for criminal charges to be filed against a minor, a petition has to be filed and findings have to be presented that shows that the minor should be tried as an adult.
250	Dale Camp	Representing Oregon District Attorneys' Association, provides testimony in support of SB 614.
270	Rep. Sunseri	Asks Mr. Camp if he supports Rep. Prozanski proposal to include "criminal neglect" language into the bill.
274	Camp	Agrees to proposed language.
280	Darin Campbell	Representing Oregon Association Chiefs of Police, provides testimony in support of SB 614.
298	Rep. Bowman	Refers to the Senate vote on the bill; asks if anyone voted in opposition to the bill.
301	Campbell	Responds that to his knowledge there was no opposition.
320	Jaquelyn Bellamy	Parent, submits and reads testimony in support of SB 614 [EXHIBIT D].
370	Bellamy	Continues with testimony concerning the death of her son.
410	Bellamy	Continues with testimony on charges filed against a day care provider.
TAPE 119, B]	
015	Bellamy	Continues with testimony concerning sentencing period for murder by abuse.
030	Rep. Bowman	Asks if her child had shown signs of abuse prior to being murdered.

063	Bellamy	Responds that her son had sustained a few minor injuries which were all explained.
049	Rep. Bowman	Asks if SB 614 had been in affect during the murder of her son, whether the "intent" would have applied in her case.
051	Bellamy	Responds that the testimony presented indicated that it was an intentional act.
061	Rep. Bowman	Comments that a pattern of abuse has to be established prior to filing murder by abuse charges; asks if the day care provider had ever been charged with child abuse.
064	Bellamy	Responds that she was unaware of any charges.
071	Ted Buckley	Hillsboro resident, submits and provides testimony in support of SB 614 [EXHIBIT E] .
135	Rep. Prozanski	Asks about the disproportionality of sentencing for minors.
177	Bradley	Responds that changing the law to aggravated murder will only involve one or two cases a year statewide.
231	Rep. Prozanski	Comments that the District Attorney's (DA's)office will have to review how they render decisions on sentencing for aggravated murder.
230	Bradley	Comments that they if the case is there, charges will be filed; that the DA's office handles the disposition of cases.
232	Woods	Comments that the bill was drafted with the goal in mind of protecting children under the age of three who are murdered by caretakers.
280	Woods	Continues with discussion on sentencing minors.
312	Chair Minnis	Asks about Rep. Prozanki's issue with the disproportionality of sentencing.
318	Swenson	Responds that if the perpetrator is 15 year old and the victim was less than 14 years of age, the change in the law would mean that the perpetrator could be subject to a true life sentence.
347	Chair Minnis	Asks if sentencing for a perpetrator who was 19 or 20 would be the same if they were 15; questions the disparity of sentencing.
351	Swenson	Responds that sentencing for a 15 year old defendant would be different from one that was 20 years old.
366	Chair Minnis	Comments on the disparity in sentencing minors.
370	Swenson	Comments on the unfairness in sentencing minors.
375	Chair Minnis	Comments on conduct, and victims being in a protective class.
395	Rep. Courtney	Comment that the bill appears to be geared to a protective class and suggests that perhaps the age should be lowered.
415	Swenson	Comments on the 3-year age difference sex offense statute.
	Kathleen Pugh	Submitted but not read, testimony in opposition to SB 614 on behalf of Oregon Coalition to Abolish the Death [EXHIBIT F].

427	Chair Minnis	Closes public hearing on SB 614; opens work session on SB 541.
TAPE 120, B]	
<u>SB 541</u> WORK SESSION		
009	Rep. Sunseri	MOTION: Moves to ADOPT SB 614-8 amendments dated 5/12/97.
012	Rep. Bowman	Questions the need to adopt the amendments due to the Governor's decision on prison siting [EXHIBIT G].
015	Chair Minnis	Responds that the issue involves concern over being able to continue an on-going dialogue with the governor and the public, relating to future prison sitings.
021	Rep. Courtney	Asks if the amendments would cancel the decision made by the chief executive officers.
024	Rep. Shetterly	Comments that legislation has to become law.
026	Rep. Shetterly	Asks about the impact to the other facilities that have been sited.
036	Sue Acuff	Department of Corrections, responds that there are some sites that have been nominated in Jackson County that would not be impacted ,but the other sites would depend on the actual language of the amendment.
043	Rep. Shetterly	Clarifies that the hand-engrossed amendments deletes the word nominate and include "site or build." Submitted but not read, by Rep. Ron Adams [EXHIBIT H] .
049	Rep. Shetterly	Asks if passage of the bill would delay the development of prisons and corrections facilities.
056	Acuff	Responds that if language "may not site or build" and "if the local jurisdiction wants us" is included into the bill, then they would continue with development.
069	Rep. Courtney	Asks if the bill amends the super siting legislation passed in the 1995 session and if the legislature would authorize future sittings.
077	Chair Minnis	Agrees and clarifies that the amendment does not speak to the future siting issue.
079	Rep. Courtney	Comments on the magnitude and effect if legislation is passed.
097	Rep.	Refers to line 3 of the -1 amendments which addresses the issue of prison

	Shetterly	development [EXHIBIT I].
113	Rep. Courtney	Asks if the legislation would allow a resident to file a lawsuit which would prohibit any and all public activity involving children within a number of square feet or area adjacent to a prison. Further states that the City of Salem is planning to build many soccer ball fields adjacent to the Oregon State Penitentiary (OSP).
129	Rep. Shetterly	Refers to line 4 of the -8 amendments which reads that the prohibition is against a siting or a building and that the OSP is already sited.
136	Rep. Courtney	Asks if any expansion of OSP would be affected by the legislation dealing with restrictions.
138	Rep. Shetterly	Responds that he does not interpret the bill in that manner.
142	Rep. Prozanski	Comments that the proposed legislation could have an impact to any type of facility expansion.
151	Chair Minnis	Asks Rep. Courtney if he wants to amend the bill to include public activity restrictions.
147	Rep. Courtney	Responds that he supports ballfields for children.
160	Chair Minnis	VOTE: 4-3 AYE: 4 - Shetterly, Sunseri, Wells, Minnis NAY: 3 - Bowman, Courtney, Prozanski The motion CARRIES.
163	Rep. Sunseri	MOTION: Moves SB 541 to the floor with a DO PASS AS AMENDED recommendation.
165	Chair Minnis	VOTE: 4-3 AYE: 4 - Shetterly, Sunseri, Wells, Minnis NAY: 3 - Bowman, Courtney, Prozanski The motion CARRIES. REP. MINNIS will lead discussion on the floor.
173	Chair Minnis	Adjourns at 5:40 p.m.

Submitted by, Reviewed by,

Lisa Fritz, Scott Lumsden,

Administrative Support Counsel

Transcribed by,

Nora Carlson

Administrative Support

EXHIBIT SUMMARY

- A SB 614, written testimony, John Bradley, 5 pp
- B SB 614, written testimony, Charlene Woods, 1 p
- C SB 614, written testimony, Ingrid Swenson, 7 pp
- D SB 614, written testimony, Jacquelyn Bellamy, 3 pp
- E SB 614, written testimony, Ted Buckley, 5 pp
- F SB 614, written testimony, Kathleen Pugh, 1 p
- G SB 541, proposed amendments, Committee Staff, 1 p
- H SB 541, written testimony and proposed amendments, Rep. Ron Adams, 11 pp
- I SB 541, proposed amendments, Committee staff, 1 p