

HOUSE COMMITTEE ON NATURAL RESOURCES SUBCOMMITTEE ON AGRICULTURE

June 16, 1993      Hearing Room D 3:30 p.m.      Tapes 108 - ?

MEMBERS PRESENT:              Rep. Liz VanLeeuwen, Chair Rep. Ray Baum Rep. Marilyn Dell Rep. Sam Dominy Rep. Bill Fisher Rep. Tim Josi Rep. Dennis Luke Rep. Bill Markham Rep. Chuck Norris

STAFF PRESENT:              Catherine Fitch, Committee Administrator Sue Nichol, Committee Clerk

MEASURES CONSIDERED:

HB 3087 HB 3236 HB 3290 WITNESSES:

[--- Unable To Translate Graphic ---]

These minutes contain materials which paraphrase and/or summarize statements made during this session. Only text enclosed in quotation marks report a speaker's exact words. For complete contents of the proceedings, please refer to the tapes. [--- Unable To Translate Graphic ---]

TAPE 108, SIDE A

005      CHAIR VanLEEUEWEN:      Calls the meeting to order at 3:35.

PUBLIC HEARING ON HB 3236 AND HB 3290

021 CATHERINE FITCH:      The amendments to HB 3290 completely replace the language of the original bill. The bill ..... Section 2 would

...Section 3 would sunset the task force to January 1, 19.... Section 4 would .... It directs the Department of Agriculture to inspect ..... Section 5 requires a health inspection. Section 7.... Section

051      dl      Can the Department of Agriculture also take the animals?

052 FITCH:      Cannot answer the question. Section 12 makes violation of this act a Class ?????????? misdemeanor.

069 RICHARD KOESAN,      Elk Breeders Association?????:      We've made the amendments.... Suggests additional amendments. On page 1 we suggest

changing line 4 to "Private Cervid Task Force." .....Page 2, Section 2, line 6 again change it to "Private Cervid Task Force." On line 8, page 2, delete last two words of that line; "holding and".

146 dl Who will regulate holding?

147 KOSESAN:

152 On line 11, delete "holding", line 12 delete "and." .....  
Line 15 delete "holding and".....

163 dl If you're taking holding out of here, who's responsible for the holding of these animals?

167 o KOSESAN:

178 lv Are there other major changes.

183 KOSESAN: We would hope the fee would be developed on an incremental basis contingent on how many animals the person holds.

194 On page 2, ..... it was our intention that it be advising the jState Department of Agriculture instead of the State Fish and Wildlife

Department.

206 The only other major question was in Section 9. We can work that out ....

213 lv What would be done before this takes effect?

219 cn There was concern about the maximum acreage that was permitted.

227 KOSESAN: That was from administrative rules promulgated by ODFW.

233 dl

236 KOSESAN:

241 dl Where does it talk about inspection of the animals?

247 i KOSESAN: It would be .....

260 MIKE KILPATRICK, Elk Breeders Association:

275 sd 280 KILPATRICK: Currently we pay a \$5 fee to ODFW. That is in statute.

297 sd Is it your intent that the \$250 would be dedicated to inspection?

300 KILPATRICK: No.

308 sd Why the shift to the Department of Agriculture? And what effect will that have?

312 KOSESAN: It's our intent that we try to develop a regulatory program which will blend the involvement of the two departments.

328 sd If you had a problem with the rules adopted in January, why didn't you get the rules changes instead of transferring the program

to a

different department?

333 KOSESAN:

347 i sd My concern is that we keep the private stock separate from the wild. How can we prevent people from taking animals out of the wild and using them as their private stock?

364 KILPATRICK:

394 LINDA NICKERSON: The amendments spell out more clearly, what we need to do. We can't put this program on hold.

TAPE 109, SIDE A

012 lv

014 NICKERSON: Then we are out of business if we're still under ODFW rules.

026 dl Under the rules for ???/, you couldn't sell them either.????/

033 ROD INGRAM, Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife: They can be sold out of state and to other operators who raise the same animals.

045 NICKERSON:

048 INGRAM:

050 sd 053 INGRAM:

056 NICKERSON:

058 DL

060 dl

065 INGRAM: They do not interbreed.

067 dl 068 INGRAM: That doesn't work for other states.

070 NICKERSON:

080 lv Why are white-tailed deer banned?

088 NICKERSON: Red deer can easily cross with our elk. White tailed deer can bring in ninka worm into the state. White-tailed deer are not

easily raised.

102 lv Would you agree with that Rod.

104 INGRAM: Red deer is really European Elk. We have the same concern about bringing the white-tailed deer into the state.

120 NICKERSON: My organization would be comfortable with a fee set by administrative rule.

ingram: Right now we have 16 elk farms on record.

136 lv How many deer farms are there?

138 ingram: Four.

140

150 NICKERSON: The ODFW has policy that is opposed to private ownership of cervids.

165 lv Closes public hearing on HB 3290 and HB 3236.

169 Meeting is adjourned at 4:16.

Submitted by:

Reviewed by:

Sue Nichol  
Administrator

Catherine Fitch Clerk

EXHIBIT LOG:

A - Testimony on HB 2020 - name - # pages B - Testimony  
on HB 2020 - name - # pages C - Amendments to HB 2021 - name - #  
pages