

HB 3066 - WORK SESSION

045 REP. PARKS: Pg. 2, Line 24, removing words, "or work areas," on Line 29, Pg. 2, again removing "or work areas".(EXHIBIT B)

055 MOTION: REP. PARKS: Moves to AMEND HB 3066-5 AMENDMENTS by deleting "or work areas", on Pg. 2, lines 24 and 29.

VOTE: 4-0 MOTION PASSES AYE: Baker, Edmunson, Brian, Chair Parks NO: None EXCUSED: Mason

058 MOTION: REP. PARKS: Moves to ADOPT HB 3066-5 AMENDMENTS AS AMENDED

VOTE: 4-0 MOTION PASSES AYE: Baker, Edmunson, Brian, Chair Parks NO: None EXCUSED: Mason

061 MOTION: REP. PARKS: Moves HB 3066 AS AMENDED TO FULL COMMITTEE with a DO PASS recommendation.

064 REP. BAKER: Pg. 2, line 6, what is meant by any place of employment where minors are not present?

067 CAROLE SOUVENIR, COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Refer to any business or place where minors are employed or minors are present.(EXHIBIT C)

070 REP. BAKER: Work site, with employee only access, no minors allowed. That would be place where smoking is allowed?

076 CAROLE SOUVENIR, COMMITTEE COUNSEL: If minors weren't on premises. If had place where minors could be delivering to, no smoking allowed.

083 REP. BAKER: Most business won't allow minors to drive. If rare instance of minor being on premises, would not disqualify someone. Pg.

1, Line 8, does that exclude private clubs?

094 CAROLE SOUVENIR, COMMITTEE COUNSEL: It would mean only people going into that area.

096 REP. BAKER: If private club invited minors to use facility, that would not disqualify them, could still be smoking establishment.

101 CAROLE SOUVENIR, COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Agrees.

102 REP. EDMUNSON: Section 2, sub-section 1, provides health division to deal with employment work place issue. Not intended to be able to make

any work place allow a smoking area.

119 CAROLE SOUVENIR, COMMITTEE COUNSEL: By law means by statute and not voluntary exclusion.

126 REP. EDMUNSON: If work area was closed to minors, and smoking is allowed, wouldn't change if visited by minor.

136 REP. BAKER: Pg. 2, Lines 19-20, residential premises, concern these premises open to family, they can smoke in their own homes.

144 CHAIR BRIAN: Does not apply to offices in homes.

149 REP. BAKER: Sub-paragraph 4, Line 21, Pg. 2, does HB 3066, cover any room in hotel, motel, or inn?

153 CAROLE SOUVENIR, COMMITTEE COUNSEL: ORS 699.005, if it's a place to lease or rent, not principal resident, fall within definition.

156 REP. EDMUNSON: Does that apply to vacation rentals? Suggestion, that any place for rent under 30 days, qualifies under inn-keeper act, and

cannot be considered a residence. Could Sub 3, consider private residence that is rented out, be applied to this?

172 CHAIR BRIAN: Would private residence fall under 3 or 4?

174 REP. EDMUNSON: Where would that fall? Under control of people renting it, more in character of residents although technically a rental.

179 REP. PARKS: Making technical rental, look like residence, would be my intent. A substitution of residence, that people would still have the

right to smoke.

186 CHAIR BRIAN: Intent that restrictions would not apply to residential rental properties.

188 CAROLE SOUVENIR, COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Section 8, on last page of -5 amendments, repeals ORS 441.815 hospital statute, Pg. 2, lines 26-29, as relevant part of -5 amendment.

197 REP. BAKER: Would counsel go through penalty part of amendment?

200 CAROLE SOUVENIR, COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Summarizes and explains amendments. (EXHIBIT B)

219 REP. BAKER: A restaurant that was in violation, the maximum penalty would be \$100, in any 30 day period?

221 CAROLE SOUVENIR, COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Correct.

224 VOTE: 4-0 MOTION PASSES AYE: Baker, Edmunson, Brian, Chair
Parks NO: None

228 CHAIR BRIAN: Adjourns the meeting at 2:10 P.M.

Submitted by:

Reviewed by:

Sarah May
Committee Coordinator

Anne May Committee Clerk

EXHIBIT LOG:

A - Proposed Amendments on HB 2291 - Committee Counsel - 8 pages B -
Proposed Amendments on HB 2066 - Committee Counsel - 8 pages C - ORS
Statutes to HB 3066 - Committee Counsel - 3 pages