SENATE COMMITTEE ON WATER & LAND USE Hearing Room Tapes - 77 MEMBERS PRESENT: Sen. Rod Johnson, Chair Sen. Bob Kintigh, Vice-Chair Sen. Neil Bryant Sen. Ron Cease Sen. Bill Dwyer STAFF PRESENT: Karen Quigley, Committee Counsel Kimberly Shadley, Committee Assistant MEASURES HEARD: SB 1030 SB 600 These minutes contain materials which paraphrase and/or summarize statements made during this session. Only text enclosed in quotation marks report a speaker's exact words. For complete contents of the proceedings, please refer to the tapes. TAPE , SIDE A CHAIR JOHNSON: Calls the hearing to order. (1:09 p.m.) PUBLIC HEARING ON SB 1030 WITNESSES: KEVIN HANWAY, UMPQUA BASIN WATER ASSOCIATION NOLE GROSHONG, UMPQUA BASIN WATER ASSOCIATION STEVE APPLEGATE, WATER RESOURCES DEPARTMENT 010 KEVIN HANWAY, UMPQUA BASIN WATER ASSOCIATION: Gives background; this is the distinction between municipal and non-municipal; water suppliers. 033 NOLE GROSHONG, UMPQUA BASIN WATER ASSOCIATION: Describes reason the bill is needed. (Becoming a special district is undesirable and not necessary. HANWAY: This wouldn't allow an expansion of the amount of water appropriated. 060 STEVE APPLEGATE, WATER RESOURCES DEPARTMENT: The Department does support this bill in it's current form. (The only thing this would allow is a change in the place of use, not allowing any additional uses. SEN. BRYANT: If you don't do this peoples only resource would be to 075 drill wells. SEN. DWYER: We have to be careful of prohibitions on drilling your own wells. APPLEGATE: I would presume that is a local ordinance.

SEN. KINTIGH: Do you have conservation practices other than metering?

GROSHONG: Describes; the system is thirty years old but in good shape.

WORK SESSION ON SB 1030

130 MOTION: SEN. KINTIGH MOVES SB 1030 TO THE FLOOR WITH A DO PASS RECOMMENDATION

136 VOTE: IN A ROLL CALL VOTE THE MOTION CARRIES UNANIMOUSLY. CARRIER: SEN. KINTIGH

WORK SESSION ON SB 600 Witness: RUTH SPETTER, CITY OF PORTLAND

145 CHAIR JOHNSON: Describes SB 600A, see (EXHIBIT A). (Tax credit approach as opposed to check or money. (Continues describing SB 600A.

185 CHAIR JOHNSON: SB 531 has been added to the end of SB 600A. (The City of Portland has brought some technical problems to my attention and I hope we can get those addressed.

225 SEN. CEASE: What is the intent of SB 531 being tacked on to the end?

CHAIR JOHNSON: It is just to combine the two bills.

SEN. CEASE: You are talking about income tax credits, so that the burden or cost of the takings would be on the state, not the local government; does this bill have a referral to the Revenue Committee?

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{CHAIR}}$ JOHNSON: The way it is written now the credit wouldn't be used in this biennium.

SEN. CEASE: We are talking about a program where the state has to pick up the cost; if you can't provide the money, then there is pressure on the agency and regulating authority.

290 CHAIR JOHNSON: 10% can be taken without any impact or cost.

SEN. BRYANT: Is there a subsequent referral to Ways and Means?

CHAIR JOHNSON: As the bill came to us there is no subsequent referral.

SEN. BRYANT: Local governments will have to do a study to see the impact. The state general fund would have to pay; we need some safeguard built in.

SEN. DWYER: The whole concept of being able to sell tax credits isn't treating everyone equally; tax credits don't benefit people that don't have

money.

460 SEN. DWYER: Ten years is too long.

TAPE 77, SIDE A

030 CHAIR JOHNSON: If you make it short you'll have to give them notice.

SEN. DWYER: I'd be more happy with notice so that government can have some finality.

(We need some method of splitting the cost; local governments aren't going

to be so sensitive.

SEN. CEASE: The funding is the major issue; if you want a process that provides for more effort on the part of local government, you don't have

another government pay.

Senator Cease and Chair Johnson discuss SB 600A.

155 CHAIR JOHNSON: The bill is based on fair market value.

225 SEN. BRYANT: There has been a problem; how would you address that, recognizing that you don't believe SB 600 is the way to go?

SEN. CEASE: During the interim there would be a serious undertaking, having all parties at the table; you have to figure out who pays, how it effects the budget and the ownership issue.

290 CHAIR JOHNSON: The issue here is if there is a way to make local government more accountable.

SEN. DWYER: I don't want to give them the authority to take 10% for free. (Describes situation in his district; the local governments are initiating

the ecotakes.

395 RUTH SPETTER, CITY OF PORTLAND: The city is concerned about the amount of litigation that will come about as this is implemented. (Follows written testimony, see (EXHIBIT B).

TAPE 76, SIDE B

SPETTER: Continues with written testimony. see Exhibit B.

060 SPETTER: You have a legal definition from the courts about what a taking is and here you are suggesting compensation even though that standard hasn't been met.

CHAIR JOHNSON: Uses hypothetical situation to clarify what is an ecotake.

135 SPETTER: Comments on the emergency regulation in section two.

175 CHAIR JOHNSON: The federal government needs controls on it. (The reason I put the court in here is because it is a bigger step.

SPETTER: I hate to see public funds spent going to court for reasons that aren't really honest.

I'm asking to get this broadened so that if we are forced to do something by the federal government we don't have to pay compensation for it.

The committee members discusses the appropriate venue.

SPETTER: Continues with written testimony, see Exhibit B.

425 SEN. DWYER: Claims would be more appropriate.

SPETTER: Subsection two of nine, see Exhibit B.

TAPE 77, SIDE B

Chair Johnson and Senator Dwyer discuss SB 600A.

260 SPETTER: Continues with written testimony, see Exhibit B.

CHAIR JOHNSON: We are adjourned.

Submitted by, Reviewed by,

Kimberly Shadley Karen Quigley Committee Assistant Committee Counsel EXHIBIT SUMMARY:

A - SB 600: Proposed amendments submitted by staff, pp
B - SB 600: Written testimony submitted by Spetter, pp
C: SB 531: Written testimony submitted by Staff for the record, pp 1\