

HOUSE COMMITTEE ON
LEGISLATIVE RULES

March 16, 1995 Hearing Room 50
4:00 p.m. Tapes 24-

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Rep. Ray Baum, Chair
Rep. Lee Beyer, Vice-Chair
Rep. Tony Corcoran
Rep. Peter Courtney
Rep. Lynn Lundquist
Rep. Bill Markham
Rep. Patti Milne
Rep. Lonnie Roberts

Rep. John Watt

STAFF PRESENT:

Cathryn Epley, Committee Administrator
Cynthia Charrey, Committee Assistant

MEASURES HEARD:

HB 2649 - Work Session
HB 2150 - Work Session

These minutes contain materials which paraphrase and/or summarize statements made during this session. Only text enclosed in quotation marks report a speaker's exact words. For complete contents of the proceedings, please refer to the tapes.

TAPE 24, Side A

004 CHAIR BAUM: Calls meeting to order at 4:00 p.m.

OPENS WORK SESSION ON HB 2649

Witnesses: Ted Reutlinger, Legislative counsel
Phil Keisling, Secretary of State

028 CATHRYN EPLEY: Gives overview of proposed amendments to HB 12150.

038 TED REUTLINGER, LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL; Gives legal overview of contents of HB 2150 and reasons for the amendments.

068 TED REUTLINGER: Continues to testify on proposed amendments.

079 BA: If one was not an inhabitant of the district

096 CO: Did you say that if the governor has to make this appointment he can only appoint someone who has lived in the district for 3 years

104 TR:

106 CO: We're not adding onto the residency requirement.

124 BY: We can't restrict the governor's choice in who he appoints.

128 TR: We can't restrict the governo;s choice

148 bY

152 LU: It would be nice if we could have the county clerrk or the Secretary
of state

170 LU: How much time are we talking about to take care of the certification
process?

176 TR: I'm not sure how much time we are talking about.

195 BA: This is the defitional bill for SJR14"

200 TR:

203 BA: Questions where the money to pay for this will come from

218: TR: I think that is already covered in HB

230 CO: Accept

236 Co Do Pass..recommendation.

243

253 Ba::

Closes WORK SESSION ON HB 2649-3

OPENS WORK SESSION ON HB 2150

295 CE: OVERVIEW OF -2 AMENDMENTS TO 2150

313 TED REUTLINGER LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL: Explains substantive effect of -2
a,emd,ents.

327 BY: I thought we

347 CHARLES STEARN: Yamhill County Clerk: gives his opinion of -2 amendments
as explained by Rules Administrator Cathryn Epley

373 ...Re rolling district elections into this bill. There are som
signiicant fiscal impacts involved in that.
Of the issues this committee should be aware of is our question: Who pays?

Our

399 BA How much is that?

398 BY: If you moved school board elections and special elections would there

be savings?

Tape 25, Side A

008 CN:

016 CS:

018 BY: Why wouldnt it save money if you put the m together

020 CS:

030 BA: WHAT IS ADDITIONAL COST TO YOU?

042 SCOTT TIGHE, ELECTIONS MANAGER, SECRETARY OF STATE'S OFFICE:
gives estimate of estimated costs to state of adding presidential primary
and special election to one date in March.

062 BA: So the state's share would be \$700,000

067 ST: Currently....

071 LU: How much will pres

074 ST 1.7 million dollars

077 LU: Who would

079: ST: It would depend who put what on the ballot. Who incurs the state's
cost for the presidential primary is still a question for the legislature.

Under ordinary circumstance

094: If we pick up the

096 Vicki Erwin: The state would indeed pay for its share of primary
elections as the -3 amendments are written.

100 BA: So

105 VE:

117? BA: IF we change the preisdential primary from odd to evn years we drive
up the cost of

123 ST: I estimate the cost would be about 500 thousand dollars.

128 VE: Even if you have the bill go as it

146 BA: Cites reasons Oregon woudl/could benefit by moving preisdential
primary to a date in March.

166 CN: I think it's ludicrous to propose any additional costs for
elections...I don't think this will gain Oregon any more attention when we
have such a small populaition'

198 CO: I thought all elections were tied to presidential primary.

238 CO: Why dont' we look at the September primary???

244: BA: SEPTEMBER IS ANOTHER ISSUE.

255 BA: Is it incorrect to say that needs some serious work to make it work?

260 CS: That is correcto.

264 ST:

279 BA: How long will it take you to ge3t info from other states

284 ST: I hope to be ablt to do that by next Monday or Tuesday.

298 CS: There are trade offs to changing primary dates. Major policy changes you will have to meet to make and we will try to tell you how to meet them

302 BA: We would like to know what they are. if they are too complex...

310 BY: I woiuld like to see them come back with a plicy that tells us how the changes can be mad and how soon.

321;

326: LU: Is there a time problem.

330; BA:

Closes work session on HB 2150-s amendments

adjourns meeting;

CLOSES WORK SESSION ON HB 2649 AMENDMENTS