

SENATE COMMITTEE ON  
JUDICIARY

Hearing Room  
Tapes -149

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Sen. Neil Bryant, Chair  
Sen. Randy Miller, Vice-Chair  
Sen. Ken Baker  
Sen. Jeannette Hamby  
Sen. Peter Sorenson  
Sen. Dick Springer  
Sen. Shirley Stull

STAFF PRESENT:

M. Max Williams II, Committee Counsel  
Julie Clemente, Committee Assistant

MEASURES HEARD: Work Session	SB 385	SB 450
	Public Hearing & Work Session SB 51	SB 1121
	Work Session	SB 1123
		SB 1133
	Public Hearing & Work Session SB 1148	SB 900

These minutes contain materials which paraphrase and/or summarize statements made during this session. Only text enclosed in quotation marks report a speaker's exact words. For complete contents of the proceedings, please refer to the tapes.

TAPE , SIDE A

03 CHAIR BRYANT: Calls Committee to order

OPENS WORK SESSION ON SB 1121

Invited Testimony: Jeff Van Pell, Confederated Tribes of Umatilla Indian Reservation  
Craig Dorsay, Tribal Attorney, Confederated Tribes of Siletz

23 SEN. BAKER: Speaks of meeting with Sen. Springer and representatives from the Indian tribes regarding the increase of fines relating to Indian archeological sites.

34 SEN. BRYANT: Notes additional concern from the public hearing: Section 3, civil penalty and criminal provision may be a double jeopardy issue.

36 MAX WILLIAM, COUNSEL FOR THE COMMITTEE: Refers to the dash 2 amendments, line 3.

44 CHAIR BRYANT: I would like information regarding the justification of increasing the fines from \$500 to \$10,000.

46 JEFF VAN PELL, Confederated Tribes of Umatilla Indian Reservation: Refers to SB 1121 as a crimes bill. Specifically deals with convicted grave robbers

67 SEN. BAKER: Fines should be proportionate.

73 CHAIR BRYANT: Would a judge have any discretion if they feel the damages should be less?

80 CRAIG DORSAY, Tribal Attorney, Confederated Tribes of Siletz: My impression is that they do have some flexibility.

82 CHAIR BRYANT: To include that flexibility, you normally say the client may recover imputed damages "of up to" so the judge is aware they have some discretion. Do you have any problem with including words like that?

84 VAN PELL/CHAIR BRYANT: Discussion regarding damages and SB 1121-2 amendments.

112 MOTION: CHAIR BRYANT: MOVES the amendments to Senate Bill 1121 that are dated 4/27/95.

115 VOTE: 7-0 MOTION PASSES  
AYE: Baker, Hamby, Miller, Sorenson, Springer, Stull, Bryant  
NO: None

118 MOTION: CHAIR BRYANT: MOVES the following conceptual amendments to  
SB 1121: on page 1, line 20, add the words "after damages in an amount not

to exceed \$10,000 or actual damages not to exceed \$10,000."

120 VOTE: 7-0 MOTION PASSES  
AYE: Baker, Hamby, Miller, Sorenson, Springer, Stull, Bryant  
NO: None

122 MOTION: SEN. BAKER: MOVES SB 1121, as amended, to the floor with a DO  
PASS recommendation.

125 VOTE: 7-0 MOTION PASSES  
AYE: Baker, Hamby, Miller, Sorenson, Springer, Stull, Bryant  
NO: None

Sen. Baker will carry the bill.

CLOSES WORK SESSION ON SB 1121

OPENS WORK SESSION ON SB 1123

128 SEN. BRYANT: Speaks to Senate Bill 1123-1 amendments.

131 WILLIAMS: The amendments change the term "Archeological site" to "Site of archaeological significance."

150 SEN. BRYANT: Would that address our concern of limiting the area to the actual archeological site?

154 SEN. BAKER: We worked on this in our subgroup.

157 WILLIAMS: By calling it a site of archaeological significance, it would have had to have gone through a process to get the recognition.

163 SEN. BRYANT: I suggest an application fee.

168 WILLIAMS: The fiscal report indicates a cost of \$57,371.

173 SEN. BRYANT: We will need to send this bill to Ways & Means.

178 WILLIAMS: It has a current subsequent referral.

180 MOTION: SEN. BRYANT: MOVES the amendments to Senate Bill 1123-1.

183 VOTE: 7-0 MOTION PASSES  
AYE: Baker, Hamby, Miller, Sorenson, Springer, Stull, Bryant  
NO: None

188 MOTION: SEN. BAKER: MOVES Senate Bill 1123, as amended, to the floor with a DO PASS recommendation to the committee on Ways and Means.

190 VOTE: 7-0 MOTION PASSES  
AYE: Baker, Hamby, Miller, Sorenson, Springer, Stull, Bryant  
NO: None

CLOSES WORK SESSION ON SB 1123

OPENS WORK SESSION ON SB 1133

201 WILLIAMS: Explains SB 1133 -1 amendments.

226 SEN. HAMBY: Would they need an expenditure limitation?

230 CHAIR BRYANT: Probably would.

237 SEN. BAKER: They should be kept together for tracking.

240 WILLIAMS/HAMBY/BRYANT: Discussion regarding expenses.

252 SEN. SORENSON: Did you consider the use of the phrase "Federally recognized" Indian tribe?

260 WILLIAMS: Distinction was made in one of the bills we previously discussed.

273 SEN. SORENSON: Senator Baker, could you prepare a motion to that effect.

275 MOTION: SEN. BAKER: MOVES the amendments to Senate Bill 1133-1 dated 4/28/95.

278 VOTE: 6-0 MOTION PASSES  
AYE: Baker, Hamby, Miller, Sorenson, Springer, Bryant  
NO: None  
SEN. STULL IS EXCUSED

280 MOTION: SEN. BAKER: MOVES conceptual amendments to Senate Bill 1133 as follows: insert "Federally recognized Indian tribe" on page 1, line 12 of the bill.

285 VOTE: 6-0 MOTION PASSES  
AYE: Baker, Hamby, Miller, Sorenson, Springer, Bryant  
NO: None  
SEN. STULL IS EXCUSED

290 SEN. SORENSON: Was the Park Service involved?

300 SORENSON/BRYANT: Discussion regarding Park Service.

315 MOTION: SEN. SORENSON: MOVES to amended Senate Bill 133 by inserting  
"a representative from the Park Service of the United States, Department of  
the Interior" on page 3, lines 5 & 6 of the bill.

320 VOTE: 6-0 MOTION PASSES  
AYE: Baker, Hamby, Miller, Sorenson, Springer, Bryant  
NO: None  
SEN. STULL IS EXCUSED

325 MOTION: SEN. BAKER: MOVES Senate Bill 1133, as amended, to the floor  
with a DO PASS recommendation and be referred to the committee on Ways &  
Means.

330 VOTE: 5-1 MOTION PASSES  
AYE: Baker, Hamby, Sorenson, Springer, Bryant  
NO: Miller  
SEN. STULL IS EXCUSED

CLOSES WORK SESSION ON SB 1133

OPENS WORK SESSION ON SB 385

340 MOTION: SEN. MILLER: MOVES the emergency clause stricken from Senate  
Bill 385.

342 SEN. BRYANT: The subcommittee discussed and intended to remove the  
emergency clause, but  
neglected to do so.

344 VOTE: 6-0 MOTION PASSES  
AYE: Baker, Hamby, Miller, Sorenson, Springer, Bryant  
NO: None  
SEN. STULL IS EXCUSED

344 WILLIAMS: SB 385 comes from subcommittee on Civil Process. Highlights

hand engrossed copy of the bill. [EXHIBIT L]

- Section 1 -- Provisions relating to the offer and compromise section  
were deleted. New section relating to prevailing party fees was  
adopted.

- Section 5 -- deleted. New language which modifies the summary judgment  
standard was adopted.

- Amended original language regarding ORS 20.105 relating to sanctions

- Adopted amendments relating to mandatory arbitration

- Amended to provide factors which the court must consider in determining  
to award attorney fees when that discretion has been given under  
the statute.

- Small claims statute was amended

464 Finally, provides for the Chief Justice to appoint a task force to  
study the issue of a case assessment program

- To develop model legislation and provide a recommendation for a possible

pilot program.

TAPE 148, SIDE A

041 CHAIR BRYANT: Thanks all who worked on the bill to make it workable and  
functional.

43 MOTION: SEN. MILLER: MOVES Senate Bill 385, as amended, with a DO PASS  
recommendation.

44 VOTE: 5-2 MOTION PASSES  
AYE: Baker, Hamby, Miller, Stull, Bryant  
NO: Springer, Sorenson

50 Chair Bryant will lead discussion on the Floor.

CLOSES WORK SESSION ON SB 385

OPENS WORK SESSION ON SB 450

58 MOTION: SEN. MILLER: MOVES that the emergency clause be stricken from  
SB 450.

60 VOTE: 7-0 MOTION PASSES  
AYE: Baker, Hamby, Miller, Sorenson, Springer, Stull, Bryant  
NO: None

66 WILLIAMS: Explains SB 450 amendments:  
- Deleted the full abolition of joint and several liability.

- Raised from 15% to greater than 50, the percentage a defendant must be  
found liable by a jury in order for that person to be jointly liable for

the full amount of the plaintiff's damages

- If the defendant is found to be 50% or less at fault, the defendant's  
liability will be several only

- Parties who act in concert in the commission of an intentional tort,  
jointly liable for damages, regardless of the finding of a percentage  
of fault

71 MOTION: SEN. MILLER: MOVES Senate Bill 450, as amended, to the floor with  
a DO PASS recommendation.

80 VOTE: 5-2 MOTION PASSES  
AYE: Baker, Hamby, Miller, Stull, Bryant  
NO: Springer, Sorenson

Chair Bryant will carry the bill.

CLOSES WORK SESSION ON SB 450

OPENS PUBLIC HEARING ON SB 51

Witnesses: Karen DeLorenzo, Administrator, Board of Accountancy  
David Efurd, CPA, Board Member, Board of Accountancy  
Dennie L. Wheeler, Certified Public Accountant  
Douglas Berwick, President, Oregon Society of Enrolled Agents  
Frank E. Brawner, Oregon Bankers Association, Independent Community Banks  
of Oregon  
Ted Hughes, Oregon Association of Independent Accountants  
Bob Stoll  
Mike Esler  
Bryan M. Griggs, President, CPA Referral Service, Inc.  
Mark Griffin, Attorney, CPA Referral Service, Inc.  
Wm. Chambers, Oregon Association of Tax Consultants  
Diana E. Godwin, CPA Referral Service, Inc.

101 KAREN DeLORENZO, Administrator, Board of Accountancy: Testifies in support of SB 51[EXHIBITS A & B].

144 It was the Board's intent to maintain the same scope of practice for licensees.

156 DAVID EFURD, CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANT, Board Member, Board of Accountancy: Testifies in support of SB 51. Reviews policy issues. [EXHIBIT B]

- Treats all licensees the same.
- Section codifies public interest reason
- Added a section that provides a statute of limitation
- Added a section that provides proportionate liability for wrong doing by

a licensee.

- Retains provision whereby high school graduates can sit for the examination provided they have four years experience working for a

licensee.

204 SEN. BRYANT: Did it used to be two years?

205 EFURD: Yes.

208 SEN. BRYANT/EFURD: Discussion regarding education requirements.

234 SEN. HAMBY: How accessible are these internships?

240 EFURD: Positions are readily available.

245 Under the issuance of permits.

294 CHAIR BRYANT: Is there a disclaimer?

295 EFURD: The safe harbor language we have put in the bill would protect them.

313 CHAIR BRYANT: Section 28 creates a special statute of limitations. What is the current state of the law as far as actions that could be brought for negligence?

321 EFURD: Currently the accountancy act does not contain provisions similar to these.

328 CHAIR BRYANT: Do you have any objection if we allow the existing law to continue?

EFURD: I am not totally familiar with all of the provisions.

337 CHAIR BRYANT: Current statutes don't specifically say accountants.

340 SEN. BAKER: Refers to letter from Robert Stohl. Statute of limitations in contract disputes is currently 6 years. The bill would reduce that to three years.

- Currently two years for most actions; bill would reduce to one year
- Cannot agree to reduce statutes, asks the Chair why accountants should be treated differently from other professions.

362 EFURD: The one year that you refer to is one year from discovery.

380 CHAIR BRYANT: We should probably leave the statute of limitations as it currently stands.

386 SEN. SORENSON: I noticed in section 44, the existing language of the ORS is used with the exception that accounting is stricken and accountancy is inserted. Can you provide some background?

400 DeLORENZO: It is strictly a matter of consistency.

418 SEN. SORENSON: What about the subsection of whether there is a commission a fee, referral, etc. What is your view on that?

426 DeLORENZO: There would be no change to the current statute.

432 EUFORD: We have a large issue of independence in our profession.

441 CHAIR BRYANT: I have concerns about section 29, subsection 4.

474 SEN. BAKER: Would the Chair strike section 29?

478 CHAIR BRYANT: Unless you can convince me otherwise.

TAPE 147, SIDE B

46 EUFORD: I would like to try.

52 CHAIR BRYANT: References Senate Bill 450. Currently in Oregon you have to be more than 15% to have that joint liability.

58 EUFORD: I am watching that legislation with interest.

66 DENNIE L. WHEELER, Certified Public Accountant: Testifies in opposition to SB 51. [EXHIBIT C].

85 CHAIR BRYANT: If the changes we discussed earlier take place, would that satisfy your concerns?

90 WHEELER: Yes it would.

94 DOUGLAS BERWICK, President, Oregon Society of Enrolled Agents: Testifies in support of SB 51.

123 FRANK E. BRAUNER, Oregon Bankers Association: Testifies in support of SB 51. [EXHIBIT D] Asks that Section 29 be stricken.

130 TED HUGHES, Oregon Association of Independent Accountants: I am concerned about the Board of Accountancy wanting more and more.

171 SEN. HAMBY: What are the opponent's views of the dash 7 amendments?

173 HUGHES: We didn't force a vote on dash 7.

175 CHAIR BRYANT: Do you still feel the need if we eliminate sections 28 & 29?

179 BOB STOLL - MIKE ESLER: We have no problems.

185 DIANA E. GODWIN, CPA Referral Service, Inc.: Refers to section 44 of Senate Bill 51.

194 BRYAN M. GRIGGS, President, CPA Referral Service, Inc.: Testifies in opposition to section 44 of SB 51. [EXHIBIT E] and offers proposed amendments [EXHIBIT F]

Gavel is turned over to Vice-Chair Miller

298 When they abolished referral fees it was for all kinds of services also.

344 This statute should be changed to allow payment of fees for referral clients.

364 MARK GRIFFIN, Attorney, CPA Referral Service, Inc.: Speaks about the Federal Trade Commission and its consent order with the American Institute of Public Accountants.

410 I would agree that the law, as written, should restrict accountants from collecting kick-backs.

426 SEN. BAKER: Why don't we simply repeal ORS 673.345 and get rid of the whole problem?

428 GRIFFIN: The purpose of ORS 673.345 is good.

431 SEN. BAKER: But that's a different issue. What business of the State is it to regulate how accountants do business? Where is the public served by this language?

439 GRIFFIN: The only public good that I can see is to prevent those type of conflicts of interest.

452 SEN. BAKER/GRIFFIN: Continue discussion.

TAPE 148, SIDE B

30 GRIFFIN: My purpose here today is to focus on the referral fee itself.

44 SEN. BAKER: I am still not convinced.

45 GRIGGS: Some states have repealed the whole statute.

47 SEN. SORENSON: Could you distinguish the rules that effect lawyers in the area of forwarding fees, etc. and those that pertain to the accounting profession?

50 GRIFFIN: It is more restrictive than lawyers' fees.

54 SEN. BAKER: What business is it of the State to restrict referrals on one

occupation? What is the public good or public interest? If we repeal this section, haven't we done that?

59 GRIFFIN: I think you have.

64 WM. CHAMBERS, Legislative Liaison, Oregon Association of Tax Consultants:

Testifies in support of SB 51. The same things are taken care of in the new bill.

CLOSES PUBLIC HEARING ON SB 51

OPENS PUBLIC HEARING ON SB 1148

Witnesses: Jan Peter Londahl, Assistant Attorney General

85 WILLIAMS: Provides an overview of Senate Bill 1148

101 JAN PETER LONDAHL, Assistant Attorney General: Testifies in support of SB 1148. [EXHIBIT H] This is a housekeeping measure that is 25 years over

due. This bill is intended to correct an old mistake.

CLOSES PUBLIC HEARING ON SB 1148

OPENS WORK SESSION ON SB 1148

115 MOTION: SEN. MILLER: MOVES Senate Bill 1148 to the floor with a DO PASS recommendation.

119 VOTE: 7-0 MOTION PASSES  
AYE: Baker, Hamby, Miller, Sorenson, Springer, Stull, Bryant  
NO: None

Sen. Miller will carry the bill.

CLOSES WORK SESSION ON SB 1148

OPENS WORK SESSION ON SB 51

136 MOTION: CHAIR BRYANT: MOVES the following amendments to Senate Bill 51:

delete sections 28 and 29 of the bill.

138 VOTE: 7-0 MOTION PASSES  
AYE: Baker, Hamby, Miller, Sorenson, Springer, Stull, Bryant  
NO: None

144 WILLIAMS: Explains new language in section 47.

158 MOTION: SEN. BRYANT: MOVES the following amendments to Senate Bill  
51: Insert a new (2) for section 47 reading as follows: "(2) During the  
four-year period immediately following the effective date of this Act, any  
person who, on or before the effective date of this Act, was gaining  
experience that would have qualified them under Section 4(1)(a) to the  
examination required to be passed as a condition for the granting of a  
certificate of certified public accountant under ORS 673.050 (1993 Edition)

and 673.060 (1993 Edition), may elect to qualify to take the examination  
under ORS 673.050 (1993 Edition) and 673.060 (1993 Edition) or the  
provisions of this Act" n page 29 of the bill.

163 VOTE: 7-0 MOTION PASSES  
AYE: Baker, Hamby, Miller, Sorenson, Springer, Stull, Bryant  
NO: None

169 MOTION: SEN. BAKER: MOVES amendments to Senate Bill 51 by adding:  
Repealing ORS 673.345.

170 SEN. BAKER: ORS 673.345 prohibits public accountants from paying a  
commission on a referral.

179 CHAIR BRYANT: Do you have any comment on the repeal of section 44?

183 EUFORD: The reason the Board of Accountancy in this State has had a  
rule prohibiting the payment of commissions or fees is because of the  
independence requirement and the higher level of integrity that is required  
of licensees.

211 CHAIR BRYANT: What about leaving the phrase "or to obtain a client" on  
line 42?

218 EUFORD: I believe you create a loss of independence if you have a CPA  
or PA practice that goes out and buys its clients.

232 SEN. BAKER: I fail to see where it is any business of the Board of  
Accountancy to regulate either one of those issues.

244 EUFORD: We have a practice of referring clients. It's done on a  
professional courtesy basis, not for any fee.

252 SEN. BAKER: Why are you any different from an engineer?

255 EUFORD: I'm not aware that any of those professions pay referral fees.

260 DeLORENZO: The Board is very aware of the public perception of CPA's  
and PA's

272 SEN. BAKER: Those are the same issues handled 10 years ago in the legal  
profession. You have no different distinctive argument than any other  
profession. You are not unique.

285 What purpose does the State of Oregon have to codify a law to restrict  
business?

291 EUFORD: Clients are very naive about financial matters.

299 CHAIR BRYANT: I am concerned about repealing the entire law without a  
little more knowledge. Continues.

324 WILLIAMS: Technically, Senator Baker had a motion on the table to  
repeal.

328 CHAIR BRYANT: Any further discussion on section 44?

331 SEN. STULL: As a realtor, we also have the same kinds of restrictions.

340 VOTE: 4-3 MOTION PASSES  
AYE: Baker, Miller, Sorenson, Springer  
NO: Hamby, Stull, Bryant

359 SEN. SORENSON: Does that mean the amendment proposed by the CPA Referral  
Service is unnecessary?

364 SEN. HAMBY: Regarding the dash 7 amendments, what is your objection to  
the dash 7 amendments?

369 DeLORENZO: The objection to the dash 7 amendments were they created a  
whole new class of licensee that the Board didn't believe was warranted.

374 MOTION: SEN. BAKER: MOVES Senate Bill 51, as amended, to the floor  
with a DO PASS recommendation

376 VOTE: 6-1 MOTION PASSES  
AYE: Baker, Miller, Sorenson, Springer, Stull, Bryant  
NO: Hamby

Sen. Baker will carry the bill.

CLOSES WORK SESSION ON SB 51

OPENS PUBLIC HEARING ON SB 900

Witnesses: Gene Rapp, Safeco Insurance Company  
James N. Gardner, Alliance of American Insurers  
Christopher J. T. Davie, SAIF Corporation  
Captain Rich Hein, Director, Oregon State Police Investigative Services

Division David Fidanque, Executive Director, ACLU of Oregon  
Chuck Tauman, Executive Director, Oregon Trial Lawyers Association  
Ingrid Swenson, Oregon Criminal Defense Lawyers Association

385 JAMES N. GARDNER, Alliance of American Insurers: Testifies in support  
of SB 900. [EXHIBIT I] Creates the crime of insurance fraud in Oregon.

451 GENE RAPPE, SAFECO Insurance Company: Testifies in support of SB 900.  
[EXHIBIT J] Addresses the issue of insurance fraud as a crime.

TAPE 149, SIDE A

47 CHRISTOPHER J. T. DAVIE, SAIF Corporation: Testifies in support of SB  
900. Refers to section 3, page 2, line 14; dealing with employers who  
deliberately under reported payroll to minimize a premium.

59 CHAIR BRYANT: Questions phrase "intent to injure."

60 GARDNER: Refers to a financial injury.

65 CHAIR BRYANT: Alerts to possible double jeopardy question.

69 GARDNER: We did address that briefly.

83 SEN. SPRINGER: The gentleman from SAFECO made reference to millions of  
dollars and many prosecutions. Any idea how many people have been  
prosecuted in Oregon?

86 RAPPE: I personally don't have any kind of information that tells us how  
many people have been prosecuted.

92 SEN. SPRINGER: What about privacy concerns, and what kind of information  
will be disclosed?

110 GARDNER: Current provisions that are available for prosecution, have  
proven to be inadequate tools.

133 SEN. SPRINGER: Under section 6, page 3 and top of page 4, they seem to  
be at odds with sentencing guidelines. Would you like to respond to that?

163 CAPTAIN RICH HEIN, Director, Oregon State Police Investigative Services  
Division: Testifies in support of SB 900. [EXHIBIT K]

187 SEN. STULL: Captain, is there a reason why we are going outside the  
sentencing guide?

190 HEIN: That portion of the legislation is not a direct concern of ours.  
Ours is aimed at the concept of insurance fraud.

194 GARDNER: Again, this is the model NEIC legislation. That's why it's in  
there.

198 SEN. SPRINGER: The DA's might also be concerned with 3b on top of page  
4, it affects their pleading practices.

200 GARDNER: They haven't voiced those concerns.

204 CHAIR BRYANT: I am inclined to delete on page 4 subsection 3 and go  
with our current sentencing guidelines.

212 DAVID J. FIDANQUE, Executive Director, American Civil Liberties Union of  
Oregon: Testifies in opposition to SB 900.

254 States concern about privacy implications  
- concerned about the sweep and breath of the crime that is created by  
section 3 of the bill.  
- gives example of fake insurance identification card

296 CHUCK TAUMAN, Executive Director, Oregon Trial Lawyers Association:  
Testifies in opposition to SB 900.  
- Totally in favor of eliminating any insurance fraud in the state.  
- Could prohibit people from making claims.  
- Language too broad  
- Agrees there is insurance fraud, not shown to be a serious problem

338 Bill should be reciprocal. Should apply and have same sanctions when  
insurance company makes the misrepresentation. Same standards of proof  
should apply.

388 I would look forward to working with the committee to change the bill to  
accomplish its purpose in a fair way.

393 INGRID SWENSON, Oregon Criminal Defense Lawyers Association: Testifies  
in opposition to SB 900.

410 - Concerned with breath of coverage in section 3.  
- Concerned with state of mind requirement on page 2.

432 If the committee wishes further work, I would be happy to participate.

437 CHAIR BRYANT: Adjourns meeting at 5:30 p.m.

Submitted by:

Reviewed by:

Paula Gilmer Purcell  
Committee Assistant

M. Max Williams II  
Committee Administrator/Counsel

EXHIBIT LOG:

A -- Testimony SB 51 -- Karen DeLorenzo -- 2 pages  
B -- SB 51 Background -- Karen DeLorenzo -- 3 pages  
C -- Testimony SB 51 -- Dennie L. Wheeler -- 2 pages  
D -- Testimony SB 51 -- Frank E. Brawner -- 4 pages  
E -- Testimony SB 51 -- Bryan M. Griggs -- 7 pages  
F -- Proposed Amendments SB 51 -- Bryan Griggs -- 1 page  
G -- Testimony SB 51 -- William Chambers -- 2 pages  
H -- Testimony SB 1148 -- Jan P. Londahl -- 4 pages  
I -- Testimony SB 900 -- James N. Gardner -- 1 page  
J -- Testimony SB 900 -- Gene Rappe -- 1 page  
K -- Testimony SB 900 -- Rich Hein -- 1 page  
L -- Hand Engrossed SB 385 -- Staff -- 77 pages