

HOUSE COMMITTEE ON COMMERCE

July 13, 1993      Hearing Room D 8:30 a.m.      Tapes 84 - 85

MEMBERS PRESENT:    Rep. Eldon Johnson, Chair Rep. Liz VanLeeuwen,  
Vice-Chair Rep. Lee Beyer Rep. Lisa Naito Rep. Michael Payne Rep. Bob  
Repine Rep. Hedy Rijken Rep. Charles Starr Rep. Bob Tiernan Rep. Cynthia  
Wooten

MEMBER EXCUSED:    Rep. John Watt

STAFF PRESENT:      Marilyn Johnston, Committee Administrator Janet  
McComb, Committee Administrator Rick Gaupo, Committee Clerk

MEASURES CONSIDERED:      SB 969 B - Public Hearing/Possible Work  
Session SB 955 A - Public Hearing/Possible Work Session

[--- Unable To Translate Graphic ---]

These minutes contain materials which paraphrase and/or summarize  
statements made during this session. Only text enclosed in  
quotation marks report a speaker's exact words. For complete contents  
of the proceedings, please refer to the tapes. [--- Unable To Translate  
Graphic ---]

TAPE 64, SIDE A

002      Chair Johnson calls the meeting to order at 11:10 a.m.

PUBLIC HEARING ON SB 969 B

009 Mike Suadbolt, Oregon Economic Development Department,  
explains the intent of the bill > Rep. Beyer: Is this bill necessary for  
you to keep up your actions? No, the department can operate its program  
without this bill

WORK SESSION ON SB 969 B

055 Rep. Wooten: Who brought this bill forward? It came from  
Trade and Economic Development to keep the department accountable

084 Rep. Beyer states that the legislature is trying to stop  
micromanaging state departments

MOTION: Rep. Beyer moves to table SB 969 B

VOTE: In a roll call vote, Reps. Beyer, Payne, Repine, Starr,  
Tiernan, VanLeeuwen and Chair Johnson vote AYE, Reps. Naito, Rijken, and  
Wooten vote NO, and the motion CARRIES. Rep. Watt is excused PUBLIC  
HEARING ON SB 955 A

Janet McComb submits staff measure summary package - (Exhibit A)

110 Sen. Webber, Tony Delorenzo, DMV, and Lt. Bill Johnson, Oregon  
State Police, submit and summarize prepared testimony - (Exhibit B) >  
Rep. Tiernan: Is proof of insurance in your vehicle a law? No, but  
other states do have this law, and police find it useful > Rep. Repine:  
Can this be done before DMV has a tracking mechanism? No, but this  
bill puts into place the legislation needed in the future > Rep.

Tiernan: What is the fiscal impact? About \$500,000, and the sooner the cheaper > Rep. Tiernan: What is the mechanism used for insurance companies to report to the DMV > Chair Johnson clarifies that insurance carriers can easily get the right equipment > Rep. Tiernan again asks how the tracking mechanism would work. Chair Johnson again clarifies that the information systems are so advanced that the information can be transferred to the DMV 393 > Rep. Beyer: Where in the bill does it require that information be passed electronically? It would be established in rules > Rep. Beyer: (Addressing Sen. Webber) Would you object to not mandating the form the information must be transferred in

TAPE 85, SIDE A

039 Rep. VanLeeuwen: Are we talking about insurance agents or companies? Companies

070 John Powell, State Farm Insurance Companies, and Jack Monroe testify in opposition to the bill > Rep. VanLeeuwen asks what the cost would be to the agent > The cost of the bill is borne not by the agent but by the company > The cost to the agent will be the cost that the carrying company imposes on the agent 132 > John Powell testifies in opposition to the bill > DMV is only tracking 1% rather than the eligible 10% because of the prohibitive cost of tracking > Based on reports of other states this type of tracking is cost-prohibitive 242 > This bill would require daily reporting > Our list of clients is a trade secret > Cost of computers would also be prohibitive 314 > Answers members' questions > Rep. Naito: Would you be opposed to issuing a card. No > Rep. Tiernan: Would you be opposed to mandating that consumers carry the card. No, but the consumer would get upset > Rep. Wooten: You are opposed to the reporting mechanism? Yes > Rep. Wooten suggests a work group to get the bugs out of the bill

TAPE 84, SIDE B

017 > Rep. Repine: What would be the results of increasing the number of percentage of people checked > Rep. Naito clarifies that identifying people who are driving uninsured does not necessitate getting these people off the road > Rep. Repine: Is there proof that by identifying uninsured drivers increase the number of insured drivers would increase? There would always be a residual number of uninsured drivers > Rep. Naito states that this might be a step in the direction of the future 140 > Jack Monroe testifies in opposition to the bill

193 Chair Johnson adjourns the meeting at 12:20 p.m.

Submitted by

Reviewed by

Reviewed by

Rick Gaupo  
Clerk

Jan McComb  
Committee Administrator

Marilyn Johnston  
Committee Administrator

Exhibit Log: A - SB 955 A, Staff, Measure Summary, 6 pages B - SB 955 A, Tony Delorenzo, Prepared Testimony, 1 page