HOUSE JUDICIARY SUBCOMMITTEE ON CRIME AND CORRECTIONS Hearing Room Tapes - 32 MEMBERS PRESENT: Rep. Veral Tarno, Chair Rep. Floyd Prozanski, Vice-Chair Rep. Peter Courtney Rep. Jerry Grisham Rep. Leslie Lewis STAFF PRESENT: Holly Robinson, Committee Counsel Janet Ellingsworth, Committee Assistant MEASURES HEARD: Public hearing on HB 2524 Public hearing on HB 2596 Work session on HB 2079 Work session on HB 2164 These minutes contain materials which paraphrase and/or summarize statements made during this session. Only text enclosed in quotation marks report a speaker's exact words. For complete contents of the proceedings, please refer to the tapes. TAPE , A CHAIR TARNO: Convenes meeting at 8:34 A.M. 004 OPENS the WORK SESSION on HB 2164 008 ROBINSON: Overview of HB 2164. Submits [EXHIBIT A]. CHAIR TARNO: Does the committee have any concerns about the $\mbox{-}1$ 021 amendments to HB 2164 [EXHIBIT A]? 030 MOTION: REP. PROZANSKI: Moves to adopt -1 amendments. 035 CHAIR TARNO: Hearing no objection, the motion CARRIES. 040 MOTION: REP. PROZANSKI: Moves HB 2164 as amended to the full committee with a do pass recommendation. ROBINSON: I'm not clear at this point as to whether or not this will 042 go to the Floor or if it came with a subsequent referral to Ways and Means. 046 CHAIR TARNO: Hearing no objections the motion OPENS the PUBLIC HEARING on HB 2596 050 JOHN R. GREISEN, PORTLAND POLICE BUREAU: Testimony in favor of HB 2596. Submits [EXHIBIT B]. JAMES GAEBEL, PORTLAND POLICE BUREAU: Testimony in favor of HB 2596. 097 105 BILL MEADOWS, ANODIZING INC.: Testimony in favor of HB 2596. 111 REP. PROZANSKI: The theft laws would cover any loss that you have. 121 MEADOWS: I can gives you an example of the theft laws in action that I was involved in. 133 REP. PROZANSKI: For your particular situation, if someone comes on your property, you can receive coverage from the police? 135 MEADOWS: Yes. REP. PROZANSKI: The problem we are having is tracing the material to 138 an individual who has unlawfully obtained it. 140 GREISEN: Gives example of theft occurrence. 161 READ RICHARDSON, SENIOR BUYER, SCHNITZER STEEL: Testimony in favor of HB 2596.

- 195 CHAIR TARNO: What I think your trying to do is to create a deterrent.
- 209 GREISEN: That's exactly what we are trying to do.
- 210 REP. LEWIS: Discusses [EXHIBIT B].
- 218 GREISEN: We discussed with the scrap yards, why we put specific metals
- in there and not limit it.
- 222 RICHARDSON: Explains non-ferrous metals.
- 233 REP. LEWIS: With titanium and molybdenum being very expensive metals, why didn't you choose not to list them here?
- 236 GREISEN: Most police officer's would have no concept of molybdenum or titanium, they will understand copper, aluminum, and brass.
- 244 $\,$ RICHARDSON: We could come up with a list of non-ferrous scrap metal in the area.
- 247 CHAIR TARNO: You've got stainless steel down on line 22, why didn't we
- say non magnetic stainless steel?
- 250 RICHARDSON: We could have. From a non-ferrous point of view, the only type of stainless steel we deal with is non magnetic.
- 261 GREISEN: Those were just examples.
- 270 REP. GRISHAM: What impact would this have on legitimate small businessmen, as far as record keeping? Could you give me an example of what type of citation will be issued?
- 274 GAEBEL: Explains citations issued, and impact to business owners.
- 309 GREISEN: Part of the people we discussed this with, were independent scrapers, they also agree with this law.
- 313 REP. PROZANSKI: Asks how metals are disposed of.
- 322 $\mbox{RICHARDSON:}$ We demand complete identification and get a hold of the Portland police department.
- 327 REP. PROZANSKI: I see a loop hole, an individual can say "I've had this property for more than a year".
- 343 GREISEN: In our enforcement, we don't look at backyard material. Were
- looking for 500 mcm copper wire.
- 374 REP. PROZANSKI: I understand this group is made up of Portland area individuals, has there been any discussion with other people as to putting this together?
- $\ensuremath{\texttt{380}}$ GREISEN: We have been in contact with law enforcement through out the state.
- 390 $\ \mbox{GAEBLE:}$ We work Portland, but we have dealt with the Oregon State Police.
- CLOSES the PUBLIC HEARING on HB 2596 OPENS the WORK SESSION on HB 2596 $\,$
- 423 REP. PROZANSKI: I just want to make sure we have things covered.
- 425 CHAIR TARNO: I do have a problem with this non magnetic stainless steel thing.
- 428 REP. PROZANSKI: I would suggest we put in there that non-ferrous is non magnetic.
- 430 ROBINSON: Let's make the amendments first and then move the bill. If you want to put in some language that non-ferrous is the same as non magnetic for those of us that don't understand.
- 438 RICHARDSON: There are a few metals which are classified as non-ferrous
- that are magnetic.
- TAPE 32, A
- 030 MOTION: REP PROZANSKI: Moves HB 2596 to pass recommendation.
- 035

CHAIR TARNO: Hearing no objections the motion CARRIES.

CLOSES the WORK SESSION on HB 2596 $\,$

OPENS the PUBLIC HEARING on HB 2524 046 REPRESENTATIVE GEORGE EIGHMEY, DISTRICT 14: Testimony on HB 2524. Submits [EXHIBITS D.E &F]. 131 BOB OLSON, OREGON STATE BAR: Testimony in favor of HB 2524. REES JOHNSON, ESTATE PLANNING SECTION, OREGON STATE BAR: Testimony in 140 favor of HB 2524. 180 CHAIR TARNO: The repealing of section ORS 97.141, what does that do? 182 _JOHNSON: ORS 97.141 is a statute similar to ORS 97.130, but it deals with the authorization of cemetery authorities. 189 REP. EIGHMEY: My proposed bill will resolve those differences which have existed for years between those two statutes. 212 REP. PROZANSKI: If we do have this put on the books, the other statutes that exist that are in conflict by repealing only the ORS 97.141, will that take care of all the conflicts? 220 REP. EIGHMEY: Yes, it will be packaged in this one statute. REP. GRISHAM: Asks about the ranking of personal representatives. 222 228 REP. EIGHMEY: Discusses ranking system. 238 REP. GRISHAM: Does anything in the bill change the business relationships of the cemetery authority? REP. EIGHMEY: Gives example. 244 CHAIR TARNO: Are you all in agreement in regards to the -1 amendments? 275 282 REP EIGHMEY. Yes 290 DAVID NOBLE, GENERAL MANAGER, LINCOLN MEMORIAL PARK and FUNERAL HOME, PORTLAND: Testimony on HB 2524. Submits [EXHIBIT G]. NOBLE: Discusses financial responsibility. 350 420 NOBLE: Discusses problems with the practical application of this bill. TAPE 31, B 030 NOBLE: I don't see anywhere in this bill where it mentions who is going to enforce this statute, or what the penalty will be for the violation. 066 NOBLE: Discusses financial concerns. 120 CHAIR TARNO: If someone comes to you and they are dying, they know they are dying, and they give you a written instrument, telling you how they are to be handled, are you bound by that? 126 NOBLE: Gives example. CHAIR TARNO: You have a contract with a person who has died, you have 136 130 CHAIR TARNO: Too have a concract with a person who has dice, 3 or 4 members of the family who want to deviate from that contract, I would assume you would carry out the agreement of that contract. 157 REP. PROZANSKI: If I heard you correctly, your company is standing in the position of breaching what ever contract has been set, in writing, that you have agreed to with this individual. This is not something that comes up. In my experience, in 162 NOBLE: twenty years I have probably had one family or two, that chose to go against someone's pre arranged, pre paid funeral arrangements. 170 REP. PROZANSKI: You say this has only happened once, was that an upgrade? NOBLE: I can't recall. 173 184 CHAIR TARNO: You have mentioned your concerns, is it possible for you to reduce that to writing in a way of possible amendments and submit those to our committee? 189 NOBLE: I'll be glad to work with Representative Eighmey. REP. PROZANSKI: Based on the testimony I've just heard, I'm asking 197 Representative Eighney to include in the amendments, "if a director violates the written wishes of the decedent, that you will or your company will be held liable for that". 204 NOBLE: Gives example.

212 REP. GRISHAM: Supports Representative Prozanski.

NOBLE: We strongly believe that people should have input, and that the family should talk about it, they should agree and make their arrangements. ROBINSON: Asks about the written instrument instructions. Is there a 238 reason why, in section 1 of the bill, this cannot be done in a will? 247 REP. EIGHMEY: I have addressed that in the -1 amendments. 252 ROBINSON: Is there a requirement that the forms be followed specifically? 254 REP. EIGHMEY: No, it's a "may". There's no reason you must have a specific form. 291 CHAIR TARNO: May I suggest that you furnish the members of the committee with a copy of that Washington language. 293 REP. EIGHMEY: Absolutely. REP. PROZANKSI: Could you give us the citation? 300 REP. EIGHMEY: The citation of the Washington revised statute is 302 68.50.160. CLOSES the WORK SESSION on HB 2524 OPENS the WORK SESSION on HB 2079 323 ROBINSON: Gives brief overview of HB 2079. WENDY JO PARIS, COUNCEL STATE CEMETERY BOARD, ATTORNEY GENERALS OFFICE: 351 These amendments were at my suggestion. ROBINSON: Do you mean the amendments to the statute, not the bill? 360 PARIS: Yes. 361 363 ROBINSON: The new language that is contained in HB 2079, I believe was done at Ms. Paris recommendations. 370 REP. PROZANSKI: Under section 3, that's the language that has been included for proposal of an amendment that would give the mortuary board the authority over an individual who is not already under their control and jurisdiction, is that correct? 383 PARIS: Gives explanation of concerns. 410 REP. LEWIS: When you say that they would be disposing of the body in are you also including "according to any administrative rules adopted by this board"? PARIS: There are administrative rules that do further define the 415 handling of human remains. REP. LEWIS: If a person wanted to use mummification, and the board had 419 adopted rules that this was an improper disposition, then your saying this would allow the board to step in and prevent that from being done? 430 PARIS: The technical answer to that is yes. TAPE 32, B PARIS: Explains the boards concerns. 030 031 REP. PROZANSKI: The only thing that came up is whether or not were going to give an agency the right to interfere with a family or individual's wishes that are lawful, but may not be accepted within the general society's beliefs. 040 PARIS: I don't believe the intent was to have the board get involved with making decisions about what are accepted or not accepted religious practices. 060 REP. PROZANSKI: I'm not ready to move it. CHAIR TARNO: Are you comfortable with the language we have so far? 062 063 REP. PROZANSKI: Discusses concerns. CHAIR TARNO: With nothing further from the committee, the meeting is 066 adjourned at 10:05 A.M. Submitted by, Reviewed by,

Janet Ellingsworth

Debra Johnson

226

EXHIBIT SUMMARY:

- A Proposed amendments to HB 2164 Staff 1 page
- B Testimony on HB 2596 Greisen 2 pages
- C Testimony on HB 2596 Kafoury 1 page
- D Testimony on HB 2524 Eighmey 1 page
- E Proposed amendments to HB 2524 Eighmey 1 page
- F Letter HB 2524 Eighmey 1 page
- G Testimony on HB 2524 Noble 3 pages