

SENATE COMMITTEE ON
JUDICIARY

May 15, 1995 Hearing Room C
3:00 PM Tapes 162 - 165

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Sen. Neil Bryant, Chair
Sen. Randy MiUer, Vice-Chair
Sen. Ken Baker
Sen. Jeannette Hamby
Sen. Peter Sorenson
Sen. Dick Splinger
Sen. Shirley StuU

STAFF PRESENT:

Max WiUiams, Committee Counsel
- Sarah Watson, Committee Assistant

MEASURES HEARD:

SB 51 - Work Session
SB 900 - Work Session
HB 2645 - Public Hearing
HB 2524 - Public Hearing
HB 26X5 - Public Hearing and Work Session
HB 3252 - Public Hearing and Work Session
HB 3288 - Public Hearing and Work Session

These minutes contain materials which paraphrase and/or summarize statements made during this session. Only text enclosed in quotation marks report a speaker's exact words. For complete contents of the proceedings, please refer to the tapes.

-

TAPE 162, SIDE A

006 CHAIR BRYANT: Calls the meeting to order at 3:13 pm.

WORK SESSION ON SB 51

016 MOTION: SEN. BAKER: Moves to SUSPEND the rules for the purpose of RECONSIDERING the vote on SB 51.

VOTE: Hearing no objections the MOTION CARRIES.
SENATE JUDICIARY
- Page 2

021 MOTION: SEN. BAKER: Moves to RECONSIDER the vote by which "SB 51 was sent to the FLOOR with a DO PASS recommendation".

VOTE: Hearing no objection the MOTION CARRIES.

027 CHAIR BRYANT: Discusses that the bill will be worked on during the interim.

029 SEN. BAKER: My hope would be that they would look at ORS 73.345 and possibly repealing that section.

PUBLIC HEARING ON HB 2645

(HB 2645 prescribes procedure requiring health care provider to report blood alcohol content of certain motor vehicle accident victims.)

Witnesses: Anitra Rasmussen, District 11
Rep. John Schoon, District 34
Sen. Ron Cease, District 10
Rep. Floyd Prozanski, District 40
Ray Mensing, Oregon Medical Association
David Fidanque, ACLU
Jim Arneson, Oregon Criminal Defense Lawyers

Association

Ed Patterson, Oregon Association of Hospitals
Carol Bonnono, Self as Nurse
Susan King, Oregon Nurses Association
Deborah Willey, Emergency Room Nurse
Laureen Oskochil, Multnomah County DUII Community

Board

Steve Azzor, Public Safety Officer at OHSU

040 REP. ANITRA RASMUSSEN, DISTRICT 11: Testifies and submits written testimony in support of HB 2645. (EXHIBIT A)

053 REP. JOHN SCHOON, DISTRICT 34: Testifies in support of HB 2645.
064 SEN. RON CEASE, DISTRICT 10: Testifies in support of HB 2645.

095 REP. FLOYD PROZANSKI, DISTRICT 40: Testifies and submits written testimony in support of HB 2645. (EXHIBIT B)

122 CHAIR BRYANT: It would help if you could wait for the Oregon Medical Associations amendments and then comment on them.

134 RAY MENSING, OREGON MEDICAL ASSOCIATION: Testifies and submits proposed amendments and written testimony in opposition to HB 2645. (EXHIBITS C, D)

218 SEN. SORENSON: Did you make comments on HB 2645 when it was in the House?

220 MENSING: No.

These minutes contain materials which paraphrase and/or summarize statements made during this session. Only text enclosed in quotation marks report a speaker's exact words. For complete contents of the proceedings, please refer to the tapes.

SENATE JUDICIARY

Page 3

221 SEN. SORENSON: Gives example of emergency room situation. Are there any specific ethical rules that physicians would be violating if they complied with this law?

240 MENSING: Yes, discusses the informed consent statute ORS 677.097 and the disclosure of a professional secret.

247 SEN. SORENSON: How did we resolve the disclosing need for professionals secret in the reporting of child abuse?

248 MENSING: You made a law, which is what you are doing with this bill. We just want some provisional protections under the law to report.

255 SEN. SORENSON: Did the amendments that you're suggesting, limit the effectiveness of HB 2645?

258 MENSING: I don't think that it limits the effectiveness, explains. This regulation doesn't go far enough.

269 CHAIR BRYANT: What should happen if a person isn't able to give consent?

274 MENSING: Under the implied consent, the police officer has to have probable cause to believe that the person is under the influence of alcohol. In this bill, the police officer wouldn't make that decision.

278 CHAIR BRYANT: What would be your position as far as someone in the emergency room not being able to give a valid consent.

283 MENSING: There is an implied consent to take a test for medical purpose. This bill would require someone to take a medical test for a criminal charge. You might allow a spouse, parent, or adult child to make that consent.

291 CHAIR BRYANT: Discusses OMA's amendment and that if a person cannot give their consent,

then there would be no test for criminal purposes.

294 MENSING: That is correct.

295 SEN. HAMBY: What is the meaning behind the language, "may draw a sample if it is necessary for the proper care and treatment"? What does that mean?

302 MENSING: In medical care, we will take a test for medical care and treatment. When you start taking that blood test for other purpose, then you have to have different protections.

308 SEN. HAMBY: That is the only time that this kind of test would be taken?

311 MENSING: Correct.

These minutes contain materials which paraphrase and/or summarize statements made during this session. Only text enclosed in quotation marks report a speaker's exact words. For complete contents of the proceedings, please refer to the tapes.

SENATE JUDICIARY

Page 4

312 SEN. HAMBY: Asks about section 3 concerning reporting. Don't you think that we should identify language that would force emergency room personnel that same kind of moral and ethical duty to report the blood alcohol level of someone?

324 MENSING: If it is the legislature's intent to place that moral duty, you could do that.

326 SEN. HAMBY: We could do that.

327 MENSING: As the bill is written, it doesn't give you that permission. You have not created that duty.

344 DAVID FIDANQUE, ACLU: Testifies in opposition to HB 2645.

357 SEN. BAKER: Why are you opposed to unreasonable search and seizure?
There are times that
the state can have reasonable search and seizure.
360 FIDANQUE: Cites ORS 813.140 and the possible amendment. Continues with
testimony.
391 CHAIR BRYANT: Does that ever extend to a non-police officer?
393 FIDANQUE: I don't think that has ever come before the court. Continues
with testimony.
427 CHAIR BRYANT: Gives example of health care provider believing that the
patient is under the
influence of alcohol, an officer being present, and the health care
provider telling the police
officer that their patient may be under the influence. Would that allow the
officer, based on that
information, to request a blood draw?
438 FIDANQUE: I don't know.

TAPE 163, SIDE A

011 SEN. SORENSON: Is there a possibility to accommodate your concerns of
doctor/patient
confidentiality by compromising to have a greater reporting of blood tests
to law enforcement and
use of those in the court proceedings?
021 FIDANQUE: That depends on what it is. The testimony that I heard on the
House side is that
there is a minority of health care providers that want to notify the
police, give example. The
majority of these cases have police officers who follow them to the
hospital. Gives example of
how problems can occur in police officer examples of false injury.
046 SEN. HAMBY: Discusses possibility of an injured person requesting a
helicopter so that a police
officer could not follow them to the hospital.
050 JIM ARNESON, OREGON CRIMINAL DEFENSE LAWYERS ASSOCIATION: Testifies
in opposition to HB 2645.
093 CHAIR BRYANT: Asks if the medical professional could provide the
probable cause.

These r tes contain materials which paraphrase and/or summarue statements
made during this session. Only ten enclosed in quotation rnarks report a
speaker's exact words. For complete contents of the proceeding, please
refer to the tapes,
SENATE JUDICIARY
Page S

095 ARNESON: If the person who provided the information had the training
and the experience to provide the objective information to a police officer
to establish probable cause, then that would be fine. Gives case example of
objective evidence. Discusses amendments to HB 2645.

133 SEN. SORENSON: Can you distinguish between a confrontation clause
problem and a right to
jury trial? If a health care professional performs a test and there is a
statute that the person
cannot be subpoenaed into a criminal case, is there a violation of a jury
trial right to have
witnesses?
148 ARNESON: The inability to subpoena a doctor would violate the right to
subpoena witnesses
under the Oregon constitution. The right not to confront, would be in the
provision that states
"the medical record shall be admissible", and they are presumed to
accurately identify the
person
without the need of a witness.
159 SEN. SORENSON: Asks about physician/patient rule of evidence. Isn't
there already a
provision that excluded communications by physicians to a patient and vice
versa, if it is a
criminal case. Doesn't this allow for a physician/patient privilege in the
criminal context?
172 ARNESON: The difference is that the lack of privilege in the criminal
case applies to
information subpoenaed for a trial, whereas there is no criminal case at
this point because no
evidence has been developed. The medical provider is providing the
information that is the
source of the criminal case.
183 ED PATTERSON, OREGON ASSOCIATION OF HOSPITALS: Testifies in opposition
to
HB 2645. (EXHIBIT E)
235 SEN. BAKER: Did you or any hospitals testify on the House side?
237 PATTERSON: No.

238 SEN. BAKER: Do you know who testified on the House side?
238 PATTERSON: I don't believe the OMA or our association testified.
243 SEN. BAKER: You indicated some reluctance in becoming a element of the
criminal justice system, but you are required now on other issues to report. What is the
difference between those other offenses and drunk driving?
250 PATTERSON: The law on the books requiring reporting hasn't helped solve
or reduce any problems.
267 REP. PROZANSKI: Discusses the conceptual amendments by the OMA.

TAPE 162, SIDE B

030 CAROL BONNONO, SELF AS NURSE: Testifies and submits written testimony
in support of HB 2645. (EXHIBIT F)

051 SUSAN KING, OREGON NURSES ASSOCIATION: Testifies and submits written
testimony in support of HB 2645. (EXHIBIT G)

.....
These minutes contain materials which paraphrase and/or summarize statements
made during this session. Only text enclosed in quotation marks report a
speaker's exact words. For complete contents of the proceedings, please
refer to the tapes.

SENATE JUDICIARY

_ Page 6

101 DEBORAH WILLEY, EMERGENCY ROOM NURSE: Testifies and submits written
testimony in support of HB 2645. (EXHIBIT H)

167 LAUREEN OSKOCHIL, MULTNOMAH COUNTY DUII COMMUNITY BOARD:
Testifies and submits written testimony in support of HB 2645. (EXHIBIT I)
225 STEVE AZZOR, PUBLIC SAFETY OFFICER AT OHSU: Testifies in support of HB
2645.

PUBLIC HEARING ON HB 2524

(HB 2524 expands list of persons authorized to direct disposition of
decedent's remains.)

Witnesses: Robert Earhart, Legislative Aid for Rep. George Eighmy
Paul Reynold, Funeral Director
Mary Jane Hunt, Oregon Donor Program
Mike Seeley, Pacific Northwest Transplant Bank
Scott Maguire, Lions Eyebank of Oregon
Tom Golden, Funeral Director
Rennie Meek, President of Oregon Funeral Directors
Rep. George Eighmy, District 14
Bob Oleson, Oregon State Bar
David Noble, Service Corporation International

264 ROBERT EARHART, LEGISLATIVE AID FOR REP. GEORGE EIGHMY: Testifies and
submits written testimony in support of HB 2524. (EXHIBITS J, K)

294 CHAIR BRYANT: You are referring to the 4 amendments?

297 EARHART: Yes. Continues with testimony.
331 SEN. BAKER: What about a person who has unreasonable requests for
burial? Who decides
that?
337 EARHART: That is included in the A engrossed version of HB 2524,
explains.
346 SEN. BAKER: How would the provision of the probate code be handled if
the surviving spouse
or family is need of money to support them versus the wishes of the
deceased?
350 EARHART: That is covered under other statutes not pertaining to this.
353 SEN. BAKER: What if it is not covered under other statutes.
354 EARHART: I don't know.

357 SEN. SORENSON: Rep. Eighmy and I have discussed this issue. Gives
examples of advising
people in this sort of situation.

These minutes contain materials which paraphrase and/or summarize
statements made during this session. Only text enclosed in quotation marks
report a speaker's exact words. For complete contents of the proceedings
please refer to the tapes.

SENATE JUDICIARY

Page 7

385 PAUL RENOYD, FUNERAL DIRECTOR: Testifies in opposition to HB 2524.

TAPE 163, SIDE B

012 CHAIR BRYANT: When there is a common law, or other relationship, are the parties and next to kin usually able to work things out? How often do you have a real controversy?
014 REYNOLD: In 44 years I have never had a problem where people have had to legally go to court.
019 MARY JANE HUNT, OREGON DONOR PROGRAM: Testifies and submits written testimony in opposition to HB 2524. (EXHIBIT L)
027 MIKE SEELEY, PACIFIC NORTHWEST TRANSPLANT BANK: Testifies and submits written testimony in opposition to HB 2524. (EXHIBIT M)
049 SCOTT MAGUIRE, LIONS EYEBANK OF OREGON: Testifies and submits written testimony in opposition to HB 2524. (EXHIBIT N)
079 TOM GOLDEN, FUNERAL DIRECTOR: Testifies in opposition to HB 2524.
097 RENNIE MEEK, PRESIDENT OF OREGON FUNERAL DIRECTORS: Testifies in opposition to HB 2524.

155 REP. GEORGE EIGHMY, DISTRICT 14: Testifies in support as sponsor of HB 2524.

204 CHAIR BRYANT: Can't they do that now with pre-arrangements?

205 REP. EIGHMY: No, explains. It can be breached as it stands today.

226 SEN. BAKER: Under the probate code, we have provision where people in the family can invade the estate for purposes of living expenses. Would you have any problem with changing section 1 subsection 6, to expand that to include to the situation where the money was needed for the survivors of the decedent?

238 REP. EIGHMY: I have no problem at all with that. If you feel that there is language to better address that, that is fine.

247 SEN. BAKER: Doesn't the probate statute allow the court to direct that as an ongoing sum of money for the family, until the probate is closed?

250 REP. EIGHMY: Yes, up to a certain amount.

252 BOB OLESON, OREGON STATE BAR: Testifies in support of HB 2524.

270 DAVID NOBLE, SERVICE CORPORATION INTERNATIONAL: Testifies and submits written testimony in opposition to HB 2524. (EXHIBIT O)

These ~ contain materials ~ paraphrase and/or summary statements made during this session. Only text enclosed in quotation marks report a speaker's exact words. For complete contents of the proceedings, please refer to the tapes.

SENATE JUDICIARY

Page 8

PUBLIC HEARING ON ~ 2685

(HB 2685 adds court appointed special advocates to list of persons required to report child abuse.)

Witness: Betty Uchytel, Oregon Department of Human Resources

354 BETTY UCHYTIL, OREGON DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN RESOURCES: Testifies and submits written testimony of HB 2685. (EXHIBIT P)

393 SEN. HAMBY: I cannot believe that the Court Appointed Special Advocates (CASA) have not been there all along.

395 UCHYTIL: When they were created, I think it was overlooked that CASA was added to the child abuse reporting law. There is immunity protection for the CASA involvement in the statute that creates them, explains.

409 CHAIR BRYANT: Discusses the high fiscal analysis on HB 2685.

422 SEN. BAKER: What do we do now for all the warrants?

425 CHAIR BRYANT: I don't understand the fiscal impact either. In the criminal cases, there is something additionally paid for being the judge on call.

TAPE 164, SIDE A

010 MAX WILLIAMS, COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Discusses fiscal impact and that it deals with areas where there are only one or two judges that have to cover a large geographic area and that they would be on call for a 24 hour time period.

016 CHAIR BRYANT: In some places, there is only one judge for five or six

counties. They would appoint someone pro tem to fill the 24 hour position. This would mainly be for the rural areas.

024 SEN. HAMBY: They don't have judges available for any of the on call positions.

026 SEN. BAKER: Do we allow to call in judges from somewhere else?

030 CHAIR BRYANT: I don't know how that works.

032 MAX WILLIAMS, COMMITTEE COUNSEL: The judges staff in the courthouse probably

has standing protocols that they follow when their judge is away.

036 CHAIR BRYANT: Discusses possible language to help resolve some of the fiscal issue.

041 UCHYTIL: With this fiscal impact, we could not support the bill. If the part of the bill about

court appointed advocates had no fiscal impact, it would be unfortunate not to allow that to go

through in this bill.

048 CHAIR BRYANT: We can delete section 2 or else this bill will have to go to Ways and Means.

These minutes contain materials which paraphrase and/or summarize statements made during this session. Only text enclosed in quotation marks report a speaker's exact words. For complete contents of the proceedings, please refer to the tapes.

SENATE JUDICIARY

- Page 9

051 SEN. BAKER: Right now, if there is a law enforcement officer that believes that a child is in

immediate and present danger of abuse, they already have a current statute.

053 UCHYTIL: They can take the child into custody, but cannot issue a restraining order to make

someone leave.

057 SEN. BAKER: We changed that language last session to order someone to leave, in the

meantime the child could be in a protective shelter somewhere. What does

section 2 add to this?

06.0 UCHYTIL: This would allow the law enforcement officer to call and get an immediate

restraining order to tell the person they have to leave without the child

ever having to leave.

066 SEN. BAKER: We probably need to work on this bill.

069 CHAIR BRYANT: HB 3180 is up for a hearing on Wednesday which also talks about 24

availability. If we delete section 2 now, then we can look into further and

send it to a

conference committee.

WORK SESSION ON HB 2685

082 MOTION: SEN. HAMBY: moves to AMEND HB 2685 by deleting "section 2 of the

bill".

VOTE: Hearing no objection the MOTION CARRIES.

084 MOTION: SEN. HAMBY: Moves HB 2685 be sent to the floor with a DO PASS AS AMENDED recommendation.

VOTE: Hearing no objections the MOTION CARRIES. Sen. Miller, Sorenson, and Springer are excused.

086 CHAIR BRYANT: Sen. Stull will carry the bill.

PUBLIC HEARING ON HB 3252

(HB 3252 removes certain foster home providing respite care as defined by rule from certification requirements.)

Witnesses: Kathryn Weit, Oregon Developmental Disabilities Council Betty Uchityl, Department of Human Resources

093 KATHRYN WEIT, OREGON DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITIES COUNCIL: Testifies and submits written testimony in support of HB 3252. (EXHIBITS Q, R)

These minutes contain materials which paraphrase and/or summarize statements made during this session. Only text enclosed in quotation marks report a speaker's exact words. For complete contents of the proceedings, please refer to the tapes.

SENATE JUDICIARY Page 10

119 BETTY UCHITYL, DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN RESOURCES: Testifies and submits written testimony in support of HB 3252. (EXHIBIT S)

136 CHAIR BRYANT: Respite care homes would not qualify for super siting as foster care homes?

139 UCHITYL: They would not qualify as foster care homes under the statute
at all because they
are an exception to those that must be certified.
141 SEN. BAKER: Is there a length of time that you can have a child in
respite care?
143 UCHITYL: No, explains.
145 SEN. BAKER: If we were to leave that child there for an unregulated
length time, we have no
supervision at all?
150 WEIT: Current respite programs are operated by local non profit
organizations that have a
fairly extensive inspection criminal records check process. We do believe
that as we expand
respite care in Oregon that we will be looking at uniform state regulation.
157 SEN. BAKER: Is the state doing anything to inventory how many of these
we are talking about
and what the conditions are?
159 WEIT: Yes, explains.
163 SEN. STULL: Do respite care providers have a criminal background check?

166 WEIT: In most programs right now, respite care providers do have a
criminal records check. We are in the process of establishing a number of
new respite programs.

WORK SESSION ON HB 3252

174 MOTION: SEN. BAKER: Moves HB 3252 be sent to the floor with a DO PASS
recommendation.
VOTE: Hearing no objection the MOTION CARRE S. Sen. Miller, Sorenson are
excused.
175 CHAIR BRYANT: Sen. Hamby will carry the bill.

PUBLIC HEARING ON HB 3288

(HB 3288 creates crime of improper use of 9-1-1 emergency reporting
system.)

Witness: Galen Howard, 911 Coordinator for Lane County

182 GALEN HOWARD, 911 COORDINATOR FOR LANE COUNTY: Test)files and submits
written testimony in support of HB 3288. (EXHIBIT T)

. -

These rnmutes contain materials which paraplnase and/or summarlze
ststernents made during tbs session. Only text enclosed in quotation marks
report a speaker's exact words. For complete contents of tbe proceedings,
please refer to the lopes.

SENATE JUDICIARY

- Page 11

WORK SESSION ON HB 3288

230 SEN. STULL: Has concerns about prosecuting older people who may not be
dialing this number maliciously. How would that be dealt with?

238 CHAIR BRYANT: "Knowingly", is the key wording.
242 SEN. BAKER: You are interpreting "knowingly" to be the criminal state of
mind?
243 CHAIR BRYANT: Yes.
244 SEN. STULL: Most emergency communication facilities deal with the
elderly who may
accidentally call this number.
247 CHAIR BRYANT: I don't think a District Attorney would prosecute though.
They know who
they are intending to go after in these situations.
254 SEN. STULL: I was just wondering if there was a better way to deal with
this.
257 CHAIR BRYANT: If they don't have the mental ability, then they couldn't
be guilty of the came
anyway.

263 SEN. BAKER: I agree in that the language is somewhat awkward.
265 MOTION: SEN. HAMBY: Moves HB 3288 be sent to the floor with a DO PASS
recommendation.
VOTE: Hearing no objections the MOTION CARRIES. Sen. Miller and Sorenson
are
excused.
270 CHAIR BRYANT: Sen. Bryant will carry the bill.

WORK SESSION ON SB 900

(SB 900 creates crime of insurance fraud. [EXHIBITS U, V, VV1])

316 JIM GARDENER, ALLIANCE OF AMERICAN INSURERS: Test)files in support of SB
900. Discusses -8, -9, -10 amendments and conceptual amendments.

389 SEN. SPRINGER: Discusses proposed amendments by Sen. Sorenson. (EXHIBIT X)

407 CHUCK TOMLIN, OREGON TRIAL LAWYERS ASSOCIATION: Discusses conceptual amendments by Sen. Sorenson. Against the bill?

TAPE 165, SIDE A

030 GARDENER: We oppose this amendment by Sen. Sorenson. Discusses opposition to amendments.

These minutes contain materials which paraphrase - /or summarize statements made during this session. Only text enclosed in quotation marks report a speaker's exact words. For complete contents of the proceedings, please refer to the tapes.

SENATE JUDICIARY
- Page 12

047 CHAIR BRYANT: What happens if they violate that section?

049 THOMAS MORNINGSTAR, ATTORNEY: Testifies on behalf of proponents on SB 900. Discusses consequences.

054 CHAIR BRYANT: Would you also be subject to damages?

055 MORNINGSTAR: Yes and attorney fees as well.

056 CHAIR BRYANT: Asks about page 2, lines 2-3 and discusses possible language.

063 GARDENER: That language is fine.

064 SEN. SPRINGER: Discusses -9 and -10 amendments. In section 5, those are unfamiliar terms in

the Oregon statutes aren't they?

071 MORNINGSTAR: No. There is an existing immunity for providing information to regulatory

agencies regarding insurance company conduct, discusses language. Discusses ORS 746.685 language.

089 SEN. SPRINGER: What about negligence? Gives example.

092 MORNINGSTAR: That wouldn't be maliciously, explains.

095 SEN. SPRINGER: To accuse someone of a crime would otherwise be actionable wouldn't it?

Even if done negligently and in the absence of malice?

099 MORNINGSTAR: You would have a hard time getting that past motions to strike.

100 SEN. SPRINGER: I could accuse someone of a crime and not be held accountable?

102 MORNINGSTAR: Correct. It is unlawful to "knowingly" file a false police report.

105 SEN. SPRINGER: I am not suggesting a crime, I am talking about civil liability.

107 MORNINGSTAR: A person wouldn't get very far with an action like that, explains.

114 GARDENER: We have moved toward the golden mean in terms of taking out some provisions that were the subject of controversy.

124 DAVID F IDANQUE, ACLU: Testifies in opposition to SB 900.

140 MAX WILLIAMS, COMMITTEE COUNSEL: That suggestion was provided by the proponents and LC. They felt that it made it more confusing. It isn't the proponents fault that it was not included.

148 FIDANQUE: Continues with testimony.

These minutes contain materials which paraphrase and/or summarize statements made during this session. Only text enclosed in quotation marks report a speaker's exact words. For complete contents of the proceedings, please refer to the tapes.

SENATE JUDICIARY

- Page 13

161 INGRID SWENSON, OREGON CRIMINAL DEFENSE LAWYERS ASSOCIATION: Discusses conceptual amendments.

195 CHAIR BRYANT: Please give me a letter about your testimony, I will pass it on.

195 MOTION: SEN. BAKER: Moves to ADOPT SB 900-9 & -10 amendments dated 05/15/95 and that the bill be further amended on page 1, by deleting "line~

16

& 17".

VOTE: Hearing no objections the amendments are ADOPTED. Sen. Miller and

Sorenson are excused.

202 MOTION: SEN. SPRINGER: moves to AMEND SB 900 by inserting "conceptual amendment, as explained by Chuck Tomlin" on page, line of the bm.

204 SEN. BAKER: Discusses possible friendly amendment to Sen. Springer's conceptual

211 MOTION: SEN. BAKER: moves to AMEND SEN. SPRINGER'S motion on SB 900 by deleting "incomplete".
 VOTE: 5-0 MOTION PASSES
 AYE: Sen. Baker, Harnby, Springer, Stull, Bryant
 NO: None
 EXCUSED: Sen Miller, Sorenson

218 MOTION: SEN. BRYANT: moves to AMEND SB 900 by inserting "a person commits a fraudulent insurance act, if the person with an intent to defraud or deceive" after "" on page 2, line 2-3 of the bill.
 VOTE; Hearing on objections the MOTION CARRIES.

225 SEN. BAKER: Discusses conceptual arnendTnent.

232 CHAIR BRYANT: That is taken care of by the earlier amendment.

236 MOTION: SEN. BRYANT: Moves SB 900 AS AMENDED be sent to the floor v~ith a DO PASS recommendation.
 VOTE: 4-1 MOTION PASSES
 AYE: Sen Baker, Harnby, Stull, Bryant
 NO: Sen. Springer
 EXCUSED: Sen. Miller, Sorenson

241 CHAIR BRYANT: Sen. Baker will carry the bill.

These minutes co_ aterials which paraphrase ar~/or sumn~rize statements made during this session. Only text enclosed in quotation marks report a speaker's exact words. For complete contents of the proceedings please refer to tbe tapes.
 SENATE JUDICIARY
 ~ le 14

(HB 2212 delivery or payment of proceeds of compensable crimes to Department of Justice.)

Witness: Rives Kistler, Department of Justice

248 RIVES KISTLER, DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE: Test)files and submits written testimony in opposition to HB 2212. (EXHIBIT Y)

272 CHAIR BRYANT: So it narrows tine prior statute, provides constitutional guarantees as a due process to the person.

274 KISTLER: Yes.

WORK SESSION ON ~ 2212

275 MOTION: SEN. SPRINGER: Moves HB 2212 be sent to the floor with a DO PASS recommendation.
 VOTE: 4-0 MOTION PASSES
 AYE: Sen. Baker, Springer, Stull, Bryant
 NO: None
 EXCUSED: Sen. Hannby, Miller, Sorenson

279 CHAIR BRYANT: Sen. Springer will carry the bill.

280 CHAIR BRYANT: Adjourns the hearing at 5:50 pm.

Submitted by,

Reviewed by,

Sarah Watson Debra Johnsgh
 Committee Assistant Committee (Coordinator)

EXHIBIT SUMMARY:

- A. Testimony on HB 2645 - Rep. Anitra Rasmussen - 1 page
- B. Testimony on HB 2645 - Rep. Floyd Prozanski - 1 page
- C. Proposed Amendments to HB 2645 - Ray Mensing - 2 pages
- D. Testimony on HB 2645 - Ray Mensing - 1 page
- E. Testimony on HB 2645 - Ed Patterson - 2 pages

F. Testimony on HB 2645 - Carol Bonnono - 1 page

These minutes contain materials which paraphrase and/or summarize statements made during this session. Only text enclosed in quotation marks report a speaker's exact words. For complete contents of the proceedings, please refer to the tapes.

SENATE JUDICIARY

- Page 15

G. Testimony on HB 2645 - Susan King - 2 pages
H. Testimony on HB 2645 - Deborah Willey - 3 pages
I. Testimony on HB 2645 - Laureen Oskochil - 1 page
J. Testimony on HB 2524 - Rep. George Eighmy - 1 page
K. Proposed Amendments to HB 2524 - Rep. George Eighmy - 7 pages
L. Testimony on HB 2524 - Mary Jane Hunt - 1 page
M. Testimony on HB 2524 - Michael Seely - 1 page
N. Testimony on HB 2524 - Scott Maguire - 2 pages
O. Testimony on HB 2524 - David Noble - 1 page
P. Testimony on HB 2685 - Betty Uchytel - 1 page
Q. Testimony on HB 3252 - Kathryn Weit - 2 pages
R. Testimony on HB 3252 - Kathryn Weit - 4 pages
S. Testimony on HB 3252 - Betty Uchytel - 1 page
T. Testimony on HB 3288 - Galen Howard - 2 pages
U. Proposed Amendments to SB 900 - Staff - 1 page
V. Proposed Amendments to SB 900 - Staff - 1 page
W. Proposed Amendments to SB 900 - Staff - 1 page
X. Proposed Amendments to SB 900 - Sen. Peter Sorenson - 1 page
Y. Testimony on HB 2212 - Rives Xistler - 2 pages

These minutes contain materials which paraphrase and/or summarize statements made during this session. Only text enclosed in quotation marks report a speaker's exact words. For complete contents of the proceedings please refer to the tapes.