

SENATE COMMITTEE ON
HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Hearing Room
Tapes - 73

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Sen. Stan Bunn, Chair
Sen. William McCoy, Vice-Chair
Sen. Lenn Hannon
Sen. Bill Kennemer
Sen. Randy Leonard

MEMBERS EXCUSED:

STAFF PRESENT:

Art Wilkinson, Committee Administrator
Mary Gallagher, Committee Assistant

MEASURES HEARD:

SJR23	Work	Session
SB 543	Work	Session
SB 918	Work	Session
SB 818	Work	Session
SB 1063	Work	Session
SB 1115	Work	Session

SB 1126 Work Session

These minutes contain materials which paraphrase and/or summarize statements made during this session. Only text enclosed in quotation marks report a speaker's exact words. For complete contents of the proceedings, please refer to the tapes.

TAPE , A

002 CHAIR BUNN: Calls the meeting to order at 3:11 P.M.
-Announcements
Opens WORK SESSION on SJR23

023 STATE SENATOR JOAN DUKES, Dist. #1: Speaking IN OPPOSITION TO SJR23.

073 Continuing testimony.

096 ART WILKINSON, Committee Administrator: Outlines the -1 Amendments.
[EXHIBIT A]

103 LEONARD: This amendment was brought forth at my request. I was concerned about the possible misleading effects that a decal could have on a police officer.

109 MOTION: SEN. LEONARD: Moves the -1 Amendments.

114 VOTE: CHAIR BUNN: VOTING AYE: SEN. BUNN, SEN. MCCOY, SEN. KENNEMER, SEN. HANNON, SEN. LEONARD.
Motion CARRIES 5-0.

116 KENNEMER: Expresses concerns about the Legislature endorsing and supporting a specific product such as the decal.

126 CHAIR BUNN: Let me suggest this. As I see it, we have one of three choices at this point. We can take it off of today's agenda and bring it back one more time. If we do that, it will be the last time we will bring the bill back before this committee. Our second choice is to go ahead and deal with it now. In deference to Sen. Yih, who was not able to be here today, it is my desire not to deal with a motion to table. The third choice

is to amend the bill in some way, if it needs that. My thought at this point is that we either remove it from the agenda for one more discussion with Sen. Yih and Sen. Dukes, or that we vote on it with the amendments. (Committee agrees to remove the bill from today's agenda and bring it back at a later date.)

152 CHAIR BUNN: Closes WORK SESSION on SJR23 (will be brought back 5/1/95)
Opens WORK SESSION on SB 543

165 KEITH PUTMAN, Committee Liaison/Researcher: Addresses committee re: SB 543. Hands out -12 Amendments [EXHIBIT B] and section by section analysis of SB 543-12. [EXHIBIT C]

170 CHAIR BUNN: I want this committee to be fully aware that I was contacted by a Chair from the House, who indicated that they are interested in using this bill as a vehicle for other purposes, including possible settlement of a lawsuit. I indicated that if we sent it out, we wanted assurances from them that the substantive materials we had in the bill would stay there. They assured me that that would be the case, so I wanted the committee to be aware of that.

183 PUTMAN: Resumes explanation of -12 Amendments.

198 JIM CARLSON, representing Oregon Health Care Association/Oregon Association for Homes for the Aging: Speaking IN OPPOSITION TO SB 543.

255 ANITA LEACH, Senior & Disabled Services Division: Speaking IN OPPOSITION TO SB 543.

271 LEE HAZELWOOD, Legislative Coordinator, Governor's Commission on Senior Services: Speaking IN OPPOSITION TO SB 543.

313 PUTMAN: Staff from Legislative Fiscal are recommending two deletions from the bill, which won't impact it in any way. The amendment would be on page 3, subparagraph 5, take out the language about the 1.33 full-time equivalency. There's no intent to raise or lower that; they'll just deal with it later. Likewise, at the end of the document on page 9, delete all of section 7. Those are both technical amendments. The subject has to be dealt with before the bill could pass, and it will be.

336 MOTION: SEN. HANNON: Moves the two amendments as outlined by Staff.

344 VOTE: CHAIR BUNN: VOTING AYE: SEN. BUNN, SEN. MCCOY, SEN. KENNEMER, SEN. HANNON, SEN. LEONARD.
Motion CARRIES 5-0.

348 MOTION: SEN. HANNON: Moves the -12 Amendments, as amended, into the bill.

351 VOTE: CHAIR BUNN: VOTING AYE: SEN. BUNN, SEN. MCCOY, SEN. KENNEMER, SEN. HANNON, SEN. LEONARD.
Motion CARRIES 5-0.

355 MOTION: SEN. HANNON: Moves that SB 543, as amended, be sent to the Floor with a DO PASS recommendation.

360 VOTE: CHAIR BUNN: OBJECTING: SEN. MCCOY. VOTING AYE: SEN. BUNN, SEN.
KENNEMER, SEN. HANNON, SEN. LEONARD.
Motion CARRIES 4-1.

SEN. HANNON will CARRY the bill on the Floor.

384 CHAIR BUNN: Opens WORK SESSION on SB 918

387 KENNEMER: The -4 Amendments endeavor to address the concern brought up by the Board of Psychologist Examiners, that potentially someone who has had their license revoked may be able to continue to call themselves a Psychologist. I believe these amendments address that concern and would at least stop that. [EXHIBIT D]

405 BONNIE WILSON, Administrator, Board of Psychologist Examiners: Speaking IN OPPOSITION TO SB 918.

TAPE 73, A

003 WILSON: Continuing comments.

013 JODY FISCHER, Lobbyist for Oregon Psychological Association: Speaking IN SUPPORT OF SB 918.

027 MOTION: SEN. KENNEMER: Moves the -4 Amendments.

029 VOTE: CHAIR BUNN: VOTING AYE: SEN. BUNN, SEN. MCCOY, SEN. KENNEMER, SEN. HANNON. EXCUSED: SEN. LEONARD.
Motion CARRIES 4-0.

030 MOTION: SEN. KENNEMER: Moves that SB 918, as amended, be sent to the Floor with a DO PASS recommendation.

033 VOTE: CHAIR BUNN: OBJECTING: SEN. MCCOY. VOTING AYE: SEN. BUNN, SEN. KENNEMER, SEN. HANNON. EXCUSED: SEN. LEONARD.
Motion CARRIES 3-1.

SEN. KENNEMER will CARRY the bill on the Floor.

037 CHAIR BUNN: Opens WORK SESSION on SB 818

040 TIM MARTINEZ, Oregon Medical Association: Speaking IN SUPPORT OF SB 818,

with proposed amendment. What we would like to do is offer the same amendment that we offered for SB 53, which basically would say that any person who practices naturopathic proctology shall carry medical malpractice insurance. I talked to the sponsors of the bill, and they support the amendment.

053 MOTION: SEN. HANNON: Moves the amendment as set out by the OMA.

056 VOTE: CHAIR BUNN: VOTING AYE: SEN. BUNN, SEN. KENNEMER, SEN. HANNON, SEN. LEONARD. EXCUSED: SEN. MCCOY.
Motion CARRIES 4-0.

058 MOTION: SEN. HANNON: Moves that SB 818, as amended, be sent to the Floor with a DO PASS recommendation.

065 VOTE: CHAIR BUNN: VOTING AYE: SEN. BUNN, SEN. KENNEMER, SEN. HANNON, SEN. LEONARD. EXCUSED: SEN. MCCOY.
Motion CARRIES 4-0.
SEN. LEONARD will CARRY the bill on the Floor.

072 CHAIR BUNN: Opens WORK SESSION on SB 1063

074 WILKINSON: Hands out -1 Amendment (Sen. Leonard's amendment) [EXHIBIT E]

083 DR. DAVID FLEMING, State Epidemiologist, Oregon Health Division:
Speaking IN OPPOSITION to section 3, subsection 4 of SB 1063. [EXHIBIT F]
This section would duplicate what is already in the statutes. Under current

Oregon law, there is a list of reportable diseases that is maintained by the Health Division. The Division also prescribes by administrative rule exactly what needs to happen as far as an investigation by local health departments when one of those reportable diseases happens.

103 LEONARD: When a police officer or firefighter today is exposed to AIDS, they are not notified that they were exposed. If you have some rule or statute that is on the books that requires that reporting to occur, it's not happening, throughout this state. (Fleming responds: The way that the laws and the rules are set up, each time we receive a report of a reportable disease, the Health Department investigates that report and then

determines who may have likely been exposed as a result.)

110 leonard: What good does that do when a firefighter goes out, scoops up a

bleeding victim, delivers him to the hospital, the hospital discovers immediately that the patient has AIDS, and they allow that firefighter to go back home to his family for days and days and expose his children and his wife and his parents and everybody that he associates with---what good has your investigation done for that individual? I am appalled that you're opposing this bill. It has become very consistent with your agency to take this position on matters that affect the lives of police officers, firefighters, and emergency care workers. How could you oppose something that would require one of us to be notified when we've been exposed to a communicable disease?

122 FLEMING: The Health Division tries to assure that firefighters and other first-responders are notified when they've been exposed to someone that has a disease that could have resulted in them being infected. That's our mission.

125 LEONARD: That is not happening now. As we sit here, it does not occur.

127 CHAIR BUNN: To Fleming: Finish describing to us how it's supposed to occur now so that we can continue that discussion.

128 FLEMING: By administrative rule, local health departments are required to conduct investigations for each of the 40+ reportable diseases, each of the 12,000+ case reports that are reported to each year. The nature of that investigation is dictated by the nature of the disease.

132 CHAIR BUNN: Let's focus totally on HIV right now. So in that instance, describe what occurs.

133 FLEMING: HIV infection is not a reportable condition in Oregon. This law, as currently written, would not affect HIV.

135 CHAIR BUNN: I thought you said as you began that this duplicates current law. We're talking about AIDS and HIV-positive--what we're getting at is that police officers and firefighters would be notified---I thought you said as you began your testimony that we already have that.

141 FLEMING: My understanding of what this bill proposes is that for people who have reportable diseases, there would be this duplicate system for alerting folks that they've been exposed.

145 CHAIR BUNN: Tell me how they get that information now, because you said at first that it's done, and then you said that it's not one of those that's required to be reported.

147 FLEMING: My understanding is that this legislation deals with reportable diseases. Tuberculosis, as an example, is a reportable disease. When a case of TB is reported, the local health departments are required to find out #1: was that patient transported by a first-responder, and #2: to notify that first-responder of that exposure and the appropriate preventive action that they need to take. For diseases like TB or meningitis, where there can be transmission by direct contact through airborne exposure, we make that notification routinely.

156 LEONARD: What is the time frame?

157 FLEMING: For meningitis, because of the fact that this is a disease that, if you're exposed, can cause you to come down with symptoms within 48 to 72 hours, the law in Oregon is that this is a disease that needs to be reported to us any time day or night, and then we initiate the investigation at the time that we receive the report.

161 LEONARD: How long does the investigation take?

162 FLEMING: The total investigation is going to take from a matter of hours, to days depending upon the specifics of the case.

165 LEONARD: At 2:00 a.m., a firefighter is exposed. He gets off at 8:00 and goes home. The hospital knows that the patient in the hospital has a disease. You're telling me that they're not allowed, under current law, to contact that firefighter immediately--it first has to be reported to you, you have to investigate it, and then it can be reported to the firefighter?

Is that correct?

170 FLEMING: No, what I'm trying to say is that when a diagnosis of meningitis is made, we do ask that the hospital contact us so we can review with them in real time who it is that needs to be notified of their exposure.

173 LEONARD: Why should they have to contact you?

174 FLEMING: The reality is that this is not a simple science. Rather, it's complex and relies on folks who have experience in being able to advise the hospital who needs to be notified.

177 LEONARD: Why wouldn't you let a person know, who may have been exposed, so they can take preventative action before they leave work and expose anybody else?

180 FLEMING: It is our goal to ensure that people who have been exposed are notified quickly, appropriately and given the right information.

181 LEONARD: Well, that's just not happening.

182 CHAIR BUNN: We need to be sure that we're talking the same language about the same issues. This bill does talk about reportable diseases, and as I understand it, AIDS is not one of those.

185 FLEMING: HIV-infection is not one that is considered reportable.

186 LEONARD: Why isn't it a reportable disease? Why doesn't this state include HIV-positive persons as those who have a reportable disease? I just don't understand that.

192 FLEMING: It's a difficult decision whether or not to make HIV reportable. Every state has struggled with that. While there are some benefits to making it reportable, the major downside is that our primary goal for HIV disease is to ensure that people who are infected, seek testing and learn that they're infected. There have been many scientific studies that have clearly documented that having HIV infection reportable is a disincentive to those folks most at risk of being infected, of seeking

testing. When you weigh the pro's and con's of making the infection reportable, we believe, and there is sound evidence to support this, that making the infection reportable would actually hinder our HIV prevention efforts in this state.

205 LEONARD: Are you distinguishing HIV from AIDS?

206 FLEMING: That's correct.

207 LEONARD: Is AIDS a reportable disease?

208 FLEMING: Yes, it is.

209 LEONARD: Then why isn't the individual that's exposed to AIDS, notified immediately?

210 FLEMING: AIDS and HIV infection, as you know, are not transmitted by casual contact. Rather, they are transmitted in the occupational setting only as a result of someone being exposed through direct blood contact.

213 LEONARD: Let me give you a real-life instance that actually occurred. A fire engine in north Portland was responding to a fire, code 3. They stopped at a red light. Traffic stopped both ways. The fire engine proceeded through the intersection. A motorcycle sped into the intersection, slammed into the side of the fire engine, and exploded into flames. The explosion burned the firefighters that were outside, on the fire engine, and gave them open wounds. The first thing the firefighters did was to stop and assist the crash victims. Both of the victims died, and

both later turned out to have AIDS. Their blood went into the open wounds of the firefighters. Those firefighters were not notified for a week that they'd been exposed to AIDS.

225 FLEMING: The current system we have in Oregon is such that because AIDS or HIV can only be transmitted in the kind of setting that you talk about, and because most of the time the hospital will not know that a person has AIDS or HIV---

230 LEONARD: (Interrupts) They knew immediately. They told our personnel that they were prohibited by law from telling those individuals they'd been exposed.

232 FLEMING: That's flat wrong. The law in Oregon that you all helped craft and that the Division has promulgated clearly states that if someone has sustained an occupational exposure to someone else's blood, that #1: if that person's HIV status is known, that information can be relayed directly

to the exposed person. All the exposed person needs to do, and the rules outline this, is tell the health care facility that they've sustained an occupational exposure. The situation becomes more complex in the setting where someone has sustained an occupational exposure and no one knows whether that person is infected or not.

242 CHAIR BUNN: Those things that you described must be in the rules, rather

than in the ORS, and it may have been a situation where we are not fully aware of what's in the rules as we're moving forward. To Sen. Leonard: I'm wondering that if, in view of the issues that have been raised, some discussion with the opportunity to bring this bill back might be

beneficial, with the ability to look at the rules, look at whether we need further enforcement under the rules, or if we need the legislation.

252 LEONARD: Last week we had a bill dealing with the same Division. You made the same request, and I agreed to get together with Skip Kirkwood and have a meeting. I left this room, went to set up that meeting, and Skip Kirkwood refuses to return my phone calls. This is a Division that borders on being out of control. I cannot get any responses back from them when I try to reach compromise with them, so for that reason, I have a problem with your suggestion.

260 HANNON: I think the Health Division is in a total shambles. I have yet to see any of those agencies out there that know what they're doing. They're just running amok, from Mr. Kirkwood on down. This is a serious issue, and I think it's a tragedy, and what I'd like to do is have a week to work on some language and bring this back, because I think it needs to be pursued.

274 CHAIR BUNN: I want to make it very clear that I don't intend to paint with the same brush every person in a particular agency, because some work with a great deal more commitment and concern than one individual might. I will move forward with Sen. Hannon's recommendation. I will ask the staff to work on language that might work successfully, and ask them to contact the Health Division and work with you (Fleming) and I expect full cooperation. (Fleming responds: You'll get it.) Then, we'll bring this back in about a week to deal with it.

289 Closes WORK SESSION on SB 1063

298 Calls a recess so that members can go to the Floor session.

299 Re-convenes at 4:43 P.M.

303 KENNEMER: Declares a potential conflict of interest on SB 918. I'm a licensed Psychologist, so I'd like to declare that.

330 CHAIR BUNN: Opens WORK SESSION on SB 1115

335 KEITH PUTMAN: I have received back the -3 Amendments, which incorporated everything that the committee agreed on recently. Per your request, I also requested a -4 Amendment.

344 CHAIR BUNN: The committee passed the -3 Amendments, but the -4 Amendments remove that part that we couldn't do under federal law anyway. I'd like to simply pass out the -4 Amendments and work from them.

357 PUTMAN: In reviewing the material that came back from Legislative Counsel, I found four mistakes, and this is what they are: I've hand-engrossed SB 1115-4 for you (hands out the -4 Amendments [EXHIBIT G] and the hand-engrossed -4 Amendments [EXHIBIT H]).

TAPE 72, B

476 PUTMAN: Continues to discuss the -4 Amendments.

485 CHAIR BUNN: So the bill was adopted subject to final review by the committee, but we need to have the bill pulled back to the committee anyway to remove the ERISA portion.

487 MOTION: SEN. HANNON: Moves that SB 1115 be reconsidered by the Committee, and further moves that the ERISA section be removed from the bill so that it would read as it reads in the hand-engrossed -4 Amendments.

497 VOTE: CHAIR BUNN: VOTING AYE: SEN. BUNN, SEN. MCCOY, SEN. KENNEMER, SEN. HANNON. EXCUSED: SEN. LEONARD.

Motion CARRIES 4-0.

499 MOTION: SEN. HANNON: Moves that SB 1115, as amended, be sent to the
Floor with a DO PASS recommendation.

Brief discussion between Kennemer/Putman regarding the employer mandate.

508 VOTE: CHAIR BUNN: VOTING AYE: SEN. BUNN, SEN. MCCOY, SEN. KENNEMER, SEN.

HANNON. EXCUSED: SEN. LEONARD.

Motion CARRIES 4-0.

CHAIR BUNN will CARRY the bill on the Floor..

511 CHAIR BUNN: Calls recess so that members can go to the Floor Session.

512 Re-convenes at 5:05 P.M.

517 DOUG VANDERGREEN, Legal Counsel, Oregon Right to Life: As I suggested
earlier at the public hearing on this bill, I would favor getting rid of
Sections 6 and 7 of SB 1126 for the reason that this is a notification act.

520 CHAIR BUNN: Let's do this: let's ask Legislative Counsel to draft
amendments that would do that. (Gwen Dayton responds "yes.") To Gwen
Dayton: We need to have the amendments so that we can pick and choose from
them, so we may want to have a series of different amendments. (Gwen Dayton
responds affirmatively.)

530 CHAIR BUNN: Opens WORK SESSION on SB 1126

542 VANDERGREEN: As I've stated, we would be inclined to drop from the bill
that which is entitled Section 6 and Section 7. This is a notification
bill, not a parental consent bill. I believe that language is there just in
case this entire bill gets too close to the constitution...I think if we
keep those sections there, we'll end up arguing about a long of things that
could get confusing..

550 CHAIR BUNN: We've asked Legislative Counsel to draft amendments that
would do that. Are there other amendments that you'd like to suggest?

552 VANDERGREEN: Yes, and these get to the substance of what I think were
the concerns expressed about girls where they perhaps didn't have a history
of being abused, but they're in scenarios where it could be that they would
suffer from the fact that their parents were notified. We would propose
that there be added two further exceptions for the opt-out. (Outlines
proposed changes.)

Exchanges between Chair Bunn/Vandergreen about proposed changes.

569 CHAIR BUNN: So is this hearing before the judge a secret hearing of some
kind?

570 VANDERGREEN: Our amendment would propose that the judge have broad
discretion to determine the procedure by which the claim shall be presented
and considered, except that an in-person meeting between the female and the
judge shall normally be...(rest of response unintelligible).

580 CHAIR BUNN: Here's what we'll do: we'll ask LC to work with you in
drafting language so that the committee has it to consider, but I would ask
LC to also make clear the things under Oregon law, such as the inability to

have secret hearings and that sort of thing.

584 GWEN DAYTON, Legislative Counsel: That's fine. I would point out, we can't have secret hearings in Oregon. (Continues response.)

590 CHAIR BUNN: Talks about some of his concerns.

600 KENNEMER: I guess another concern that I have is as the language reads, the minor would have to declare that she is the victim of sexual abuse, neglect or physical abuse. Certainly, for sexual abuse and physical abuse, these are all actionable items for CSD and I believe that CSD would be automatically required to get involved in this family.

609 DAYTON: If I understand you correctly, you're raising questions about CSD's obligation if they receive information from a physician.

611 KENNEMER: Yes. We're getting a reporting process here that automatically involves CSD in the lives of these families.

612 DAYTON: I believe that you may be right, but I'd like to double check on that, because CSD is not an area that I commonly deal with.

615 CHAIR BUNN: To Dayton: I'd like to look into allowing the reporting to CSD without requiring the investigation by CSD, and I think we need to look

at that possibility, but it creates the awkward position of someone having declared to a state agency "there's a criminal activity here" and us saying

"oh, and by the way, don't investigate it." But, I think we need to explore

whether there is a legitimate way to report that information from the doctor to CSD and not have it further investigated unless there is a separate, second request for investigation.

629 DAYTON: That's fine. I'll follow up on that and see if we can put something more substantive together.

632 VANDERGREEN: An additional amendment that we would suggest that the committee consider is a similar kind of hearing before a district or circuit court judge, but it would be with regard to the probability of future things instead of past history.

643 CHAIR BUNN: You're asking courts to do things that are way out. We'll do some drafting there, but I have concern about putting that kind of burden on the court and the fiscal impact that would cause.

649 HANNON: I think you'd have a difficult time putting it on the courts to determine who would react and who wouldn't react.

655 VANDERGREEN: That may well be. I think the practical scenario is this: that a young girl goes to an abortion clinic, and the abortion clinic says to her, "this is what we have to do, and this is the notification that we have to give" and she indicates that she is afraid of what her parents would do if they found about her pregnancy. (Continues response.)

672 CHAIR BUNN: Let me express some concerns. There is a fiscal impact on the original bill of about \$8 million, and that's partially because of the court's involvement. We took section 6 and section 7 out of the bill, and we're doing away with a lot of the fiscal impact. If we start putting the courts back in, we're going to shove that fiscal impact right back in there.

679 VANDERGREEN: Responds.

683 CHAIR BUNN: It appears to me that at this point we don't have a good mechanism, after a young lady says "I have the following concerns...I don't

want notification"--we have some work to do on finding a good opt-out clause. We don't have a good one yet. The courts aren't a good one, and CSD isn't a good one.

692 KENNEMER: It seems to me that this process needs to be reasonable, simple, inexpensive, and straightforward. We haven't done real well so far on these proposals, in my view. As I understand it, what happens legally is that we view these people as emancipated minors so that they can essentially authorize this procedure themselves, and I'm wondering if they could perhaps be authorized to sign some kind of affidavit, and there could be penalties for falsifying information on such an affidavit.

708 CHAIR BUNN: Responds and talks about other things he would like LC to look into regarding the bill.

731 DAYTON: I did look at what some other states have done. California adopted something similar to this--it's a consent law as opposed to notification, so it's different in that respect. What they did with regard to the court issue is similar to this, but they don't have the total access to the courts that is in this bill, which is a good portion of the fiscal impact problem. (Continues response.)

749 CHAIR BUNN: Since our courts are completely open, I don't believe the courts are a good mechanism to use in this situation.

774 KENNEMER: Is it a constitutional provision that our courts are open?

776 DAYTON: It's an Oregon constitutional provision.

777 KENNEMER: How do we get around that, with hearings for minors?

782 DAYTON: Responds.

792 KENNEMER: So are you saying that those proceedings are perhaps improper?

793 DAYTON: Responds.

797 KENNEMER: I'm uncomfortable with the notion of disclosure to CSD and prohibiting them from doing what is their function. To me that's real inconsistent, to have abuse reported to them and then they can't act on it.

By the same token, if they do act on it, we add more trauma to what is already traumatic. I think maybe moving in the affidavit direction is a better way to do it.

811 CHAIR BUNN: If you don't have some independent reporting process, everyone involved can simply say there's been abuse, and then opt out, and then it's a meaningless process.

816 KENNEMER: But you can have disclosure with serious sanctions.

827 CHAIR BUNN: Let me suggest this: if we had a situation where young women come into this situation and give a statement saying that they don't want notification to occur because of this or that reason, and they falsify that statement, they are not going to be prosecuted in the state of Oregon. It's simply not going to happen, and we need to acknowledge that. I think we need to explore, if we have access to what other states have done, whether the opt-out provisions have allowed that, and have that as an option for the committee to consider.

852 DAYTON: I looked into that, to some extent, and the opt-out provisions I

saw tended to be court-related.

857 CHAIR BUNN: In terms of choices, I think we need to have a minimum of sexual abuse, physical abuse, and neglect. I think we need to have the mental health option available, and I think we need to have the alcohol and

drug problem dealt with to some degree. We can look at a model along what Sen. Kennemer has suggested. I think we need to look at reporting as an alternative to CSD with a broad enough reporting that they don't have to take action.

TAPE 73, B

476 CHAIR BUNN: Continuing comments.

491 LEONARD: I'm not sure it's possible to wordsmith well enough to address the concerns that I have about this legislation.

493 CHAIR BUNN: Responds; addresses Gwen Dayton, witnesses and audience re: bringing forth more amendments if they deem it necessary.

507 We will be bringing this bill back a week from today to work on further.

It is my intent at this point, though this is not definite, to have a vote at that time.

513 ART WILKINSON: That meeting will be a five hour meeting. This bill has been tentatively schedule to be dealt with before the dinner break.

522 CHAIR BUNN: Adjourns at 5:33 P.M.

Submitted by, Reviewed by,

Mary Gallagher Art Wilkinson
Committee Assistant Committee Administrator

EXHIBIT SUMMARY:

A - -1 Amendments to SJR23--Art Wilkinson--1 page
B - -12 Amendments to SB 543--Keith Putman--9 pages
C - Section by section analysis of SB 543-12--Keith Putman--2 pages
D - -4 Amendments to SB 918--Senator Kennemer--2 pages
E - -1 Amendments to SB 1063--Senator Leonard--4 pages
F - Written Testimony on SB 1063--David Fleming--3 pages
G - -4 Amendments to SB 1115--Keith Putman--21 pages
H - Hand-Engrossed -4 Amendments to SB 1115--Keith Putman--21 pages