CONFERENCE COMMITTEE ON

НВ 2991

June 2, 1995 Hearing Room D 8:00 a.m. Tapes 14 - 16

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Rep. Lynn Snodgrass, Chair

Sen. Tom Hartung Sen. Shirley Gold Sen. Ken Baker Rep. Barbara Ross

Rep. John Meek

STAFF PRESENT:

Jan McComb, Committee Administrator Shelley Jones, Committee Assistant

These minutes contain materials which paraphrase and/or summarize statements made during this session. Only text enclosed in quotation marks

report a speaker's exact words. For complete contents of the proceedings, please refer to the tapes.

TAPE 14, SIDE A

CHAIR SNODGRASS: Calls meeting to order at 9:10 a.m. -Start review with Section 16.

CINDY HUNT, LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL: Reviews draft amendments dealing with 14, Section 15 and Section 16.

REP. ROSS: Section 16 in the hand engrossed version HB 2991-B has been deleted. Would be more prudent to add that section back in, rather than remove it.

040 SEN. GOLD: Does not think that section is appropriate since it refers to Chapter 329.

HUNT: Explains the history of the language. Use to be in Chapter 326.

In 1993, was moved to Chapter 329. The policy statements that are still in

the sections are valid, but do not need to be in the Oregon Educational Act

for the 21st Century.

SEN. BAKER: We have already accepted Section 15. Feels very strongly these sections should stay in Chapter 329.

REP. ROSS: Asked how the Senators feel about deleting Section 16.

SEN. GOLD: Those sections relate to other programs that provide services to children. Does not understand what the problem is with having this language in the chapter.

REP. ROSS: Comments that Sections 16 seems to be out of place in the confusing to read Section 15, then jump to Section 17. Section 16 seems out of place.

MOTION: REP. ROSS: Moves to move Section 16 to its proper place.

165 SEN. GOLD: Does not understand why we would move a Section.

200 -These services have been happening since 1991 and before.

224 VOTE: In a voice vote SEN. HARTUNG, SEN. GOLD, SEN. BAKER vote NAY. REP. SNODGRASS, REP. MEEK, REP. ROSS vote AYE.

CHAIR SNODGRASS: The motion FAILS.

235 SEN. HARTUNG: Can the chair explain why she has recommended repeal of this section.

240 REP. SNODGRASS: I am not proposing repeal of Section 16, I would like to have it placed in it's proper place in the statutes.

MOTION: REP. ROSS: Recommends deleting Section 16 language from the and restore on line 41 [and developmentally appropriate]. That will leave it in the ORS 329 section.

```
HUNT: If Section 16 is restored, it would stay in ORS 329.155. By
taking Section 16 out you
                              would be also taking Section 14A out.
376
               VOTE: In a voice vote SEN. HARTUNG, SEN. BAKER, SEN. GOLD, REP. ROSS
vote AYE. REP. MEEK, REP. SNODGRASS vote NAY.
               CHAIR SNODGRASS: The motion FAILS.
383
       REP. SNODGRASS: Reviews Section 30.
390
               MOTION: REP. MEEK: Moves to adopt Section 30.
396
      SEN. HARTUNG: Would ask for motion to be amended to add "waiver or
extension shall not
                     exceed two school years.'
TAPE 15, SIDE A
       REP. SNODGRASS: Asked for an example of a situation where this
situation would apply.
       JOANNE FLINT, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION: Can't think of a situation where
      -Department of Education has been flexible it granting waivers on other
088
      REP. MEEK: Appreciates the comments that other districts implementing
                     pressure on districts that are lagging behind in
will be a positive
implementation issues.
100
               VOTE: On motion and conceptual amendments presented, restoring line 41
                               addition to language adding "a waiver or". Hearing no
and 42 and
objections the motion CARRIES.
               CHAIR: The motion CARRIES.
               MOTION: REP. MEEK: Moves adoption of Section 2, and restore the
                              on lines 18 and 19, [second languages and arts be restored]
bracketed area
and definition of "the arts" on
                                             line 19.
               -Section 4 [retain lines 4 and 5 in subsection (6) [English, second
languages and the artsl
               -Section 2 excluding agreement on line 2 of page 2 related to use of the
word [pre]
                               kindergarten.
200
               -Section 6, line 23 (J) delete [second language, the arts] and line 24
(E) delete [second
                                      language and the arts.]
               -Section 23, corrections to line 44 and 45, adding back [second languages
240
       SEN. BAKER: Asked for definition of "the arts".
300
       HUNT: Reads Department of Education definition of "the arts"
310
       REP. SNODGRASS: Clarifies the motion.
               VOTE: Hearing no objections, the motion CARRIES.
440
TAPE 14, SIDE B
       REP. SNODGRASS: Reviews Section 2.
010
020
       SEN. BAKER: Asked for definition of "public schools".
040
               MOTION: SEN. GOLD: Moves for new definition of lines 1,2 on page [2]
                       "parents".
for
               -delete [currently enrolled in a public school]
               -add [who are covered by this chapter] after students on line (1) in
subsection (8).
               VOTE: Hearing no objections the motion CARRIES.
070
               MOTION: REP. ROSS: Moves to restore Section 16, including adding back
                       developmentally appropriate] on line 41, and Section 14 A is deleted.
[and
      SEN. GOLD: Agrees this is the better way to go, then the earlier motion
110
on Section 16.
       -There are very strong emotions about use of the word "developmentally
appropriate".
      REP. MEEK: This language is in the House version and was in the Senate
version. It still needs
                               to be cleaned up.
      REP. ROSS: Would committee be amenable to deleting this language so it
does not show up in
                      the bill.
```

REP. ROSS: Withdraws the previous motion.

180 MOTION: REP. ROSS: Section 16 to be removed from the bill, but the original language of the section be retained and it will still be in the statutes. Also Section 14 will be deleted.

VOTE: Hearing no objections, the motion CARRIES.

- 208 REP. SNODGRASS: Reviews Section 29A -Suggest leaving Section 29 A as is.
- 228 MOTION: REP. ROSS: Moves to adopt Section 29A.
- 230 JOANNE FLINT, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION: It appears we have established different levels of assessment in different areas.
- 270 REP. ROSS: Asked about deleting [developing] and replacing it with [adopting].
- 289 WAYNE NEUBERGER, ASSESSMENT AND TESTING, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION: Purpose for the assessments is not clear in Section 29A.
- 330 FLINT: Suggest removing Section 29A entirely.

REP. ROSS: Withdrawals previous motion.

345 MOTION: REP. ROSS: Moves to delete Section 29A.

VOTE: Hearing no objections the motion CARRIES.

- 355 REP. SNODGRASS: Recesses meeting at 10:35 for call of the Senate.
- 360 REP. SNODGRASS: Reconvenes meeting at 11:12 a.m.
- 370 MOTION: REP. MEEK: Moves to adopt Section 23 and Section 23A with the following changes. Page 11, line 18 change timeline to January 1, 1997.

Page 11,

line 22 change to 1998/99. Page 12A, line 8 change to June 1, 1997. Page

12A, line 20G changed to [by the end of the 1996/1997 school year]. Add on

page 12A, line 20C [to an interim committee]

420 REP. SNODGRASS: Clarifies and repeats the motion to committee.

TAPE 15, SIDE B

- 040 $\,\,$ FLINT: Explains the current timelines that the Department is working under.
- 083 REP. MEEK: We are only talking about six months longer or six months shorter.
- -Does the date preclude the Department of Education from completing prior to the deadline.
- -In the staging process, it is necessary to provide appropriate time to work on issues to make the $$\operatorname{process}$$ effective for local school districts.
- 140 REP. MEEK: What we are doing is sending out a very precise timeline for implementation.
- 155 SEN. GOLD: Suggestion to change wording to [by no later than] on page 11, line 18.
- 185 $\,$ SEN. BAKER: Asked that a diagram be drawn up on the board for them to review.
- 189 REP. SNODGRASS: Recessed meeting for call of the Senate at 11:29 p.m.
- 190 -Reconvenes meeting at 12:05 p.m.
- 198 FLINT: Reviews the timelines in the proposal by Rep. Meek and outlined in HB 2991-B $\,\,$ engrossed amendments.
- 224 -Difference between two versions effects three areas out of five.
- $\,$ –Reviews the changes affecting the adoption of standards, implementing and finally providing $\,$ CIM to $\,$ students.
- 280 REP. MEEK: Comments on the dates and the differences in the A engrossed
- version and items that were changed regarding implementation.
- 313 SEN. BAKER: Comments on the fiscal cost to implement this bill.
- 320 Department of Education already has been through Ways & Means and has budget approval for the next two years. The implementation of the education reforms are included in their proposed budget.

- 350 SEN. GOLD: Comments on her perception about the fiscal impact to implement the education $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right$
- 390 REP. MEEK: Comments on his proposal to change the dates for revision and adoption of the standards.
- 450 REP. SNODGRASS: Comments on reaching a compromise. -Recess meeting at 12:20 p.m.

TAPE 16. SIDE A

- 040 -Reconvenes meeting at 1:45 p.m.
- 043 FLINT: Presents time tables for HB 2991 Sections 23 and 23A. (EXHIBIT A)
- 045 MOTION: REP. ROSS: Moves Section 23 conceptual amendment for language change.

VOTE: Hearing no objections, the motion CARRIES. SEN. GOLD is excused.

- 065 MOTION: REP. MEEK: Suggested timelines off written document (EXHIBIT B) Moved adoption of the following dates:
 - -Board revised and adopt rules and standards [95-96]
 - -Submit revised CIM plans [prior to 7/97]
 - -Opportunity for CIM [prior to 98-99]
 - -eliminate prior to 7/1/96 on line 20.
- 090 REP. SNODGRASS: Repeats the motion for proposed date changes.
- -Asked Joanne Flint, Department of Education to comment on the dates and

 ${\tt implementation.}$

- 138 $\,$ FLINT: The proposal is do-able for the Department. Comments that the Department will agree
- to have much more input from the public in the public hearings that will occur for the development of standards for CAM.
- 167 REP. ROSS: Trying to provide more cushion time for local districts. Very happy with these dates for various implementation of sections of the act.
- 187 SEN. GOLD: Questions page 11, line 19 and clarifies language.
 -Line 28, the "may" versus the "shall".
- 200 REP. SNODGRASS: Clarifies the language.

VOTE: Hearing no objections, the motion CARRIES.

- 220 REP. SNODGRASS: Thanks the conference committee. SENATOR HARTUNG to carry to the floor of the Senate. REPRESENTATIVE MEEK to carry to the floor of the House.
- 240 REP. SNODGRASS: Announces that the committee will meet tomorrow for final approval of $\,$ the language changes to HB 2991-B.
- 245 HUNT: Document should be available by 10:00.
- 250 REP. SNODGRASS: Then committee will convene a final meeting at 1:00 p.m. on Saturday June 3, 1995.
- 286 NORMA PAULUS, SUPERINTENDENT: Comments on the final bill and the many changes that have occurred. Comments on education reform issues over the years.
- -Welcomes any opportunity to have public discussion and Legislative action on education reform $\,$ and the many issues facing our schools and children.
- REP. SNODGRASS: Adjourns meeting at 2:20 p.m.

Submitted by, Reviewed by,

Shelley Jones Jan McComb Committee Assistant Committee Administrator

EXHIBIT SUMMARY:

- A HB 2991, Timetables for implementation- Flint- 1 page
- B- HB 2991, Recommended timetable for implementation- Flint- 1 page