HOUSE COMMITTEE ON RULES AND REORGANIZATION Subcommittee on Reorganization

April 9, 1993 Hearing Room E 1:30 p.m. Tapes 24 - 25

MEMBERS PRESENT: Rep. John Watt, Chair Rep. Delna Jones Rep. Michael Payne Rep. Eldon Johnson Rep. Avel Gordly

MEMBER EXCUSED:

VISITING MEMBER:

STAFF PRESENT: Adrienne Sexton, Committee Administrator Betina Rothauser, Committee Coordinator

MEASURES CONSIDERED: HB 3614 - Changes beginning of fiscal year

HB 3615 - Authorizes Legislature to hold an organization session and a regular session

WITNESSES: Jim Scherzinger, Legislative Revenue John Kreft, Budget & Management

[--- Unable To Translate Graphic ---]

These minutes contain materials which paraphrase and/or summarize statements made during this session. Only text enclosed in quotation marks report a speaker's exact words. For complete contents of the proceedings, please refer to the tapes. [--- Unable To Translate Graphic ---]

TAPE 24, SIDE A

005 REPRESENTATIVE JOHN WATT, SUBCOMMITTEE CHAIR: Convenes meeting at 1:35 p.m. -Will only do HB 3614 today. -Opens work session on HB 3614.

WORK SESSION - HB 3614

032 ADRIENNE SEXTON, COMMITTEE ADMINISTRATOR: Summarizes HB 3614 section by section.

042 JONES: Does this go with HJR 62?

045 WATT: Yes.

046 SEXTON: Continues summary.

060 JOHNSON: Would this have the effect of two sessions one year apart?

063 SEXTON: No. They would both be in the odd numbered year.

075 JOHNSON: Dates are confusing.

078 JONES: Will we have something that shows the flow of how these will go?

081 SEXTON: Shows charts that explain flow.

085 JOHNSON: What about the budget process?

086 SEXTON: A budget overlay is being discussed. -Continues summary.

113 JOHNSON: Have you coordinated this with Mr. Scherzinger? Can we address concerns section by section?

120 JIM SCHERZINGER, LEGISLATIVE REVENUE: Came to the conclusions that are shown on outline (EXHIBIT B). -Sections 5 and 40: we would recommend you don't change fiscal year for local governments. -Want to be sure that local government won't be disrupted and fees can

still be paid.

160 JOHN KREFT, BUDGET & MANAGEMENT: Checked on timing and cash-flow issue. -This probably doesn't affect cash-flow.

169 WATT: Does the effect of not changing Section 5 have any impact on the state?

174 KREFT: I don't believe so.

180 SCHERZINGER: We just wanted to note that we don't want to change the local fiscal year.

183 WATT: We should highlight all of section 5?

186 SCHERZINGER: We should take out section 5.

191 JOHNSON: Let's have this printed and engrossed before going to full commitee.

196 SEXTON: Explains section 6.

202 JONES: Is there still a political party check off? -I believe it's not on the return anymore. -Get rid of that section.

218 SEXTON: Explains Section 7.

233 KREFT: This deals with uniform rent. -Need to look at timing with HB 3615.

242 SCHERZINGER: Section 41 should be shifted so all budget cycles are in one bill. -Should shift Section 7 as well. -Section 41 deals with the lottery budget.

260 SEXTON: Explains sections 8, 9, & 10.

290 WATT: Doesn't address that specifically?

297 SEXTON: It does, but should be in the other bill. -Explains sections 11 & 12.

- 308 WATT: Doesn't change quarterly timing?
- 313 SEXTON: No. -Explains section 13.
- 320 KREFT: That should go to HB 3615.

323 SEXTON: Explains section 14.

336 KREFT: Don't believe this needs to go to HB 3615.

338 WATT: Why not?

339 KREFT: It is done after everything is completed.

341 SEXTON: Explains section 15.

340 SCHERZINGER: Surplus kicker statute, line 42--no need to certify surplus excess. -Recommend that it change to June or July. Gives more time to certify

kicker for next calendar year, no one is crowded. -Still gives Dept. of Revenue time for tax returns.

371 WATT: Asks for clarification.

374 SCHERZINGER: Explains revenue forecast process and surplus kicker statute.

405 WATT: We're talking about crediting excess?

409 SCHERZINGER: Yes. -Continues.

416 WATT: June 1 is fine?

421 KREFT: Yes. -Need to look again at HB 3615.

427 SEXTON: Explains Section 16. -Is this currently a review process or do they approve now?

449 KREFT: Don't know answer. Good question.

474 SEXTON: Explains section 17.

TAPE 25, SIDE A

024 SCHERZINGER: These deal with a property tax levy.

035 WATT: You need more time?

039 SCHERZINGER: Yes.

040 SEXTON: Explains sections 18 through 25.

050 SCHERZINGER: Section 25 is tied into section 17, which is the tax levy again.

055 SEXTON: Explains sections 26 & 27.

066 WATT: Do we need to redefine "organizational session?"

070 SEXTON: That's defined in HJR 62.

074 WATT: How do we define it statutorily? Do we need to? If we have three sessions, do we need to define?

081 SEXTON: Will look into that. -Continues explanation of sections 28, 29 & 30.

089 WATT: Will that effect local schools?

099 SCHERZINGER: Dealing with reimbursements or losses. Effect on schools is indirect.

100 SEXTON: Continues with sections 31 & 32.

107 SCHERZINGER: The Futures Committee assumes that schools will remain on school fiscal year. -Explains appropriations.

120 WATT: The language change refers to state, not school fiscal years.

123 SCHERZINGER: We may want to add 'school'. -Potential problems with school budgets. Futures Committee decided that under current system appropriaton is unknown. 153 WATT: You would do the formula in the Revenue Committee?

156 JONES: We need to make sure language in statute is correct.

160 SCHERZINGER: Political reality should serve the interests of both parties. -Actual should match estimates. -Will give better notice and they'll know what they have to spend.

178 JONES: Would be dealt with in the budget process.

180 KREFT: Our concern is with diminishing revenues. Could potentially have a huge cut.

191 WATT: You're saying that the dollars may not be available?

194 KREFT: It's a potential problem. There is no easy solution.

204 WATT: Is the Dept. of Education aware of this?

207 SEXTON: Not at this point.

211 SCHERZINGER: It was talked about in the Futures Committee.

214 SEXTON: Explains section 33.

219 SCHERZINGER: It will need some revision.

223 JONES: Final appropriations should be made in relation to the school.

227 WATT: Delete section 33.

231 SCHERZINGER: Yes.

234 JONES: Take out reference to the fiscal year.

237 SEXTON: If referring to state fiscal year, take it out. Leave it in if it's the school fiscal year. -Explains section 34.

262 WATT: Who prepares and submits?

265 SEXTON: The chancellor.

267 WATT: Does that affect the budgeting process for the intensive interim?

269 KREFT: Only in reference to the report.

276 SEXTON: Explains sections 35 & 36.

280 KREFT: Check that section dealing with cash flow affecting local government.

288 SEXTON: Explains sections 37 & 38.

296 KREFT: I don't know much about them.

300 WATT: Hold those open until we know more.

303 SEXTON: Explains sections 39, 40 & 41. -Delete section 40.

317 KREFT: Section 41 is more appropriate for a total discussion budget.

321 SEXTON: We're asking lottery commision to respond. -Explains sections 42, 43, 44, 45, 47 & 48.

347 KREFT: Move section 47 to HB 3615.

347 SCHERZINGER: Will check with forestry about section 48.

360 KREFT: 47 and 48 go together.

363 SEXTON: Explains sections 49, 50 & 51.

384 WATT: Closes work session on HB 3614. -Adjourns meeting at 2:30 p.m.

Submitted by:

Reveiwed by:

Kenneth Brady Administrator Adrienne Sexton Clerk

EXHIBIT LOG:

A - Information on HB 3614 - Staff - 4 pages B - Information on HB 3614 - Jim Scherzinger - 1 page