## **HOUSE COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION**

June 17, 1997 Hearing Room C

8:30 A.M. Tapes 131 - 132

## **MEMBERS PRESENT:**

Rep. Bob Montgomery, Chair

Rep. Mike Lehman, Vice-Chair

**Rep. Steve Harper** 

**Rep.** Tim Josi

Rep. Jane Lokan

**Rep. Lonnie Roberts** 

**Rep. Larry Wells** 

**STAFF PRESENT:** 

Keith Putman, Administrator

Annetta Mullins, Administrative Support

**MEASURE/ISSUES HEARD:** 

SB 1220 - Public Hearing and Work Session

SB 139-B - Public Hearing and Work Session

SB 974-A - Public Hearing

SB 867-A - Public Hearing

These minutes are in compliance with Senate and House Rules. <u>Only text enclosed in quotation</u> <u>marks reports a speaker's exact words.</u> For complete contents, please refer to the tapes.

Tape/#	Speaker	Comments
<b>Tape 131, A</b>	]	
003		Calls meeting to order at 8:31 a.m. and opens the public hearing on SB 1220.
<u>SB 1220 -</u> PUBLIC		

009	Keith Putman	Administrator, reviews provisions of SB 1220.
013	Sen. Vern Duncan	Testifies in support of SB 1220:
		* foundation has been needed for a long time
		* because of history of Capitol Building, it is important
		* foundation would be under Legislative Administration; the Speaker and President would both be involved
029	Rep. Harper	Asks if there is a fiscal impact.
031	Sen. Duncan	Explains the foundation, if it should receive money, would be able to appropriate it; there is no state money involved.
		Issues discussed:
041		* responsibility for renovation and repair of Capitol Building
		* clarifying language on page 1 of the bill
057	Chair Montgomery	Closes the public hearing and opens the work session on SB 1220.
SESSION		MOTION: Moves SB 1220 to the floor with a DO PASS
059	Rep. Josi	
059	Rep. Josi	recommendation.
	Rep. Josi	recommendation.
	Rep. Josi	recommendation. VOTE: 4-0
	Rep. Josi	recommendation. VOTE: 4-0 AYE: In a roll call vote, all members present vote Aye.
	Chair	recommendation. VOTE: 4-0 AYE: In a roll call vote, all members present vote Aye. EXCUSED: 3 - Lehman, Lokan, Roberts
059	Chair	recommendation. VOTE: 4-0 AYE: In a roll call vote, all members present vote Aye. EXCUSED: 3 - Lehman, Lokan, Roberts The motion CARRIES.
	Chair Montgomery Chair	recommendation.VOTE: 4-0AYE: In a roll call vote, all members present vote Aye.EXCUSED: 3 - Lehman, Lokan, RobertsThe motion CARRIES.REP. JOSI will lead discussion on the floor.Closes the work session on SB 1220 and opens the public hearing on
070 <b>SB 867-A -</b> <u>PUBLIC</u>	Chair Montgomery Chair	recommendation.VOTE: 4-0AYE: In a roll call vote, all members present vote Aye.EXCUSED: 3 - Lehman, Lokan, RobertsThe motion CARRIES.REP. JOSI will lead discussion on the floor.Closes the work session on SB 1220 and opens the public hearing on
070 SB 867-A - PUBLIC HEARING	Chair Montgomery Chair Montgomery	recommendation.VOTE: 4-0AYE: In a roll call vote, all members present vote Aye.EXCUSED: 3 - Lehman, Lokan, RobertsThe motion CARRIES.REP. JOSI will lead discussion on the floor.Closes the work session on SB 1220 and opens the public hearing on SB 867-A.
070 <b>SB 867-A -</b> <b>PUBLIC</b> <b>HEARING</b> 072	Chair Montgomery Chair Montgomery Chair Montgomery Keith Putman Rep. Dennis	recommendation.   VOTE: 4-0   AYE: In a roll call vote, all members present vote Aye.   EXCUSED: 3 - Lehman, Lokan, Roberts   The motion CARRIES.   REP. JOSI will lead discussion on the floor.   Closes the work session on SB 1220 and opens the public hearing on SB 867-A.   Administrator, reviews provisions of SB 867-A.

094	John Whitty	Crook-Deschutes Educational Service District, comments he represents six districts in central Oregon and testifies in support of SB 867-A:
		* districts have been looking at self-insurance for the districts on a regional basis for about three years
		* districts have come to conclusion there is opportunity to save money and do a better job of providing insurance benefits for the employees in the region
		* cities, counties and special districts can self-insure; does not understand why school districts were left off the list
		* are anxious to self-insure because the six districts spend about \$13 million for insurance premiums for employees at about \$400 to \$450 per month per employee
		* believes the savings can be 40 percent of the premiums by self- insuring
		* believes they can do as good a job by using reinsurers and the other safeguards provided in SB 867-A as anyone can in administering an insurance program
		* has heard no opposition to the bill
		* technical changes by the Insurance Division have been made in the bill
		* some entities like the Oregon Education Association provide insurance benefits and have an interest in this not passing
		* many employees pay out-of-pocket for insurance each month and this would save some money
		Issues discussed:
140		* whether other self-insured groups have proven a cost savings
152	Laurie Wimmer	Oregon Education Association (OEA)/Oregon Association of Classified Employees, testifies in opposition to SB 867-A (EXHIBIT A).
		Issues discussed:
216		* whether the state would have a responsibility if a school district should get in trouble
222		* track experience from cities and counties
237		* whether there are national statistics on school district self-insurers
254	Rob Rayfield	Kipp & Company, an insurance broker, presents materials and testifies in support of SB 867-A (EXHIBIT B).
281		* bill is a way of freeing up some potential funds for education
		* would bring public schools in line with other public entities in Oregon
288		* bill outlines safeguards
		* self-funding is designed so that the public entities take a small self-

		insured retention on a per-employee and on an overall basis; primary portion of the risk is assumed by reinsurers
302		* references letters in packet from SAFECO on experience by schools in other states and other public entities
		Issues discussed:
309		* whether the schools could contract with anyone they want
		* whether small employers have difficulty self insuring
351		* how insurance premiums are set, and role of reinsurer
390		* administrative personnel
398		* risk to employees and taxpayers
<b>TAPE 132, A</b>		
002	Chris Dudley	Executive Director, Oregon School Boards Association, and executor for insurance trust programs, testifies as being neutral on SB 867-A:
		* association has sponsored a group health insurance program which districts can take advantage of for over 20 years; is insured coverage, not self-insured coverage
		* ninety-seven cents of very dollar paid in premium is paid out as some kind of benefit to employees; overall costs are about seven percent
		* with the changes made on the Senate side there are safeguards in SB 867-A
		* would be concerned if the premium volume is lowered
		* SB 867-A may put the association is good position because if school districts try this and get hurt, they still have the insured facility to back up to
		* if a school district should have a bad experience, the taxpayers are going to end up paying it over time if they stay self-insured because the amount that will be paid for the reinsurance will be actuarially based
030		* the association has a truly pooled situation; any district in the state pays the same amount
		Issues discussed:
034		* whether there are efforts nationwide for self-insurance by school districts
		* whether losses fluctuate
056		* number of employees to qualify
088	Peggy Anet	Health Insurance Association of America, testifies in opposition to SB 867-A (EXHIBIT C).
125	Anet	Continues presentation
179	Rep. Josi	Comments this just gives the districts the opportunity to look at self-insurance.

194	Rep. Lehman	from insurance agents.
209	Chair Montgomery	Closes the public hearing on SB 867-A and opens the public hearing on SB 139-A.
<u>SB 139-B -</u> <u>PUBLIC</u> HEARING		
218	Keith Putman	Administrator, reviews provisions of SB 139-B.
230	Cam Birnie	Transportation, Purchasing and Print Services Division, Department of Administrative Services (DAS), testifies in support of SB 139-B:
		* bill has been through Ways and Means; the fiscal impact is now zero
		* program will be done by limited duration positions and a wise use of the ending balance of the current biennium
		* have been alerted by several audits from Secretary of State's Audit Division and appearance before the Joint Legislative Audits Committee relating to personal services contracting process
251		* DAS and the Justice Department have a tail-end involvement in the process, but have no stake in the selection, only after the contract has been signed
268		* reviews SB 139-B section by section (EXHIBIT C, page 2)
287		* defines "personal service contract", and gives examples
300		* continues review section by section
		Issues discussed:
312		* unequal access to information because everyone is not on the internet
350		* whether language allows a contract without competitive bidding
380		* whether there will be bias toward vendors
415		* Section 10, Minority, Women & Emerging Small Businesses
TAPE 131, B		
018	Susan Townsend	Oregon Department of Justice, testifies in support of SB 139-B:
		* believes bill will result in better contracting practices, better contracts for state agencies, and better value for the state
023	Chair Montgomery	Closes the public hearing and opens the work session on SB 139-B.
<u>SB 139-B -</u>		
WORK SESSION		
<u>SESSION</u>		Laguag disawagad:
025		Issues discussed:
023		* whether contracts can be broken up to be less than the minimum
032	Rep. Lehman	MOTION: Moves SB 139-B to the floor with a DO PASS recommendation.

034		VOTE: 7-0
		AYE: In a roll call vote, all members present vote Aye.
	Chair Montgomery	The motion CARRIES.
		REP. WELLS will lead discussion on the floor.
036	Chair Montgomery	Closes the work session on SB 139-B and opens the public hearing on SB 974-A.
<u>SB 974-A -</u> WORK SESSION		
045	Keith Putman	Administrator, reviews provisions of SB 974-A.
071	Rep. Dennis Luke	Testifies in opposition to SB 974-A:
		* even general contractors are not licensed
		* should question what expertise the Construction Contractors Board has for licensing, and how the tests will be set up
082		* being able to pass a test and being able to pick out defects in a house are two different things
		Issues discussed:
085		* whether other states license inspectors
100	Carl Crump	Owner, Certified Inspections, submits statement and testifies in support of parts of SB 974-A (EXHIBIT E).
102	Chair Montgomery	Asks Mr. Crump by whom he is certified.
102	Crump	Responds he has eight certifications.
111	Crump	Reviews prepared statement (EXHIBIT E).
166	Don Crawford	National Association of Home Inspectors, the Oregon Real Estate Inspectors Association, and the Oregon Remodelers Association, testifies in support of SB 974-A:
		* it is a good bill and is something the real estate industry and the home inspection industry have finally been able to decide on something that is win-win for everyone, particularly the consumer
176		* bill will permit the Construction Contractors Board to create a program of competency testing and continuing education for inspectors
		Issues discussed:
183		* whether or not the home inspectors support the bill
187		* SB 974-A3 amendments

	Kafoury	SB 974-A3 amendments (EXHIBIT F).
220	Crawford	Explains that the -A3 amendments remove the \$500 repair limit by home inspectors, and that it is important to understand this is a home inspection licensure bill, not a pest control operator's licensure bill, etc. Adds that the inspection industry frowns upon anyone performing work on homes they inspect; it is a conflict of interest and goes against their code of ethics.
237	Dan Lubbers	Inspections Unlimited, testifies in opposition to SB 974-A (EXHIBIT G):
		* has been doing inspections for the last eight years and has been involved with registering and licensing home inspectors
		* the bill is licensing for the sake of licensingthe fee is not known, the guidelines for the tests are not knownit seems to be an emergency that has to be solved
		* inspection is a profession; those doing the bad jobs can and are being taken care of
265		* other kinds of protection that can be done under registration is needed and would still give the industry the ability to self-regulate
270		* does not believe the Construction Contractors Board can produce a test unless there is a building code for existing structures
		Issues discussed:
282		* unlicensed contractors/licensed inspectors
309		* definition of "home inspector"
323		* most lenders require a pest and dry rot and roof inspections; those have been cut out of the bill because they have powerful lobbyist
328		* training of witness
350	Genoa Ingram	Oregon Association of Realtors, testifies in support of SB 974-A:
		* association has spent approximately seven years working on this legislation
		* a number of organizations represent the home inspection profession
		* have not been able to get all factions to agree on what testing requirements ought to be
		* this is enabling legislation for the Construction Contractors Board to set up a licensing and pre-education program, and allows them to work with the industry to develop testing and minimum competency standards
		* work group was formed on the Senate side
		* National Home Inspectors Association does have a model test for building inspectors
		* did not feel it prudent to create a new board for the inspectors, but did expand the Construction Contractors Board to include one home

		inspector
		* people want to know the inspector has some sort of education and competency requirements that would allow them to make informed decisions
421		Issues discussed:
		* title insurance
436	Ingram	Adds that the bill provides for a surety bond which the inspectors do not have now.
442	Chair Montgomery	Asks how much it costs to be licensed as a contractor.
443	Ken Keudell	Administrator, Construction Contractors Board, submits prepared statement (EXHIBIT H) and explains requirements and costs to become a contractor.
<b>TAPE 132,</b>	B	
		Issues discussed:
025		* competency of contractor and inspection by building officials
045		* consumer expectation that registered contractor is competent
070		* whether this bill would have an impact on a contractor inspecting a property for purposes of giving a potential buyer a bid to fix it up
077	Terry Witt	Director, Oregonians for Food and Shelter, testifies in support of SB 974-A:
		* one member is member of the Oregon Pest Control Association
		* there are about 50 businesses throughout the state that deal with structural pest control work
085		* got involved in the issue in 1991 when the term "inspect" was added to the general definition
		* have worked with the Construction Contractors Board both legislatively and through the rules process to come to a fair and reasonable understanding as to where their people are with the Construction Contractors Board
		* there is no fear in working with Ken Keudell and the Construction Contractors Board
		* the general practice seemed to be that those who wanted to do home inspections went to the Department of Agriculture and obtained a license as a pest applicator or pest operator; the training does nothing in an inspection
118		* supports differentiation between a pest control operator license and a home inspector license
150	Chair Montgomery	Closes the public hearing on SB 974-A, announces that the bill will be rescheduled for tomorrow morning, and adjourns the meeting at 10:04 a.m.

Submitted By, Reviewed By,

Annetta Mullins, Keith Putman,

Administrative Support Administrator

## **EXHIBIT SUMMARY**

- A SB 867, prepared statement, Laurie Wimmer, 2 pp
- B SB 867, prepared materials, Bob Rayfield, 16 pp
- C SB 867, prepared statement, Peggy Anet, 2 pp
- D SB 139, prepared outline, Cameron Birnie, 2 pp
- E SB 974, prepared statement, Carl Crump, 1 p
- F SB 974, SB 974-A3 amendments, Don Crawford, 1 p
- G SB 974, prepared statement, Dan Lubbers
- H SB 974, prepared statement, Ken Keudell, 2 pp