HOUSE COMMITTEE ON RULES AND REORGANIZATION

February 1, 1993 Hearing Room E 1:30 p.m. Tapes 18 - 19

MEMBERS PRESENT: Rep. John Watt, Chair Rep. Lonnie Roberts, Vice-Chair Rep. Dave McTeague Rep. Cedric Hayden Rep. Michael Payne Rep. Eldon Johnson Rep. Fred Girod Rep. Avel Gordly

MEMBER EXCUSED: Rep. Delna Jones

STAFF PRESENT: Adrienne Sexton, Committee Administrator Kenneth Brady, Committee Clerk

MEASURES CONSIDERED: HB 2493 - Limiting number of measures HB 2494 - Regulation of agency-funded fees HB 2548 - Prohibiting paying professional fees

WITNESSES: Randy Hildebrand - HB 2493 Beverly Clarno - HB 2493, HB 2494/2548 Marc Overbeck - HB 2493 Mari Anne Gest - HB 2494/2548 Karen Garst - HB 2494/2548

[--- Unable To Translate Graphic ---]

These minutes contain materials which paraphrase and/or summarize statements made during this session. Only text enclosed in quotation marks report a speaker's exact words. For complete contents of the proceedings, please refer to the tapes. [--- Unable To Translate Graphic ---]

TAPE 18, SIDE A

010 JOHN WATT, COMMITTEE CHAIR: Calls to order at 1:32. -Excuses Rep. Delna Jones.

(Tape 18, Side A) HB 2493 - LIMITING NUMBER OF MEASURES REQUESTED

013 ADRIENNE SEXTON, COMMITTEE ADMINISTRATOR: Explains HB 2493.

046 WATT: Asks for numbers in the final version of the original bill from last session.

048 SEXTON: Responds.

075 RANDY HILDEBRAND, for Beverly Clarno: Written testimony (EXHIBIT A) in support of HB 2493. -Reads Bev Clarno's testimony. -Costs of printing. -Amount of printing.

125 REPRESENTATIVE CEDRIC HAYDEN: How many bills is that? 1000 copies?

128 HILDEBRAND: I don't know.

130 REPRESENTATIVE BEVERLY CLARNO: In support of HB 2493. Believes

this bill promotes efficiency. -Notes that the original bill passed 38-21 last session. -Kathleen Beaufait said that drafts were expensive.

161 REPRESENTATIVE MICHAEL PAYNE: How would deadline work? Could new members put in bills before they're sworn in?

169 CLARNO: I don't think so. -Responds in detail.

185 MARK OVERBECK: Submits graph (EXHIBIT B) in support of HB 249 3 intentions. -Background information on filing bills. -Explains sheet graph. -What the governor tried to do earlier is the same thing as this bill does. -Governor's office doesn't oppose intent, but does oppose that: -Could result in the governor limiting what agencies can put forward and limiting scope of what legislature can see. -Could limit marketplace of ideas. Some wouldn't get seen. -Suggests to look for other ways to accomplish goals in 2493. -Joint rules . . . to limit by own rules. -Eliminate same subject bills.

318 REPRESENTATIVE FRED GIROD: There will be limits on Executive Dept. Does it limit the Exec. Dept. from having legislators carry those bills?

325 OVERBECK: Only on pre-filing requests, I think. No, nothing would limit.

338 GIROD: Is it a good way to make cooperation better by making them work together?

341 OVERBECK: We're open to greater cooperation.

355 WATT: Back to '87 session, Executive Dept. has never exceeded 700 bills?

360 OVERBECK: Correct. Graph refers to pre-session filings, not requests. -Explains winnowing out process (getting rid of requested bills). -Probably more than 700 requested. 397 WATT: 116 agencies, right? Down to 52? Since there'll be fewer, wouldn't requests be anticipated to be less?

417 OVERBECK: There'll be 56. I can't answer for sure. -Some are eliminations, etc. -32 are alternative service delivery boards, etc.

TAPE 19, SIDE A

004 REPRESENTATIVE AVEL GORDLY: Any dollar figures to go with it?

006 OVERBECK: Good question. I've not seen that information. -Expectation is for some reduction (in terms of printing, staff time, etc.)

017 WATT: Closes on HB 2493. -Opens on HB 2494 & HB 2548.

(Tape 19, Side A) HB 2494 - Regulation of agency funded fees HB 2548 - Prohibiting paying public fees by state

022 REPRESENTATIVE BEV CLARNO: (with RANDY HILDEBRAND & REP. JOHN MEEK): Submits written testimony (EXHIBIT C) in support of HB 2494. -\$10 million spent on dues/memberships. -No fiscal impact? 054 REPRESENTATIVE JOHN MEEK: Speaks on HB 2548. -Had this drafted similar to HB 2494. -Gives example about state BAR. -Language in 2494 briefly touches on . . . -Add in for reasons of employment.

108 WATT: Would that do what your bill does?

110 MEEK: Basically. -Tighten language in HB 2494 and it would. -Needs limits as to who can draft rules.

138 WATT: Could you work with us to draft into one bill?

141 MEEK: Yes.

145 PAYNE: Could we end up paying for O.C.A. memberships and things dealing with sexual orientation?

149 MEEK: I don't know. I don't think so.

160 REPRESENTATIVE ELDON JOHNSON: Notes that the cost of individual paying is about 25-40% more than if the agency pays them. Plus taxes. Aren't these associations worthy to belong to?

173 MEEK: Yes. This isn't a denial to pay any of them, but there needs to be guidelines for some of them. -If it's in the best interest of the state . . . then it's okay.

190 JOHNSON: Did you look into the effect on the Atwell counsel?

192 MEEK: No.

194 JOHNSON: Will staff check on that?

199 REPRESENTATIVE DAVE MCTEAGUE: I think I understand your bill, but it seems to be a way to skirt fair-share dues . . . was that intended?

206 MEEK: No. It would be good to tie it in with HB 2494. Need to get back to HB 2494.

219 GORDLY: A question for staff . . . Was there any discussion about adding languange regarding sexual orientation?

227 WATT: We'll have staff research that.

229 HAYDEN: Control by copayment. Portion payment by employees, portion by state.

234 MEEK: True. Good to discuss. -Suggestion: I'm not aware of any accounting. I don't know how many people we're talking about.

258 WATT: Using this language, if a judge asks for and was granted the payment for the BAR, then?

268 MEEK: Yes, then the state would pay.

276 WATT: Closes hearing on HB 2494 & HB 2548.

282 WATT: Reopens hearing on HB 2494 & HB 2548. -Notes his error in

closing "...because I have a cold..."

289 MARI ANNE GEST, O.P.E.U.: Submits written testimony (EXHIBIT D) in support of HB 2494. -Would like a line eliminated.

325 REPRESENTATIVE LONNIE ROBERTS, VICE-CHAIR: How many state employees have a written agreement?

333 GEST: OPEU is bargaining of this issue.

337 ROBERTS: Based on past practices?

339 GEST: Yes. -As long as we can collectively bargain . . . we support it.

347 ROBERTS: Everyone's going to have an agreement. This'll just add paperwork.

354 GEST: On HB 2548 . . . same comments, except it prohibits, so I don't think it's good.

385 KAREN GARST, O.C.C.A.: Submits written testimony (EXHIBIT E) in opposition of HB 2548. -Our policies are not personal. -Related to education only.

TAPE 18, SIDE B

002 GARST: Some travel, etc. is reviewed through OCCA. -Intent of legislation is a review, and it's already in place. -State only should pay if it helps institution, not individual. -Will it affect hiring ability? It might inhibit ability to attract people if it's something standard everywhere else.

039 JOHNSON: \$250 per state employee is spent? It could all be handled adminstratively, couldn't it?

047 GARST: Yes. It's already happening.

049 HAYDEN: How many institutions subscribe to periodicals and then route them through departments?

051 GARST: I don't know. That needs to be. If they don't, they should.

063 SEXTON: Submits a FAX (EXHIBIT F) from R.L. Gantenbein, Jr.

-Summarizes. -Believes that HB 2548 has negative results.

079 WATT: Closes HB 2494 & HB 2548.

082 WATT: Adjourns at 2:32 p.m.

Submitted by Reviewed by

Ken Brady Adrienne Sexton Clerk Administrator

## EXHIBIT LOG:

A - Testimony on HB 2493 - Randy Hildebrand - 2 pages B - Graph on HB 2493 - Marc Overbeck - 1 page C - Testimony on HB 2494 & HB 2548 -Beverly Clarno - 1 page D - Testimony on HB 2494 & HB 2548 - Mari Anne Gest - 2 pages E - Testimony on HB 2548 - Karen Garst - 2 pages F -Testimony on HB 2548 - R. L. Gantenbein - 1 page G - Testimony on HB 2548 - Port of Portland - 1 page H - Fiscal Analysis of HB 2548 - Roz Shirack - 1 page