HOUSE JUDICIARY

SUBCOMMITTEE ON CIVIL LAW AND JUDICIAL ADMINISTRATION

March 2, 1995 Hearing Room 357 8:30 am Tapes 32 - 33

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Rep. Del Parks, Chair

Rep. Bryan Johnston, Vice-Chair

Rep. Kate Brown

Rep. Chuck Carpenter

Rep. Lisa Naito

Rep. Eileen Qutub

MEMBER EXCUSED:

Rep. Bob Tiernan

STAE F PRESENT:

Milt Jones, Committee Counsel Sarah May, Committee Assistant

MEASURES HEARD:

HB 2784 - Public Hearing

SB 45 - Public Hearing and Work Session

SB 69 - Public Hearing and Work Session

These minutes contain materials which paraphrase and/or summarize statements made during this session. Only text enclosed in quotation marks report a speaker's exact words. For complete contents of the proceedings, please refer to the tapes.

TAPE 32, SIDE A

003 REP. JOHNSTON: Calls the meeting to order at  $8:40~\mbox{am}$ .

PUBLIC HEARING ON SB 45

(SB 45 exempts from public meetings law hearings reviews by Employment Appeals Board)

Witness: Renee Bryant, Employment Appeals Board

012 RENEE BRYANT, EMPLOYMENT APPEALS BOARD: Test) fies and submits written testimony in support of SB 45 (EXHIBIT A)  $\sim$  ,

035 REP. NAITO: Other than for your own ease of administration, why do these deliberations have to be done in private? HOUSE COMMITTEE ON JUDICIARY

Subcomnlittee On Civil Law And Judicial Administration

March 2, 1995 - Page 2

 $\,$  038  $\,$  BRYANT: In one year, we will issue close to 3,000 decisions. We can not talk to one another

about these decisions. Explains that the credibility of the parties is often an issue as well.

PUBLIC HEARING SB 69

(SB 69 allows judgment creditor to obtain order requiring judgment debtor to appear in any circuit court or district court in county in which judgment debtor resides or is employed, and in which copy of original judgment or lien record abstract has been)

Witness: Mark Comstock, Oregon State Bar

058 MARK COMSTOCK, OREGON STATE BAR DEBTOR/CREDITOR SECTION: Testifies and submits written testimony in support of SB 69. (EXHIBIT B)

104 REP. JOHNSTON: Could you explain what the Debtor / Creditor examination

- 105 COMSTOCK: Explains judgment/ debtor examination.
- 121 REP. JOHNSTON: Gives example of a person not paying for car. What steps would you take to try and collect the money a person owes?
- 127 COMSTOCK: I would send out a bunch of writs of garnishment and see if the person has any bank accounts.
- 129 REP. JOHNSTON: What if the person doesn't have a "real" job?
- 130 COMSTOCK: I would make demand that the person pay the judgment. If they didn't pay within ten days then I would seek an order from the court requiring the person to appear and be sworn under oath to answer questions about any assets they might have.
- 136 REP. JOHNSTON: If the person lived in Marion county, you would go down to the county where you won the judgment and tell them to make the person come in. You could then ask that person questions about bank accounts, etc. But if the person lived in Bend, your not sure if you can get them in court?
- 141 COMSTOCK: Correct. The court of the original jurisdiction (Marion county), would be seeking to have someone who lives out of the county come in and answer question in

Marion county over

the judgment.

- 147 REP. JOHNSTON: Our judge in Marion county doesn't have power over a person from Bend?
  - 148 COMSTOCK: Correct. Explains options and what would then happen.
- $\,$  162  $\,$  REP. JOHNSTON: If we amend this bill, we could record a judgment and then ask a Deschutes

judge to follow out the judgment?

These nlinutes corKain materials which paraphrase and/or summarize statements made during this session. Only text enclosed in quotation rnalks report a speaker's exact words. For complete contents of the proceedings please refer to the tapes,

HOUSE COMMITTEE ON JUDICIARY

Subcommittee On Civil Law And Judicial Administr~tion

March 2,1995 - Page 3

 $\,$  167 COMSTOCK: Yes. That would return it to the pre 1987 status, when we did have the power to

order them into the alternative county courtrooms.

- 174 REP. JOHNSTON: Do you have to Sle a lien now in both circuit and district court?
- 179 COMSTOCK: Yes, we can enforce it in either court. If it is originally a district court judgment,

 $% \left( 1\right) =\left( 1\right) +\left( 1\right) +\left($ 

against real property, or it can be recorded in any other county to become a lien against real

 $\,\,$  properties in other counties. The effect of a district court judgment is not a lien on real

 $\,$  property originally. The district court judgment could serve as the basis of a judgment / debtor

examination in the original county, but not in any other county in the state.

- 194 REP. JOHNSTON: Unless we change this?
- 195 COMSTOCK: Yes.
- 198 REP. JOHNSTON: Do you know of any opposition to SB 69?

Recesses at 8:55 am while waiting for other members. Reopens hearing at 9:00 am.

## PUBLIC HEARING ON HB 2784

212 MILT JONES, COMMITTEE COUNSEL: HB 2784 vests exclusive authority to regulate sale, acquisition, transfer, ownership, possession, transportation and use of firearms in Legislative Assembly.

Witnesses: Rep. Bill Markham, District 46

Sen. Randy Miller, District 13

Sen. Bill Dwyer, District 21

Rep. Cedric Hayden, District 28

Rep. Bill Fisher, District 45

Rep. Lonnie Roberts, District 21

Sen. Rod Johnson, District 23

Jackie Bloom, City of Portland

Tami Jones, Portland Police Department

Mark Murauski, Portland Police Department

Don Faulkner, Portland Police Department

Bob Roberts, Portland Police Department

Mark Callier, City of Salem Police

Sarah Hackett, League of Oregon Cities

Kevin Starret, Gun Owners of America

John Nichols, Oregon Gun Owners

Rod Harder, Oregon Sportsmen Defense Fund, National Rifle

## Association

Larry Campbell, Oregon Association of Chiefs of Police

These rninutes contain materials which paraphrase and/or summaoze statements made during this session. Only text enclosed in quotation marks report a speaker s exact words. For complete contents of the proceedings please refer to the tapes.

HOUSE COMMIITEE ON JUDICIARY

Subcommittee On Civil Law And Judicial Adrninistration

March 2, 1995 - Page 4

219 REP. BILL MAR=AM, DISTRICT 46: Test) fies in support of HB 2784.

375 SEN. RANDY MILLER, DISTRICT 13: Test) fies in support of HB 2784.

391 SEN. BILL DVVYER, DISTRICT 21: Test) fies in support of HB 2784.

## TAPE 33, SIDE A

- 033 REP. CEDRIC HAYDEN, DISTRICT 28: Test) fies in support of HB 2784.
- 040 REP. BILL FISHER, DISTRICT 45: Test) fies in support of HB 2784.
- 054 REP. LONNIE ROBERTS, DISTRICT 21: Test) fies in support of HB 2784.
- 062 SEN. ROD JOHNSON, DISTRICT 23: Test) fies in support of HB 2784.
- 094 REP. BROWN: This relates to HB 3500 in terms of the civil rights issue?
- 097 SEN. JOHNSON: The intent of the people behind HB 3500 was state wide control vs. patch

work control.

 $103\,$  REP. BROWN: How do we address the citizens concerns in the city of Portland about the gun

control issue?

 $\,$  110  $\,$  SEN. JOHNSON: Does the control of guns effect the level of crime? If you assume that it does,

that is one opinion. I believe that the level of crime isn't because of the availability of guns, it is

a result of the propensity of people to use guns or violence to achieve what they want. It is not

guns that kill people, but people that kill people.

126 REP. BROWN: Do you agree that by having fewer firearms available, at least in the city of

Portland, that it would more likely decrease the amount of gun violence? SEN. JOHNSON: No. If we can take out every gun from Multnomah county.

133 SEN. JOHNSON: No. If we can take out every gun from Multnomah county, and pass a law

from ever returning a gun to Multnomah county, then yes there would be

fewer people killed.

That isn't possible, explains.

- $\,$  154  $\,$  REP. MARKHAM: You could decrease the number of guns, but you wouldn't clean up the gun
  - problem because the criminals probably don't have any legal weapons anyway.
- $\,$  161  $\,$  REP. JOHNSTON: Are there any regulations that a city can place on weapons that would meet

your test?

- $\,$  167  $\,$  REP. MARKHAM: In publicly owned places, they are allowed to set regulation.
- \$172\$ REP. JOHNSTON: I agree with desire not to place unfounded mandates on cities and counties.

These rninutes conta~n materials which paraphrase and/or surnrnarlze statements made during this session. Only text enclosed in quotation marks report a speaker's exact words. For complete contents of the proceedings, please refer to the tapes.

HOUSE COMMITTEE ON JUDICIARY

Subcommittee On Civil Law And Judicial Administration

March 2, 1995 - Pnge 5

182 REP. MARKHAM: I would like to take that ability away from them in Portland because that was part of the agreement when we passed the gun bill in 1993.

189 SEN. JOHNSON: I support the government mandates prohibition. But the thing that makes this different is what Rep. Markham told you. There was an agreement that said there wouldn't be a charge for the permits because of the fear that it would and could be raised so that people may not be able to eventually afford permits.

202 REP. MARKHAM: In Oregon and across the country they have the power as to restrict the right to bear arms as it relates to discharge and loaded carry.

206 REP. JOHNSTON: Is there any fee they can charge?

208 REP. MARKHAM: There is a fee now.

 $\,$  209  $\,$  REP. JOHNSTON: For a concealed weapons permit, we charge \$15. Does that seem offensive

to the 1989 deal?

 $\,$  212  $\,$  REP. MARKHAM: I thought that a fee was part of the cost to cover the cost of background

checks.

 $\,$  215  $\,$  SEN. JOHNSON: The key is to have some sort of limit to keep it from being an indirect way

of prohibiting people from taking advantage.

- 216 REP. MARKHAM: It is kind of restrictive in a way, explains.
- $\,$  233  $\,$  JACKIE BLOOM, CITY OF PORTLAND: Introduces people from the city of Portland police

department.

- 264 SERGEANT TAMI JONES, PORTLAND POLICE DEPARTMENT: Test) fies in opposition to HB 2784.
- 302 OFFICER MARK MURAUSKI, PORTLAND POLICE DEPARTMENT: Test) fies in opposition to HB 2784.
- 347 DETECTIVE DON FAULKNER, PORTLAND POLICE DEPARTMENT: Test) fies in opposition to HB 2784.
- 371 OFFICER BOB ROBERTS, PORTLAND POLICE DEPARTMENT: Test) fies in opposition to HB 2784.
- 402 CHAIR PARKS: Does this ordinance just specify things that it effects?
  405 JONES: There are things of high volume that are readily available and

413 CHAIR PARKS: Outboard motors have serial numbers, does this effect them?

These mmutes contam materials which paraphrase and/or summarlze statements made during this session. Only text enclosed in quotation marks report a speaker's exact words. For complete contents of the proceedings, please refer to the tapes.

HOUSE COMMITTEE ON JUDICIARY

Subcommittee On Civll Law And Judicial Administration

March 2, 1995 - Page 6

417 JONES: No. Regulated property are part of that, gives examples. Within the city of Portland,

there are only  $\sin x$  pawn shops. Most of the people we are dealing with are second hand dealers

that buy and sell second hand property. The volume of stolen property that was going through the

shops is inordinate. This code was to allow us to be able to put a hold on that piece of property

and try to figure out why a person it selling a piece of stolen property. We can then give that

information to the detectives.

443 CHAIR PARKS: When someone sells a gun

445 JONES: That is when it comes into effect. The city code is regulating a business.

449 CHAIR PARKS: But then the dealer will sell it to someone.

450 JONES: After the 15 day holding period.

TAPE 32, SIDE B

012 CHAIR PARKS: What if the gun gets into the wrong hands?

014 JONES: It is in the wrong hands if a stolen gun is sold.

015 CHAIR PARKS: If a person wants to buy from a dealer, they have to go through the procedure and be checked.

017 MURAUSKI: Only if they have a federal firearms license.

018 CHAIR PARKS: I'm talking about a second hand dealer who isn't buying the gun for his own collection, but to resell. If they then sell it, does that person that is going to buy it have to go through the procedure of checking out their background?

021 ROBERTS: Only if the person has a federal firearms license.

022 CHAIR PARKS: The second had stores buy the guns to resell.

025 MURAUSKI: Unless they have a federal firearms license, they do not have to do any paperwork. Who regulates how that ammunition is stored and kept? Discusses zoning issues that deal with firearms.

044 REP. BROWN: Would you be supportive of a measure like this if we were to regulate sales of guns from pawn shops and gun shows?

048 JONES: If what we had in city code, was regulated into state law and second hand dealers and pawn shops had to do what we asked them to do, then it would not impact us because there would be a regulation. That state wide regulation is what we are after.

054 MURAUSKI: I would be in favor of that. We deal with federal firearms dealers all the time. Most of the federal firearms license dealers would be in favor of that situation too.

These minutes contain materials which paraphrase and/or summarize statements made during this session. Only text enclosed in quotation marks report a speaker's exact words. For complete contents of the proceedings, please refer to the tapes.

HOUSE COMMITTEE ON JUDICIARY

Subcommittee On Civil Law And Judicial Administration

March 2, 1995 - Page 7

 $\,$  060  $\,$  REP. JOHNSTON: Would it be of any interest or beneficial value if we changed HB 2784 to be

able to continue regulating all sales except individual to individual? MURAUSKI: I can buy 35 guns for \$100 and sell them at the gun show

without a federal

firearms license and get more money for them without doing any paperwork.

- 085 MARK CAILLER' CITY OF SALEM POLICE: Test) fies in support of HB 2784 but concerned about parts of bill.
- 119 REP. QUTUB: Is it lawful to hunt in the city of Salem?
- $120\,$  CAILLER: No because of the discharge of a firearm inside of the city limits is unlawful.
  - 126 SARAH HACKETT, LEAGUE OF OREGON CITIES: Test) fies and submits written testimony in opposition to HB 2784. (EXHIBIT C)
- $\,$  163  $\,$  REP. BROWN: Questions section 34 of bill. Currently the uniform trial court rules prohibit

 $$\operatorname{\textsc{bringing}}$  firearms into the Multnomah county court house. Would this bill prohibit the uniform

trial court rules from prohibiting loaded or unloaded firearms in a public

building?

- $\,$  172  $\,$  MILT JONES COMMITTEE COUNSEL: That is already covered by state statute explains.
  - 178 KEVIN STARRET, GUN OWNERS OF AMERICA: Test) fies in support of HB 2784.

254 JOHN NICHOLS, OREGON GUN OVVNERS INS.: Test) fies and submits proposed amendments in support of HB 2784. (EXHIBIT D)

290 REP. JOHNSTON: Can you explain your rational on the pawn shop issue?

 $\,$  292  $\,$  NICHOLS: There is a statute on the sale of used weapons explains process.

304 MILT JONES COMMITTEE COUNSEL: I understand that pawn shops are regulated by state law but I m wondering about other second hand sales of firearms? Second hand shops are under city code and pawnshops are state? There is a legislation for pawnshops but not second hand stores?

315 JONES: There are about 150 second hand shops within the city of Portland. Both pawn shops and second hand dealers are subject to regulation under city code 1437. Pawn shops are also covered under city code 726 which is the pawn shop ordinance.

325 NICHOLS: The state statutes regulate that anyone that engages in business of selling used

 $\,$  firearms has to do the reporting requirement. The Oregon law on firearm dealers keys in

 $\bar{\ }$  with the federal statute on firearms dealers which requires anyone who buy or sells under

state and federal law to get a firearms license.

 $\,$  JONES: City code 1437 gives us an opportunity to hold the property for 15 days.

, .

These ronaltes contain materials which paraphrase and/or ~mmanze statements made during this session. Only text enclosed in quotation marks report a speaker's exact words. For complete contents of the proceedings, please refer to the tapes.

HOUSE COMMITTEE ON JUDICIARY

Subcomn1ittee On Civil Law And Judicial Administration March 2, 1995 - Page 8

ROD HARDER, OREGON SPORTSMEN DEFENSE FUND, NATIONAL RIFLE ASSOCIATION: Test) fies and submits written testimony in support of HB 2784.

(EXHIBIT E)

TAPE 33, SIDE B

011 LARRY CAMPBELL, OREGON ASSOCIATION OF CHIEFS OF POLICE: Test) fies on HB 2784. We would like to see some state uniformity.

WORK SESSION ON SB 45

030 CHAIR PARKS: Why are we proposing to add another exception to the general rule?

038 REP. JOHNSTON: Employee appeals board functions, in effect, as a judicial capacity, explains. We are extending to them the same thing that we have already extended to worker's compensation. They thought that they should be and were exempt, I agree.

 $\,$  048  $\,$  CHAIR PARKS: Does this apply to the evaluation of individual cases rather than policy matters?

051 REP. JOHNSTON: I don't know.

058 RENEE BRYANT, EMPLOYMENT APPEALS BOARD: We are trying to be able to deliberate about the context of cases that would include the facts, credibility, and evidence. It would include policy in terms of the application of policy. The policy is made by the Employment Department.

068 CHAIR PARKS: Is this a consideration of individual cases?

069 BRYANT: Yes, explains. We are three persons board therefore, two people constitute a quorum, explains process.

077 MOTION: REP. JOHNSTON: Moves SB 45 TO THE FULL COMMITTEE with a DO PASS recommendation.

VOTE: 6-0 MOTION PASSES

AYE: Brown, Carpenter, Johnston, Naito, Qutub, Parks

NO: None

EXCUSED: Tiernan

WORK SESSION ON SB 69

089 MOTION: REP. JOHNSTON: Moves SB 69 TO THE FULL COMMITTEE with a DO PASS recommendation.

~;

These minutes corKam materials which paraphrase ar~/or sumrnarlze sta :rnents made during this session. Onb text enclosed m quotauon marks report a speaker's exact words. For complete contents of the proceedings, please refer to the tapes.

HOUSE COMMITTEE ON JUDICIARY

Subconmittee On Civil Law And Judicial Administration

March 2,1995 - Page 9

VOTE: 6-0 MOTION PASSES

AYE: Brown, Carpenter, Johnston, Naito, Qutub, Parks

NO: None

EXCUSED: Tiernan

097 CHAIR PARKS: Adjourns the hearing at 10:17 am.

Submitted by, Reviewed by,
Sarah May Debra Johns
Committee Assistant Committee Coordinator

## EXHIBIT SUMMARY:

- A. Testimony on SB 45 Renee Bryant 3 pages
- B. Testimony on SB 69 Mark Comstock 3 pages
- C. Testimony on HB 2784 Sarah Hackett 2 pages
- D. Proposed Amendments to HB 2784 John Nichols -1 page
- E. Testhnony on HB 2784 Ron Harder 1 page

These minutes cordain materials which paraphrase and/or summarize statements made during this session. O.Jy text enclosed in quoOtion marks report a speaker's exact words. For complete contents of the proceedings, please refer to the tapes.