July 20, 1993 Hearing Room 137 1:00 pm Tape 1-2

SENATE MEMBERS PRESENT: Sen. Karsten Rasmussen (Chair) Sen. Ron Cease Sen. Gordon Smith Sen. Catherine Webber

HOUSE MEMBERS PRESENT: Rep. Ken Baker Rep. Tom Brian Rep. Kate Brown

STAFF PRESENT: Bill Taylor, Committee Counsel Kirk Bailey, Committee Assistant

These minutes contain materials which paraphrase and/or summarize statements made during this session. Only text enclosed in guotation marks report a speaker's exact words. For complete contents of the proceedings, please refer to the tapes.

TAPE 1, SIDE A

- 009 CHAIR RASMUSSEN: Opens hearing at 1:25 pm.
- SB 916: Requires petition for adoption by stepparent to be served to grandparents including putative grandparents who have established custody, visitation or other rights.
- 010 BILL TAYLOR, COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Reviews the bill and the differences between the House and Senate versions.
- 019 CHAIR RASMUSSEN: Asks for the House perspective.
- 120 REP. BAKER: No reason to exclude grandparents based on parents marital status. Grandparents relationship is well established. If court meets test the relationship should be preserved.
- O30 SEN. CEASE: Comments generally as sponsor of the bill. >Reviews the amendment as it adds putative grandparent as long as they have an established right. O43 REP. BAKER: Reviews the rights of the parents and thereby the putative grandparents. O47 CHAIR RASMUSSEN: In order to establish rights, will putative grandparent have to have established blood relationship already? O55 REP. BAKER: Yes. Conference Committee on SB 916 July 20, 1993 Page 2
- O58 CHAIR RASMUSSEN: so what does this add to the equation of establishing a grandparent relationship in statute? O59 REP. BAKER: Doesn't believe this is a legal definition.
- 075 TAYLOR: Notes there is no definition of "putative"? Reviews letter from Mark Kramer.
- OHAIR RASMUSSEN: Has no problem with the concept, but concerned about the use of putative. 100 REP. BROWN: Does removing putative take care of the problem? 103 CHAIR RASMUSSEN: Does the committee have a definition of grandparent? That would address the issue.

  108 REP. BRIAN: Suggests language change on lines 26 and on 27; putative reference potentially defined by "who has established."

  121 HOLLY ROBINSON: Reviews statute and definitions of grandparents. Suggests clearly indicating who may proceed. Problem is from the underlying the statute. 130 CHAIR RASMUSSEN: reviews removing particular language. 137REP. BAKER: Are there other class) fications?

- ROBINSON: Natural grandparents are understood. 143 REP. BAKER: Asks if adoptive grandparents could be included.
- 144 ROBINSON: States that they could.
- 145 REP. BAKER: What if paternity has not been established?
- 146 ROBINSON: The statute is silent.
- 160 REP. BRIAN: Presents scenario: how would grandparent establish right?
- 165 CHAIR RASMUSSEN: Indicates that they would'".
- 170 ROBINSON: The underlying statute doesn't provide method to deal with the problem.
- 187 REP. BAKER: This is a common problem, and we are eliminating people who have raised the children in questions.
- $\cdot$  195 SEN. CEASE: Comments generally on the genesis of the bill. Notes sensitivity of the issue. Consultation resulted in a provision that was narrow. The amendment is not a problem for me but may be for some members of the committee.

These minutes contain materials which paraphase and/or summarize statements made during this session. Only text enclosed in quotation marks report a spealcer's exact words. For complete contents of the proceedings, please refer to the tapes. Conference Committee on SB 916 July 20, 1993 - Page 3

- REP. BAKER: the limitations are in the time frame. They protect against abuse. 245 ROBINSON: Suggests resolution: Take language added from subsection 8 (109.119). If psychological parent status has been determined independently of visitation rights as a grandparent, the statutes 109.119 and 109.121 are compatible. 274SEN. CEASE: What does that do for future cases? -Continuation of visitation rights for those not in the system. 281 ROBINSON: Believes they would continue. Reviews the case example further.
- 300 REP. BAKER: With psychological standards and others, those are established. What about situation where no one has established rights?
- 310 SEN. CEASE: That is the issue at hand.
- REP. BAKER: Would the parent receive notice? 317ROBINSON: How would you not know adoption proceeding occurring? None of Cease's situations would be a problem. 340 CHAIR RASMUSSEN: Would be more comfortable with language in 109.121. Prpovides reasons. 373 REP. BROWN: The answer is the mod) fication we made earlier in SB 251. The standing would be the grandparents winning custody over the paternal parent. 380 SEN. SMITH: Concurs with Brown, hopes to revisit that bill and revote. 400 MOTION: REP. BAKER: Moves to AMEND SB 916 on page 2, lines 26 and 27. -Delete "putative" and insert "or 109.121." 410 SEN. CEASE: Asks Baker to clarify. 414 REP. BAKER: Clarifies statute.

TAPE 2, SIDE A

013 TAYLOR: Makes reference to line 40, page 2. > Clarifies the Baker amendment.

020 CHAIR RASMUSSEN: The only other change was in the emergency clause. 021 SEN. CEASE: That is okay. 041 CHAIR RASMUSSEN: Sattes that this bill with the amendments is better and broader than SB 251. > It is improved with the House language.

These minutes contain materials which paraphrase and/or summarize statements made during this session. Only text enclosed in quotation marks report a speaker's exact words. For complete contents of the ptoceedings, please refer to the tapes. Conference Committee on SB 916 July 20, 1993 - Page 4

O45 SEN. SMITH: Important to draw the line at some point to determine the best interest of the child. There must be a limit on the invasion of a parent/child relationship. O55REP. BROWN: Concurs with smith.

Reviews case. O70 TAYLOR: Clarifies the need for technical amendment to the amendment. >Limit 109.121 to visitation rights. VOTE: In a roll call vote all members present vote AYE. CHAIR RASMUSSEN: The motion CARRIES. MOTION: CHAIR RASMUSSEN: Moves that the House concur on the amended bill and that is be repassed. VOTE: In a roll call vote all members present vote AYE. CHAIR RASMUSSEN: The motion CARRIES. SEN.

CEASE and REP. BAKER will lead discussion on the Floor. 114 CHAIR RASMUSSEN: Adjourns hearing at 2:00 pm. Submitted by:

Reviewed by: Katy Yetter Bill Taylor Assistant Administrator

<sup>- , .</sup> These minutes contain materials which paraphrase and/or summarize statements made during this session Only text enclosed in quotation marks report a speaker's exact words For complete contents of the proceedings, please refer to the tapes