SENATE COMMITTEE ON WATER & LAND USE Hearing Room Tapes - 38 MEMBERS PRESENT: Sen. Rod Johnson, Chair Sen. Neil Bryant Sen. Ron Cease Sen. Bob Kintigh MEMBER EXCUSED: Sen. Bill Dwyer STAFF PRESENT: Karen Quigley, Committee Counsel Kimberly Shadley, Committee Assistant Mitch Hack, Senate Floor Staff MEASURES HEARD: SB 502 SB 506 SB 516 These minutes contain materials which paraphrase and/or summarize statements made during this session. Only text enclosed in quotation marks report a speaker's exact words. For complete contents of the proceedings, please refer to the tapes. TAPE , SIDE A 005 CHAIR JOHNSON: Calls the hearing to order. (1:09) PUBLIC HEARING ON SB 502 Senator Gene Timms, District 30 Witnesses: Doug Myers, Water Watch Martha Pagel, Director Water Resources Department SENATOR GENE TIMMS, DISTRICT 30: I'm here today to do away with a law I 012 helped to pass in 1985? (In Ways and Means we are looking at the bill and I wanted this committee to look at it. (Some responsibilities are being moved; it doesn't save a lot of money, but does cut bureaucracy. SEN. CEASE: There is some concern about the coordination of natural resource activities. SEN. TIMMS: We will look at that in Ways and Means. 050 CHAIR JOHNSON: We have some written testimony submitted for the record, (EXHIBIT A). (There is mention of the Governor's Office handling some of this? SEN. TIMMS: We haven't looked into it yet.

SEN. CEASE: The Governor has a Natural Resources Advisor.

075 DOUG MYERS, WATER WATCH: Right now if this bill passes the Governors Natural Resources Advisor would become SWMG.

(The water resources department shouldn't be in the middle of

(Section twenty one, page eight, I have problems with turning the whole water shed health program over to GWEB; if the bill goes to Ways and Means I think we can deal with it there.

CHAIR JOHNSON: Both Oregon Trout and Pacific Rivers Council suggest that the role should be transferred to the Governors Natural Resources Office; will this new office be an appointed position?

SEN. CEASE: It will be, but not subject to confirmation; the argument can be made that it is more effective when the coordinator is directly tied to the Governor's Office.

MARTHA PAGEL, DIRECTOR WATER RESOURCES DEPARTMENT: SWMG was formed in 160 the 1980's to coordinate the state agencies with water responsibilities. (It has historically been set up with the Governor's Natural Resources

Advisor chairing.

(I think that the Governor is proposing increasing the number of positions

in order to create the Natural Resources office.

(SWMG has mostly been an informational sharing body.

(DEQ has been coordinating and our department has been providing support; SWMG has no staff or budget.

PAGEL: In our view, not all of these functions would be best suited in 235 the Water Resources Department. (We would want to carefully go through the bill section by section.

WORK SESSION ON SB 502

275 MOTION: SEN. CEASE MOVES THAT SB 502 BE SENT TO THE COMMITTEE ON WAYS AND MEANS WITHOUT RECOMMENDATION AS TO PASSAGE.

VOTE: IN A ROLL CALL VOTE THE MOTION CARRIES UNANIMOUSLY. MEMBERS EXCUSED: SEN. DWYER

PUBLIC HEARING ON SB 506 Witnesses: Martha Pagel, Director, Water Resources Department Bob Levy, Farmer, Echo Oregon: Reed Benson, Water Watch Kent Madison, Hermiston Area

305 MARTHA PAGEL, DIRECTOR, WATER RESOURCES DEPARTMENT: Describes bill, submits written testimony, (EXHIBIT C).

BOB LEVY, FARMER, ECHO OREGON: The purpose of the bill was to correct a 330

long standing difference between procedures followed in the Department. (The legislation is very unclear and there is confusion between 506 and 516.

We would suggest a lot of changes in 506; we would suggest that in five that (C) & (D) be deleted, just saying that all other conditions of the permit remain the same and that (F) be deleted.

LEVY: Describes intent of 506 vs. 516; the summaries are backwards. 412

SEN. CEASE: On page one in bold, is it the intent to provide for a movement of place or are you suggesting that the use can shift too?

LEVY: That needs to be clarified.

SEN. BRYANT: Is it sometimes that you want to change use from irrigation to a pond?

LEVY: I wouldn't anticipate changing the type of use or the size applied

for.

465 PAGEL: Summarizes written testimony.

TAPE 38, SIDE A

035 PAGEL: We are open to fixing the problem Mr. Levy described. (Section one is language that appears in several different bills; there might be a better place in statute to provide this correction; this isn't really a transfer, but a part of the permitting process.

105 REED BENSON, ATTORNEY, WATER WATCH: Water watch opposes SB 506; submits

written testimony, (EXHIBIT D).

(There is no injury test.(Use subject to transfer needs to be defined.(There is no notice to users regarding changes.

200 CHAIR JOHNSON: Do you have amendments to deal with your concerns?

BENSON: With 2419 our concern was with the provision to allow permits to be subject to transfer if there was proof of beneficial use and we opposed that.

(If you make the change the department suggested deleting "use or" in line

 $$\ensuremath{\mathsf{CHAIR}}\xspace$ JOHNSON: Please feel free to bring language you would accept in writing in the future.

255 KENT MADISON, HERMISTON AREA: Testifies in support of SB 506 and SB 516.

Submits written testimony, (EXHIBIT E). This is only for the change in the place of use. I am confused with 506 and 516, as they seem to say the same thing.

355 MADISON: Sub Section five, see Exhibit E; suggests amendments.

410 VICE-CHAIR KINTIGH: What conditions make the soil better or worse?

MADISON: Depth, etc.

PUBLIC HEARING ON 516

Witnesses: Bob Levy, Farmer, Echo Oregon Martha Pagel, Director, Water Resources Department Reed Benson, Water Watch

450 BOB LEVY, FARMER, ECHO OREGON: This one seems to be confused with SB 506. (Describes confusion.

(I support legislation that would allow individuals with a certificate to change the point of diversion.

TAPE 37, SIDE B

CHAIR JOHNSON: Describes distinctions between the two bills.

070 SEN. CEASE: We should have staff look at both bills when working with the Department next week.

080 LEVY: Describes problem in Eastern Oregon.

SEN. BRYANT: Do you have a suggestion on how far the point of diversion should be allowed to be moved?

LEVY: As far as necessary as long as it doesn't interfere with another permitted water user.

140 MARTHA PAGEL, DIRECTOR, WATER RESOURCES DEPARTMENT: Submits written testimony, (EXHIBIT G). (The combination of 506 and 516 are telling us there are issues; Clarifies current law. (Conceptually the idea of providing relief for those following a federal recovery plan in moving point of diversion is desirable. 185 CHAIR JOHNSON: Gives history. 235 REED BENSON, WATER WATCH: Submits written testimony, (EXHIBIT H). (This bill is a little different in two or three respects; it would allow both permits and certificates to change their (The concerns we have with 506 we have with this bill also. (The bill contains an injury test, but doesn't indicate when or how. (One of our greatest concerns is that there is no procedure for notice or comment. 375 CHAIR JOHNSON: We will close the hearing with the understanding that work will be done. (We are adjourned. (2:28 p.m.) Submitted by, Reviewed by,

Kimberly Shadley Karen Quigley Committee Assistant Committee Counsel

EXHIBIT SUMMARY:

A - SB 502: Written testimony submitted for the record by staff, pp 2
B - SB 502: Preliminary Staff Measure Summary submitted by staff, pp 1
C - SB 506: Written testimony submitted by Pagel, pp 2
D - SB 506: Written testimony and proposed amendments submitted by
Madison, pp 2
F - SB 506: Preliminary Staff Measure Summary submitted by staff, pp 1
G - SB 516: Written testimony in opposition submitted by Benson, pp 3
I - SB 516: Preliminary Staff Measure Summary submitted by Staff, pp 1