SENATE COMMITTEE ON WATER AND LAND USE Hearing Room Tapes - 105 MEMBERS PRESENT: Sen. Rod Johnson, Chair Sen. Bob Kintigh, Vice-Chair Senate President Gordon Smith Sen. Neil Bryant Sen. Bill Dwyer Sen. Ron Cease STAFF PRESENT: Karen Quigley, Committee Counsel Patricia Wehrli, Committee Assistant MEASURES HEARD SB 1005 WORK SESSION SB 1006 WORK SESSION SB 297 WORK SESSION SB 571 WORK SESSION SB 613 WORK SESSION SB 1083 PUBLIC HEARING & WORK SESSION SB 1033 WORK SESSION SB 1038 PUBLIC HEARING & WORK SESSION These minutes contain materials which paraphrase and/or summarize statements made during this session. Only text enclosed in quotation marks report a speaker's exact words. For complete contents of the proceedings, please refer to the tapes. TAPE 101 SIDE A 001 CHAIR JOHNSON: Convenes the meeting at 1:30 p.m. OPENS THE WORK SESSION ON SB 1005 Witnesses: Joe Rohleder, Oregon Guides and Packers Liz Frenkle, Portland Chapter of the Sierra Club Raymond Johnson Emerson Roller Jean Nightingale JOE ROHLEDER, OREGON GUIDES AND PACKERS: Testifies in opposition to SB 053 1005. (See Exhibit A.) 083 SEN. BRYANT: Are you aware of any other water rights that are in jeopardy? 089 ROHLEDER: No 091 SEN. CEASE: If the dam is lost will people loose their water rights? 092 ROHLEDER: Taking the dam out would be conditional as replacing it with pumps. SEN. CEASE: Would these be junior water rights? 106 110 ROHLEDER: Yes. LIZ FRENKLE, PORTLAND CHAPTER OF THE SIERRA CLUB: Testifies in 111 opposition to SB 1005. 136 RAYMOND JOHNSON: Testifies in support of SB 1005. JACK WOLDON: Testifies in support of SB 1005. 190

241 EMERSON ROLLER: Testifies in support of SB 1005.

284 JEAN NIGHTINGALE: Testifies in support of SB 1005.

333 CHAIR JOHNSON: CLOSES PUBLIC HEARING ON SB 1005 OPENS PUBLIC HEARING ON SB 1006 CLOSES PUBLIC HEARING ON SB 1006 OPENS WORK SESSION ON SB 1005

Witness:

## Richard Rogers, Senate Majority Office

369 RICHARD ROGERS, SENATE MAJORITY OFFICE: Testifies in support of SB 1005-1 amendments. See Exhibit R

407 CHAIR JOHNSON: Brings attention to line 4 of the SB 1005-1 amendments.

TAPE 102 SIDE A:

010  $\,$  SEN. CEASE: Questions about the SB 1005-1 amendments and how they relate to SB 1006.

017 CHAIR JOHNSON: SB 1006 relates solely to the dam, SB 1005 gives the irrigation district the confidence of the actual water rights.

020 SEN. CEASE: How would the removal of the dam effect the water rights?

023 ROGERS: I don't know what the impact of SB 1005 would be on the water

rights of Grants Pass.

028 SEN. CEASE: Is there a bill that stated only the legislation could remove a dam? Anyone who wants a water right could now come to the legislature and demand a water right? This is what this bill does.

041 SEN. KINTIGH: This is just giving them the right that was already given

to them conditionally, but it's removing the condition in which they already had the right.

MOTION: SEN. KINTIGH moves the SB 1005-1 amendments.

VOTE: Hearing no objection, the motion CARRIES. Sen. Bryant is EXCUSED.

 $$\operatorname{MOTION}$  . SEN. KINTIGH moves that SB 1005 as AMENDED be sent to the  $$\operatorname{Floor}$$  with a DO PASS recommendation.

VOTE: In a roll call vote the motion CARRIES: Sen. Kintigh, Chair Johnson

and President Smith vote AYE. Sen. Cease and Dwyer vote NAY. Sen. Bryant is excused. CARRIER: ADAMS  $% \left( \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{ADA}} \right)$ 

093 CHAIR JOHNSON: CLOSES THE WORK SESSION ON SB 1005 OPENS THE WORK SESSION ON SB 1006

> Witnesses: Richard Rogers, Senate Majority Office Martha Pagel, Water Resource Department

1007  $\,$  RICHARD ROGER, SENATE MAJORITY OFFICE: Testifies in support of the SB 1006-1 amendments.

112 MARTHA PAGEL, DIRECTOR, WATER RESOURCE DEPARTMENT: Testifies in support of the SB 1005-1 amendments, but in opposition of SB 1005.

115 SEN. CEASE: Who brought up these amendments?

118 ROGER: These amendments are brought up by Sen. Adams.

125 SEN. KINTIGH: Does the Water Resources Department prefer the bill with the amendments?

128  $\,$  PAGEL: Yes, the bill is better with the amendments then it is without them.

 $$\operatorname{MOTION}$  : CHAIR JOHNSON moves that the SB 1006-1 amendments be accepted as proposed.

VOTE: Hearing no objection the motion CARRIES. Sen. Bryant is excused. Sen. President Gordon Smith present.

 $$\operatorname{MOTION}$  : SEN. KINTIGH moves that SB 1006 be sent to the Floor with a DO PASS recommendation.

VOTE: In a roll call vote the motion CARRIES. Sen. Kintigh, Chair Johnson

and President Smith vote AYE Sen. Cease votes NAY. Sens. Bryant and Dwyer are excused. CARRIER: ADAMS

143 CHAIR JOHNSON: CLOSES THE WORK SESSION ON SB 1006. OPENS THE WORK SESSION ON SB 297.

> Witnesses: Scott Ashcom, Oregon Association of Nurserymen Martha Pagel, Director, Water Resource Department

150 SCOTT ASHCOM, OREGON ASSOCIATION OF NURSERYMEN: Testifies in support of

SB 297.

163 SEN. CEASE: Explains the direction of SB 297-1 amendments.

171 CHAIR JOHNSON: Questions about the language of the SB 297-1 amendments.

191 MARTHA PAGEL, DIRECTOR, WATER RESOURCE DEPARTMENT: Testifies in support of the SB 297-1 amendments. Proposes new amendments. (See Exhibit D)

ASHCOM: Testifies in opposition to the Departments amendments.

268 SEN. CEASE: Explains the budgeting process in relation to the Governor. What would be required to supply different types of water.

281 PAGEL: The Governors recommended budget included a package of a number of different water supply components. The total is \$1.8 million.

303 SEN. CEASE: This plan being proposed, what impact does this have?

317  $\,$  PAGEL: It is a project with a much broader scope then the department can handle.

341 CHAIR JOHNSON: Explains his opinion on the proposed amendments.

353 SEN. CEASE: Explains his concern of where the supply is.

370 PAGEL: Responds about the cost of SB 297.

375  $\,$  CHAIR JOHNSON: Would \$93,000 fund the position of the task force and water supply report.

PAGEL: Yes.

386 ASHCOM: Explains the fiscal impact of SB 297.

TAPE 101 SIDE B

011 SEN. BRYANT: If we send this down with that high of figure, it wont have any chance at all; offers a new amendment.

018  $\,$  ASHCOM: The chairmen of the Ways and Means committee wants to see SB 297.

044 SEN. BRYANT: With the amendments it is much more likely to succeed. Which is better, taking the risk of SB 297, or going for the amendments?

055 ASHCOM: We would stick with SB 297 and take the risk.

065 CHAIR JOHNSON: Explains how he sees the context of SB 297 in comparison with the proposed amendments.

078 ASHCOM: The department is going about this backwards. In the printed approach we should look at where the storage is needed.

086 PAGEL: The job we are tackling needs to be done in stages. Our expertises is where water is, not future needs. We recognize that the bill

allows us to coordinate with the other government agencies, but we like to stay where our expertise is.

099 SEN. CEASE: From your view there needs to be more local participation?

108 PAGEL: That is correct. Explains the water shed formation.

118 ASHCOM: We wouldn't want to burden the local government with the cost of the study, but I can't understand the reason to give the cost to a county if they were gathering the information.

127 CHAIR JOHNSON: Explains the cost to the communities.

144 SEN. DWYER: Why shouldn't the Water Resources Department take responsibility?

159 PAGEL: We don't have information readily available with environmental factors for these water ways.

197 ASHCOM: We would not oppose that with the following provisions, (refers

to page nine of the departments amendments. Line twenty six and line twenty seven be deleted. Page ten, line three be deleted, line four be amended by deleting the phrase "tribal water users," and inserting in it's place, "the federal government,"

227 ASHCOM: It is not our objective to delete the tribes, we just want the

other federal agencies to be recognized as well.

235 SEN. CEASE: What is the reason for eliminating the language on the bottom of page nine.

245 SEN. BRYANT: The Tribes need to be included more specifically, because

they don't always believe that the federal government is working in their best interest.

259 ASHCOM: Delete line 7 and 8 of the new draft.

276 SEN. DWYER: Do you think the recreational users represent fishermen?

285 ASHCOM: We would also like to see the task force be appointed by the President of the Senate, Speaker of the House, as well as the Governor.

302 PAGEL: The list here was taken from our task force and it was our hopes that we could have the involvement of the governor and the legislature; the product of that report would be of interest to the Governor and the legislature for budget and law making proposes.

311 SEN. CEASE: The department could appoint the task force after consulting with the Governor and the legislature?

316 PAGEL: It could be listed cooperatively.

328 ASHCOM: The bill leaves it at the discretion of the Water Resource Department, if you notice from our list there are a lot of federal agencies

here that takes responsibility.

 $$\operatorname{MOTION}$  : CHAIR JOHNSON moves to amend the SB 297 with section seven of the Departments proposed amendments.

VOTE: Hearing no objection, the motion CARRIES.

MOTION: SEN. BRYANT moves to accept the SB 297-1 amendments.:

VOTE: Hearing no objection the motion CARRIES.

 $$\rm MOTION:\ SEN.$  KINTIGH moves to send SB 297 as amended to the committee on Ways and Means with a DO PASS recommendation.

 $$\rm VOTE:\ In\ a\ roll\ call\ vote\ the\ motion\ CARRIES.\ Sens.\ Bryant,\ Dwyer\ and\ Chair\ Johnson\ votes\ AYE.\ Sen.\ Cease\ votes\ NAY.$ 

TAPE 102 SIDE B:

003 CHAIR JOHNSON: Recesses the hearing at 3:00 p.m. Reconvenes the hearing at 3:12 p.m. Witnesses: Phil Fell, League of Oregon Cities John Williams, City Planner, Cannon Beach Bud Kramer, City Planner, Cannon Beach

013 PHIL FELL, LEAGUE OF OREGON CITIES: Testifies in opposition to SB 1038

020  $\,$  JOHN WILLIAMS, CITY PLANNER, CANNON BEACH: Testifies in opposition to SB 1038.

073 BUD KRAMER, CITY PLANNER, CANNON BEACH: Testifies in opposition to SB 1038.

122 SEN. CEASE: The way this bill is put together it would apply to all local government within the state, where would it have an impact anywhere else?

135 CHAIR JOHNSON: There was a period of time when these types of uses were allowed.

137 KRAMER: Four years from 1987 through 1991.

138 CHAIR JOHNSON: Do you know how many people bought homes with the ideas that they would be able to rent them out?

141 KRAMER: Quite a few.

145 CHAIR JOHNSON: Where there any fees paid to Cannon Beach from these home owners for the ability to rent out their homes short term?

149 KRAMER: \$75 business license fee as well as a luxury room tax the same way as the motels charge.

160 CHAIR JOHNSON: How many business licensees have you issued out for these short term rentals?

KRAMER: About 100. The revenue from the room tax was about  $\$80,000\ during$ 

the 1993-94 fiscal year.

SEN. CEASE: How do you regulate motels in Cannon Beach?

172 KRAMER: They in motel zones.

184 SEN. JOHNSON: Have you adopted the additional three year rule?

187 KRAMER: It will go through the process in a couple of months.

192 CHAIR JOHNSON: Do you have any idea of the 100 people who paid the business license fee during 1994 bought their homes with the purpose of housing a business?

WILLIAMS: No, some have been bought for that purpose, but most have been in family ownership for many years.

199 KRAMER: There is a hardship provision.

CHAIR JOHNSON: CLOSES PUBLIC HEARING ON SB 1038

Witnesses: Dorothy Cofield, Oregonians in Action Kelly Ross, Oregon Association of Realtors Ruth Spetters, City of Portland Christine Cook, 1000 Friends of Oregon Charles Swindells, 1000 Friends of Oregon

Bob Rinde, Department of Land Conservation and Development, (DLCD)

OPENS WORK SESSION ON SB 613

210 DOROTHY COFIELD, OREGONIANS IN ACTION: Testifies in opposition to SB 613 and provides amendments. (See Exhibit D) 367 SEN. CEASE: The uses of the adjacent property have no direct connection

with the land in issue?

371 COFIELD: No that part of the exception process we are not making any

neigHB oring property. 403 SEN. CEASE: How do you determine that these are equal weight? 428 COFIELD: That is the responsibility of the decision makers and the courts. TAPE 103 SIDE A: 008 KELLY ROSS, OREGON ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS: Explains page five line thirty seven - forty two. CHAIR JOHNSON: Questions the word "impractical". 027 035 ROSS: It should be limited to sub section 1 (b). CHAIR JOHNSON: Explains how the amendments should read. 037 RUTH SPETTER, CITY OF PORTLAND: Testifies about CA-2 and CA-1, we 053 support the CA-2 amendments. (See Exhibit E) 078 CHAIR JOHNSON: Current law states that equal weight should be applied. SPETTER: It does not state equal weight. 083 084 CHAIR JOHNSON: Reads the current statue. 086 SPETTER Testifies and reads testimony (See Exhibit E). CHRISTINE COOK, 1000 FRIENDS OF OREGON: Testifies in support of Ruth 120 Spetters CA-2 amendments. 162 CHARLES SWINDELLS, 1000 FRIENDS OF OREGON: Testifies about the amount of exceptions made to the DLCD. BOB RINDY, DLCD: Expresses his support of the CA-2 amendments. 180 260 CHAIR JOHNSON: CLOSES THE WORK SESSION ON SB 613 OPENS THE WORK SESSION ON SB 1083 Witnesses: Richard Angstrom, Oregon Concrete Argragut Producers Association Ruth Spetter, City of Portland Christine Cook, 1000 Friends of Oregon Art Schlack, Oregon Association of Counties 287 RICHARD ANGSTROM, OREGON CONCRETE ARGAGUT PRODUCERS ASSOCIATION: Testifies in support of the SB 1083-2 amendments. 329 CHAIR JOHNSON: Points out that the word "and" should be "or." 336 ANGSTROM: Continues testimony. --Recommends deleting line 2 and 3 of page 3. 346 CHAIR JOHNSON: Clarifies that he is adding a 1000 ft standing requirement and taking away the injury and adding an appraisal from a certifiable appraisal. 352 SEN. DWYER: Is this a rules area? --Are we restricting the appeal process of the people who are almost their neigHB or? 370 ANGSTROM: Yes. The reason we choose the 1000 feet is the outer limits of noise and dust. SEN. DWYER: How do you determine the \$5,000 amount? An appraisal is only an opinion. How are you going to prove that? 386 AMSTROM: We have to rely on the fact that the appraisers are doing the honest work. Its been our experience that appraisers have been straight forward and we are willing to trust them. 400 SEN. DWYER: There could be twenty people that can be impacted, none of them to the tune of \$5,000.

changes to that. We are stating that the exceptions are irrelevant to the

411 ANGSTROM: We want to eliminate those people who are not interested in the planning process.

TAPE 104 SIDE A

007 ANGSTROM: There are too many frivolous complaints. -- Under Goal 5 we have to balance the addressing issues. If its not address this gives them standing.

043 SEN. DWYER: Questions if this is only in an urban growth boundaries.

048 ANGSTROM: That is true for industrial development and needed housing. --We are trying to limit appeals, not take away peoples rights.

057 CHAIR JOHNSON: Explains his thoughts on the amendments.

088 SEN. DWYER: Don't you think that this is over kill?

092 ANGSTROM: We put 750 in because that is what was decided last session.

CHAIR JOHNSON: Makes technical changes to the bill with discussion between

Amstrom and Sen. Dwyer.

139 ANGSTROM: Page 5; discuses some changes.

192 CHAIR JOHNSON: Clarifies his concerns with the language on page 8 line

23.

217 SEN. DWYER: Refers to page 10, discusses the amendments involved.

238 ANGSTROM: Those changes were relating to up front.

289 CHAIR JOHNSON: If an appeal was to be made then it would be through the Court of Appeals. -- Just because they don't say why they rule a certain way, doesn't effect the appeal process.

302 SEN. DWYER: If you don't know the decision, how do you know if you need to appeal?

323 CHAIR JOHNSON: Reads his proposed amendments.

340 SEN. DWYER: Then we wouldn't need the last paragraph

347 CHAIR JOHNSON: Proposes taking the last paragraph out.

354 RUTH SPETTER, CITY OF PORTLAND: Testifies about her concerns with limiting the abilities on LUBA.

382 CHAIR JOHNSON: Refers to page two.

396 SPETTER: I would like to suggest that the language would state a thirty

day period and a motion from LUBA. Not only do you have to find the documents, but you have to copy them too.

TAPE 103 SIDE B

006 CHAIR JOHNSON: Reads the statue and explains his concerns and asks for

Ms. Spetter clarification.

013 SPETTER: Describes the process her department uses to get the documents out. --That still isn't enough time. --Reads the language that would better suit her needs.

058 SEN. DWYER: Refers to line twenty and explains the inconsistencies.

107 CHRISTINA COOK, 1000 FRIENDS OF OREGON: Testifies in opposition to SB 1083.

125 SEN. KINTIGH: Questions the need to take out the planning process.

132 COOK: Explains increases of fees; along with the filing fee there is an additional cost by LUBA before an appeal can be determined. --Explains the problem with the time restraints.

286 CHAIR JOHNSON: Clarifies "Implementing Ordinances."
--Explains amendments. Page one of the SB 1083-2, line thirteen delete the

word "needed."

316 SPETTER: Explains the term "needed".

323 CHAIR JOHNSON: Withdraws suggestion. Line twenty three page one of the

SB 1083-2 change the word "and" to "or".

TAPE 104 SIDE B

005 CHAIR JOHNSON: Reads the proposed amendment.

MOTION: CHAIR JOHNSON moves to change "28" in line eight to "60."

VOTE: Hearing no objection, the motion CARRIES.

MOTION: CHAIR JOHNSON moves that on page six line thirteen be deleted and in its place have "prevailing party or parties" inserted.

VOTE: Hearing no objection, the motion CARRIES.

MOTION: CHAIR JOHNSON moves that on page six line thirty one delete the period and add the phrase ",including adopted comprehensive plans and implemented ordinances."

VOTE: Hearing no objection, the motion CARRIES.

MOTION: SEN. DWYER moves that on line five of page seven the same amendments be made. "including adopted comprehensive plans and implemented ordinances."

VOTE: Hearing no objection, the motion CARRIES.

 $$\rm MOTION: \ CHAIR$  JOHNSON moves that on page fourteen line thirty and thirty one be deleted as well as line one and two on page fifteen.

VOTE: Hearing no objection the motion CARRIES.

MOTION: CHAIR JOHNSON moves that on page fourteen line twenty eight "the board shall" should be deleted as well as all of the line twenty nine. Insert in that place the follo/wing phrase ", the Board shall specifically address all issues of the appeal, before it which if the issue stood alone,

would result in the remand, and identifies the specific deficiencies of each issues."

VOTE: Hearing no objection, the motion CARRIES.

 $$\operatorname{MOTION:}$  CHAIR JOHNSON moves to delete line twenty one, twenty two and twenty three of page twenty five.

VOTE: Hearing no objection, the motion CARRIES.

100 SEN. BRYANT: Questions the direction of the bill.

137 SEN. DWYER: Explains his concerns and why he is objecting to SB 1083.

169 CHAIR JOHNSON: Explains the certified appraiser exclusion in the amendment.

170 SEN. BRYANT: Explains the type of appraisers available. --"Outside the urban growth boundaries", what are we trying to get at there?

CHAIR JOHNSON: Explains the statute. 212 --Explains to Sen. Bryant what the bill applies to. 273 SEN. BRYANT: Asks for clarification on the amendments. 278 CHAIR JOHNSON: On these limit decisions, no. That's what this bill does. --It does not apply to local government. 300 CHRISTINA COOK, 1000 friends of Oregon: Explains how many decisions have been made by the appeal process through LUBA. MOTION: CHAIR JOHNSON moves that the SB 1083-2 amendments as amended be moved into SB 1083. VOTE: Hearing no objection the motion CARRIES. MOTION: CHAIR JOHNSON moves to send SB 1083 as amended to the Floor with a DO PASS recommendation. VOTE: In a roll call vote the motion CARRIES. Sens. Kintigh, Bryant and Chair Johnson vote AYE. Sen. Dwyer votes NAY. Sen. Cease is excused. CARRIER: JOHNSON CHAIR JOHNSON: CLOSES THE WORK SESSION ON SB 1083. 344 OPENS THE WORK SESSION SB 571 360 ART SCHLACK, LAND USE SPECIALIST FOR OREGON COUNTIES: Explains the process of SB 571. CHAIR JOHNSON: Questions on how often the threat of an appeal is used. 382 387 SCHLACK: Explains the threat of an appeal. TAPE 105 SIDE A MOTION: CHAIR JOHNSON moves to send SB 571 to the Floor with a DO PASS recommendation.  $$\rm VOTE:$ In a roll vote the motion CARRIES. All members present vote AYE. Sens. Cease and Bryant are excused. CARRIER: JOHNSON$ 018 CHAIR JOHNSON: CLOSES WORK SESSION SB 571 OPENS THE PUBLIC HEARING ON SB 1038 Witnesses: William Allred Jack Kattie 038 WILLIAM ALLRED: Testifies in support of SB 1038. 092 JACK KATTIE: Testifies in support of SB 1038. CHAIR JOHNSON: Questions if he knew anyone that bought homes during 127 the period. 132 KATTIE: There was only a small period that was allowed. The city never enforced the issue. CHAIR JOHNSON: CLOSES THE PUBLIC HEARING ON SB 1083 151 REOPENS THE PUBLIC HEARING ON SB 1038 Witnesses: Ruth Spetter, City of Portland William Allred RUTH SPETTER, CITY OF PORTLAND: Explains the problems that SB 1038 184 would cause for the City of Portland. CHAIR JOHNSON: Clarifies the language of SB 1038. 196 200 SPETTER: That is too broadly written. 203 CHAIR JOHNSON: Asks for language that wou ld be more preferable. Addresses concerns for language with Ms. Spetter. 239 WILLIAM ALLRED: Explains language for an amendment.

265 SEN. BRYANT: Explains his philosophy of the local jurisdiction.

292 CHAIR JOHNSON: CLOSES THE PUBLIC HEARING ON SB 1038 OPENS THE WORKS SESSION ON SB 1038

MOTION: CHAIR JOHNSON moves to add the phrase the ", unless the amount of the non conforming use has been abandoned for a period of more than one vear."

VOTE: Hearing no objection, the motion CARRIES.

MOTION: CHAIR JOHNSON moves to send SB 1083 to the Floor with a DO PASS recommendation.

VOTE: In a roll call vote the motion CARRIES. All members present vote AYE. Sens. Cease and Dwyer are excused. CARRIER: KENNEMER

300 CHAIR JOHNSON: CLOSES THE WORK SESSION ON SB 1038 --meetings adjourned. (5:50 P.M.)

Submitted by, Reviewed by,

Patricia Wehrli Karen Quigley Committee Assistant Committee Counsel

EXHIBIT SUMMARY:

Α -Testimony on SB 1005--Dan Shepard--3 pages Testimony on SB 1005--Raymond Johnson--2 pages B-С-Proposed Amendments on SB 297--Karen Quigley, Committee Counsel--1 page D-Testimony on SB 297--Martha Pagel, Director of Water Resource Department--6 pages Testimony on SB 613--Dorothy Cofield, Oregonians in Action--13 pages E-F-Testimony on SB 613--Dorothy Cofield, Oregonians in Action--7 pages G-Testimony on SB 1083--Christine Cook, 1000 Friends of Oregon--5 pages Testimony on SB 1038--William Allerd--2 pages Н-Fiscal Analysis of Proposed Legislation and Proposed Amendments--Karen Т-Quigley, Committee Counsel--2 pages Testimony on SB 571--Charles Swindells, 1000 Friends of Oregon--3 pages J-Testimony on SB 1005--Steve Marks--1 page К-Testimony on SB 1005--Jill Zarnowitz, Oregon Fish and Wild Life--1 page T.-Testimony on SB 1006--Margaret Forsythe--1 page M-N-Testimony on SB 1006--Jill Zarnowitz, Oregon Fish and Wild Life--2 pages Testimony on SB 1114--Eugene Lasater--2 pages 0-P-Testimony on SB 1083--Robert Lee, Department of Transportation--1 page Proposed Amendments to SB 1083--Richard Amstrom--13 pages 0-

Proposed Amendments to SB 1005, (-1) submitted by staff, pp 1 R