

SENATE COMMITTEE ON AGRICULTURE AND NATURAL RESOURCES

DATE: July 2, 1993 TAPES: 214 - 216 PLACE: Hearing Room C TIME:
8:00 AM

MEMBERS PRESENT: Senator Ron Cease, Chair Senator Jim Bunn,
Vice-Chair Senator Joyce Cohen Senator Shirley Gold Senator Bob Kintigh
Senator Bob Shoemaker Senator Gordon Smith

STAFF PRESENT: Peter Green, Administrator Chris Warner,
Research Associate Pamella Andersen, Clerk

MEASURES HEARD: HB 3124 HB 2097 HB 3665 HB 2932 HB 2197 HB 2070 HB 3404
HJM11 HJR 35 HB 3512 HB 3286

EXECUTIVE APPOINTMENTS: Steven Pfeiffer, Land Conservation and
Development Commission Diana Bodtker, Energy Facility Siting Council

[--- Unable To Translate Graphic ---] These minutes contain materials
which paraphrase and/or summarize statements made during this session.
Only text enclosed in quotation marks report a speaker's exact words.
For complete contents of the proceedings, please refer to the tapes.
[--- Unable To Translate Graphic ---]

TAPE 213, SIDE A

005 CHAIR CEASE: Calls the meeting to order. (8:00 a.m.)

WORK SESSION ON HB 3124

018 CHRIS WARNER, ADMINISTRATOR: Discusses request that HB 3124 be
sent to the Senate Judiciary Committee.

024 MOTION: CHAIR CEASE MOVES THAT HB 3124 BE SENT TO
THE JUDICIARY COMMITTEE.

027 VOTE: IN A ROLL CALL VOTE THE MOTION
CARRIES UNANIMOUSLY. MEMBERS EXCUSED: BUNN & GOLD WORK SESSION ON HB
2097

Witness: Nan Dewey, Oregon Veterinary Medical Association

033 CHRIS WARNER, ADMINISTRATOR: Describes bill; the question is
"why do we have a provisional period at all"?

CHAIR CEASE: The issue is if we should move the six month
probationary period to one year.

050 NAN DEWEY, OREGON VETERINARY MEDICAL ASSOCIATION: Testifies
in support of HB 2097. _ There is communication from Molly Evens,
(EXHIBIT M); the reason for the internship is that they feel the
students don't have field time and it is necessary for that person to
be with a practicing veterinarian.

069 SEN. KINTIGH: Would this be comparable to a medical
internship?

DEWEY: You could equate the two, as though they are under
supervision and are usually interning in a hospital. _ Many states are

looking to Oregon to see if this is a good idea.

078 SEN. SHOEMAKER: Is there evidence of bad outcomes from states without this internship?

DEWEY: I don't have any facts or figures. _ There are different schools and it is felt that the year is necessary and also that students from different schools receive different training than our students at Oregon State.

CHAIR CEASE: If they are from out of state, they would have to do this also?

DEWEY: Just new ones.

100 SEN. COHEN: My understanding was that if they had a year experience that would cover this.

SEN. SHOEMAKER: Reads portion of the bill on out of state applicants. _ Unless they have practiced for one year in the other state, they must go through the intern period.

112 MOTION: SEN. COHEN MOVES HB 2097 TO THE FLOOR WITH A DO PASS RECOMMENDATION.

SEN. SHOEMAKER: I am not persuaded that we need to change the residency requirement.

SEN. COHEN: Withdraws motion.

119 MOTION: SEN. SHOEMAKER MOVES TO CONCEPTUALLY AMEND THE BILL TO KEEP THE CURRENT SIX MONTH PROBATIONARY PERIOD.

125 VOTE: IN A ROLL CALL VOTE THE MOTION FAILS. MEMBERS VOTING NO: COHEN, KINTIGH, BUNN, SMITH. MEMBERS

EXCUSED: GOLD. 134 MOTION: SEN. SHOEMAKER MOVES THAT ON LINE 35 PAGE 6, THE BILL READ "THE BOARD MAY REFUSE TO ISSUE OR RENEW A LICENSE".

140 VOTE: HEARING NO OBJECTION THE MOTION CARRIES.

142 MOTION: SEN. COHEN MOVES THAT HB 2097, AS AMENDED, BE SENT TO THE FLOOR WITH A DO PASS RECOMMENDATION.

145 VOTE: IN A ROLL CALL VOTE THE MOTION CARRIES. MEMBERS VOTING NO: BUNN. MEMBERS EXCUSED: GOLD.

CARRIER: KINTIGH.

EXECUTIVE APPOINTMENTS

WITNESSES: Jon Chandler, Staff Attorney, Commonground Kelly Ross, Oregon Association of Realtors Fred Vanatta, Affordable Housing Advocate, Oregon State Homebuilders Association Bill Moshofsky, Oregonians in Action

161 Steven L. Pfeiffer - Land Conservation and Development

Commission: Reviews his personal experience and history with land use issues. _ Notes his interest in the commission and his qualifications to

participate. _ Indicates changes he feels need to be made, such as moving forward on urban issues.

214 SEN. COHEN: Will you be continuing your practice?

PFEIFFER: I will; five to eight years ago I wouldn't give a thought to this appointment as the legal practice in land use was very different then, as it relates to the commission. _ Contested cases now go to LUBA.

250 SEN. COHEN: Legislators have conflicts of interest too; can you separate that part?

PFEIFFER: Describes how he would handle that situation.

280 CHAIR CEASE: Steve is more knowledgeable than any candidate we would deal with, but really raises that conflict of interest question. _ You will have to walk a narrow line in terms of how you do it and in terms of how the public sees it. _ In terms of future, would you view the activities as one involving

urban questions?

PFEIFFER: That depends on how successful we are in wrapping up the rural issues. _ I would say that 60-70% of the commission's time has been spent on

rural issues in last four years and I think that will reverse.

315 PFEIFFER: The integrity of the Urban Growth Boundaries depends in part on the ability to be allowed to develop for various needs that were

projected when the bounds were established. _ Buildable lands inventory has been eroded over time with conflicting

provisions, such as Goal 5. _ There needs to be direction to local governments as to how they are

going to balance that and still maintain the boundary.

351 CHAIR CEASE: The Urban Growth Boundary and the pressure to enlarge it or keep it the way it is; there are some who are anxious that as those

pressures mount, that we don't end up having wall to wall development in the urban area. _ Would you view the department and the commission as playing much of a role in reference to that?

PFEIFFER: The department and the commission are going to play a critical role in it; many people think Metro should play that role, but I don't share that degree of optimism. _ Some say this should be a

mandate and others say give local

governments discretion.

387 SEN. KINTIGH: One proposal we are considering is that LCDC would only review broader aspects of local plans and would be forbidden to go

parcel by parcel. _ What is your feeling on that aspect of local control?

399 PFEIFFER: In Goal 5, local governments afforded the opportunity to inventory all natural resources and those found to be significant go

through the process, others don't. _ Notes case of Yamhill county and wetland. _ The guidance should have been there from the commission in the rule,

telling how to decide what is significant and the process.

442 SEN. SMITH: With the limited lot of record approach, do you have a feeling for how that should be crafted?

PFEIFFER: I haven't reviewed the legislation. _ Lot of record protection makes sense; the real issue is how limited is limited?

TAPE 214, SIDE A

030 SEN. SHOEMAKER: Do you envision yourself as an activist for legislation that you think would be appropriate in the land use arena or more as

focusing on working within LCDC?

PFEIFFER: I would be in the middle; I am not an active proponent of new legislation or rule making that might come out of the commission as much as I think the rules we have need work. _ The only new rule I would offer is in Goal 9, the economics goal as

it relates to commercial and industrial.

SEN. SHOEMAKER: What is the role you see for yourself in that arena?

PFEIFFER: I think I would be a leader in that, not shy.

082 JON CHANDLER, STAFF ATTORNEY, COMMONGROUND: Offers testimony in support of the nomination. _ We have had discomfort with what has been occurring, and with the

focus on the rural agenda. _ Describes frustrations. _ I have worked with Pfeiffer on many occasions; he understands the

process, regardless of ideology or result, having someone with understanding of the process will go a long way.

116 KELLY ROSS, OREGON ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS: Offers testimony in support of the nomination. _ We were concerned with the last two appointments as there was an

absence of anyone with detailed practical experience on the commission.
125 FRED VANATTA, AFFORDABLE HOUSING ADVOCATE, OREGON STATE
HOMEBUILDERS ASSOCIATION: Offers testimony in support of the
nomination.

145 BILL MOSHOFSKY, OREGONIANS IN ACTION: Offers testimony in
support of the nomination. _ It is a welcome prospect to have someone
on the commission who has

intimate knowledge of the whole system, procedure as well as substance.

152 MOTION: SEN. COHEN MOVES RECOMMENDATION OF
CONFIRMATION OF PFEIFFER.

SEN. BUNN: I am supportive, but not comfortable with the conflict of
interest issue.

159 CHAIR CEASE: Steve Pfeiffer is as qualified a candidate as
we've had for these appointments; it will be an advantage to have
someone who is

as knowledgeable of the pieces and where the program can go. _ You are
going to have to watch the conflict of interest continually

because it will be easy to slip into a situation where you have a client
or where you are personally involved while dealing with commission

business.

169 SEN. KINTIGH: It is inevitable for someone with experience
and qualifications to have conflicts of interests and I am willing to
trust that he will work it out.

SEN. SHOEMAKER: I have known him for many years and believe he will
handle conflicts in a professional way; I think this is an excellent
appointment.

180 VOTE: IN A ROLL CALL VOTE THE MOTION CARRIES
UNANIMOUSLY. MEMBER EXCUSED: GOLD CARRIER: CEASE

DIANA J. BODTKER - ENERGY FACILITY SITING COUNCIL

217 MIKE GRAINEY, DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY: Provides background on the
council and activities.

243 DIANA J. BODTKER: Relates her training, experience and background
that would qualify her as a useful council member.

CHAIR CEASE: Have the members on the council been diligent about taking
care of business?

GRAINEY: They have; because of the extensive rule making hearings it
has been busy. _ This is a council that has been willing to work,
meeting every four to six weeks for one or two days.

CHAIR CEASE: Any sense of the time frame on SB 1016?

296 GRAINEY: SB 1016 has an emergency clause that will take effect immediately; the two applications we have pending now will be covered by the new law.

301 MOTION: CHAIR CEASE MOVES RECOMMENDATION OF CONFIRMATION OF BODTKER.

305 VOTE: IN A ROLL CALL VOTE THE MOTION CARRIES UNANIMOUSLY MEMBER EXCUSED: GOLD CARRIER: RASSMUSSEN

WORK SESSION ON HB 3665

325 PETER GREEN, COMMITTEE ADMINISTRATOR: Describes HB 3665.

339 KAY BROWN, OREGON DEPARTMENT OF FISH & WILDLIFE: Testifies in support of HB 3665. _ HB 3665 eliminates the lottery for troll salmon vessel permits. _ Describes HB 3665.

375 SEN. BUNN: The cost of permits is very high?

BROWN: Now, with the lottery, it is \$75; if you were to buy on the open market, it is fluctuating and will vary depending on the success of fishermen.

SEN. BUNN: Wouldn't a reasonable approach be lowering the number from 2400 to 2000 or 1800?

BROWN: That is another approach that isn't inconsistent with the intent. _ That would delay how soon we would hold a lottery.

405 SEN. SHOEMAKER: I would be interested in someone justifying why someone who obtains a permit for \$10 - \$75 should have the right to sell it for thousands of dollars.

BROWN: This is a proposal of the salmon industry; we support the industry.

430 JOHN ALTO, METRO TROLLERS: Only 649 actively participated last year.

SEN. BUNN: Why don't we say that the 649 that landed fish can renew and the rest can't? _ We have 1400 speculators we are supporting by this kind of a system.

465 ALTO: We aren't necessarily supporting those speculators; some vessel owners decided last year not to participate, although fishing might be

better in years coming. _ This bill is about matching the number of vessels to the resources

available today. _ If we maintain the lottery system, then we are never going to go below the cap of 2400.

TAPE 213, SIDE B

048 BROWN: If we were to lower it to 649, it would defeat prior legislation; describes. _ Fisherman aren't required to land fish, but are required to purchase

the vessel permit in order to renew it in the next year.

SEN. BUNN: Do you track how many people, on an ongoing basis, are retaining the permit, but landing no fish?

BROWN: Yes, we maintain accurate records of landings of fish.

SEN. BUNN: I would be interested in seeing how many have landed no fish in a four year period.

065 BROWN: We can provide you with that information.

SEN. BUNN: We have a problem with too many permits because of available resources dropping; wouldn't it be reasonable to drop the 2400 to a more reasonable number? 077 REP. JOSI, HOUSE DISTRICT 3: I think over time you would reach an equilibrium. _ After a period of time, the number of fisherman willing to stay in the business will stay there and you will reach a load resource balance. _ I just don't see it getting to the point where there would be too many fisherman for the resources.

SEN. BUNN: We are providing a limited entry system to protect the ability of the person fishing, but we are also providing an artificial value for the permit. _ I am concerned with someone being able to sell something they

purchased from the state for more than they purchased it for while the state is denying other people the opportunity to buy.

101 ALTO: The Magnuson Act requires limited entry and it wasn't intended to create income or protect retirement plans or to make the permit more

valuable.

SEN. SHOEMAKER: If your permit has a value to sell to someone, there may be some who would renew their permit to sell it for a high figure at some future time. _ That would give you an artificially high number of permits; if you had a lottery number at a reasonable figure it would be open when the number went lower and there wouldn't be incentive to keep a permit, not

intending to use it. _ If a new person wanted to get in they would wait until there was room within the lottery and then go for it; this seems to be a fair system.

REP. JOSI: Your argument is valid; perhaps we could look at this and come back.

130 SEN. COHEN: We can move the bill with a task force set up to look at these things. _ We don't have information in this committee to devise an appropriate

number and we don't have time to figure out what it should be.

SEN. SHOEMAKER: If there really isn't time to fix this this session then we should leave things as they are.

155 SEN. BUNN: We are not dealing with too many boats fishing in this bill; this deals with ending the lottery, which maintains high prices for

permits. _ As long as there is a lottery you can't go sell your permit for an

excessively high price as you can always enter the lottery instead. _ We should study this and then act, rather than vice versa

PUBLIC HEARING ON HB 2932 - EXHIBITS through

WITNESSES: Greg Wolf, Deputy Director, Department of Land Conservation & Development, (LCDC) Tom Gallagher, Destination Resort Coalition Bob Cortwright

200 GREG WOLF, DEPUTY DIRECTOR, DEPARTMENT OF LAND CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT, (LCDC): The Destination Resorts law is a careful balance

between planning for an economic development opportunity in this state while at the same time avoiding the opportunity for rural subdivisions. _ We think this is a balanced approach to the problem.

232 TOM GALLAGHER, DESTINATION RESORT COALITION: There were only two areas in the bill with disagreement. _ Section 1 adds three definitions to the statute; submits and describes (EXHIBIT C), proposed amendment (-A4).

SEN. SHOEMAKER: What do you mean by the three mile limit?

GALLAGHER: That exists only in the destination resort statute; it says you can't build a destination resort within three miles of a concentration of high value farm land.

286 SEN. COHEN: My concern is the slow creep of conversion where a destination resort then becomes a community out in resource lands that

should still be destination resorts.

WOLF: We share your concern.

SEN. COHEN: What is in this bill that will prevent that from happening?

WOLF: There is a lot that protects that in the bill; we do have one

point of disagreement outstanding on the bill and that is the relationship between forty or forty five weeks for the length of stay.

324 BOB CORTWRIGHT: The careful balance between the number of units individually owned and available for residential use and the number of

units dedicated to overnight lodging. _ The purpose of at least 150 units of overnight lodging is the critical mass of rentable rooms that attracts and serves the needs of visitors. _ The amendment would reduce the time that individually owned units in

the 150 unit block could be available for visitor oriented lodging and we are concerned that that could interfere with the way a resort operates.

388 GALLAGHER: The bill didn't do what I think the compromise was intended to do. _ We think the phase in language is one of the big impediments without

changing the land use laws, to encouraging the resort development. _ We allowed the phase in of restricted covenant residences to qualify

as a room of overnight lodging so that they could be built up front.

433 GALLAGHER: The question is what does it take to sell one of these restricted deed lots? _ Describes restricted covenants and the impact on the value of the

property. _ We agree we are not building rural subdivisions, we want private

investors to build houses and leave them on the market as rentals for a certain period of the year. _ We were looking at adding another month the owner could use their

house and that is really what the discussion is here.

477 GALLAGHER: We think this will make sure that more of the houses built in destination resort areas will have deed restrictions or prohibitions on year round rentals. _ If we get three months of the year for home ownership, we will be able to sell them at an attractive price and encourage people to use those

rather than take unrestricted lots, putting them on the market only when they want.

TAPE 214, SIDE B

038 SEN. COHEN: You have a limit, two units to one that will be outright residential and those will bring high premium quality. _ I believe that if we stayed at 45 weeks we would not do a disservice

to the developers.

067 CHRISTINE COOK, ATTORNEY, 1000 FRIENDS OF OREGON: We support the continuation of the 45 week standard. _ I assume the deed

restrictions would phase out over five years. _ The intention of the amendment is to make it clear that the excluded

land should not become part of the resort sight. _ We think the amendment is necessary to prevent abuse and we think it

makes the "tract" definition more acceptable in the context of the overall statute.

SEN. SMITH: I don't think this is too much to be asking; whatever we can do to find jobs.

SEN. COHEN: If you give them 40 weeks, it is a month less of a job for people. _ It gives you a reduced workforce during that time. _ I agree we need to get tourism going.

SEN. SMITH: I am speaking to the larger issue of economic development.

117 SEN. SHOEMAKER: A phase out of the deed restriction as the overnight lodging units approach 150 would be the way to solve it.

PUBLIC HEARING ON HB 2070

WITNESSES: Olivia Clark, Department of Environmental Quality Martin Loring, Department of Environmental Quality Scott Lazenby, City of Sandy Joni Low, League of Oregon Cities Joan Williams, City of Sheridan

133 OLIVIA CLARK, DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY, (DEQ): Submits written testimony in support of HB 2070, (EXHIBIT D).

169 JONI LOW, LEAGUE OF OREGON CITIES, (LOC): We are in support of this measure.

170 SCOTT LAZENBY, CITY MANAGER, CITY OF SANDY: We are in support; Sen. Kintigh is very aware of our needs in this area.

172 JOAN WILLIAMS, CITY OF SHERIDAN: We support this bill.

WORK SESSION ON HB 2070

182 MOTION: SEN. SMITH MOVES THAT HB 2070 BE SENT TO THE FLOOR WITH A DO PASS RECOMMENDATION.

184 VOTE: IN A ROLL CALL VOTE THE MOTION CARRIES UNANIMOUSLY. MEMBERS EXCUSED: GOLD CARRIER: SMITH

PUBLIC HEARING ON HB 3404

WITNESSES: Scott France, Legislative Assistant to Rep. Beyer

Bob Duey, Administrative Services Director, Willamalane Park & Recreation District

200 SCOTT FRANCE: On behalf of Rep. Beyer (EXHIBIT H), in support of HB 3404.

223 BOB DUEY, ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES DIRECTOR, WILLAMALANE

PARK & RECREATION DISTRICT: Testifies in support of HB 3404, submits written

testimony, (EXHIBIT I).

WORK SESSION ON HB 3404

230 MOTION: SEN. COHEN MOVES THAT HB 3404 BE SENT TO THE FLOOR WITH A DO PASS RECOMMENDATION.

233 VOTE: IN A ROLL CALL VOTE THE MOTION CARRIES UNANIMOUSLY. MEMBERS EXCUSED: GOLD CARRIER: COHEN

PUBLIC HEARING ON HB 3512

WITNESSES: Mike Graine, Oregon Department of Energy Martha Pagel, Water Resources Department Dan Meek, Attorney, Don't Waste Oregon Committee Liz Frenkel, Oregon Chapter, Sierra Club

243 MIKE GRAINEY, OREGON DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY: The bill makes changes in how large hydro electric projects are reviewed. _ Reviews provisions of the bill.

CHAIR CEASE: Does the phrase "nominal hydroelectric" refer to those 25 megawatts or larger?

GRAINEY: Yes. _ Unlike most areas of energy resources where there is question about

the state/federal relationship, it is clear that the state has very limited jurisdiction on hydro electric.

301 SEN. COHEN: Are you tampering with 401 in this bill?

GRAINEY: No, it is also recognized by the federal government; it's authority is federal law, not state law.

330 MARTHA PAGEL, WATER RESOURCES DEPARTMENT: The preferred agency to have the authority is the Water Resources Department and Commission. _ We have reviewed this carefully and are comfortable that this will not change or eliminate any of the substantive standards applied in 1990.

358 DAN MEEK, ATTORNEY, DON'T WASTE OREGON COMMITTEE: Offers amendments on page seven. _ Strike "in consultation with the Energy Siting Council". _ Insert "upon the request made by any person within 30 days after the

Director issues a cumulative impact order the commission shall conduct a contested case hearing in accordance with the applicable provisions of

the ORS 183.310 - 183.500 and any procedures adopted by the Commission". _ Continues reading proposed amendments for the record.

445 LIZ FRENKEL, OREGON CHAPTER, SIERRA CLUB: This makes the process much cleaner.

PUBLIC HEARING ON HB 3286

482 CHAIR CEASE: Describes HB 3286 for members; no witnesses present.

WORK SESSION ON HB 3286

495 MOTION: SEN. BUNN MOVES THAT HB 3286 BE SENT TO THE FLOOR WITH A DO PASS RECOMMENDATION.

TAPE 15, SIDE A

040 VOTE: IN A ROLL CALL VOTE THE MOTION CARRIES UNANIMOUSLY. MEMBERS EXCUSED: GOLD CARRIER: BUNN

PUBLIC HEARING ON HJM11 and HJR 35

WITNESSES: Rep. McTeague, House District 25 Dale Pearson, Legislative Assistant to Rep. McTeague

048 REP. McTEAGUE, HOUSE DISTRICT 25: This is part of our fishery restoration effort in the state; we are trying to achieve regional

consensus around the need to mark hatchery fish so we can facilitate their harvest. _ This system, when fully on line, will facilitate the wild stocks of

fish we are struggling to restore.

057 DALE PEARSON, LEGISLATIVE ASSISTANT TO REP. McTEAGUE: Testifies in support.

WORK SESSION ON HJM11

072 MOTION: CHAIR CEASE MOVES THAT HJM 11 BE SENT TO THE FLOOR WITH A DO PASS RECOMMENDATION.

075 VOTE: IN A ROLL CALL VOTE THE MOTION CARRIES UNANIMOUSLY. MEMBERS EXCUSED: GOLD

WORK SESSION ON HJR 35

080 MOTION: CHAIR CEASE MOVES THAT HJM 35 BE SENT TO THE FLOOR WITH A DO PASS RECOMMENDATION.

082 VOTE: IN A ROLL CALL VOTE THE MOTION CARRIES UNANIMOUSLY. MEMBERS EXCUSED: GOLD

PUBLIC HEARING ON HB 2197

WITNESSES: Ron Eachus, Chairman, Oregon Public Utility Commission, (PUC) Angus Duncan, Northwest Power Planning Council 096 RON EACHUS, CHAIRMAN, OREGON PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION, (PUC): Submits written testimony, (EXHIBIT K). _ The bill deals with the existing law passed in 1978 that prohibits the inclusion of any costs for construction work in progress in rates and

requires the inclusion of costs for construction of a plan in rates only at the time that that becomes used and useful.

128 EACHUS: Renewable resources often require efforts to monitor sites and research development activity; in order to encourage utilities to be

involved themselves, we introduced this legislation. _ This legislation changes the current prohibition on construction work in progress cost which will allow, under no circumstances, inclusion of pre-construction costs. _ This would allow the commission to approve pre-construction costs

under certain conditions; lists conditions.

178 EACHUS: We don't believe that this is in conflict with conservation efforts; we are trying to make the law more consistent with the

direction we are trying to go in energy policy. _ There are protections; lists some of those.

215 ANGUS DUNCAN, NORTHWEST POWER PLANNING COUNCIL: Offers testimony in support of HB 2197. _ Reads from the council's '91 power plan. _ We intended to encourage least cost acquisition strategies. _ Describes options strategy.

258 DUNCAN: We had intended to encourage states to recognize that information has an asset value; our intent was to encourage states and

regulators to avoid pursuing less attractive resources to protect the investment the utility has already made. _ We wanted to recognize that certain resources are under a real world

disadvantage because they are capital intensive, require longer lead times and because their resources are at a higher risk.

288 DUNCAN: HB 2197 would most advantage resources with the longer lead times and the greater uncertainty. _ This bill addresses the present imbalance that favors large central

station thermal based generating plants.

327 MIKE GRAINEY: Offers written testimony in support; the primary interest we have is that the bill could be very helpful in promoting renewable

resources, particularly for the site characterization work, for wind, solar and geothermal, where there is significant risk.

340 CHAIR CEASE: Adjourns the meeting. (10:25 a.m.)

Submitted by:

Reviewed by:

Pamella Andersen

Administrator

Peter Green Clerk

Transcribed by,

Kimberly Burt, Assistant

EXHIBIT LOG:

A Testimony on HB 3665 - Kay Brown - 2 pages B Oregon Troll
Salmon Tables - John Alto - 3 pages C Proposed Amendments to HB 2932
- Tom Gallagher - 1 page D Testimony on HB 2070 - Olivia Clark - 24
pages E Testimony on HB 2070 - Joni Low - 1 page F Testimony on
HB 2070 - Joan Williams - 1 page G Testimony on HB 2070 - Cathryn
Collis - 1 page H Testimony on HB 3407 - Scott France - 1 page I
Testimony on HB 3404 - Bob Duey - 4 pages J Testimony on HJM11 and
HJR 35 - Rep. Dave McTeague - 4 pages K Testimony on HB 2197 - Ron
Eachus - 7 pages L Testimony on HB 2197 - Mike Grainey - 1 page M
Testimony on HB 2097 - Molly Emmons - 2 pages N The Forest Plan for a
Sustainable Economy and a Sustainable Environment - Staff - 14 pages O
Informative materials on Pheiffer & Bodtker - Staff - 28 pages