HOUSE JUDICIARY SUBCOMMITTEE ON CRIME AND CORRECTIONS

> Hearing Room Tapes - 42

MEMBERS PRESENT: Rep. Veral Tarno, Chair Rep. Floyd Prozanski, Vice-Chair Rep. Peter Courtney Rep. Jerry Grisham

Rep. Leslie Lewis

STAFF PRESENT: Holly Robinson, Committee Counsel Janet Ellingsworth, Committee Assistant

MEASURES HEARD: Public Hearing and Work Session on HB 2806 Public Hearing on HB 2807 Public Hearing on HB 2809 Public Hearing on HB 2811 Public Hearing on HB 2813

These minutes contain materials which paraphrase and/or summarize statements made during this session. Only text enclosed in quotation marks

report a speaker's exact words. For complete contents of the proceedings, please refer to the tapes.

TAPE , A

004 CHAIR TARNO: Convenes meeting at 8:40 A.M.

OPENS the PUBLIC HEARING on HB 2806

006 REP. PROZANSKI: Testimony on HB 2806. [EXHIBIT A].

024 DOUG HARCLEROAD, DISTRICT ATTORNEY for LANE COUNTY: Testimony on HB 2806.

037 CHAIR TARNO: I agree with you.

039 REP. PROZANSKI: I believe we have some testimony in opposition to HB 2806.

044 HARCLEROAD: Perhaps I should comment on Mr. Arneson's proposed change to this bill. Refers to [EXHIBIT A].

060 JIM ARNESON, OREGON CRIMINAL DEFENSE LAWYER'S ASSOCIATION: Testimony on

HB 2806. [EXHIBIT A]

093 $\,$ CHAIR TARNO: One of the reasons I see the need for not revealing the home phone number and an address of a victim is in cases of stalking.

106 $\,$ REP. LEWIS: Would a person accused of burglary know the name of the person they are burglarizing?

111 $\,$ ARNESON: No they would not generally know the name. I'm not talking in favor of giving the address of the victims.

CLOSES the PUBLIC HEARING on HB 2806 OPENS the WORK SESSION on HB 2806

139 REP. PROZANSKI: One of the reasons I introduced this legislation is seeing the reaction of victims when we tell them they have to seek an order

from the court to keep that information excluded from an individual.

155 REP. COURTNEY: I'm looking at the summary of the bill. It requires that the address and phone number of the victim not be given to a defendant. The word "may", am I misreading something here?

168 REP. PROZANSKI: I believe the appropriate word should be "shall".

 $172 \quad \mbox{REP. COURTNEY:} \ \ \mbox{When I got disciplined as a child my father would say "you may not Peter, do this".$

177 ROBINSON: There is such a difference between "mays" and "shalls", but because of the "except by order", it modifies it. 183 CHAIR TARNO: What line are we talking about?

185 REP. PROZANSKI: Line 6. I would have no objection to that modification.

184 REP. LEWIS: I would feel more comfortable if we put "shall" in there.

I do not think we should make any attempt to look at just person crimes.

196 CHAIR TARNO: I will entertain a motion to substitute the language on line 6 from "may" to "shall".

 199
 MOTION: REP. LEWIS: Moves to substitute the word "may" to "shall".

 201
 CHAIR TARNO: Hearing no objections the motion CARRIES.

All members are present. 203 MOTION: REP. PROZANSKI: Moves HB 2806 as amended to the full

committee with do pass recommendation.

206 CHAIR TARNO: Hearing no objections from the committee, the motion CARRIES. All members are present.

CLOSES the WORK SESSION on HB 2806 OPENS the PUBLIC HEARING on HB 2807

212 REP. PROZANSKI: Gives brief overview of HB 2807.

276 DOUG HARCELROAD, LANE COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY: Testimony on HB 2807.

287 REP. LEWIS: If a person can petition once a year, do they pay the filing fee every time?

296 HARCLEROAD: Yes, it is a new petition.

300 REP. LEWIS: So they are already paying filing fees except in the case where they do ultimately have these rights granted back to them, and then the respondent has to pay?

 $302\ \ \textsc{HARCLEROAD}$. Theoretically yes. I'm not aware of multiple filings in our jurisdiction.

307 ROBINSON: How many of these petitions actually get filed?

312 REP. PROZANSKI: I tried to get a number state wide and was unable to do so. The State Court Administrator's office does not keep records on this, it would be done on county to county basis.

319 CHAIR TARNO: I would be surprised if it were less than 1 percent statewide.

324 GREG TERHUNE: Testimony on HB 2807.

343 $\,$ CHAIR TARNO: Have you had a chance to look at HB 2807? I think we're talking about those individuals convicted of crimes.

347 TERHUNE: I listened to one item mentioned about a gentleman that twenty years ago had a conviction with marijuana.

CLOSES the PUBLIC HEARING on HB 2807 OPENS the WORK SESSION on HB 2807

377 REP. LEWIS: This is not something where a person who is convicted of a felony can just go to the sheriff and ask for a permit.

385 $\,$ REP. PROZANSKI: This requires that a person who's been convicted, after serving their time on their probated sentence could then petition the

court each and every year if you are an adult.

415 CHAIR TARNO: Discusses expungment.

420 REP. LEWIS: This is not a bill that deals with a law abiding citizen who wants to go and get a concealed weapons permit, this is strictly for those person's convicted of crimes?

423 CHAIR TARNO: That is correct.

426 MOTION: REP PROZANSKI: Moves HB 2807 to the full committee with a do pass recommendation.

430

CHAIR TARNO: Hearing no objections the motion CARRIES. All members are present.

CLOSES the WORK SESSION on HB 2807 OPEN the PUBLIC HEARING on HB 2809 $\,$

030 REP. PROZANSKI: Gives brief overview of HB 2809. Submits [EXHIBIT B]. 040 JIM ARNESON, OREGON CRIMINAL DEFENSE LAWYERS ASSOCIATION: Testimony on HB 2809. [EXHIBIT C]. We believe it would provide some added protection to provide a record of that transmission. 047 CHAIR TARNO: How long would you want those recordings to be on file? 049 ARNESON: I would think that if a true bill is not returned that they would be distroyed in a reasonable amount of time. 051 CHAIR TARNO: Or erased? 0.5.3 ARNESON: Erased, yes. If one was returned, then I think it should be kept at least through trial 055 REP. PROZANSKI: It may be easier to have all the witnesses come up at the same time. 069 DOUG HARCLEROAD, DISTRICT ATTORNEY for LANE COUNTY: Testimony on HB 2809. CHRIS MATHIESON, CHIEF OF POLICE, FLORENCE OREGON: Testimony on 102 HB 2809. 120 LIEUTENANT BILL JOHNSON, PATROL SERVICES, OREGON STATE POLICE: Testimony on HB 2809. 126 REP. PROZANSKI: Discusses [EXHIBIT B]. 134 LIEUTENANT MIKE RENECKE, STATE POLICE, FLORENCE: Testimony on HB 2809. Submits [EXHIBIT E]. REP. COURTNEY: Can I assume from reading the bill that this applies 155 statewide? 157 RENECKE: Yes, it would. REP. PROZANSKI: It would apply statewide if another county or agency 160 wanted to use that procedure. 166 REP. COURTNEY: Florence is in Lane county? 169 REP. PROZANSKI: Yes. 172 REP. COURTNEY: Your witnesses were in Baker city, even though the grand jury was to convene in Lane county, does it work there to? 178 REP. PROZANSKI: Yes, if you have the technology. 180 REP. COURTNEY: I'm reminded of a public hearing in front of the Public Safety committee by the head of the trial division of the Department of Justice, about post conviction relief cases filed by inmates in our different prisons. 188 REP. PROZANSKI: Discusses the relating clause as to grand jury proceedings. I would ask Mr. Harcleroad to elaborate as to the amendments that have been proposed by Mr. Arneson. CLEROAD: Mr. Arneson's bill would require the recording of the Currently grand jury is not recorded. It is extremely 190 HARCLEROAD: testimony. Currently grand jur expensive to record grand jury. 2.32 CHAIR TARNO: Is any portion of grand jury subjected to discovery? HARCLEROAD: Generally, no. There are some provisions that allow for a 236 court reporter to be in the grand jury if it is requested on the motion of the district attorney. 240 PROZANSKI: Mr. Harcleroad, do you want to address the -1 amendments. [EXHIBIT B]. 246 HARCLEROAD: I'm not opposed to that amendment because it's limited to police officers and that one particular crime. CLOSES the PUBLIC HEARING on HB 2809 OPENS the WORK SESSION on HB 2809 266 CHAIR TARNO: Rep. Prozanski, will you address your -1 amendments? 272 REP. PROZANSKI: Discusses the HB 2809 -1 amendment. [EXHIBIT B] REP. LEWIS: Do we have any information that this is the most common 306 thing in other jurisdictions? 312 REP. PROZANSKI: No, we do not. I can tell you what happens in one of these proceedings.

330 $\ \mbox{CHAIR TARNO:}$ Who would authorize an affidavit in place of physical presence?}

341 REP. PROZANSKI: The grand jury can require anyone to appear to appear in front of them. I invasion that the district attorney's office would make the determination as to whether or not they wanted to present the testimony of a peace officer by affidavit. 348 CHAIR TARNO: (Inaudible) REP. PROZANSKI: This would be number 4, on page 1. I would submit 353 that it would start up after line 19. CHAIR TARNO: As I read this sentence in section 4 of the bill, it 363 makes it mandatory. REP. PROZANSKI: I would say it's permissible. It's just a means for it 368 to be accomplished. 380-CHAIR TARNO: Counsel, are you satisfied that this could slide in here as a new 4? 385 $\,$ ROBINSON: I am, when you look at the way subsection 1 is written, it sets forth the options of doing this. REP. LEWIS: I'm comfortable with this amendment. 389 397 REP. PROZANSKI: The problem when you say "unable to appear" for what purpose, we are then going to have to go into defining the appearance. 410 MOTION: REP. PROZANSKI: Moves -1 amendments to HB 2809 417 ROBINSON: Do we need additional amendments to include the testimony by affidavit? CHAIR TARNO: Section 2, on line 31? 428 434 REP. PROZANSKI: In looking at that and the current law under sub paragraph 3, it does not require that. ROBINSON: You have nothing else with this affidavit. 437 REP. PROZANSKI: It should be noted that on line 31, where it has the 4 445 within parentheses, would then become number 5. 448 REP. LEWIS: Up above on line 5 where it says subsection 2 to 4, would also become a 5. REP. PROZANSKI: That is correct. 451 453 CHAIR TARNO: Were talking about the -1 amendments and other conceptual amendments as well. 456 ROBINSON: If the committee understands them there is no reason we can't do it conceptually. 460 REP. COURTNEY: By the time it reaches full committee, we may do some more changes. 462 ROBINSON: We can conform the section numbers. 467 CHAIR TARNO: Hearing no objections the motion present. TAPE 41, B REP. PROZANSKI: I assume that based on the conceptual amendments, that 035 will take in Mr. Arenson's line 3, page 2 insertion? 042 REP. LEWIS: On page 2, line 3, does the language "file the report" cover the situation of giving an affidavit? 047 ROBINSON: No. (Inaudible) 049 REP. PROZANSKI: The only one were looking at that is being included in the -1 amendments with the other conceptual, was the second portion of the suggested line 3, page 2 of Mr. Arneson's proposed amendments. MOTION: REP. PROZANSKI: Moves HB 2809 as amended 0.54 to with a do pass recommendation. 060 CHAIR TARNO: Hearing no objections the motion CAR present. CLOSES the WORK SESSION on HB 2809 OPENS the PUBLIC HEARING on HB 2811 0.62 REP. PROZANSKI: Gives brief overview of HB 2811.

081 DOUG HARCLEROAD, DISTRICT ATTORNEY, LANE COUNTY : Testimony on HB 2811.

099 CHAIR TARNO: If I were to park my car at one of the parks and came

back and the hood or trunk was popped up by use of a tire iron, would this apply? 101 HARCLEROAD: The trunk, yes. That is entry into a sealed part of a vehicle. It's a harder question as I think about the hood, but my reaction is ves. 110 REP. PROZANSKI: On line 5 it does say "any part of a motor vehicle". 113 ROBINSON: What if you opened the cap on the gas tank and stole gas? HARCLEROAD: I would tell our lawyers not to file that. I would charge 119 them with theft of the gas. 123 REP. GRISHAM: Does it make any since to go through the excercise of passing new laws that aren't going to do anything about removing criminals from the streets? 130 HARCLEROAD: It does not make much since to do it for Portland, but we have a whole State of Oregon and in some places the laws are enforced a little bit better. REP. COURTNEY: Your talking about a motor vehicle, right? Any part of 145 a motor vehicle? REP. PROZANSKI: Yes. This is actually lifted from the Texas statutes. 155 162 REP. COURTNEY: We are talking human body's then? REP. PROZANSKI: That is right. 164 167 REP. LEWIS: Should we say a person's body? CLOSES the PUBLIC HEARING on HB 2811 OPENS the WORK SESSION on HB 2811 MOTION: REP. PROZANSKI: Moves to full 182 committee with a do pass recommendation. CHAIR TARNO: Hearing no objections the 190 motion CARRIES. CLOSES the WORK SESSION on HB 2811 OPENS the PUBLIC HEARING on HB 2813 REP PROZANSKI: Overview of HB 2813 196 DOUG HARCLEROAD, DISTRICT ATTORNEY, LANE COUNTY: Testimony on 202 HB 2813. 244 REP. COURTNEY: Are you sure the language here does not undo the very thing your trying to do? HARCLEROAD: I was sure until you asked the question. 246 250 REP. COURTNEY: A deputy district attorney is "authorized" by the district attorney, the word "authorize" is a dangerous word. 257 HARCLEROAD: I think an oral authorization is sufficient. 266 CHAIR TARNO: Suppose your gone and your deputy in charge tries to go to the magistrate and the judge says "I don't see anything in writing that gives you authorization to come to me for a wire tap"? 274 HARCLEROAD: The statue does not require written authorization. REP. LEWIS: Do you think you need the authorization language in there, 281 or could it say the district attorney or the deputy district attorney? 283 HARCLEROAD: You don't need the authorization language in there. What this does is it keeps more control over it. CLOSES the PUBLIC HEARING on HB 2813 OPENS the WORK SESSION on HB 2813 303 MOTION: REP. PROZANSKI: Moves HB 2813 to pass recommendation. 306 CHAIR TARNO: Hearing no objection the motion CARRIES. All members are present. 308 CHAIR TARNO: With nothing further from the committee the meeting is adjourned at 10:00 A.M.

Submitted by, Reviewed by,

Janet Ellingsworth Debra Johnson Committee Assistant Committee Coordinator

EXHIBIT SUMMARY:

A - Amendment to HB 2806 - Areneson - 1 page

B - Amendment to HB 2809 - Prozanski - 1 page

C - Amendment to HB 2809 - Arenson - 1 page

- D Testimony to HB 2809 Hackett 1 page
- E Testimony to HB 2809 Reinecke 2 pages
- F Testimony to HB 2731 Johnson 3 pages