SENATE COMMITTEE ON JUDICIARY Hearing Room Tapes - 88 Tapes MEMBERS PRESENT. SEN. NEIL BRYANT, Chair SEN. KEN BAKER SEN. JEANNETTE HAMBY SEN. PETER SORENSON SEN. DICK SPRINGER SEN. SHIRLEY STULL MEMBER EXCUSED: SEN. RANDY MILLER, Vice-Chair STAFF PRESENT: BILL TAYLOR, Committee Counsel DIANE DUSSLER, Committee Assistant MEASURES HEARD: MEASURES HEARD: SB 60 - PUBLIC HEARING SB 504 - PUBLIC HEARING SB 641 - PUBLIC HEARING HB 2388 - PUBLIC HEARING SB 60 - WORK SESSION SB 107 - WORK SESSION SB 429 - WORK SESSION SB 429 - WORK SESSION - WORK SESSION HB 2388 These minutes contain materials which paraphrase and/or summarize statements made during this session. Only text enclosed in quotation marks report a speaker's exact words. For complete contents of the proceedings, please refer to the tapes. TAPE , A 003 CHAIR BRYANT: Calls the meeting to order at 3:00 p.m. Opens PUBLIC HEARING on SB 60 WITNESSES: Jo Ann Langford, CCP, Owner and General Manager, Rams Specialized Security Inc. Terri Dill-Simpson, Safety and Security Manager, Washington Park Zoo Josh Harris, Vice President and General Manager, Word Security Services Gary Gross, Vice President and Corporate Director, Northwest Protective Services John Velke III, Vice President, Corporate Director of Loss Prevention, Fred Meyer Ken Wright, Chief of Police, Woodburn, Second Vice President of Oregon of Chiefs of Police Steve Bennett, Executive Director, Board on Public Safety, Standards, and Association Training 010 JO ANN LANGFORD, CCP, Owner and General Manager, Rams Specialized Security Inc.: Testifies and submits written testimony in favor of SB 60. [EXHIBIT A] CHAIR BRYANT: Which states have similar statutes now? 073 077 LANGFORD: California and Washington do have statutes. Currently less than ten states do not have the statute. TERRI DILL-SIMPSON, Safety and Security Manger, Washington Park Zoo: 105 Testifies and submits written testimony in favor of SB 60. [EXHIBIT B] 152 SEN. SORENSON: What would the fees be? 170 SIMPSON: A rough estimate is \$35 for a personal license. Services: Testifies and submits written testimony in favor of SB 60 [EXHIBIT F]. JOSH HARRIS, CCP, Vice President and General Manager, World Security 225 GARY GROSS, Vice President, Northwest Protective Service: Testifies and submits written testimony in favor of SB 60 (EXHIBIT C). 238  $\,$  JOHN VELKE, Vice President and Corporate Director of Loss Prevention, Fred Meyer: Testifies and submits written testimony in favor of SB 60[EXHIBIT D]. 290 KEN WRIGHT, Chief of Police, Woodburn, Oregon, Second Vice President of Oregon Association Chiefs of Police: Testifies and submits written

testimony in favor of SB 60 [EXHIBIT E]. 360 CHAIR BRYANT: How many agencies are there in Oregon? GROSS: Probably between 300 to 500. 364 SEN. SORENSON: How may local jurisdictions have requirements for 382 licensing of private security forms? 386 WRIGHT: There are quite a few. 400 SEN. SORENSON: Can we have an academic requirement before they get specialized training? 414 WRIGHT: It wasn't the intent of this bill to raise the private security to a public law enforcement standard. 477 SEN. BAKER: In Section 3, page 2, line 40, why is 90 days there? TAPE 86, A 043 WRIGHT: Ninety days was felt to be a reasonable time. SEN. HAMBY: Chief Wright, do you allow police offices to serve as 065 private security in their off hours? 070 WRIGHT: No, I do not. STEVE BENNETT, Executive Director, Board on Public Safety, Standards and 081 Training (BPSST): Testifies and submits written testimony in favor of SB 60. [EXHIBIT F]. 131 SEN. SORENSON: Compared to other states, if we enact these requirements would we be at the high end or low end? BENNETT: We would be average. 142 Closes PUBLIC HEARING on SB 60 Opens PUBLIC HEARING on HB 2388 WITNESS: Rep. Lonnie Roberts, District 21 REP. LONNIE ROBERTS, District 21: Testifies in favor of HB 2388. 210 245 SEN. SORENSON: Where would this fall in the sentencing guidelines and does a civil private right of action exist for this under Rico? 253 ROBERT: I don't know the answer to either one. 250 SEN. BAKER: Why is this a Class A felony? 255: ROBERTS: It was written into the law as it is a serious offense. 275 - need strong penalty to be a strong deterrent 320 CHAIR BRYANT: Paul Snider had to leave. He isn't taking a position on the bill but wants the committee to be aware of the effects enhanced penalties may have on the criminal justice system. Closes PUBLIC HEARING on SB 2388 Opens WORK SESSION on HB 2388 329 SEN. BAKER: Would you consider an amendment to reduce it from a Class A to a Class C misdemeanor? 330 CHAIR BRYANT: Yes. MOTION: SEN. BAKER: Moves to ADOPT with "C" in Section 2, page 2, line 2, and line 30. SEN. BAKER: Moves to ADOPT amendments to HB 2388 by replacing "A" 345 SEN. BAKER: My rationale is a Class E felony is up to 5 years, so a Class A seems excessive for this and it has a direct impact on more violent crimes. 373 VOTE: 5-1 MOTION PASSES Baker, Hamby, Springer, Stull, Bryant AYE: NAY: Sorenson 380 MOTION: SEN. BAKER: Moves that HB 2388 AS AMENDED be sent to the Floor with a DO PASS recommendation. SEN. SORENSON will carry the bill to the Floor. VOTE: Hearing no objections, the motion CARRIES. All members present vote AYE SEN. MILLER is EXCUSED. Closes WORK SESSION on HB 2388

Re-Opens PUBLIC HEARING on SB 60

395 CHAIR BRYANT: Is age 18 your recommendation for the blanks that need to

be filled in on page 3, line 4? 408 BENNETT: That is correct. Section 5b, page 4 and recommendation is for 3 reputable citizen 413 references 419 CHAIR BRYANT: On page 6, Section 13, what is the standard civil penalty that you propose? 425 BENNETT: 1500 430 CHAIR BRYANT: The board can go up to \$1,500? BENNETT: Currently, we don't a civil penalty. 435 CHAIR BRYANT: I suggest 97, 98, 99 for Section 16. 460 466 BENNETT: That's fine. TAPE 85, B 050 CHAIR BRYANT: Why did you select 2 members? BENNETT: That was the recommendation of the work group. 053 SEN. SORENSON: Page 4, Section 6, what happens when the alleged 100 investigation is over? 110 BENNETT: If at the completion of the investigation it was determined that a violation did occur then the individual looses his license. 121 GARY GROSS: Rational for Section 13 is that when we find someone in violation we need a civil penalty to enforce the act. 143 CHAIR BRYANT: Was it your intent to have this penalty apply to the company? 144 GROSS: Yes 168 SEN. STULL: Are the advisory committees compensated by state government? BENNETT: Official members have not had a history of being compensated. 177 This advisory board could legally be compensated. Closes PUBLIC HEARING on SB 60 Opens WORK SESSION on SB 107 CHAIR BRYANT: This is to standardize procedural process for challenging 207 court actions of state and local governments. 237  $\,$  EDWIN PETERSON, former Chief Justice, Chair of the Judicial Review Committee of the Judicial Department: Testifies in support of SB 107 and submits proposed amendments. [EXHIBIT H & I] ROY PULVERS, Counsel to Supreme Court: Testifies in support of SB 107. 251 insertion of notice of appeal prior to the petition for The judicial review caused changed. - procedural attempt to facilitate early resolution of case 262 291 - in Section 26 the number 25 has been inserted in the blank space 314 MIKE REYNOLDS, Attorney General's Office: Testifies in support of SB 107. This will expedite early resolution of meritless appeals. - forces petition to identify issues early 350 CHAIR BRYANT: We will take the opportunity to review the amendments. Closes WORK SESSION ON SB 107 Re-opens PUBLIC HEARING on SB 60 348 BENNETT: There is a provision for the Advisory Committee Members to get a per diem and reimbursement for travel and other expenses. There is no compensation for their time. SEN. SORENSON: What is your view on including a civil right of action 379 to enforce the provisions of the requirements? CHAIR BRYANT: With response to the relating clause, I don't think we 389 can amend this to include a civil clause of action. BENNETT: That wasn't a legal concern brought out in the discussion. 412 LANGFORD: Committee would refer that to Rick Whitlock who assisted us 402 with the bill. Closes PUBLIC HEARING on SB 60 Opens WORK SESSION on SB 60

440 MOTION: CHAIR BRYANT: Moves to ADOPT amendments to SB 60 by inserting 18 in blank on page 3, line 4; insert 3 in the blank on page 4, line 4; insert \$1,500 in the blank on page 6, line 17; on page 7 insert 97 in line 17, insert 98 in line 18 and insert 99 in line 19. Hearing no objections, the amendment is ADOPTED. All members AYE. SEN. SPRINGER is EXCUSED. VOTE · presents vote 468 CHAIR BRYANT: I'm concerned with Section 13. I would not have the civil penalty here. 480 SEN. BAKER: Would you remove Section 13 entirely? 481 CHAIR BRYANT: Yes. TAPE 86, B 050~ SEN. SORENSON: I think alleged police misconduct has been minimized by existence of civil right of action and I would like to get that in an amendment CHAIR BRYANT: Way and Means would need to approve the advisory board's 080 budget. SEN. STULL: Recommends advisory board not have per diem subsidized by 090 state government. - suggests change to Section 15 dealing with advisory board 100 117 CHAIR BRYANT: Asks Sen. Stull to work on the issue of the make up of the advisory board. Closes WORK SESSION on SB 60 Opens WORK SESSION on 429 WITNESSES: Bradd Swank, State Court Administrator's Office Ingrid Swenson, Oregon Criminal Defense Lawyer's Association David Carlile, District Attorney, Linn County Tracy Cordes, Program Manager for Sentence Guidelines BILL TAYLOR: Outstanding issue is what factors can be used on enhanced 135 penalties. We have proposed language from Court Administrator's Office on Section 7, page 2 line 19. 160 BRADD SWANK, State Court Administrator's Office: Testifies and proposes language changes to SB 429. Insert a period after word offense on page 2, line 19. Delete the language "or requested by" and insert "the sentencing court may order a pre-sentence report at the request of". INGRID SWENSON, Oregon Criminal Defense Lawyer's Association: Testifies and submits proposed amendments on aggravating factor on SE 429 [EXHIBIT J]. TAYLOR: We need to request the Criminal Justice Council to amend their 203 Sentencing Guidelines by adopting this rule. 255 SWENSON: A combination of the Criminal Justice Council's proposal and the proposal on page 2 of the memo will eliminate double counting factor. DAVID CARLILE, District Attorney, Linn County: 2.60 We oppose the aggravating language amendment which was discussed last time. get repeat property offenders which sentencing guidelines do not reach
this is only tool we have to reach them. 271 275 CHAIR BRYANT: Moves to ADOPT amendments to SB 429 by inserting 290 MOTION: period after word offense on page 2, line 19 and insert language may order a pre-sentencing report at the request of the "sentencing court district attorney or the defendant". 298 VOTE: Hearing no objections, the amendment are ADOPTED. All members present vote AYE. SEN. SPRINGER is EXCUSED. 310 CHAIR BRYANT: On the proposed amendment on the council, is it correct that if we do nothing the rule will go into effect. 319 TAYLOR: If we do nothing the rule on line 7 will go into effect. 335 SEN. STULL: Asks Mr. Carlile to explain his issue. 340 CARLILE: Explains how to use the grid block. - departure provision allows judge to issue lessor or harsher sentences 353 when lines intersect 366 - aggravating factor can be that the person has committed many offenses 370 - in proposed amendment bolded areas are addition to current law and would make it more difficult for judge to depart upward SEN. SORENSON: One of reasons for grid system is if we allow judges to 391 depart you have disparate sentences. Is prior criminal behavior already a factor? 411 CARLILE: I would agree to a limited extent.

412 SEN. SORENSON: Doesn't it mean that people who have committed more serious crimes will be more likely to be released earlier if circuit judges

depart on UUMV and other crimes? 421 CARLILE: Your analysis is correct. 430 SEN. SORENSON: If we don't prioritize sentencing will differ from county to county. MOTION: SEN. SORENSON: Moves to ADOPT amendment to SB 429 by requesting Criminal Justice Council preclude trial courts from using mediating factors H in sentencing offenders. TAPE 87, A TRACY CORDES, Program Manager for Sentencing Guidelines on Criminal 065 Justice Council: Testifies on SB 429. Believes bill language targets e and on criminal history scale. 105 - discusses use of mitigating factor 122 VOTE: 4-1 MOTION PASSES AYE: Baker, Bryant, Hamby, Sorenson, NAY: Stull SEN. SPRINGER is EXCUSED. MOTION: SEN. HAMBY: Moves SB 429 AS AMENDED to the Floor with a DO PASS 126 recommendation. Hearing no objections, the motion CARRIES. All members present vote VOTE: AYE. SEN. SPRINGER is EXCUSED. Closes WORK SESSION on SB 429 Opens PUBLIC HEARING on SB 504 Melinda Grier, Department of Higher Education Rick DeMars, Director of Public Safety and Personnel, Western Oregon State WITNESSES: College Carey Drayton, Director, Office of Public Safety, University of Oregon Jason Kropf, University of Oregon student David Fidanque, Executive Director, American Civil Liberties Union Jane Lesser, Oregon Student Lobby Keith Kutler, Counsel, Department of Justice 140 MELINDA GRIER, High Education: Testifies and submits written testimony in favor of SB 504  $\,$  [EXHIBIT K & L]. 206 RICK DeMARS, Director of Public Safety and Personnel, Western Oregon State College: Testifies in favor of SB 504. Explains differences in training for officers. - major difference is officers would not be armed 222 230 SEN. BAKER: Why are we setting up special categories? 237 GRIER: Legislature wanted to limit authority. CHAIR BRYANT: How many officers do you intent to hire? 280 GRIER: We don't intend to hire as we are at full strength. 283 317 CAREY DRAYTON, Director, Office of Public Safety, University of Oregon: Testifies on SB 504. Explains that campus contracts with city for police officers. DeMARS: We are first responder at incident on campus. - we have capability of arresting on probable cause 390 435 TAPE 88, A JASON KROPF, University of Oregon student: Testifies on SB 504. 030 Expresses concern. fears lack of training of security officers will lead to abuse
believes better use of funds would be to increase campus lighting 065 084 118 - believes stop and frisk powers for security officers is a bad idea JANE LESSER, Oregon Student Lobby: Testifies on SE 504. Expresses ns with bill: - believes enhanced training will increase powers for 124 concerns with bill: officers - questions how thorough training is for officers 147 DAVID FIDANQUE, Executive Director, American Civil Liberties Union: es on SB 504 neither in support or opposition. 183 Testifies on SB 504 neither in support or opposition. - traditionally opposes expansion of police authority to agencies that are enforcement agencies - agrees that legislative oversight is appropriate 200 KEITH KUTLER, Counsel for Board of Higher Education, Department of 233 Justice: Introduces self. 236 SEN. SORENSON: Questions use of City of Eugene police officers on campus. 245 KUTLER: It is not cost effective to have security officers do same thing as police officers.

294

SEN. STULL: In LEDS access, will you be setting up your own system?

303 DRAYTON: That is part of our contract. We have a separate access number Closes PUBLIC HEARING on SB 504 Opens PUBLIC HEARING on SB 641 WITNESSES: William Curtin, Director of Campus Safety, Lewis and Clark College Craig Smith, Chief Financial Officer of Chemekta Community College Jeff Brown, student, Willamette University David Fidanque, Executive Director, American Civil Liberties Union WILLIAM CURTIN, Director of Campus Safety, Lewis and Clark College: les in support of SB 641. - having law enforcement authority would provide immediate 334 Testifies in 385 accountability especially especially in drug and alcohol use - campus has sanctuary type of appeal for minors and public - bill would give law enforcement authority to private corporations 419 460 TAPE 87, B 0.62 CRAIG SMITH, Chief Financial Office at Chemeketa College, Oregon Community College Association: Testifies in favor of SB 641. - have neigHB orhood spill over offenses as some come to campus seeking 079 assistance - we want our officers subject to our policies and procedures not 116 sheriff's department 135 JEFF BROWN, Willamette University Law Student: Testifies in opposition to SB 641. Has some public policy and constitutional concerns: 160 - extension of state wide arrest jurisdiction to officers who have less training than police officers - believes bill may violate First Amendment 190 217 DAVID FIDANQUE, Executive Director, American Civil Liberties Union: Testifies in opposition to SB 641. believes peace officers should have limited authority
let local police officers deal with criminal matters 230 242 2.60 SEN. BAKER: Would you be neutral if we extended SB 504 to community colleges? 2.65 FIDANQUE: Yes. There are some jurisdictional problems with community colleges. 290 SEN. SORENSON: Does anyone have state wide arrest jurisdiction besides police officers? 295 FIDANQUE: I'm not aware of any. CURTIN: As far as I know there is no one except for municipal and 303 sheriff personnel. We do not want state wide authority. Our intent was to limit our authority to the campus. Submitted for the record testimony from Kevin Campbell [EXHIBIT M] Closes PUBLIC HEARING on SB 641

340 CHAIR BRYANT: Adjourns meeting at 6:20 p.m.

Submitted by, Reviewed by,

DIANE DUSSLER BILL TAYLOR Committee Assistant Committee Counsel

## EXHIBIT SUMMARY:

- A -
- Testimony on SB 60 Jo Ann Langford 2 pages Testimony on SB 60 Terri Dill-Sampan 2 pages Testimony on SB 60 Garry Gross 1 page Testimony on SB 60 John Velke III 1 page Testimony on SB 60 Ken Wright 2 pages Testimony on SB 60 Steve Bennett 1 page Testimony on SB 107 Ed Peterson 1 page Proposed Amendments to SB 107 7 pages Proposed Amendments to SB 429 2 pages в – с –
- D -Е –
- F -
- G -
- н -
- I -J -
- к –
- Testimony on SB 504 Melinda Grier 1 page Testimony on SB 504 Melinda Grier 1 page Testimony on SB 641 Kevin Campbell 1 page L -
- м –