HOUSE COMMITTEE ON NATURAL RESOURCES SUBCOMMITTEE ON AGRICULTURE AND FORESTRY

April 23, 1993 Hearing Room D 1:00 p.m. Tapes 66 - 71

MEMBERS PRESENT: Rep. Liz VanLeeuwen, Chair Rep. Ray Baum Rep. Marilyn Dell Rep. Sam Dominy Rep. Tim Josi Rep. Bill Markham Rep. Chuck Norris

MEMBER EXCUSED: Rep. Dennis Luke Rep. Bill Fisher

VISITING MEMBER: Rep. Larry Sowa Rep. Dave McTeague

STAFF PRESENT: Catherine Fitch, Committee Administrator Pat

Zwick, Committee Coordinator Sue Nichol, Committee Clerk

MEASURES CONSIDERED:

HB 2538 - Public Hearing

WITNESSES: Ray Wilkeson, Oregon Forest Industries Council Andy Anderson, Oregon Farm Bureau Rep. Dave McTeague, District 25 Riley Freeman, Oregon Cattlemen's Association Calvin Foster, Foster Ranches Bob Beck, Oregon Cattlemen's Association Judy Wortman, Oregon Cattlemen's Association, Citizen's Natural Resource Group, Oregon Lands Coalition Marie Gadotti, Columbia County Farm Bureau Don Leach, D.R. Johnson Lumber Company Lynn Lundquist, Oregon Cattleman's Association Reid Johnson Dale Johnson Rich Halliburton, Oregon Cranberry Growers Alliance

## [--- Unable To Translate Graphic ---]

These minutes contain materials which paraphrase and/or summarize statements made during this session. Only text enclosed in quotation marks report a speaker's exact words. For complete contents of the proceedings, please refer to the tapes. [--- Unable To Translate Graphic ---]

Remy Boots, Oregon Cranberry Growers Alliance Ken Evans, Forester Vonnie Couch, Clover Creek Ranch, Jefferson County Ranch Bureau Bill McCormack Eleanor Ordway Elmer Werth Joe Rohleder Louie Pitt, Jr., Confederated Tribes of Warm Springs Kevin Thiel, Oregon Salmon Commission Don Duhrkopf, Oregon Small Woodlands Association Greg McMillan, Angler's Club of Portland Bill Bogh, Oregon Hunters Association, Gresham Chapter; Multnomah Anglers and Hunters Club John Frewing, Multnomah County Commissioners Rep. Larry Sowa, District 26 Roy Elicker, Oregon Waterfowl and Wetlands Association Sara Vickerman, Defenders of Wildlife Frank Warrens, Pacific States Marine Fisheries Commission Jim Habberstad, Oregon Fish and Wildlife Commission Randy Fisher, Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife

TAPE 66, SIDE A

005 CHAIR VanLEEUWEN: Calls the meeting to order at 1:08.

Copies of HB 2538-3 amendments (LC 1226) dated 4/19/93 are submitted for the record (Exhibit A).

PUBLIC HEARING ON HB 2538

- 007 RAY WILKESON, Oregon Forest Industries Council: Distributes and explains section by section analysis of the bill, as amended, (Exhibit.
- B) which addresses the policies and organization structure of ODFW, as well as directs ODFW attention to enhanced habitat programs.
- 171 The purpose of the bill is to provide balance.
- 190 ANDY ANDERSON, Oregon Farm Bureau: Speaks in favor of the bill. There needs to be a clear delineation of responsibility in this agency.
- 233 REP. MARKHAM: Is it your opinion that ODFW has gone far beyond the intent of legislature by their administrative rules?
- 240 WILKESON: I don't think there is any implied regulatory authority given to them.
- 265 REP. DAVE McTEAGUE, District 25: Has suggestions for amendments to the bill. Reads testimony in Exhibit C.
- 314 REP. JOSI: What are your recommended changes?
- 319 REP. BAUM: Recommends that Rep. McTeague can go over that later.
- 332 RILEY FREEMAN, Family Ranching and Oregon Cattlemen's Association: Testifies in favor of the bill.

TAPE 67, SIDE A

- 022 CALVIN FOSTER, Foster Ranches: Testifies in favor of HB 2538.
- 060 Explains problems with elk herds on his ranch.
- 107 BOB BECK, Oregon Cattlemen's Association: Testifies in favor of HB 2538. Reads testimony from Sharon Beck.
- 185 JUDY WORTMAN, Oregon Cattlemen's Association, Citizen's Natural Resource Group, Oregon Lands Coalition: Testifies in favor of HB 2538. Explains

frustration with the Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife.

- 227 REP. JOSI: Why didn't they want you to electrify your ranch?
- 230 WORTMAN: They wanted our land for the elk to live in.
- 263 MARIE GADOTTI, Columbia County Farm Bureau: Testifies in favor of HB 2538. Explains their problem with Oregon Department of Fish and
- Wildlife in relation to the geese problem on her ranch. Reads testimony

in Exhibit D.

385 REP. JOSI: Are you familiar with the Nestucca Wildlife refuge? Has U.S. Fish and Wildlife Department attempted to create a refuge on your

land?

- 390 GADOTTI: No.
- 395 REP. JOSI: It sounds like they haven't done what they planned to do and now you're paying the price.
- 400 GADOTTI: Because of mismanagement, the geese  $\$ are using my ranch instead of the refuge.

TAPE 66, SIDE B

- 017 WORTMAN: ODFW needs to get out of the land management business.
- 050 DON LEACH, D.R. Johnson Lumber Company: Testifies in favor of HB 253 8. Explains experience with elk problem.
- 135 Frustrated with the ODFW in their response to the Threatened and Endangered Species Act.
- 151 What does the bill mean when it says "to more effectively manage wildlife on private lands"?
- 158 CHAIR VanLEEUWEN: I think it says to work in voluntary partnerships with private landowners to more effectively manage wildlife.
- 160 LEACH: There's a presumption that there's going to be a relationship with the landowners. We'd be leery of entering into any partnerships

with ODFW.

- 182 LYNN LUNDQUIST, Oregon Cattleman's Association: Testifies in favor of HB 2538. Encourages effective habitat management.
- 213 REP. NORRIS: How is this habitat managed?
- 216 LUNDQUIST: The primary use of the land must be considered when used as part of habitat.
- 230 LEACH: Strongly supports "verifiable" being inserted in Section 10.
- 239 REID JOHNSON: Testifies in favor of the bill.
- 299 DALE JOHNSON: Testifies in favor of the bill.
- 357 REID JOHNSON: Shows video of elk on his land.

TAPE 67, SIDE B

007 DALE JOHNSON: Explains elk problem on his ranch. Hunting doesn't help reduce the number or discourage the elk from coming onto my

ranch.

Testifies in favor of the bill.

- 078 RICH HALLIBURTON, Oregon Cranberry Growers Alliance: Speaks in support of the bill. Economic losses should be considered.
- 144 REMY BOOTS, Oregon Cranberry Growers Alliance: Testifies in favor of the bill. There needs to be accountability in this Department.
- 241 KEN EVANS, Forester: Testifies in favor of HB 2538. Summarizes testimony in Exhibit E.

TAPE 68, SIDE A

040 VONNIE COUCH, Clover Creek Ranch, Jefferson County Ranch Bureau: Testifies in favor of HB 2538. Explains situations they faced in trying to ranch and work with ODFW where ODFW has restricted the size of their ranch and imposed certain management practices. Reads testimony from

her huSB and Clark Couch (Exhibit F).

- 109 REP. NORRIS: You raise cervids commercially?
- 114 COUCH: Yes.
- 130 CHAIR VanLEEUWEN: Those regulations apply to everyone raising deer and elk?
- 135 COUCH: Yes.
- 135 REP. JOSI: What is the rationale behind those rules?
- 139 COUCH: That's the question we ask them. The State Police said they wanted to limit the land so they wouldn't have to invest a lot of

manpower for inspection.

- 145 REP. JOSI: What is the purpose of the inspection?
- 147 COUCH: Probably to see if all the animals are tagged and complying with regulations.
- 175 Reads letter from Guy Woolcock, airing grievances concerning the ODFW (Exhibit G). Reads letter from Tom Cook, Trout Creek Ranch, in support

of HB 2538 (Exhibit H).

- 250 REP. MARKHAM: Where are you located?
- 251 COUCH: We are approximately 40 miles northeast of Madras.
- 253 REP. MARKHAM: Have you lost water rights?
- 257 COUCH: Not yet.
- 274 Reads a letter from Kathy Kruse in favor of the bill (Exhibit I).

369 BILL McCORMACK: Testifies in favor of HB 2538 (Exhibit J).

TAPE 69, SIDE A

- 020 ELEANOR ORDWAY: Testifies in favor of HB 2538. Describes situation she faced with the ODFW concerning her sheep.
- 137 ELMER WERTH: Testifies in favor of the bill (Exhibit K).
- 160 REP. DOMINY: What section of the bill is the condemnation portion?
- 163 WERTH: It has nothing to do with this bill specifically.
- 184 JOE ROHLEDER: Testifies in opposition to the bill.
- 249 LOUIE PITT, JR., Confederated Tribes of the Warm Springs Reservation: Testifies in opposition to HB 2538. Reads testimony in (Exhibit L).
- 344 KEVIN THIEL, Oregon Salmon Commission: Expresses concerns with HB 2538A. This could limit consumers' access to salmon (Exhibit M).

TAPE 68, SIDE B

065 DON DUHRKOPF, Oregon Small Woodlands Association: Testifies in favor of HB 2538. Does not believe the Department should be in the land

business.

- 149 GREG McMILLAN, President, Angler's Club of Portland: Testifies in opposition to HB 2538.
- 297 BILL BOGH, Gresham Chapter of the Oregon Hunters Association, Multnomah Anglers and Hunters Club: Reads a letter from Dr. L.E. Rarey, President of the Gresham Chapter, in opposition of HB 2538 (Exhibit N).
- 340 JOHN FREWING, Multnomah County Commissioners: Reads testimony from the Commissioners of Multnomah County in opposition to HB 2538 (Exhibit O). Testifies against the bill.
- 400 REP. NORRIS: Are the properties that the Nature Conservancy takes over on the tax rolls, and then turned over to someone else when they are no longer on the tax rolls?
- 402 FREWING: In some cases that's true.

TAPE 69, SIDE B

042 REP. LARRY SOWA, District 26: Has a draft of another bill that will hopefully solve a lot of the problems brought before the committee

today.

O82 CHAIR VanLEEUWEN: Does the bill overlap this bill?

- 084 REP. SOWA: It doesn't overlap any bill specifically. It tries to get landowners, sportsmen and Fish and Wildlife all working together.
- 96 ROY ELICKER, Oregon Waterfowl and Wetlands Association: Testifies in opposition of the bill. Summarizes testimony in Exhibit P.
- 150 SARA VICKERMAN, Defenders of Wildlife: Testifies in opposition of HB 2538. Reads testimony in Exhibit Q.
- 199 FRANK WARRENS, Pacific States Marine Fisheries Commission: Testifies in opposition of HB 2538.
- 255 REP. NORRIS: Do you have proof that young fish are being pumped out into fields by the millions?
- 260 WARRENS: I will have a recent finding on a survey performed through a grant from Bonneville Power Administration, primarily in the Columbia

River Basin, which verifies this information.

- 321 Reads letter from Pacific States Marine Fisheries Commission in opposition to the bill (Exhibit R).
- 389 JIM HABBERSTAD, Fish and Wildlife Commission: Reads testimony in opposition of the bill (Exhibit S).

TAPE 70, SIDE A

- 014 REP. NORRIS: Define "optimum levels."
- 016 HABBERSTAD: There's a substantial difference between optimal and sustainable.
- $054\,$  REP. DOMINY: Do you have an official opinion by the attorney general's office?
- 058 HABBERSTAD: Yes.

This could jeopardize federal funds.

- 083 REP. JOSI: Would the proposed legislation address those concerns?
- 088 HABBERSTAD: In my opinion, no.
- 095 REP. JOSI: If this passes, you see a limitation, rather than an expansion, of your abilities to address the problems?
- 097 HABBERSTAD: Yes, and we lose our advocacy function.
- 113 REP. JOSI: It seems that a lot of problems in my area come from a lack of a recovery plan for the Northern Spotted Owl.
- 127 RANDY FISHER, Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife: We have been awaiting the federal recovery plan which is now out. We are now

prepared to go ahead with our recovery plan which should be out next

fall.

- 144 REP. NORRIS: Who do you advise?
- 145 HABBERSTAD: Natural Resource Departments and planners.
- 146 REP. NORRIS: The Commission serves as an advisory group principally to whom?
- 148 HABBERSTAD: Forestry, Natural Resource Agencies, U.S. Forestry and Planners.
- 150 REP. NORRIS: I thought you were speaking with respect to the Department of Fish and Wildlife, but then I read that "the Commission shall appoint the State Fish and Wildlife Director to serve for a term of four years

unless sooner removed by the Commission." So I'm sure your advice gets his close attention.

- 152 HABBERSTAD: Yes.
- 157 REP. JOSI: What's your definition of optimum and sustainable?
- 161 FISHER: I would like to give that because I have heard on a number of occasions lately that I have defined it one way in Ways and Means  ${\sf Means}$

Committee and I would like to straighten that out if I could. The reason I defined optimum in Ways and Means Committee was because I knew that there were bills being written and it was a big concern. I wanted the members present to understand what our position was. What I said was as follows, optimum is not defined as a maximum, because that's what everybody believes we are doing. I said optimum is, from my perspective, sustainable populations that are strong enough to go through the droughts as Commissioner Habberstad indicated, and also

The point being, as I was trying to not define optimum as being maximum, which is what often people believe what we operate under and that is not the case.

provide levels that we can offer recreation and commercial fisheries.

- 173 REP. DOMINY: What is sustainable then?
- 175 FISHER: I think the important point is this, that you can define sustainable or you can define optimum. If you're going to change

anything, put it down so people understand what the word means. From my perspective, I look at sustainable being higher than some other people

may. I look at it being optimum because I use the words synonymously,

because to me, we're trying to operate under a system where there are healthy enough populations to be able to offer recreational and commercial opportunities, viewing opportunities, plus get through droughts and with all of that you've got to have strong stocks.

- 185 REP. DOMINY: For you, you see both definitions as very close to being the same, except for what we're talking about what somebody wants to  ${\sf NEP}$
- call them? If you were trying to make an interpretation of what both of them meant today, with no new definitions written, you'd say they were

the same, is that correct?

- 190 FISHER: Personally, I would do that.
- 192 REP. JOSI: Why did these earlier mentioned problems get to this magnitude and what will you do about them?
- 210 HABBERSTAD: The bird problem is a function of having an endangered bird, the dusky, with a bunch of other populations that are booming.  ${\tt I}$
- think it's a function of, as I drive down the river, seeing the tree plantations that have grown up, so there's less and less graze for more and more birds. And because of the dusky problem, probably not being able to harvest those birds as well as they could. That's what I've

observed in the time I've been on the Commission.

- 218 REP. JOSI: What about the elk and deer?
- 220 HABBERSTAD: We're in the process of re-evaluating our management objections now.
- 222 REP. JOSI: It almost seems like you're saying we've had to hit you over the head with a hammer before you re-evaluate?
- 227 HABBERSTAD: We are in the process of re-evaluating it. I think we've got to look at what our management objectives should be in these areas. Admittedly, we've got an expanding elk herd and we to work at this

problem and continue to work at it. We are.

- 235 REP. JOSI: We are in a tough box as legislators.
- 242 FISHER: It's not an easy solution because there's a lot of money involved. One of the problems we face is that the management agency is
- trying to figure out how to reduce herds on private lands when they don't allow anyone to hunt on those lands. The only people they do

allow to hunt on those lands are fee operations and maybe they're going to make \$10,000 per tag and they're going to allow two people to go in there and hunt. Their neighbor happens to own a potato field. He gets the potatoes all wrecked because the elk jump over the fence at night. We have no way of trying to reduce those herds because the land is locked up. So trying to sit down and say, here's a solution, is not easy because there are a lot of people involved in different parts of this thing that make it very difficult to deal with. So when you listen to some of these people talk you ask, well, is your land open for hunting? And they say, no, but I'm tired of all the elk on them. It puts us in a real mess. It puts you in a real mess. It puts the neighbor that's got a lot of elk damage in a mess. We can reduce the numbers, but trying to find a way to do that is becoming more difficult for us.

264 CHAIR VanLEEUWEN: Some people do allow hunting. The directive in this bill is to work with these landowners to find a solution. I would ask

you to work with us to try to solve the situation. You heard several of the people say we've tried to work with you for years.

288 HABBERSTAD: We've talked some about that. We have thought that there might be a way to legislate a board similar to the restoration

enhancement board for fisheries. It would take legislation that we would see as positive legislation, and then we could initiate programs through those funds: deer feeding, habitat protection on private lands, maybe hunting lease programs, maybe contract for management programs on private land, maybe give hunter access and hunter behavior. This might be a way that we could generate some funds to, perhaps, take care of that problem. You talked about the lady with the geese, I spent two days with her driving around Sauvie Island. The plan for Sauvie Island says we manage that area for waterfowl, primarily wintering waterfowl. That is the number 1 goal of that plan. And that's what we're doing. Now, we've got a problem, there's lots of geese and hard to control, but that is our goal. We've giving it the best shot we can. In the

317 REP. DOMINY: I wanted to follow up on your statements on fee

meantime, we wonder how we're going to manage the beaches, etc.

hunting and people not being allowed to hunt on private property. What

percentage of private land is not accessible to hunters?

333 FISHER: We do have some statistics on some areas on how much of the land is not accessible to the general public. I don't want to  $\frac{1}{2}$ 

characterize this as all of Eastern Oregon, but in some areas of Eastern Oregon, it is presenting a problem. And the problem is, basically, to

the landowners that are getting considerable amount of damage to them

and we don't have any way of managing the herds.

- 346 REP. MARKHAM: Are any of those who testified fee hunters?
- 353 FISHER: I'm not sure, I think one of them possibly is.
- 365 REP. MARKHAM: What are lifetime fishing and hunting licenses?
- 376 FISHER: The cost of a license would be prorated. Basically you would never have to buy a resident license again. They prorate that out and

it may cost \$1,500 or some amount of money, but that goes forever.

381 REP. NORRIS: Most of the testimony we heard in favor of the bill seemed to be from people who were concerned about problems from deer and elk.

Most of the people who testified against the bill were concerned about the ramifications on the fisheries.

392 HABBERSTAD: I think it's a function of a scarce animal and an animal where the populations are up and expanding.

TAPE 71, SIDE A

- 015 CHAIR VanLEEUWEN: Can you work with us in finding a solution?
- 023 HABBERSTAD: We think this bill goes in the wrong direction. We're very interested in what Rep. Sowa's bill will bring forth. If there is a

legislative aid to the answer that doesn't remove our ability to be the advocate for fish and wildlife, sure, we don't think we're close-minded folks, we're not.

- 033 CHAIR VanLEEUWEN: Reviews current law relating to the ODFW.
- 040 HABBERSTAD: The attorney general's opinion was that that made the primary uses of the land the guiding feature of this legislation. That

was the opinion that the attorney general gave us, and frankly, it was shared by the lady who drafted the bill. Right now, one of the factors

we consider is the primary use of the land. That's taken out. This

bill takes that out. The removal of the existing Section 5 and

replacing that with the requirement that everything has to be compatible with the primary uses of the affected lands, made that the guiding

feature for this commission. That's what led to our opposition.

- 058 CHAIR VanLEEUWEN: Who do you have on staff available to work on this with the group that has been working on this?
- 071 HABBERSTAD: Because of the position we took at the Commission meeting, which was to oppose HB 2538, I couldn't, by myself, obligate the

Commission or the Department to do anything. I'm looking forward to

seeing what Rep. Sowa's bill does, if there's a merging that takes care of these problems. We understand that there's a problem out there, even if it's just a problem of perception.

- 079 REP. MARKHAM: We just want a representative to work with us.
- 090 CHAIR VanLEEUWEN: Does the director have the freedom to work with us in this next week to see what we can come up with?
- 097 BOB JACOBSON, Chairman, Fish and Wildlife Commission: The Commission debated this very issue at length during our commission meeting earlier in the week. I will give Randy the latitude to work with this group to

try to work out a solution.

- 117 REP. NORRIS: Encourages a perception of partnership.
- 125 JACOBSON: We have been trying to resolve some of these problems that have been around for awhile.
- 132 Considering the primary use of the land is one of the factors that we use in setting fish and wildlife policy here in the state. In Western  $\ \ \,$

Oregon, we've leaned over backwards to take the primary use of the land into consideration when making some of those decisions.

- 152 REP. MARKHAM: Have you, by administrative rule, limited the amount of land that can be used for cervid production?
- 158 HABBERSTAD: Reads rules relating to cervid production. The permitted facility, including vegetation management and individual pasture/paddock size must be constructed and maintained to allow for ground level

observation of all animals held on the facility on a daily basis.

Maximum facility size is 300 acres unless a facility plan has been

submitted to, and approved, by the Director as an exemption. Our

concern about the size of the facility was being able to regulate and manage those animals that were within the facility. There will be areas in Eastern Oregon where state game enforcement people could view the animals in a facility larger than a 300 acre parcel. There will be areas in Western Oregon where you couldn't get to them in a week on 300 acres. It's the observability as an aid in enforcing the rules that counts. The 300 acres is a middle ground that we thought most often would meet those rules but the guiding principle is not acreage, but ability to regulate.

- 183 REP. MARKHAM: Do you tell people they have to trim their trees?
- 188 HABBERSTAD: We said the pasture or paddock size must be such, and the vegetation controlled to allow for ground level observation of all

animals held in the facility on a daily basis.

- 191 CHAIR VanLEEUWEN: Why do you have to see it all, since it's private property and a private raising of an animal?
- 197 HABBERSTAD: It's a private raising of a game animal. What we did is try to continue to be in the business rather than go like Washington and just say there will be no more.
- 200 REP. DOMINY: A few minutes ago we had this discussion on whether Randy had the authority to get involved. That's one of the reasons we have

the legislation before us because it has been apparent to many of us that he doesn't have the authority. One of the parts of the Act authorizes him to participate in any proceedings before a public officer, commission or body of the United States or any state for the purpose of representing the citizens of Oregon concerning the wildlife resources of this state. That's one of the problems we've had is that because of the Commission's gag, from time to time Randy has not been able to be involved in solving disputes. That's one of the reasons the legislation is before us and a few minutes ago I started to see an example of it surfacing before us. I'm glad you came forward and gave him the authority.

225 REP. McTEAGUE: Has the Oregon Forest Industries Council taken up their complaints with you and have you had a dialogue with them about

their

concerns about this agency?

249 JACOBSON: We have had a continuing dialogue with that group. I'm quite sure that the primary complaints don't stem from the wildlife side of

the ledger but more from the fisheries side. I think you heard one speaker say this morning, most of those complaints have arisen over the last two or three years. Most of them relate to the instream water rights issue that the Department has been involved in. They also stem from the riparian issue that the Department has been involved in and there are probably some other factors that also enter the equation. With respect to the people in Western Oregon, a lot of the issues do relate to fisheries.

306 REP. MARKHAM: Do you disagree with the retired forest service gentlemen that you overlap the forest service's authority?

311 FISHER: In many instances in Eastern Oregon we would disagree in the sense that the forest health issue has changed so dramatically from the time that the forest plans came out. Now everything has changed. We

have made some comments to them on sales that were not part before just because the landscape has changed so dramatically from what it was.

335 CHAIR VanLEEUWEN: Closes public hearing on HB 2538.

Meeting adjourned at 5:40.

Also submitted for the record, letters of testimony from the following:

- Dave Russell (Exhibit T) - Randy Fisher (Exhibit U) - Robert
Bumstead (Exhibit V) - Robert Bumstead (Exhibit W) - Basil Andrews
(Exhibit X) - Fern and John Hane (Exhibit Y) - John Bonzer (Exhibit
Z) - Barbara and George Bickle (Exhibit AA) - Larry Phillips
(Exhibit BB) - Alice Massingale (Exhibit CC) - Marty Sherman
(Exhibit DD) - Raymond Nolan (Exhibit EE) - Edward Danehy (Exhibit
FF) - Rick Craiger (Exhibit GG) - Jane Capizzi (Exhibit HH) Frank Cockrane (Exhibit II) - Liz Potillo (Exhibit JJ) - Louise
Bilheimer (Exhibit KK) - Tom Robinson (Exhibit LL) - Phil McCorkle
(Exhibit MM) - Tom Shafer (Exhibit NN)

Submitted by: Reviewed by:

Sue Nichol Catherine Fitch Clerk Administrator

EXHIBIT LOG:

```
A - HB 2538 - HB 2538-3 (LC 1226) 4/19/93 Amendments - Staff -
10 pages B - HB 2538 - Testimony - Ray Wilkeson - 3 pages C
- HB 2538 - Testimony - Rep. Dave McTeague - 2 pages D -
2538 - Testimony - Marie Gadotti - 2 pages E - HB 2538 -
Testimony - Ken Evans - 74 pages F - HB 2538 - Testimony - Clark
Couch - 4 pages G - HB 2538 - Testimony - Guy Woolcock - 2 pages
H - HB 2538 - Testimony - Tom Hook - 1 pages I - HB 2538
- Testimony - Kathy Kruse - 1 page J - HB 2538 - Testimony -
Bill McCormack - 2 pages K - HB 2538 - Testimony - Elmer Werth -
1 page L - HB 2538 - Testimony - Louie Pitt, Jr. - 4 pages M
- HB 2538 - Testimony - Kevin Thiel - 1 page N - HB 2538 -
Testimony - Dr. Rarey - 1 page O - HB 2538 - Testimony - Charles
Ciecko - 3 pages P - HB 2538 - Testimony - Roy Elicker - 15
pages Q - HB 2538 - Testimony - Sara Vickerman - 4 pages R
   HB 2538 - Testimony - Guy Thornburgh - 1 page S - HB 2538 -
Testimony - Jim Habberstab - 2 pages T - HB 2538 - Testimony -
Dave Russell - 12 pages U - HB 2538 - Testimony - Randy Fisher -
5 pages V - HB 2538 - Testimony - Robert Bumstead - 1 page W
- HB 3505 - Testimony - Robert Bumstead - 1 page X - HB 3505
- Testimony - Basil Andrews - 3 pages Y - HB 3505 - Testimony -
Bonzer - 1 page AA - HB 3505 - Testimony - Barbara and George
Bickle - 1 page BB -
                       HB 3505 - Testimony - Larry Phillips - 1
page CC - HB 3505 - Testimony - Alice Massingale - 1 page DD
HB 3505 - Testimony - Marty Sherman - 1 page EE - HB 3505 - Testimony - Raymond Nolan - 1 page FF - HB 3505 - Testimony -
Edward Danehy - 1 page GG - HB 3505 - Testimony - Rick Craiger -
3 pages HH - HB 3505 - Testimony - Jane Capizzi - 1 page II -
  HB 3505 - Testimony - Frank Cockrane - 2 pages JJ - HB 2538 -
Testimony - Liz Potillo - 1 page KK - HB 2538 - Testimony -
Louise Bilheimer - 1 page LL - HB 2538 - Testimony - Tom Robinson
- 1 page MM - HB 2538 - Testimony - Phil McCorkle - 1 page NN
    HB 2538 - Testimony - Tom Shafer - 2 pages
```