HOUSE COMMITTEE ON

HUMAN RESOURCES AND EDUCATION

January 16, 1995 8:00 a.m. Hearing Room D Tapes 1-3

MEMBERS PRESENT: Rep. Dennis Luke, Chair Rep. Frank Shields, Chair Rep. Bill Fisher Rep. John Meek Rep. Patti Milne Rep. Barbara Ross Rep. Lynn Snodgrass Rep. Charles Starr Rep. Terry Thompson Rep. Sharon Wylie

STAFF PRESENT: Jan McComb, Administrator Tom Mann, Administrator Pamela Berger, Assistant Shelley Jones, Assistant

MEASURES HEARD: Committee Rules Adoption & Subcommittee Appointments Audit of Payment Systems for Community Programs HB 2077 Public Hearing and Work Session

These minutes contain materials which paraphrase and/or summarize statements made during this session. Only text enclosed in quotation marks

report a speaker's exact words. For complete contents of the proceedings, please refer to the tapes.

TAPE 1, SIDE A

010 CHAIR LUKE: Calls the meeting to order at 8:00 a.m.

015 Introduction of committee members.

026 CHAIR LUKE: Announces subcommittee members appointed to the Subcommittee on Human Resources are Representatives Starr, Wylie, Ross and Fisher. Members of the Subcommittee on Education are Representatives Milne, Thompson, Lehman, Snodgrass, Shields and Meek.

REVIEW AND ADOPT COMMITTEE RULES

047 $\,$ JAN MC COMB, ADMINISTRATOR: Submits and reviews the committee rules. (EXHIBIT A)

075 MEEK: Moves for adoption of the committee rules.

HEARING NO OBJECTIONS, THE COMMITTEE RULES ARE ADOPTED

096 CHAIR LUKE: Reviews the procedures for the committee. MC COMB explains

the role of the committee staff and introduces staff members.

100 TOM MANN, ADMINISTRATOR: Explains his role as co-administrator of the committee.

113 CHAIR LUKE: Comments that meetings will start on time and if not attending, please notify the staff to be excused.

INFORMATIONAL MEETING- Audit of Payment of Systems for Community Programs

138 BARRY KAST, MENTAL HEALTH & DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITIES SERVICES DIVISION & JEFF KUSHNER, OFFICE OF ALCOHOL & DRUG ABUSE PROGRAMS:

a review of the programs and discussed the recommendations developed from the $% \left({{{\boldsymbol{x}}_{i}}} \right)$ audit.

175~ KUSHNER: Explains the role of the Office of Alcohol & Drug Abuse Programs.

185 KAST: Explains the budget for the program.

195 SAM COCHRAN & JIM PITTS, AUDITS DIVISION, SECRETARY OF STATE: Present and discuss audit of the payment system for community programs for the OFFICE OF ALCOHOL & DRUG ABUSE PROGRAMS and the MENTAL HEALTH AND DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITIES SERVICES DIVISION. (EXHIBIT B)

254 CHAIR LUKE: Questions what triggers an audit. COCHRAN explains the AUDIT DIVISION process in determining review of the efficiencies and economies of programs and agencies.

300 COCHRAN: Reviews the audit findings 474 -Reviews cost of programs Present

TAPE 2. SIDE A

225

073 COCHRAN: In response to REP. SHIELD'S question regarding timely manner and what is considered timely manner within the scope of the audit process,

COCHRAN responds that the AUDIT DIVISION used the same criteria for case termination timeliness that existed within each of these programs which range from 30 days up to 90 days.

099 COCHRAN: Reviews recommendations from page 35 of the audit and reviews the major recommendations.

PITTS: Responds to CHAIR LUKE'S question regarding the coordination of and state money used in these programs. 120 federal and state

152 COCHRAN: Responds to REP. ROSS' questions on implementation and for implementation of the recommendations from the additional expenditures audit.

KAST: Comments on the programs and how the system operates including

PITTS: Responds to REP. STARR'S questions on duplication of services. 172

provided at the local level with County government contracted services contracted services provided at the local level with County government responsible for providing those services. 255 -Comments that the program has an internal audit system in place and does internal auditing of programs. 259 -Comments on the cost savings projections proposed in the audit recommendations. In several cases improvements were underway before the audit, but the audit will be used for better management of the programs. cases improvements were underway before the -Comments on potential savings figures in the audit, and clarifies where 284 the projected savings are within the programs. -Reviews vacancy rates within the programs and absentee rate at work 330 sites explaining that many cost of operating are fixed cost. -Explains carry over from programs within a fiscal year. 380 380 KAST: Responds to CHAIR LUKE'S questions regarding excessive carry over within program budgets. -Explains the goal within the department for better management and better monitoring -Explanation of the inter-governmental agreements with counties regarding intentions of counties in managing the carry over. carry over and the 425 KUSHNER: Reviews his written testimony submitted as (Exhibit F) TAPE 1, SIDE B 047 KUSHNER: Continues review of written testimony 073 -continues review of written testimony 116 REP. SHIELDS: Questions the implementation of various programs with 135 providers. Questions how to implement the sanctions suggested with the counties, 135 providers and state without causing tension among the participants in these programs. KUSHNER: Responds to REP. SHIELDS' questions regarding implementation of 149 sanctions in the programs and monitoring requirements to ensure the required paperwork is filed. The data is necessary to cor and fund programs. Most of the programs do submit the required necessary to continue to justify paperwork following the reporting requirements. KUSHNER does not think that implementation of the proposed sanctions will cause tension among the

183 KAST: States that the agency is moving toward full use of electronic media and computerization, with a full o current industry standards for mental health with a full on-line system based similar to the agencies.

199 REP. FISHER: Comments on treatment periods and questions KAST on the outlined in the audit. proposed cost savings

-Questions what the provider's cost might be in implementing the system. 243

KAST responds that as electronic monitoring occurs, the cost would be further reduced to the providers, but stated it is unclear what will impact

the cost savings.

2.63 HB 2077 PUBLIC HEARING

participants in the programs.

Witnesses: Jim Scheppke, State Librarian Tom Stove, Documents Interest Group of Oregon Frank Wallis, Oregon Department of Veterans' Affairs

Staff submitted Staff Measure Summary (EXHIBIT C) and Fiscal Impact Analysis (EXHIBIT D)

267 JIM SCHEPPKE, STATE LIBRARIAN: Submits and reviews written testimony in favor of

HB 2077. (Exhibit E)

325

-Continues review of written testimony -Responds to REP. MILNE'S question on Oregon On-line, stating it will be 400

brought on-line at no additional cost, since they are using current staff

and resources. 435 -Responds to REP. FISHER'S question regarding Section 6 and the designation of depository libraries, stating the administrative decision of the State Library Trustees. libraries, stating the selection will be an

473 $\,$ TOM STAVE, Head of the Documents & Microforms Department, UNIVERSITY OF OREGON LIBRARY and member of the DOCUMENTS INTEREST GROUP OF OREGON (DIGOr): Submits and reviews written testimony in favor of HB 2077. (EXHIBIT G)

TAPE 2, SIDE B

REP. MILNE: Questions what kinds of government information would be ble on Oregon On-line. SCHEPPKE responded that Oregon On-line is 005 available on Oregon designed to have descriptive information of agencies and a place where all agencies can have their reports on line.

will be available in the next few weeks. The Oregon On-line

025 REP. FISHER: Questions how the 35 depository libraries will be chosen. SCHEPPKE responds that the Oregon State Library Board will make that determination after public input.

TOM STAVE: Continues review of written testimony. 047

077 CHAIR LUKE: Reviews the draft bill by section with SCHEPPKE. SCHEPPKE question from CHAIR LUKE regarding the fee charged for OLIS responds to the

to the public and equal access. 121 CHAIR LUKE: Favors the bill and the cost savings, but would like Legislative Counsel to clarify equal access and ability to charge fee for copies.

129 REP. MEEK: Questioned Section 2 (4) which uses the term access to information as opposed to free access use described in Section 8. REP. MEEK would like to see both sections have a similarity in language.

SCHEPPKE: Responds to CHAIR LUKE'S questions regarding Section 2 (4) 152 and the use of information in electronic form through the depository libraries.

-Responds to CHAIR LUKE'S questions regarding core public documents and explained what core public documents are. 183 -Regarding Section 4 (3) and the wording "whose cost are paid from public funds" and stated this section would apply to all state agencies, regardless of whether the agency is general fund funded, fee based funded

or federally funded. -Responds to REP. MEEK'S question on Section 8 and the language in 239

235 Responds to REF. MEAN'S question on Section 6 and the language in Section 8 that states "free use by all persons" and the concern of whether this means free use of the material or free copies of the information.
291 —Responds to REF. SNODGRASS' question regarding Section 4, core public

documents and explained what a core public documents is.

FRANK WALLIS, DEPUTY DIRECTORY OF DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS' 322 AFFAIRS: Request additional time to work with State Librarian to clarify what is a public document and Section 4. Has questions regarding what the definition

address the department need for confidential means. Would like time to information and who has the final determination on what is a public document. Raised a concern that determination on what is a public document. Raised a concern that the bill is unclear in the definitions of what is a public document and core public documents. 370 -Request additional time to work with State Librarian. Wallis is requesting that someone other than the State Librarian determine what is a public document.

405 SCHEPPKE: Responds to questions regarding the proposed language in the draft bill.

REP. MEEK: Comments on the definition of public document and does not 425 have a problem with how the language is written now.

467 HB 2077 WORK SESSION

468 MC COMB: Reviews Section 1(5) and Section 4. Will discuss with Legislative Counsel the wording to clarify equal access and free use of.

TAPE 3. SIDE A

REP. MEEK and REP. FISHER discussed equal access wording in the draft 040 bill with

MC COMB and would like to clarify equal access versus ability to charge for

copies. -Section 5 (2) public documents liaison officer shall be the agency head 050

or their appointed representative -Section 8 and the use of the word free needs clarification 060

CHAIR LUKE: Adjourns meeting at 9:59 a.m. 077

Submitted by:

Reviewed by:

Shelley M. Jones Committee Assistant

Jan Mc Comb Committee Administrator EXHIBIT LOG:

- Committee Rules- Staff- 2 pages Audit of Payment of Systems For Community Programs- Cochran- 63 pages Staff Measure Summary HB 2077- Staff- 1 page Fiscal Impact Analysis HB 2077- Staff- 1 page Testimony from Jim Scheppke- Scheppke- 4 pages Testimony from Jeffrey N. Kushner- Kushner- 7 pages Testimony from Tom Stave- Stave- 3 pages A-B-C-D-E-F-G-