

HOUSE COMMITTEE ON  
HUMAN RESOURCES AND EDUCATION  
Human Resources Subcommittee

January 30, 1995            Hearing Room  
8:30 a.m.

Tapes 3-4

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Rep. Charles Starr, Chair  
Rep. Sharon Wylie, Vice-Chair  
Rep. Bill Fisher  
Rep. Barbara Ross  
Rep. Dennis Luke, Ex-Officio

ADDITIONAL MEMBER:        Rep. Terry Thompson

STAFF PRESENT:

Tom Mann, Administrator  
Shelley Jones, Assistant

MEASURES HEARD:

HB 2071 Public Hearing & Work Session  
HB 2024 Public Hearing & Work Session  
HB 2025 Public Hearing

These minutes contain materials which paraphrase and/or summarize  
statements made during this session. Only text enclosed in quotation marks

report a speaker's exact words. For complete contents of the proceedings,  
please refer to the tapes.

TAPE 3, SIDE A

005 CHAIR STARR: calls meeting together at 8:50 a.m.

007 HB 2071 PUBLIC HEARING

010 MANN: HB 2071 comes to the committee from the Interim Task Force on  
Alcohol and Drug Programs. Submits and reviews a preliminary SMS. (EXHIBIT

A).

015 REP. FISHER: States that he was the chairman of the Interim Task Force  
on Alcohol and Drug Programs. Introduces the bill to the committee.  
Secretary of State's office was asked to do an audit. They could not  
develop an effective evaluation process.

035 -There was little monitoring of the effectiveness of programs. The  
draft bill before the committee is to implement a system to measure  
effectiveness of alcohol and drug abuse treatment services and programs  
throughout the state.

045 -The Office of Alcohol and Drug Abuse Program, can implement a program  
called TOPS, which stands for Treatment Outcome Performance System and can  
be implement for an estimated \$180,000.

060 -Proposal was put together by a group of providers and the agency. It  
was the outcome of input from both, working together and Rep. Fisher  
recommends the committee review the proposed bill in order to make the  
treatment programs more effective.

069 WYLIE: Was there any discussion of having the Secretary of State's  
Audit Division hire an auditor on a contract basis rather than this  
proposal to review the programs.

070 FISHER: We estimated the cost to be \$250,000 for the independent  
auditor hired on a contract to provide services, as opposed to implementing

this program for about \$180,000.

085 -There is a problem with tracking participants in the programs.

095 WYLIE: Is there an agreement on the intent of the bill to define the  
parameters of what are the desirable standards for defining the outcomes

for the different kinds of programs.

102 FISHER: The committee that worked on this draft bill sent out a  
questioner to 195 providers. This is a good basis for the work done so far

and will help in defining the parameters that could be used to establish  
desirable outcomes.

125 LUKE: Is this in the Governor's budget?

128 FISHER: No this is not.

118 LUKE: So, if this committee approves, it will have to go to Ways &  
Means.

130 FISHER: That is correct.

135 JEFF KUSHNER, ASSISTANT DIRECTOR, OFFICE OF ALCOHOL AND DRUG ABUSE  
PROGRAMS: Submits and reviews written testimony in favor of HB 2071.

(EXHIBIT B).

-Have received recognition for evaluation efforts and pioneered the  
performance indicator evaluation process.

155 -Reviews additional audits and reviews required by county, state and  
federal programs that they receive additional funding for.

165 -TOPS survey and proposal for review process.

185 -Measures performance indicators for general adult, youth-specific and  
women-specific.

235 -Existing data bases to be studied.

250 -Longitudinal follow-up study for follow-up at 6 and 12 month intervals.

280 -TOPS proposal is not included in the Governor's budget.

290 ROSS: When the audit was presented to this committee, there was comment  
that some of the beds were not used or programs not at full capacity, could  
you comment on that.

300 KUSHNER: Clarifies that the audit discussed outpatient and actual  
residential bed programs. In the audit, it states that the outpatient  
treatment programs were utilized at 214%, which is well over capacity.  
There are about 4000 clients at any given point, waiting for treatment.

340 ROSS: If this evaluation proposal is funded, could you come back in two  
years and show which programs are effective and which are not effecting  
peoples long term behavior.

350 KUSHER: We could show you which are performing at a higher lever and  
which are performing at a lower level.  
-We should be able to tell you which clients do best in which program.

403 SHIELDS: Can you explain how you get past 100% utilization rates.

408 KUSHER: Gave an example of over utilization and how it is calculated.

440 REED RITCHEY, PRESIDENT OF ALCOHOL & DRUG ABUSE PROGRAM DIRECTORS  
ASSOCIATION: Speaks in favor of HB 2071. The implementation of a TOPS  
program will give a better way of measuring effectiveness of programs  
across the state.

477 -Will give a consistent basis for evaluating effectiveness.

480 -Urges support of HB 2071.

TAPE 4, SIDE A

050 HB 2071 WORK SESSION

055 MOTION: REP. LUKE: Moves HB 2071 to the full committee with a DO PASS  
recommendation and a subsequent referral to committee on Ways and Means.

088 VOTE: In a roll call vote, all members are present and vote AYE.

CHAIR STARR: The motion CARRIES.

CHAIR STARR: REP. FISHER will carry to the full committee.

095 HB 2024 PUBLIC HEARING

100 MANN: Submits and reviews preliminary SMS and Fiscal Impact. (EXHIBIT  
C)

115 DUANE ANDERSON, KAM DELANEY, BOARD OF EXAMINERS FOR SPEECH PATHOLOGY AND  
AUDIOLOGY: Reviews and submits written testimony in support of HB 2024.  
(EXHIBIT D)

125 ANDERSON: Board is proposing that professional development and  
continuing education be enacted.

133 LUKE: Line 15 of the draft bill adds requirements established by the  
Board. Is this for flexibility?

140 ANDERSON: It is for flexibility to have the Board develop requirements.

158 ROSS: Does the Board have some guidelines or what does the Board have  
in mind for continuing education.

162 ANDERSON: The Board will develop some guidelines or administrative  
rules for implementation of the educational requirements.

174 JOHN McCULLEY, PRESIDENT, PROFESSIONAL ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES, INC.,  
Representing THE OREGON SPEECH-LANGUAGE HEARING ASSOCIATION: Submits and  
reviews written testimony in favor of HB 2024.(EXHIBIT E)

232 HB 2025 PUBLIC HEARING

235 MANN: Submits and reviews preliminary SMS. (EXHIBIT F)

240 DUANE ANDERSON, KAM DELANEY, BOARD OF EXAMINERS FOR SPEECH PATHOLOGY AND  
AUDIOLOGY: Reviews and submits written testimony in support of HB 2025.  
(EXHIBIT G)

250 ANDERSON: Several provisions, the major change is to update the  
statutory language to represent the organization.

268 -Removing conflicting and confusing language in the current language.

300 -Prorating of license fee for people applying for license in between  
renewal periods.

320 -Conditional license after initial 9 month probation practice period.

335 LUKE: Questions when was the last time the organization changed it's  
name and will this bill included anyone who is not currently under the  
jurisdiction of the Board, come under the jurisdiction because of this  
draft bill language.

355 ANDERSON: The organization has never changed it's name and this bill  
will not require anyone not currently under the Boards jurisdiction to be  
under their jurisdiction.

375 LUKE: Directs staff to check with Legislative Counsel to make sure the  
is true, that this will not require anyone not currently under their  
jurisdiction to be under their jurisdiction.

385 -Setting standards for their own industry.

397 -Speech language pathologist definition says "including but not limited  
to" and might bring

people under their jurisdiction that the legislature did not intend to  
include.

425 -Page 3, line 25, licensing statement might not allow people come into  
the state and get licensed.

TAPE 3, SIDE B

039 ROSS: Clarifies how a person might need to come to the state and  
practice for a very short period of time, and agrees that needs to be taken  
into consideration.

050 LUKE: Page 6, line 6, and asks if it would be more beneficial to have  
the draft bill state " a national testing service, approved by the Board",  
instead of specifically naming the testing association. Suggest use of a  
generic name for testing service.

070 -page 26, line 21, "shall" replaced with the word "may", would like to  
know why.

075 DELANEY: Responds to why it has been changed and will be used to grant  
license to equivalent practitioners who have similar training or

certification from another state.

090 JOHN McCULLEY, PRESIDENT, PROFESSIONAL ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES, INC.  
Representing THE OREGON SPEECH-LANGUAGE HEARING ASSOCIATION. Submits and  
reviews testimony in favor of HB 2025. (EXHIBIT H)  
110 -Page 1, concerning practice audiology, lines 29 & 30, he believes  
requires dual licensing under ORS Chapter 694.

140 JOHN GERVAIS, OREGON HEARING SOCIETY: Submits and reviews written  
testimony in opposition to new language in HB 2025. (EXHIBIT I)  
150 -Concerns that audiologist would be excluded from having to register,  
under ORS 694.  
165 -Changes from an advisory council and move enforcement to their new  
Board.  
- Concerns that the bill is creating new enforcement and testing activity  
in the newly named Oregon State Board of Examiners for speech-language  
pathology and audiology.  
190 -Page 1, lines 29 & 30 needs to be very clear in the wording of the law  
itself, what is meant by the legislative body.  
223 -federal government is making changes to the licensing requirements.

225 SCOTT AUSTIN, OREGON HEARING SOCIETY: Introduces himself and is here to  
answer questions for the committee.

235 LUKE: Ask for legal interpretation from LC on the dual licensing issue.

255 FISHER: Ask for clarification of what is the Oregon Hearing Society.

258 AUSTIN: Gives a brief explanation of who the membership of the Oregon  
Hearing Society is.

271 -Advisory council receives complaints. The Oregon Hearing Society works  
very closely with the advisory council to resolve complaints to adjudicate  
the situation.

328 HB 2071 WORK SESSION

CHAIR STARR: Re-opens work session on HB 2071 to allow Rep. Fisher to vote  
on HB 2071.

345 VOTE: Fisher votes aye on HB 2071.

CHAIR STARR: REP. FISHER to carry to full committee.

390 HB 2024 WORK SESSION

400 MOTION: REP. ROSS: Moves HB 2024 to the full committee with a DO PASS  
recommendation and a subsequent referral to committee on Ways and Means.

410 VOTE: In a roll call vote, all members are present and vote AYE.

CHAIR STARR: The motion CARRIES.

CHAIR STARR: REP. ROSS to carry to the full committee.

421 LUKE: Questions the fiscal impact and if not an increase, will not have  
to go to Ways & Means. Ask staff to research impact.

466 STARR: Staff will get an answer before full committee hears the bill.

480 CHAIR STARR: Adjourns meeting at 10:15 a.m.

Submitted by:

Reviewed by:

Shelley M. Jones  
Committee Assistant

Tom Mann  
Committee Administrator

EXHIBIT LOG:

A- HB 2071, preliminary SMS- Staff- 1 p

B- HB 2071, Testimony - Kushner- 5 p  
C- HB 2024, preliminary SMS/Fiscal- Staff- 3 p  
D- HB 2024, Testimony - Anderson- 1 p  
E- HB 2024, Testimony - McCulley- 1 p  
F- HB 2025, preliminary SMS/Fiscal- Staff- 2 p  
G- HB 2025, Testimony - Anderson- 1 p  
H- HB 2025, Testimony - McCulley- 1 p  
I- HB 2025, Testimony - Gervais- 5 p