HOUSE COMMITTEE ON GENERAL GOVERNMENT AND REGULATORY REFORM

> Hearing Room Tapes - 80

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Rep. Bob Tiernan, Chair

Rep. Mike Lehman, Vice-Chair

Rep. Bev Clarno

Rep. Jerry Grisham

Rep. Cedric Hayden

Rep. Bryan Johnston

Rep. Bill Markham

Rep. Lonnie Roberts

Rep. Barbara Ross

Rep. Charles Starr

Rep. Ken Strobeck Rep. Sharon Wylie

STAFF PRESENT:

Greg Moore, Committee Counsel Anne Tweedt, Committee Counsel

Annetta Mullins, Committee Assistant

MEASURES HEARD:

Government Efficiency

SB 625 - PUBLIC HEARING AND WORK SESSION

HB 3386 - PUBLIC HEARING AND WORK SESSION

HB 2187 - PUBLIC HEARING AND WORK SESSION HB 2188 - PUBLIC HEARING AND WORK SESSION

HB 2192 - PUBLIC HEARING AND WORK SESSION HB 2269 - WORK SESSION

These minutes contain materials which paraphrase and/or summarize statements made during this session. Only text enclosed in quotation marks

report a speaker's exact words. For complete contents of the proceedings, please refer to the tapes.

TAPE , A

CHAIR TIERNAN: Calls meeting to order at 1:40 p.m., outlines the agenda 008

for the meeting and opens the public hearing on Government Efficiency.

GOVERNMENT EFFICIENCY

TED KAYE, Oregon Historical Society: Submits copies of report, "A report on the State of Oregon's Heritage" and explains the functions of the Oregon

Heritage Task Force (EXHIBIT A).

The Oregon Heritage Task Force, chartered by the last legislature, has completed its work and has studied the state of history in Oregon. Four members of the legislature were on the task force. The charter was to ask how the state could get more bang for its history buck. The state puts a lot of resources into funding heritage agencies and programs. The Heritage

Task Force looked into how to help the state make its funding go the furthest. The task force found that without any central coordination of history and heritage services to the state, we would lose the opportunity for eliminating duplication between history agencies: the Historical Society, State Archives, State Historic Preservation Office, State Library.

We also lose opportunities for economies in scale in developing these programs and services.

One of the recommendations of the task force is the founding of a Heritage Commission with an umbrella of organizations like the Heritage Commission.

We would have the opportunity to have a central group reduce redundancy between heritage agencies and increase the opportunities to seize the economies of scale. Some are some added benefits that also have to do with

efficiency. The Heritage Commission will be able to integrate priority setting for heritage agencies in the state and acting as, perhaps, an extension of the legislature, be able to balance the funding needs of those

organizations.

A bill will be coming before the legislature on establishing this Heritage Commission and I would urge you to look upon it for the benefits it has for making government more efficient.

CHAIR TIERNAN: Are your publications free?

MR. KAYE: Yes, they are.

CHAIR TIERNAN CLOSES the public hearing on government efficiency and opens the public hearing on SB 625.

SB 625 - PUBLIC HEARING

Witnesses: Beth Heinrich, American Red Cross Frances Hernandez, Red Cross Volunteer

- 069 MS. TWEEDT: Explains the provisions of SB 625. The Preliminary Staff Measure Summary and Legislative Fiscal Analysis are hereby made a part of these minutes (EXHIBIT B).
- 086 BETH HEINRICH, Public Affairs Director with the Oregon Trail Chapter American Red Cross: Submits and reads a prepared statement (EXHIBIT C) and

submits a Fact Sheet (EXHIBIT D).

- 120 MS. HEINRICH: Continues her prepared statement.
- 152 REP. ROBERTS: What is level 2?
- MS. HEINRICH: A Level 2 would be the Klamath Basin earth quake and it is the cost of Red Cross relief services between \$10,000 and \$50,000. A Level
- 5 would be above \$1.5 million. The cost of the red cross services indicates the size and scope of the disaster.
- 176 REP. ROBERTS: Will this allow us to save not only lives, but moneys when we have people ready at hand to rush into a disaster area?
- 177 MS. HEINRICH: Yes.
- 183 REP. WYLIE: Would this also allow an agency or government entity to make a choice between a paid or an unpaid leave?
- $189\,$ $\,$ MS. HEINRICH: We are asking for paid leave. It would be up to the supervisor and employee.
- 204 REP. WYLIE: The bill says, "may grant leaves." So you are defining the leave as a paid leave.
- 206 MS. HEINRICH: Yes.
- 209 CHAIR TIERNAN: It is strictly optional.

MS. HEINRICH: Yes.

- 210 FRANCES HERNANDEZ, Red Cross Volunteer: Submits and reads a prepared statement in support of SB 625 (EXHIBIT E).
- 245 CHAIR TIERNAN: How many people like yourselves choose to do this?
- $\,$ MS. HERNANDEZ: We have a team of anywhere between 15 and 20 people in Salem. A Red Cross person has a pager 24 hours a day ready to assist Marion County residents.
- $255\,$ MS. HEINRICH: There are probably 15 or 20 public employees statewide. We have not asked people what their occupations are; we have just looked for their availability.
- 256 CHAIR TIERNAN: Does the bill apply only to state employees, or does it also apply to county and city employees?
- 257 MS. HEINRICH AND HERENANDEZ: It applies to all public employees.
- 261 CHAIR TIERNAN: What would you anticipate building your ranks up to?
- MS. HEINRICH: Other states have been on the average enrolling 30 to 50.

We would like to enroll as many as we possibly can and hope we never have to use them.

- $270\,$ CHAIR TIERNAN: What kind of disasters would you envision that could come within the realm of calling the public employees?
- 272 $\,$ MS. HERNANDEZ: It could be a hazardous waste material spill on I-5, breaking a gas main in downtown, or major disasters like an earthquake or a

flood.

- $276\,$ MS. HEINRICH: It could be any incident that would involve large populations of people who would need to be sheltered and fed.
- 290 REP. JOHNSTON: How many days are the average?
 - MS. HEINRICH: Fifteen days is the average.
- A letter from Sen. Stan Bunn to Chair Tiernan in support of SB 625 is hereby made a part of these minutes (EXHIBIT F).
- 299 $\,$ CHAIR TIERNAN: Closes the public hearing on SB 625 and opens the work session on SB 625.

Ruth Bendl, Taxpayer Speakout

Jennifer Robinson, Division of StateLands

Sharon Flemming-Barrett, Affiliated Rental Housing Association

- 300 MOTION: REP. JOHNSTON moves that SB 625 be sent to the Floor with a DO PASS RECOMMENDATION.
- VOTE: In a roll call vote, all members present vote AYE. REPS. CLARNO 302 AND HAYDEN ARE EXCUSED.
- CHAIR TIERNAN: Declares the motion PASSED. CHAIR TIERNAN will lead discussion on the Floor.
- CHAIR TIERNAN: Closes the work session on SB 625 and opens the public hearing on HB 3386.

HB 3386 - WORK SESSION

- 323 MS. TWEEDT: Explains the provisions of HB 3386. The Preliminary Staff Measure Summary and the HB 3386-1 and -2 amendments are hereby made a part of these minutes (EXHIBIT G).
- RUTH BENDL, Taxpayer Speakout: Submits and summarizes a prepared statement, and submits copies of a report on public property disposal
- JENNIFER ROBINSON, speaking for Gary Gustafson, Director, Division of State Lands: Submits and reads a prepared statement relating to Section 8 (EXHIBIT I).
- REP. ROBERTS: How long do you have to hold property of an intestate
- MS. ROBINSON, in response to a series of questions, replies: 434
 - > we hold the proceeds for 10 years in trust
- > Division of State Lands is the trustee, the administrator, of an estate under normal probate proceedings and complies with all the provisions of probate
 - > independent appraisers are used

 - > agency holds personal items for 10 years
 > anything of fair market value gets disposed of during the probate process
 - > agency makes every effort to locate heirs

.TAPE 79, A

- 002 MS. ROBINSON: Continues her statement
- REP. ROBERTS: The -2 amendments mention if it is more cost effective. How will you determine if contracting will be cheaper?
- MS. ROBINSON: We go out for bids on our auctions and in the past have received cost estimates from both the private sector and DAS. In the past we were only looking for the auctioneer, rather than package services. believe DAS will be cheaper.
- 038 CHAIR TIERNAN: On page 2, Section 4(c) says this bill does not apply to property controlled by ODOT? Why is that?
- MS. ROBINSON: I cannot speak to that.
- SHARON FLEMMING-BARRETT, Affiliated Rental Housing Association: We represent over 2000 mom and pop rental owners. Their concerns are broadening the base that carries the property tax burden in Oregon. We urge your support of HB 3386.
- REP. ROBERTS: Have you seen the amendments? 0.57
- MS. FLEMMING-BARRETT: No. Our association is behind the concept of divesting Oregon of surplus property and getting it back on the tax roles and available for development.
- CHAIR TIERNAN: Closes the public hearing on HB 3386, announces that the
- committee has not received a fiscal statement, and opens the work session on HB 3386.

HB 3386 - WORK SESSION

- 067 REP. MARKHAM: Comments that the bill should apply to all state agencies.
- REP. ROBERTS: What is meant by "terminal dispositions" on page 2, line 6 of the bill?
- REP. JOHNSTON: I think it means without recourse. A terminal disposition means you are surrendering all rights. One of the reasons, I think some of these are appropriate for exclusion is they are organization that have independent powers to do these things already.
- 093 REP. MARKHAM: I would think we would want every agency in state government to comply with it. I don't see Agriculture and there are probably others. I wouldn't care if it applied to counties and local governments, also.

- 108 CHAIR TIERNAN: Appoints Rep. Johnston and Rep. Markham to work on the bill and be ready to report on the bill in one week.
- REP. ROBERTS: I don't want to force them into an unfair position where they have to dissolve themselves of the property.
- 124 CHAIR TIERNAN: Closes the work session on HB 3386 and opens a public hearing on HB 2188.
- 132 HB 2188 PUBLIC HEARING

Witnesses: Karen Roach, Department of Administrative Services
Mari Anne Gest, Oregon Public Employees Union

The Preliminary Staff Measure Summary, a prepared statement by Karen Roach, page 4 of proposed amendments to SB 750, and copies of definitions of terms used in the bill are hereby made a part of these minutes (EXHIBIT J).

145 KAREN ROACH, Administrator, Human Resource Management Division, Department of Administrative Services: Testifies in support of HB 2188 (SEE EXHIBIT J) and explains the purpose of page 4 of the 705-2 proposed amendments. Submits copies of prepared testimony from the Department of Human Resources (EXHIBIT T) and the State Controller (EXHIBIT U) in support

of HB 2188.

else?

- 191 REP. ROSS: How many employees are we talking about?
- 192 $\,$ MS. ROACH: It probably covers a couple hundred employees in these type of positions.
- 195 REP. ROSS: Are they members of the bargaining unit?
- 195 MS. ROACH: Some are in management service erroneously.
- 198 CHAIR TIERNAN: Does this exclusion somewhat pattern the federal model.
- 200 MS. ROACH: It does. It is very close to those definitions.
- 200 CHAIR TIERNAN: Is this the standard used in other states including Washington, California and Idaho?
- 201 MS. ROACH: To my knowledge, yes.
- 204 REP. JOHNSTON: I understand SB 750 has the potential to come to the House and I also understand it contains this feature as well as many others. Do we worry about that?
- 210 CHAIR TIERNAN: I am not concerned about it. When we get down to the final crunch point we will make decisions on which ones we want to go and which ones we don't. They are not mutually exclusive. SB 750 is more inclusive.
- 218 MS. TWEEDT: Advises the committee that the proposed amendment spoken to by Ms. Roach is attached to her testimony (EXHIBIT J).
- 245 MARI ANNE GEST, Oregon Public Employees Union: OPEU is opposed to the bill.
 - > would like the committee to consider the span of control > this bill would reverse the efforts to reduce managers
 - > SB 1130 was passed to streamline government and shift decision-making to
- front line workers > believes it is important that this committee work with Sen. Derfler on his bills; would be easier for all to deal with one bill and one subject
- 281 REP. LEHMAN: What is the distinction of being in or out of the bargaining unit, is it solely for contract purposes or is there something
- 296 MS. GEST: Currently if there is a dispute who is in or out, who is considered management, it is taken care of by the Employment Relations Board. They have through years of case law developed a balancing test for who is in and who is out.
- 316 REP. LEHMAN: The role they play in the organization is not affected by this bill, is it?
- MS. GEST: In many agencies, decisions are being shifted to front line workers. As more and more of these teams work together affecting their jobs, the more they qualify to be outside the bargaining unit under this definition.
- 335 REP. ROSS: What would be the base line characteristics that would determine whether these 200 positions were appropriate to be in or out?
- 339 $\,$ MS. GEST: I don't know, other than reading the bill. It just says formulate decisions and carry them out.
- 346 REP. ROSS: In your view, how should the decisions be made about whether

these people are in or out of the bargaining unit?

- 347 MS. GEST: The current system, under the Employment Relations Board. I will get the information.
- 346 REP. ROBERTS: I believe we are talking about people who have the
- authority to hire and fire.

 357 MS. GEST: Those people who have the ability to hire and fire are already excluded from the bargaining unit.
- REP. ROBERTS: (In reference to page 3, lines 20-23) seems to have a double meaning. Does this come back to the team concept where people are making managerial decisions by team efforts?
- MS. GEST: I believe so.
- 373 REP. WYLIE: Over the years I have been involved in public employment issues. There has been conscientious efforts by managerial people of rewarding titles for longevity or because of having project or technical coordination duties. This seems to go backward. I also understand that most organizations that are trying to be more effective are trying to make every employee more responsible for the mission of the organization. What are we trying to solve with this bill that can't be addressed any other way.
- 420 MS. ROACH: This bill doesn't change their position title, working title or job duties; it just allows them to be excluded from the bargaining
- unit. The problem we are trying to solve is employees making program decision and committing funds and they are put in a conflicting situation that may negatively affect their bargaining unit. It also inhibits our ability to recruit people if they would be included in a bargaining unit.

TAPE 78. B

- REP. ROBERTS: My problem is we are preaching on streamlining government. We get into the team concept, empowerment and decision making and if everybody makes a decision, there won't be a bargaining unit.
- MS. ROACH: That is not what is intended here. We are talking about those higher level positions that are aligning management and representing their interest in situations that could impact the bargaining unit negatively. It could be a personnel technician trying to investigate a grievance for management.
- 0.38 REP. ROBERTS: Do any of these people have the authority to hire and fire?

MS. ROACH: No.

- REP. ROBERTS: Comments on bargaining units for truckers.
 - REP. TIERNAN: Responds to Rep. Roberts comments.
- CHAIR TIERNAN: Closes the public hearing and opens a work session on 077 HB 2188.

HB 2188 - WORK SESSION

- 083 CHAIR TIERNAN: Announces that the Legislative Fiscal Analysis has not been received.
- REP. STROBECK: Notifies the committee that he will request an amendment reflecting the language from SB 750.
- 087 REP. WYLIE: Requests staff research alternative language used in other states, including Washington and Idaho.
- MS. TWEEDT: The language proposed for SB 750 is a melding of federal definition and current Oregon law.
- CHAIR TIERNAN: Closes the work session on HB 2188 and opens the public hearing on HB 2192.

HB 2192 - PUBLIC HEARING

Jim McIntosh, Department of Administrative Services

The Preliminary Staff Measure Summary is hereby made a part of these minutes (EXHIBIT K).

- JIM McINTOSH, Assistant Administrator, Human Resource Management Division, Department of Administrative Services: Submits a prepared statement (EXHIBIT K) and testifies in support of HB 2192.
 - > ORS 240.215 requires DAS to adopt a classification plan > classifications are broad descriptions of levels of work
- > statute then requires state agencies, and gives them the authority and responsibility, to allocate individual positions to those broad classifications
 - > allocation of positions to the broad classification determines the salary

range assigned to the job.

- > subsequent to the allocation, DAS has a responsibility to post audit the allocation
- > DAS has operated on the basis that the statutory language which directs DAS to establish the classification system implicitly requires that we do

- it correctly and we have the authority to correct mistakes that may have been made by agencies in allocation of positions
- 158 REP. ROBERTS: Can't you do this without statutory change?
- 161 MR. MCINTOSH: We felt it was implied in the statutory language that exists. We had an arbitration decision that was confirmed by the Employment Relations Board which told us it is not implicit.
- 172 $\,$ ACTING CHAIR HAYDEN: Closes the public hearing on HB 2188 and opens the public hearing on HB 2187.
- 177 HB 2187 PUBLIC HEARING

Witnesses:

Don Underwood, Oregon Department of Forestry
John Radford, Department of Administrative Services
Cameron Birnie, Department of Administrative Services
Mike Freese, Acting State Printer
Karen Roach, Department of Administrative Services

Grover Simmons, Independent Employer Association and Pacific Printing

Imaging Association

- MS. TWEEDT: Reviews the Preliminary Staff Measure Summary (EXHIBIT L).
- 191 DON UNDERWOOD, Oregon Department of Forestry: Submits and reads a prepared statement (EXHIBIT M) in support of Sections 8, 9 and 10.
- REP. ROBERTS: What does "run in a businesslike manner" mean to you?
- 224 MR. UNDERWOOD: We operate like a business. We have competitive rates that are charged back to the rest of the organization and that is how I interpret this.
- 222 REP. HAYDEN: Can an agency get an outside bid and take the outside bid if ODOF is not competitive?
- 232 MR. UNDERWOOD: One of my shops is developing a slash tanker which will also be used in the fire program. One district got a competitive bid from Washington because they have a shop that builds equipment. Our shop in Salem and Medford bid against that project. The Salem shop was the low bid.
- 261 REP. JOHNSTON: Do you trust future legislature in saying you don't need

that much money and we are going to lower your budget?

- 270 MR. UNDERWOOD: Explains his previous employment in Montana and describes how the Oregon Department of Forestry's sinking fund has enabled them to maintain vehicles much more satisfactorily.
- PSTROBECK: Do you have facilities that you could, but do not rent?
- 319 $\,$ MR. UNDERWOOD: I think we rent to other agencies through interagency agreements, but I don't think there are other units.
- 347 JOHN RADFORD, Administrator, State Controller's Division: Introduces Cameron Birnie and Karen Roach and reads a portion of a prepared statement (EXHIBIT N, pages 1 and 2).
- 399 CAMERON BIRNIE, Administrator of Transportation, Purchasing and Print Services Division, Department of Administrative Services: Reviews pages 3,
- 4 and a portion of page 5 (EXHIBIT N).
- 448 REP MARKHAM: Can you give an example of a state agency that cannot keep

the money that comes into them?

TAPE 79, B

014 $\,$ MR. BIRNIE: Perhaps the most striking example is Mental Health. They have never had an account in which they can put rental fees, or a revolving

account in which they can put moneys and from which to draw the same moneys

when they need them for repair renovation. Instead the moneys have gone into program support. The facilities have withered year by year.

- 041 $\,$ MR. BIRNIE: Continues his statement explaining Sections 11-14 (EXHIBIT N, pages 4-5).
- 084 $\,$ MIKE FREESE, Acting State Printer: Submits and reads a prepared statement (EXHIBIT O).
- 120 MR. FREESE: Continues his statement.
- 157 CHAIR TIERNAN: This would give the option to contract out to other local jurisdictions. Is it your intent to pass on your full overhead when billing for your services, including transportation, employee load factor, etc.?
- MR. FREESE: That is actually figured into our rate structure. We base it on the previous biennium's history and a certain percentage of the total overhead is required based on the dollars spent on printing and some based on the jobs. We would have to extend that rate structure on a job by job

and

basis to those agencies?

- 180 REP. TIERNAN: Would you have any problem justifying your overhead if your bid was challenged?
- 180 MR. FREESE: I think I can justify that very well.
- 241 CAMERON BIRNIE: Reviews their statement explaining Section 15 (EXHIBIT N, page 5).
- 292 KAREN ROACH: Reviews statement explaining Section 16 (EXHIBIT N, page 6).
- 313 JOHN RADFORD: Explains Section 17, 18 (EXHIBIT N, pages 6 and 7).
- 360 CAMERON BIRNIE: Reviews Sections 19-22 (EXHIBIT N, page 9 and 10).

TAPE 80, A

- 011 JOHN RADFORD: Reviews the department's position on amending Section 18 (EXHIBIT N, page 7).
- 025 MR. RADFORD: Reviews Section 23 and 24 (EXHIBIT N, page 11).
- 057 MR. BIRNIE: There is a correction for the proposed amendments (EXHIBIT N, page 13). We are still looking at Section 19 and 20 and will be bringing in amendments to those sections.
- 060 GROVER SIMMONS, Independent Employer Association and Pacific Printing and Imaging Association: Testifies in opposition to HB 2187 in its present

form, but only to two and one-half lines: page 5, lines 28-30. That indicates in accordance with an intergovernmental agreement the State Printer may provide printing services, including duplication, to individual

public agencies and to agencies of the federal government. To us that means the state printing plant may accept jobs from any other public agency

- in Oregon without limitation.
 - > other bills would privatize the printing division
- $\,>\,$ HB 2187 would not only not allow the printing division to be privatized, it would expand the division dramatically
- > printers in small towns would be upset if they lost their local accounts to the public printing industry
- 121 REP. HAYDEN: What are you objecting to in those two lines?
- 123 $\,$ MR. SIMMONS: We object to the state accepting work from other public agencies; they cannot do that now.

Statements received but not presented are hereby made a part of these minutes: Oregon Parks and Recreation Department (EXHIBIT P); Oregon AFL-CIO (EXHIBIT Q).

138 CHAIR TIERNAN: Closes the public hearing on HB 2187 and opens the work session on HB 2269.

HB 2269 - WORK SESSION

162 MOTION: CHAIR TIERNAN moves that the rules be suspended to allow the committee to reconsider the committee's vote to re-refer HB 2269 to the Subcommittee

on Regulatory Reform.

- 164 VOTE: CHAIR TIERNAN, hearing no objection, declares the motion PASSED.
 REP. CLARNO IS EXCUSED.
- MOTION: CHAIR TIERNAN moves that the committee reconsider the vote by which HB 2269 was re-referred to the Subcommittee on Regulatory Reform.

167 MOTION: REP. WYLIE moves that the rules be suspended to reconsider HB 2269.

168 VOTE: CHAIR TIERNAN, hearing no objection to the motion, declares the motion ${\tt PASSED.} \quad {\tt REP.} \; {\tt CLARNO} \; {\tt IS} \; {\tt EXCUSED.}$

172 MOTION: REP. WYLIE moves that HB 2269, as amended, be sent to the Floor

with a DO PASS recommendation.

REP. WYLIE: Withdraws her motion.

185 REP. STROBECK: The company that did collect this tax will be notifying their current customers that this refund is available and they can apply to

the OEM to ask for the refund if they qualify for it.

194 REP. HAYDEN: I believe the explanation by Rep. Strobeck should be the committee's intent and I would like the utilities to understand that it is the committee's intent, and if it passes the Floor of the House,

legislative intent is that they will notify their clients of the potential refund.

201 MOTION: REP. WYLIE moves that HB 2269, as amended, be sent to the Floor

with a DO PASS recommendation.

205 VOTE: In a roll call vote, REPS. GRISHAM, HAYDEN, LEHMAN, MARKHAM, ROBERTS, ROSS, STARR, STROBECK AND WYLIE VOTE AYE. REPS. JOHNSTON AND TIERNAN VOTE NO. REP. CLARNO IS EXCUSED.

- 210 CHAIR TIERNAN: Declares the motion PASSED.
- 214 CHAIR TIERNAN: Closes the work session on HB 2269 and reopens the public

hearing on HB 2187.

HB 2187 - PUBLIC HEARING

Witnesses: Emil Graziani, Garten Foundation

- 223 EMIL GRAZIANI, Executive Director, Garten Foundation: Submits a prepared statement and testifies in opposition to HB 2187 (EXHIBIT R).
- 270 REP. JOHNSTON: If you were able to alter the costing that DAS is doing so it properly included all the costs, where do you think your company would fall—would you be at or below their costs?
- 276 $\,$ MR. GRAZIANI: We compete in a private sector with companies outside of Portland that do a lot of mail in Portland and we go toe to toe with them.

Sometimes we are lower and sometimes we are just a little higher. I believe from my experience in the last 10 years that we will beat the state

price if they include all their costs, not only their overhead, but their depreciation costs on equipment that seems to be expensed out and disappears.

- 284 CHAIR TIERNAN: I will ask DAS to respond to Mr. Graziani's questions and ask them to list all the expenses as compared to a private sector printer like, for instance, PrintRight.
- 295 REP. GRISHAM: Compliments Rep. Wiley on the Oregon Heritage Task Force Report (EXHIBIT A).

A statement submitted, but not presented, byJeb Bladine, News-Register Publishing Co., is hereby made a part of these minutes (EXHIBIT S).

315 $\,$ CHAIR TIERNAN: Closes the public hearing on HB 2187 and reopens the work session on HB 2269.

HB 2269 - WORK SESSION

318 GREG MOORE: Advises members that HB 2269 has a subsequent referral to State and School Finance because of the tax implications of the tax waiver.

Instead of being passed to the Floor it needs to be passed to State and School Finance in accordance with its prior referral.

- 330 MOTION: CHAIR TIERNAN moves that the rules be suspended for the purpose
- of reconsidering the vote by which HB 2269 was sent to the Floor.
- 331 VOTE: CHAIR TIERNAN, hearing no objection, declares the motion PASSED.

REP. CLARNO IS EXCUSED

334 $\,$ MOTION: CHAIR TIERNAN moves that the vote by which HB 2269 was sent to the Floor be reconsidered.

VOTE: CHAIR TIERNAN, hearing no objection to the motion, declares the

motion

PASSED. REP. CLARNO IS EXCUSED.

MOTION: CHAIR TIERNAN moves that HB 2269, as amended, BE REFERRED TO THE COMMITTEE ON STATE AND SCHOOL FINANCE IN ACCORDANCE WITH ITS PRIOR REFERRAL WITH A DO PASS RECOMMENDATION.

349 VOTE: In a roll call vote REPS. GRISHAM, LEHMAN, MARKHAM, ROBERTS, ROSS, STARR, STROBECK, AND WILEY VOTE AYE. REPS. JOHNSTON AND TIERNAN VOTE NO. REP. CLARNO IS EXCUSED.

CHAIR TIERNAN: Declares the meeting adjourned at 3:55 p.m.

Submitted by, Reviewed by, Reviewed by,

EXHIBIT SUMMARY:

```
A - Government Efficiency, report, "A Report on the State of Oregon's Heritage", by the Oregon Heritage Task Force, Ted Kaye, 32 pp
               SB 625, Preliminary Staff Measure Summary and Legislative Fiscal
Analysis, staff, 2 pp
C - SB 625, prepared statement, Beth Heinrich, 2 pp
D - SB 625, Red Cross fact sheet, Beth Heinrich,
               SB 625, prepared statement, Frances Hernandez, 1 p
SB 625, letter to Chair Tiernan, Sen. Stan Bunn, 2 pp
HB 3386, Preliminary Staff Measure Summary, HB 3386-1 and HB 3386-2
E -
amendments, staff, 4 pp
H - HB 3386, prepared statement and report on surplus public property, Ruth
 Bendl, 17 pp
Bendl, 17 pp

I - HB 3386, prepared statement, Jennifer Robinson, 1 p

J - HB 2188, Preliminary Staff Measure Summary, prepared statement from Department of Administrative Services, page 2 of SB 750-2 proposed amendments, and definitions, staff, 5 pp

K - HB 2192, Preliminary Staff Measure Summary, staff, 1 p

L - HB 2187, Preliminary Staff Measure Summary, staff 1 p

M - HB 2187, prepared statement, Don Underwood, 1 p
N -
                HB 2187, prepared statement, John Radford, Cameron Birnie, Karen Roach,
13 pp
                HB 2187, prepared statement, Mike Freese, 2 pp HB 2187, prepared statement, Robert L. Meinen
O -
P -
                HB 2187, prepared statement, Irv Fletcher, 1 p
HB 2187, prepared statement, Emil Graziani, 1 p
                HB 2187, prepared statement, Jeb Bladine, 1 p
                HB 2188, prepared statement, Karen Roach, 1 p
               HB 2188, prepared statement, John Radford, 1 p
HB 2192, prepared statement, Jim McIntosh, 1 p
```