June 2, 1993 Hearing Room 137 1:30 p.m. Tapes 94 - 96

MEMBERS PRESENT: Rep. John Meek, Chair Rep. Lisa Naito, Vice-Chair Rep. Ron Adams (Alternate) Rep. Patti Milne Rep. Gail Shibley

STAFF PRESENT: Annette Price, Committee Administrator Edward C. Klein, Committee Assistant

PUBLIC HEARING: HB 3184 - Directs Children's Services Division to regulate adoptions of children under 18 years of age.

WORK SESSION: HB 2008 - Establishes pilot program to assist children in achieving healthy start. HB 3297 - Requires public and private officials to

comply with all statutory requirements for reporting, investigating and treating child whether or not child has been treated solely by spiritual means. HB 2323 - Prohibits child-caring agency providing

residential care and foster home caring for child from denying parent or guardian right to visit child based solely on behavior of child. HB 2559 - Requires State Archivist to adopt schedule

to retain Children's Services Division records

relating to child abuse and foster home placements. HB 3648 - Authorizes Children's Services Division to

subsidize guardianship of child by making payments to family on behalf of child in custody of division to

create more stable home environment and permanent

living arrangement. HB 3469 - Establishes Task Force on Girls and Young

Women. HB 3576 - Acknowledges that females under 18 years of age, when compared with males under 18 years of age,

do not have equal access to facilities, services and

treatment available through human services and

corrections programs provided by or funded by state. HB 2657 - Requires all persons providing day care for compensation to obtain certificate of approval from

Children's Services Division.

[--- Unable To Translate Graphic ---]

These minutes contain materials which paraphrase and/or summarize statements made during this session. Only text enclosed in quotation marks report a speaker's exact words. For complete contents of the proceedings, please refer to the tapes. [--- Unable To Translate

TAPE 94, SIDE A

003 CHAIRMAN MEEK: Calls the committee to order at 1:35 p.m. PUBLIC HEARING ON HB 3184 -- EXHIBITS A

Witnesses: Rep. Kevin Mannix, District 32 Betty Uchytil,
Assistant Administrator, Child Welfare Programs, CSD Geri
Atterbach, Oregon Adoptive Rights Assoc. Dianne May, Adoptive Parent
Ginni Snodgrass, President, A.N.S.R.S., Inc. Jim Dyer, Marion/Polk
Foster Parents Association Bob Castagna, Oregon Catholic Conference

CHAIRMAN MEEK: Opens the Public Hearing on HB 3184.

009 REP. KEVIN MANNIX, District 32: Testifies in support of HB 3184. -He submits an outline of the bill (EXHIBIT A). -There are other issues of adoption that are not covered by the bill. -He presents HB 3184-4 Proposed Amendments (EXHIBIT B).

083 REP. MILNE: What are the avenues for adoption?

REP. MANNIX: Responds.

097 REP. MILNE: This bill directs all adoptions to come under CSD?

REP. MANNIX: A family member wanting to adopt does not fall under this

process. -If there's an agency licensed to carry out adoptions, you can go to the agency. -All legitimate agencies are happy to meet the licensing standards and

work with you on the adoption. -You would not be able to arrange an adoption with a stranger; you would have to work with CSD. -The bill regulates non-relative, non-private agency adoptions.

110 REP. MILNE: The agencies would continue as they are now? -Adoptions that fall outside of that fall under the bill?

REP. MANNIX: Correct.

REP. MILNE: Explain the interstate compact. -What states are involved in that?

REP. MANNIX: Would have to defer to CSD.

125 BETTY UCHYTIL, Assistant Administrator, Child Welfare Programs, CSD: Presents testimony (EXHIBIT C).

171 CHAIRMAN MEEK: You mentioned another bill?

UCHYTIL: SB 295. 185 REP. NAITO: Refers to page 1, line 12, HB 3184. Who might "potential adoptive parents" be? Any family member?

192 UCHYTIL: Doesn't understand the question.

REP. NAITO: Who are the other potential adoptive parents; grandparents, aunts and uncles?

UCHYTIL: People who have filed a petition to adopt. -There is another bill relating to grandparents.

206 REP. NAITO: Refers to page 2, lines 5 and 6; what would those priorities be? Would they be adopted by rule?

212 UCHYTIL: It would be adopted by rule. -A licensed adoption agency may restrict their practice only to

individuals with certain issues or problems or may wish to restrict to

certain individuals. -They would continue to have the right to do that and would not be

required to do a broader range of adoptions; limiting adoptions to a certain religious faith, for example.

222 REP. NAITO: Refers to line 16. -Do couples have to be married?

UCHYTIL: Her understanding is, if individuals are married, both have to adopt. -Some jurisdictions recognize adoptions by gay or leSB ian couples.

REP. NAITO: This language wouldn't limit that?

UCHYTIL: It would not.

240 REP. ADAMS: Refers to page 2 of her testimony. -Is the possibility of Oregon becoming a target for unscrupulous baby

brokers a real or potential threat?

250 UCHYTIL: Asked her staff if these things were happening. -They are happening. -If it happens once it is too much. -It is not against the law; there is no law against child selling in

Oregon.

REP. ADAMS: Is looking for an answer that won't create more problems. -Is CSD equipped to take on this oversight? -Would there be additional regulations for those private nonprofit

adoption agencies who don't need it?

298 UCHYTIL: Under this bill CSD would not do all of the work.
-We're a facilitator for the licensed private agencies who do most of

work. -They are currently regulated by the state. -There would be an additional workload on CSD, which would have a

potential fiscal impact. REP. ADAMS: The licensed private agencies are supervised?

UCHYTIL: They are licensed and know the law. -The license is a safety net.

325 GERI ATTERBACH, Oregon Adoptive Rights Assoc.: Testifies in support of HB 3184.

- 340 DIANNE MAY, Adoptive Parent: Testifies in support of HB 3184.
- 386 REP. ADAMS: This is what responsible agencies do. -How difficult is it to comply to become licensed?

ATTERBACH: Doesn't know the licensing requirements for agencies.

396 REP. NAITO: Would private adoptions arranged by attorneys be covered? -Would counseling and study be required for those adoptions?

MAY & ATTERBACH: Yes.

420 GINNI D. SNODGRASS, President ANSRS: Presents testimony in support of HB 3184 (EXHIBIT D).

TAPE 95, SIDE A

- 015 SNODGRASS: Continues discussing CSD's role.
- 034 REP. ADAMS: Are some of the earlier comments horror stores or reality?

SNODGRASS: Reality. She relates a current incident.

072 REP. ADAMS: He keeps hearing concerns for the biological parents. -His concerns are for the child. -Do the check steps in the bill help the child?

SNODGRASS: Yes. This is about children. -Children should be raised by birth parents, if possible, or by aunts or uncles or grandparents, if possible. -Adoption places a great burden on a child.

096 REP. SHIBLEY: You made the comment, "it is in the best interest of children to be raised...." -We don't have a mothering or parenting gene. -It's in the best interest of a child to be raised and nurtured by

someone they trust and someone who is a good parent figure. -Too many times it's not the birth mother or not someone who's

genetically related.

113 SNODGRASS: There is a lot of current research about inner-utero bonding, the genetic make up of people and the connections between

people. -She can provide that information to the committee. -An average of 35% of the young people in residential treatment centers are healthy, white, infant adoptees.

REP. SHIBLEY: Is not disagreeing. -Most children are raped in the home by a parent figure.

SNODGRASS: Sixteen percent of the children who are sexually molested are adopted.

REP. SHIBLEY: A large percentage are molested by people who are

genetically related.

SNODGRASS: Will provide additional information.

- 133 JIM DYER, Marion/Polk Foster Parents Association: Testifies in support of HB 3184. -This bill doesn't cover all of the improvements needed for adoptions. -This bill goes hand in glove with HB 2004.
- 176 BOB CASTAGNA, Oregon Catholic Conference: Reads letter in support of HB 3184 from Catholic Community Services of Portland.
- 197 CHAIRMAN MEEK: Reads testimony from Warren Deras, for the record (EXHIBIT E). -He closes the Public Hearing.

WORK SESSION ON HB 2008 -- EXHIBIT F

- 206 CHAIRMAN MEEK: Opens the Work Session on HB 2008. -We have Hand Engrossed HB 2008-6 Amendments (EXHIBIT F). -The committee adopted HB 2008-2 Amendments.
- 224 MOTION: REP. MILNE: Moves to adopt HB 2008-6, Proposed Amendments.

CHAIRMAN MEEK: Hearing no objection the motion is adopted.

232 MOTION: REP. MILNE: Moves to suspend the rules and refer HB 200 8 as amended to the Speaker for subsequent referral to

Appropriations.

REP. NAITO: Is there a subsequent referral?

CHAIRMAN MEEK: We have to get some money to it. -As it stands now, there's no money.

241 REP. NAITO: As the bill stands there will be four pilot programs. -She wants to make sure that we still can provide authorization for this statewide.

CHAIRMAN MEEK: Yes.

- REP. ADAMS: That's on the next page. 248 REP. SHIBLEY: Has an old SMS, which says there's no referral.
- 254 ANNETTE PRICE: There was no sub when we received the bill in committee. -We need to add the subsequent referral in committee.

ROLL CALL: All members present voting aye. The motion carries unanimously $5\ \mathrm{to}\ 0$.

AYE: Rep. Adams, Rep. Milne, Rep. Shibley, Rep. Naito, Chairman Meek.

WORK SESSION ON HB 3297

- 269 CHAIRMAN MEEK: We need to move HB 3297 to Judiciary, because a constitutional issue has come up on the bill. -He opens the Work Session on HB 3297.
- 285 MOTION: REP. ADAMS: Moves HB 3297 be referred to the

Speaker's Desk for subsequent referral to Judiciary.

289 REP. NAITO: Has the Chair of Judiciary been notified this is coming?

REP. SHIBLEY: Will there be time for this bill to be heard?

CHAIRMAN MEEK: They need to have a hearing on it. -CSD wants to have it heard. -There are organizations that are concerned about the constitutional

issue.

REP. SHIBLEY: You expect there will be enough time?

CHAIRMAN MEEK: Has talked to Rep. Parks about giving it a hearing. -One of the issues they need to deal with is whether or not anything

needs to be done with the bill

311 ROLL CALL: All present voting aye. The motion carries unanimously $5\ \mathrm{to}\ 0$.

AYE: Rep. Milne, Rep. Shibley, Rep. Adams, Rep. Naito, Chairman Meek.

WORK SESSION ON HB 2323 -- EXHIBITS G & H

- 323 CHAIRMAN MEEK: Opens the Work Session. -We need to adopt both the HB 2323-2 (EXHIBIT G) and HB 2323-3 (EXHIBIT H), Proposed Amendments.
- 342 REP. NAITO: Would be interested in CSD's input on the amendments.
- 350 BETTY UCHYTIL, CSD: We agree with the language relating this as a disciplinary concern. -She has some concerns about referring to court authorized visitation

rights. -Parents do not have to go to court to get visitation rights authorized unless there is a serious dispute. -This may imply they would have to go to court to get those rights. -Those rights are inherent in their rights as parents, unless something else intervenes.

366 REP. NAITO: Does not think it would place an additional burden on a parent to get authorized visitation rights, but it would only apply to

court authorized visitation rights. -Maybe in that sense it limits the bill; do you agree?

UCHYTIL: Yes. -You heard testimony that this is directed towards adolescents in

treatment centers. -Foster care administrative rules state visitation shall not be used as a means of discipline. -This applies only to treatment centers and visitation rights aren't

usually court authorized. -We're trying to encourage the parents to visit as much as possible and be involved so the child can go back home.

REP. SHIBLEY: You're talking about the -2?

UCHYTIL: Correct.

REP. SHIBLEY: In HB 2323-2, line 4, what if we delete "court

authorized"?

394 UCHYTIL: Supports that.

REP. SHIBLEY: That's not too broad?

401 UCHYTIL: Does not believe so. -We should not deny visitation rights as a means of discipline. -It's incumbent on the child caring agency or CSD to go to court to show why visitation should be denied.

415 REP. SHIBLEY: Do you have any problem with the -1?

UCHYTIL: No.

REP. SHIBLEY: Why not the use same language in section 2?

UCHYTIL: Has no problem with that. -We have to make it clear that there are other behaviors on the part of the child that might make visitation inappropriate. -Even in those cases parents should have a right to go to court.

445 REP. SHIBLEY: But the -1 says, "based solely as a disciplinary measure".

CHAIRMAN MEEK: "Solely" was deleted from the -2.

453 REP. NAITO: Rep. Shibley, you're suggesting we insert the language in HB 2323-3? REP. SHIBLEY: Meant to refer to the -3, not the -1. -We use the same language for section 2 of the bill.

REP. NAITO: You're suggesting we adopt the -3 and make that change in

section 2?

TAPE 94, SIDE B

018 REP. SHIBLEY: We'd have to amend the -3 or -2.

CHAIRMAN MEEK: HB 2323-2 replaces section 2.

REP. NAITO: The -2 would be unnecessary.

CHAIRMAN MEEK: This is Rep. Ford's Amendment.

030 REP. NAITO: In the original bill, line 7, what if we delete "on the behavior of the child" and insert "solely as a disciplinary measure $\frac{1}{2}$

against the child" and make that same change on line 10 as is provided

for in HB 2323-3?

035 REP. MARY ALICE FORD, District 8: That language accomplishes the

goal.

CHAIRMAN MEEK: Are you referring to the -2 or the -3?

REP. FORD: The language in HB 2323-3, inserted in lines 7 and 10.

050 CHAIRMAN MEEK: The -2 language is a little more explanatory.

REP. FORD: She prefers the original bill.

CHAIRMAN MEEK: You would go along with Rep. Shibley and Rep. Naito--

REP. FORD: "Based solely as a disciplinary measure against the child".

CHAIRMAN MEEK: Put that in lines 7 and 10 of the original bill?

FORD: Yes.

060 REP. ADAMS: Who else might be included if we leave out, "when a child is placed with a child caring agency by the Department of Human

Resources"? -What other child caring agencies are there?

REP. FORD: There are children who are there who are not placed by the court.

070 UCHYTIL: There are children who are placed under contract through CSD. -Private child caring agencies can also take private placements. -There are also children who are placed through other funding sources.

REP. FORD: Some of those would be disabled children whose families are not able to take care of them.

075 REP. ADAMS: Betty, do you prefer the original language?

UCHYTIL: Doesn't see a need to limit it to children placed by DHR.

085 MOTION: REP. SHIBLEY: In HB 2323, lines 7 and 10, after "child"--

REP. NAITO: We need to rescind the -1 amendments.

REP. SHIBLEY: Did we adopt those?

089 CHAIRMAN MEEK: Yes.

MOTION: REP. SHIBLEY: Moves to rescind the HB 2323-1 Amendments.

CHAIRMAN MEEK: Hearing no objection the motion is adopted.

CHAIRMAN MEEK: We need a motion to amend the -3 amendments.

REP. SHIBLEY: You have to adopt the amendments before they're amended.

REP. NAITO: In Commerce we amend them before they're adopted.

107 MOTION: REP. NAITO: Amend HB 2323-3, in line 7 of the printed bill, delete "based solely on the behavior of the" and insert

"solely as a disciplinary measure against the".

CHAIRMAN MEEK: Hearing no objection the motion is adopted.

MOTION: REP. SHIBLEY: Moves to adopt HB 2323 as amended.

REP. SHIBLEY: Asks for clarification. -Rep. Naito, your amendment to HB 2323-3 was to add to the amendments

and not to do away with them?

REP. NAITO: Yes, it was.

ROLL CALL: All members present voting aye. The motion is adopted unanimously $5\ \text{to}\ 0$.

VOTING AYE: Rep. Shibley, Rep. Adams, Rep. Milne, Rep. Naito, Chairman Meek.

MOTION: REP. NAITO: Moves to suspend the rules and move HB 232 3 as amended to the Floor with a do pass recommendation. 132 REP. SHIBLEY: Discussion. Annette?

PRICE: We need to suspend the rules first. -If everyone agrees then we--

REP. SHIBLEY: Why do we need to suspend the rules?

REP. NAITO: Doesn't think we do.

CHAIRMAN MEEK: We've amended the amendments, so we're not adopting a clean bill.

REP. NAITO: Doesn't think we have to suspend the rules. -We can give a notice, we can adopt the amendments and send the bill

out. -She doesn't think we have to suspend the rules.

MOTION: REP. NAITO: Moves to suspend the rules.

CHAIRMAN MEEK: Hearing no objection the motion is adopted.

MOTION: REP. NAITO: Moves HB 2323 as amended with the amended -3 Amendments to the Floor with a do pass recommendation.

ROLL CALL: All members present voting aye. The motion is adopted unanimously $5\ \mathrm{to}\ \mathrm{O}$.

AYE: Rep. Adams, Rep. Milne, Rep. Shibley, Rep. Naito, Chairman Meek.

CARRIER: Rep. Ford.

REP. FORD: Thanks the committee.

170 REP. ADAMS: Refers to the Yellow pages attached to Warren

Deras testimony. -Look at all the 800 numbers.

REP. NAITO: Mr. Deras says that other than paying the attorney costs,

they also pay for the costs of the baby. -It's not as innocuous as it looks.

REP. SHIBLEY: Can't imagine renting her body for nine months to have a child.

WORK SESSION ON HB 2559 -- EXHIBIT I

180 CHAIRMAN MEEK: Opens the Work Session on HB 2559. -We have HB 2559-2 amendments (EXHIBIT I)

190 MOTION: REP. MILNE: Moves to adopt HB 2559-2, Proposed Amendments.

REP. NAITO: This deals with holding the records of substantiated claims for 75 years?

CHAIRMAN MEEK: Correct.

CHAIRMAN MEEK: Hearing no objection the motion is adopted.

MOTION: REP. ADAMS: Moves HB 2559 as amended to the Floor with a do pass recommendation.

REP. ADAMS: Do we have a fiscal?

CHAIRMAN MEEK: There is no fiscal impact on HB 2323-2

210 REP. SHIBLEY: In the HB 2559-2 Amendments, the first half of the sentence doesn't match the second half of the sentence. -On the one hand we're saying we want to preserve the private legal

rights of anybody who was even the subject of a record. -We heard testimony about a child who was the subject of a child abuse

allegation, that was later found incorrectly to be unsubstantiated and

those records were never found. -She doesn't know what the intent is. -It seems like we're saying we're going to do this big thing, but really only do this smaller thing.

230 REP. NAITO: That's also her concern. -Maybe we could adopt a lesser standard for all other records of child

abuse--something like 30 years.

CHAIRMAN MEEK: The Archivist has in their rules and in their review

that ability. -They do keep them for quite some time. -The first part is the discretionary part on how long they want to keep those. -Those that are substantiated must be kept for 75 years.

247 MOTION: REP. NAITO: Moves to include "30 years for all other records".

CHAIRMAN MEEK: We have a motion to move the bill as adopted on the table.

254 REP. ADAMS: Whether or not it was substantiated, it's the individual's desire to know about their background that drove this. -He doesn't know if we care whether or not it was substantiated. -We'd be better off to keep the records. -He could live with 75 years for both.

REP. SHIBLEY: Delete "substantiated" on line 7.

REP. ADAMS: Sees what's she's saying.

REP. NAITO: Just delete "substantiated".

REP. ADAMS: Doesn't think substantiated does any harm. -He sees their point.

REP. NAITO: Is their any interest to rescind the motion and reopen this issue?

CHAIRMAN MEEK: Not from the Chair.

REP. ADAMS: No.

278 ROLL CALL: All members voting aye. The motion carries unanimously $5\ \text{to}\ 0$.

AYE: Rep. Milne, Rep. Shibley, Rep. Adams, Rep. Naito, Chairman Meek.

CARRIER: Rep. Hosticka.

WORK SESSION ON HB 3648 -- EXHIBITS J & K

290 CHAIRMAN MEEK: Opens the Work Session. -We had a discussion dealing with kinship. -He describes HB 3648-2, Proposed Amendments (EXHIBIT J). -He describes the Fiscal Impact (EXHIBIT K).

324 REP. NAITO: "When necessary federal waivers are obtained"?

CHAIRMAN MEEK: That's the -1, we won't do anything with that.

REP. NAITO: What's in the subsidized guardianship agreement?

344 BETTY UCHYTIL: Imagines they would clarify the responsibilities of the guardian and the division $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right$

when one or the other wanted to end the agreement.

354 REP. ADAMS: We talked about page 2, line 28 of the existing bill. -We heard concern about the one year, now it's been deleted.

377 NANCY MILLER, CRB: We still maintain our concern about the one year. -If that's deleted, we run the risk of doing subsidized guardianship as an easy out and also run the risk of opening more floodgates. -It would be easy if you didn't have that period of supervision to have grandmother come in and say she could do better early in the placement

of a child. -CSD could allow the grandmother to take the child without having

provided adequate services to see if that child could be reunited with

the parent. -Then you're talking about a lengthy period of subsidized guardianship

when a child could have been reunited with their parent.

404 UCHYTIL: Agrees with Nancy. -The intent of the legislation was to provide guardianship when these

were stable placements. -If you remove the timeline criteria you have the potential of families needing all of those services. That in effect is what foster care is

designed to do. -Removing the age limit and one year requirement significantly increases the fiscal impact.

433 REP. ADAMS: It sounds like you would leave in the one year and page 2, lines 5 through 12.

440 UCHYTIL: Would have left in the one year and would have retained the age limit over 10. -There's always the issue of adoption. -When a child is younger than 10 adoption by a relative would be more

appropriate. -She likes the simplification of the language.

TAPE 95, SIDE B

009 REP. SHIBLEY: Can we amend the -2 in section 4, to include the one year provision and also say that the child has reached 10 years of age by

some date certain?

O16 CHAIRMAN MEEK: Calls the committee's attention to section 5. -CSD is to adopt rules to implement and administer the subsidized

guardianship program. -He refers to HB 3648-2, line 13. -CSD has to adopt rules what that study period has to be. -They could set it for one year, but it doesn't have to be in statute

that everybody has to go through a year. -That was part of the discussion when we had this drafted. -We eliminated the one year, because CSD could have that latitude when

they implemented the program. -In their rule adoption they can set some criteria and limit the fiscal impact.

036 UCHYTIL: You are technically correct. -The more latitude we have to restrict access to the program, the more

likely we are for a law suit. -She does not know if it's Rep. Ford's intent to limit it in terms of

fiscal impact.

- 045 CHAIRMAN MEEK: Thinks a year is too long.
- 052 REP. MILNE: Agrees a year is too long. -If it's necessary to be in the bill, she would consider a shorter time period. -She agrees with the Chair that it should be left out.
- REP. ADAMS: Would support six months.
- 063 MOTION: REP. ADAMS: Amend HB 3648-2, in line 14 delete "and" and in line 16, delete the "period" and insert "; and "(6) Has cared for the child for at least six months."
- CHAIRMAN MEEK: Hearing no objection the motion is adopted.
- 076 REP. ADAMS: Refers to page 2, lines 5 through 12, HB 3648. -Our desire should be towards adoption rather than towards subsidization of the relative. -We're creating a situation where it appears to be to the relative's
- advantage to continue or create the subsidy, rather than to adopt.
- 090 REP. MILNE: If we aren't encouraging adoption over and above a period of subsidy, we are allowing some people to abuse the system.
- REP. ADAMS: That's his concern.
- REP. MILNE: Should there be a review of subsidized adoptions?
- 102 CHAIRMAN MEEK: HB 3648-2 provides for review and there's a quardianship agreement the family enters into.
- REP. MILNE: That agreement could include an opportunity to reevaluate
- the situation. -The financial statement is good, but that doesn't address how this
- relationship is working out. -The agreement could address those concerns.
- CHAIRMAN MEEK: It could
- REP. MILNE: But may not.
- 117 REP. ADAMS: His concern is that we may create an incentive towards subsidized guardianship versus adoption.
- 121 MILLER: These families who deal with adoptions, may be eligible for an adoption subsidy that's the same amount as the subsidized guardianship. -CSD is pushing for adoption; we are recommending adoption, if we
- believe that it's in the best interests of the child. -The money issue won't be that much different in adoption versus
- subsidized guardianship. -She can't see families saying they want subsidized guardianship and
- having the system involved in their lives when they could get the same money through subsidized adoption.

REP. ADAMS: And get the system out of their life.

MILLER: Yes.

REP. ADAMS: That helps relieve his mind.

MILLER: There are also cultural issues. -Native American communities don't believe in freeing children for

adoption.

136 REP. ADAMS: Moves to adopt HB 3648-2 as amended.

CHAIRMAN MEEK: Hearing no objection the motion is adopted.

MOTION: REP. MILNE: Moves to refer HB 3648 as amended to the Speaker, with a subsequent referral to Appropriations.

ROLL CALL: All members present voting aye, the motion is adopted 4 to 0.

AYE: Rep. Adams, Rep. Milne, Rep. Naito, Chairman Meek.

EXCUSED: Rep. Shibley.

WORK SESSION ON HB 3469 -- EXHIBITS L & M

158 CHAIRMAN MEEK: Opens the Work Session on HB 3469 -We have HB 3469-4, Proposed Amendments (EXHIBIT L).

176 REP. MILNE: Do we need legislation to create a task force or is that something the committee could do?

CHAIRMAN MEEK: It's up to the committee.

REP. MILNE: Without the legislation, how much chance is there the

committee will address these concerns?

193 CHAIRMAN MEEK: The agencies will report to the interim committee.

200 REP. MILNE: If the task force was part of the committee. -In one sense we don't need this bill to do these things. -It would probably be something the interim committee would do anyway.

CHAIRMAN MEEK: Not unless the committee chooses to do this.

214 REP. NAITO: The committee could look at this without the legislation, but it could take them months to determine what they want to do. -This is helpful. -She shares the concern that it may not be necessary.

229 CHAIRMAN MEEK: The other option is to go with HB 3576. -We have HB 3576-4 amendments (EXHIBIT M). -Rep. Naito, do you have a preference?

REP. NAITO: No. -There was testimony that we believe it's a problem, but have never

looked at it. -Maybe it's best to take a step back and see what we are doing in terms of funding girls versus boys. -She spoke to a police officer about prostitutes. -He tries to disrupt their business and get them off the streets, but

there's no permanent change to their lives. -She believes this is an issue. -The profiles of prostitutes is the same profile we've been looking at

of abused kids and teen mothers.

268 CHAIRMAN MEEK: Do we form a task force or implement it?

REP. MILNE: This is something that needs to be addressed. -She wants to do this in the least complicated manner. -If the interim committee has the ability to do this, why do we need the legislation?

289 CHAIRMAN MEEK: Under that scenario you would want to go with HB $357\ 6-4$.

295 PRICE: The only difference between HB 3576-3 and HB 3576-4 is in line 14.

CHAIRMAN MEEK: We need to decide.

REP. MILNE: Supports HB 3576.

CHAIRMAN MEEK: Closes the Work Session on HB 3469.

WORK SESSION ON HB 3576

CHAIRMAN MEEK: Opens the Work Session on HB 3576.

320 MOTION: REP. NAITO: Moves to adopt HB 3576-4, Proposed Amendments.

CHAIRMAN MEEK: Hearing no objection the motion is adopted.

329 REP. ADAMS: Is the corrective action language that's in HB 3469 also in HB 3576?

340 REP. NAITO: It provides that the state agencies shall take corrective action. -She refers to HB 3576-4, lines 3 through 7.

355 HEIDE ANDERSON, Oregon Alliance of Children's Programs: Taking corrective action is no longer in the bill.

CHAIRMAN MEEK: There's a process to move in that direction. -The intent is to do corrective action, but it's not legislated. -We'll have to take a look at it.

REP. MILNE: Do the amendments satisfy Rep. Adams?

REP. ADAMS: Yes.

MOTION: REP. MILNE moves HB 3576 as amended to the Floor with a do pass recommendation.

ROLL CALL: All members present voting aye, the motion is adopted 4 to 0. AYE: Rep. Milne, Rep. Adams, Rep. Naito, Chairman Meek.

EXCUSED: Rep. Shibley.

WORK SESSION ON HB 2657 -- EXHIBIT N & O

400 CHAIRMAN MEEK: Opens the Work Session on HB 2657. -He describes HB 2657-10 Amendments (EXHIBIT N). -New language is on page 5, lines 21 through 26.

428 MOTION: REP. MILNE: Moves to rescind the adoption of HB 265 7-9, Proposed Amendments.

CHAIRMAN MEEK: Hearing no objection the motion is adopted.

REP. ADAMS: Moves to adopt HB 2657-10, Proposed Amendments.

CHAIRMAN MEEK: Hearing no objection the motion is adopted.

CHAIRMAN MEEK: We have a Fiscal Impact Statement (EXHIBIT O).

445 MOTION: REP. ADAMS: Moves to refer HB 2657 as amended to the Speaker with a subsequent referral to Appropriations.

ROLL CALL: All members present voting aye, the motion is adopted $4\ \text{to}\ 0$.

AYE: Rep. Adams, Rep. Milne, Rep. Naito, Chairman Meek.

EXCUSED: Rep. Shibley.

CHAIRMAN MEEK: We will meet at the request of the Chair from here on.

020 REP. ADAMS: HB 3184 makes a lot of sense to him.

CHAIRMAN MEEK: Let's get together and talk about it. -SB 295 is moving in this direction. -If we do anything we might have that referred to us.

REP. MILNE: Is also interested in HB 3184.

033 REP. NAITO: Is interested in adding an additional provision to that bill. -She will pursue an amendment we can consider.

O43 CHAIRMAN MEEK: He'll find out where the Senate bill is going. -He adjourns at 3:34 p.m.

Submitted by, Reviewed by,

Edward C. Klein, Annette Price, Committee Assistant Committee Administrator

EXHIBIT LOG:

A - Testimony on HB 3184 - Rep. Mannix - 2 pages B - Amendment to HB 3184 - Rep. Mannix - 1 page C - Testimony on HB 3184 - Betty Uchytil - 2 pages D - Testimony on HB 3184 - Ginni Snodgrass - 1 page E - Testimony on HB 3184 - Warren Deras - 3 pages F - Hand Engrossed Amendment to HB

2008 - Staff - 3 pages G - HB 2323-2 Amendment to HB 2323 - Staff - 1 page H - HB 2323-3 Amendment to HB 2323 - Staff - 1 page I - Amendment to HB 2559 - Staff - 1 page J - Amendment to HB 3648 - Staff - 1 page K - Fiscal Analysis of HB 3648 - 2 pages L - Amendment to HB 3469 - Staff - 2 pages M - Amendment to HB 3576 - Staff - 1 page N - Amendment to HB 2657 - Staff - 12 pages O - Fiscal Analysis of HB 2657 - Staff - 2 pages