CONFERENCE COMMITTEE ON HB 2105

June 1, 1995 Hearing Room H170 8:45 AM Tape 1

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Rep. Bob Tiernan, Chair

Sen. Ken Baker

Rep. Lonnie Roberts

Sen. Marylin Shannon Sen. Dick Springer

Rep. Charles Starr

STAFF PRESENT:

Anne Tweedt, Counsel

Annetta Mullins, Assistant

These minutes contain materials which paraphrase and/or summarize statements made during this session. Only text enclosed in quotation marks

report a speaker's exact words. For complete contents of the proceedings, please refer to the tapes.

TAPE 1, A

- CHAIR TIERNAN: Calls the meeting to order at 8:55 a.m. 010
- ANNE TWEEDT, Counsel: Reviews the Preliminary Staff Measure Summary and 011

the HB 2105-B6 amendments (EXHIBIT A).

- REP. ROBERTS: Explains that he wanted the five days to make certain that if a new employee made an error, the company would have a grace period. A spill could be the result of a faulty container by the manufacturer and the shipping company could be blamed for it.
- MS. TWEEDT: Explains the five-day grace period before penalties would be enforced would apply unless the violation caused substantial harm to health and the environment, or unless violations of a like nature by the shipper occurred within five years preceding the violation in question.
- MOTION: REP. STARR moves that the House concur in the Senate 046 amendments dated May 16 and that HB 2105-B be further amended with the HB 2105-B6 amendments and that the bill be repassed.
- SEN. BAKER: Asks if "substantial" harm was discussed.
- REP. ROBERTS: Comments he think "substantial" is subjective.
- MS. TWEEDT: Advises the committee that the PUC would be enforcing federal regulations, not imposing regulations. A concern was that "harm" would not be any less subjective than the word "substantial" might be.
- REP. ROBERTS: Suggests that a note be sent with the bill that we would like to have a description of what they would generally consider "substantial" so we will have an idea (of what it means).
- 071 SEN. SPRINGER: Comments he has no desire for any agency do anything not

necessary to protect public safety and health. Is concerned that "substantial" is not defined. Suggests language be as "risk of human or environmental harm".

- SEN. BAKER: Asked if the House would consider an amendment to take out "substantial".
- REP. STARR: Replies he would prefer not to remove "substantial" because

it is still subjective.

- BOB RUSSELL, Assistant Commissioner, Public Utility Commission: Comments the PUC is comfortable with the language in the amendments.
- VOTE: In a roll call vote, SEN. BAKER, REP. ROBERTS, SEN. SHANNON, REP. STARR AND CHAIR TIERNAN VOTE AYE. SEN. SPRINGER IS

111 CHAIR TIERNAN: Declares the motion PASSED and the meeting adjourned at 9:02 a.m.

Submitted by, Reviewed by,

Annetta Mullins Anne Tweedt Committee Assistant Committee Counsel

EXHIBIT SUMMARY:

A - $\,\,\,\,\,\,\,$ Preliminary Staff Measure Summary and HB 2105-B6 amendments, staff, 5 pp