Work Session HB 2124 Tapes 13-14 A/B 15 A HOUSE COMMITTEE ON HOUSE REVENUE AND SCHOOL FINANCE PROPERTY TAX SUBCOMMITTEE FEBRUARY 4, 1993 8:00 AM HEARING ROOM A STATE CAPITOL BUILDING Members Present: Representative Tom Brian, Chair Representative Mike Burton Representative Margaret Carter Representative Fred Girod Representative Delna Jones Representative Jim Whitty Witnesses Present: Jill Thorne, Member, Task Force on Special Assessment on Historic Property Conrad Steber, Salem Historical Landmark Commission, Restoration Architect Dennis Day, Polk County Assessor, Assessor's Association Jim Manary, Department of Revenue Mark Nelson, Public Affairs Counsel, Oregon Historical Properties Association Bob Minon, Director, Parks and Recreation Department, State Historic Preservation Officer James Hamrick, Program Manger, State Historic Preservation Office Staff: James Scherzinger, Legislative Revenue Officer Steve Meyer, Legislative Revenue Office Paula McBride, Committee Assistant TAPE 13 SIDE A 005 CHAIR BRIAN called the meeting to order at 8:12 . 006 CHAIR BRIAN opened the Work Session on HB 2124. 018 JILL THORNE testified as a member of the Task Force in support of HB 212 4. She spoke about the benefits to Oregon to preserve historic property, especially the economic value to small towns. These minutes paraphrase and/or summarize statements made during this meeting. Text enclosed in guotation marks reports the speaker's exact ~ords. For complete context of proceedings, please refer to the tape recording. House Committee on Revenue and School Finance Property Tax Subcommittee February 4, 1993 Page 2 She also believed "indexing" would kill the tax incentive program on historic property. Questions and discussion interspersed 225 CONRAD STEBER testified concerning the issue of "indexing," which he did not support. He also addressed the concept of "open house" on historic property when it is used by a charity.

287 DENNIS DAY explained how "indexing" works currently on groups of

Questions and discussion

historic property, both residential and commercial. The premise of "indexing," as an annual ratio study program, is to compare last year's assessed value against current sales prices of certain properties. An overall, blanket adjustment is then applied to the historic groups of properties. Questions and discussion 372 DENNIS DAY explained the two values are carried on the roll for historic properties: one value is frozen, and one includes all the improvements done on the historic property. The property is then taxed at the lower value as long as it is receiving the special assessment. Ouestions and discussion TAPE 14 SIDE A 002 Questions and discussion continued with DENNIS DAY. 093 DENNIS DAY asked the members to clarify the language on "indexing" in HB 2124 in order to make it possible for assessors to implement it properly on historic property. He gave examples of difficulties with "indexing." Questions and discussion 160 JIM MANARY clarified that the frozen base is used to "index", and that the "index" is then used to determine values in a particular neigHB orhood. 182 MARK NELSON talked about his participation in the original legislation in 1975. He explained the differences in recommendations on the tax incentive program between the Task Force These minutes paraphrase and/or sumnarize statements made during this meeting. Text enclosed in quotation marks reports the speaker's exact words. For complete context of proceedings, please refer to the tape

recording. House Committee on Revenue and School Finance Property Tax Subcommittee February 4, 1993 Page 3

on Special Assessments on Historic Property and that of the Parks and Recreation Department. He supported the recommendations of the Task Force and stressed the importance of the program for Oregon, stating this is one of the few special assessment programs that has a limited life yet economically improves the quality of an area. He believed "indexing" would effectively eliminate the program. He suggested that, to lessen the chance of fraud in the program, a time-line might be implemented through HB 2142, and he pointed out that HB 2124 already ensures the active participation of assessors in determining the continuing qualification of properties in the program.

TAPE 13 SIDE B 002 MARK NELSON continued his testimony. In justification of the special assessment program, he again emphasized how restored property adds considerably to the economic value of an area. Questions and discussion interspersed 046 BOB MINON explained why the Parks and Recreation Department had recommended at 10-year special assessment period on historic property, rather than the current 15 year period. He would support a 15 year period if the property could come off the program after 71/2 years when the restoration planned had not been done. Questions and discussion 155 JAMES HAMRICK related that it is stated in state law that historic

buildings should be preserved and maintained, and he emphasized that it is just as important to preserve higher end, upper class properties as middle class properties or business districts. He mentioned the original intent of the legislation and how by administrative rule they attempted to eliminate

abuses of the program. Questions and discussion interspersed with MARK NELSON, BOB MINON, and JAMES HAMRICK TAPE 14 SIDE B 010 BOB MINON summarized his department's positions regarding the special assessment program, specifically related to differences between the Parks and Recreation Department's recommendations and those of the Task Force. He believed that the majority of the Task These minutes paraphrase and/or summarize statements made during this meeting. Text enclosed in quotation marks reports the speaker's exact words. For complete context of proceedings, please refer to the tape recording. House Committee on Revenue and School Finance Property Tax Subcommittee February 4, 1993 Page 4 Force's recommendations were included in HB 2124. He emphasized that the Department had attempted to produce a fiscally responsible bill. Questions and discussion 155 CHAIR BRIAN questioned the need for a philosophical statement to be included in HB 2124 (Section 1). Ouestions and discussion 275 CHAIR BRIAN mentioned the first item ("Preservation Incentive") on a list from the Legislative Revenue Office (LRO) that delineates issues surrounding the special assessment on historic property. Loans and grants will not be considered. Exhibit 1 282 CHAIR BRIAN said that under the second item on the LRO list, "Tax Incentive," focus would be on the property exemption, not on an income credit or other tax incentive. Exhibit 1 385 CHAIR BRIAN discussed the third issue, which is the definition of "Historic Property." Exhibit 1 388 STEVE MEYER explained what "National Register Except Style/Architecture" on his list meant. Exhibit 1 Questions and discussion interspersed concerning the definition of "Historic Property," and whether or not restrictions should be put on the National Register definition of historic property. Exhibit 1 420 CHAIR BRIAN brought up the issue of "Property Standards." The members ruled out the following categories: none and normal maintenance. He stressed that renovation and restoration were the main goals of the program. Exhibit 1 Questions and discussion TAPE 15 SIDE A 002 Questions and discussion about eligibility for the special assessment program. Exhibit 1 029 JAMES HAMRICK related that the intent of HB 2124 was to give the special assessment to people who were going to spend money on These minutes paraphrase and/or summarize statements made during this meeting. Text enclosed in quotation marks reports the speaker's exact words. For complete context of proceedings, please refer to the tape recording. House Committee on Revenue and School Finance Property Tax Subcommittee February 4, 1993 Page

the property, primarily for substantial rehabilitation. There is a definition of "substantial rehabilitation" in Federal law, but not in the Oregon statutes. Questions and discussion 085 CHAIR BRIAN raised the next issue, about what property would be exempt. The matter of "indexing" enters here. Exhibit 1 Questions and discussion 147 CHAIR BRIAN discussed the "Exemption Period" issue and believed the members had reached a consensus on a one-time, 15-year period, with progress inspections at 5 years and 10 years. Exhibit 1 Ouestions and discussion 193 CHAIR BRIAN mentioned the criteria of "Public Benefit" and discussion ensued. The consensus was that there should be some "open periods" imposed on historic property. Exhibit 1 Discussion 213 CHAIR BRIAN said the issue of "Administration" still had to be examined. Exhibit 1 Questions and discussion 228 CHAIR BRIAN discussed the "Disqualification" and "Sunset" criteria. It was the consensus that disqualifications were important in the program and that there needed to be another sunset/review in future years. Exhibit 1 239 CHAIR BRIAN noted for the record a letter from the Planning Department of Douglas County, supporting HB 2124 but asking for some changes. Exhibit 243 CHAIR BRIAN noted two other exhibits submitted for the record Exhibits 3 and 4 239 CHAIR BRIAN adjourned the meeting at 10:24.

These minutes paraphrase and/or summarize statements made during this meeting. Text enclosed in quotation marks reports the speaker's exact words. For complete context of proceedings, please refer to the tape recording. House Committee on Revenue and School Finance Property Tax Subcommittee February 4, 1993 Page 6 Paula K. McBride, Committee Assistant Kimberly Taylor, Office Manager

EXHIBIT SUMMARY
1. List of criteria to be decided about "Historic Property,"
Steve Meyer, Legislative Revenue Office.HB 2124
2. Letter from Betty L. Mack, Planner, Douglas County Planning Department,
1-29.93.HB 2124
3. Property Tax Analysis -- Indexing Scenarios, Oregon Parks and Recreation
department, 2/3/93. HB 2124
4. Memorandum from Mike Byrnes, Task Force Member, Task Force on Special
Assessment of Historic Property, 9/15/92.HB 2124

5

These minutes paraphrase and/or summarize statements made during this meeting. Text enclosed in quotation marks reports the speaker's exact words. For complete context of proceedings, please refer to the tape recording.